



CORRELATIONAL ANALYSIS BETWEEN ELDERLY PEOPLE'S SEXUALITY AND QUALITY OF LIFE

- Edison Vitório de Souza Júnior¹ (D)
 - Cícero Santos Souza² (1)
 - Gabriele da Silva Santos³ (1)
 - Cristiane dos Santos Silva⁴ [0]
 - Diego Pires Cruz² (1)
 - Namie Okino Sawada⁵ (1)

¹Universidade de São Paulo, Escola de Enfermagem de Ribeirão Preto, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Enfermagem Fundamental. Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, Brasil.
²Universidade Estadual do Sudoeste da Bahia, Departamento de Saúde. Jequié, Bahia, Brasil.
³Universidade Federal da Bahia, Escola de Enfermagem. Salvador, Bahia, Brasil.
⁴Universidade Norte do Paraná, Departamento de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde. Jequié, Bahia, Brasil.

⁵Universidade Federal de Alfenas, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Enfermagem. Alfenas, Minas Gerais, Brasil.

ABSTRACT

Objective: to analyze the correlation between the experiences of elderly people's sexuality and quality of life. **Method:** this is a sectional, descriptive and analytical study carried out with 592 elderly people living in northeastern Brazil. Data were collected exclusively online through Facebook, between August and October 2020. The Affective and Sexual Experiences Scale for Elderly was used to assess sexuality and the World Health Organization Quality of Life – Old to assess quality of life. Non-parametric statistics were used through the Mann-Whitney test, Spearman and Kruskal-Wallis correlations with application of Bonferroni correlation when necessary, with 95% confidence interval (p<0.05) for all statistical analyses.

Results: there was a predominance of male elderly (60.5%), aged between 60 and 64 years (44.6%) and who had never received guidance on sexuality by healthcare professionals (75.8%). The best correlations found were positive between "intimacy" with "sexual activity" (ρ =0.561; ρ <0.001) and "affective relationships" (ρ =0.626; ρ <0.001).

Conclusion: it was found that the dimensions "sexual activity" and "affective relationships" of sexuality had the best positive correlations with the "intimacy" facet of elderly people's quality of life. In this sense, it is evident that healthcare professionals can adopt approaches with these dimensions in their consultations as a way to promote and protect elderly people's quality of life, thus fulfilling the proposal of active aging.

DESCRIPTORS: Public health. Health of the elderly. Quality of life. Sexuality. Health promotion. Healthy aging.

HOW CITED: Souza Júnior EV, Souza CS, Santos GS, Silva CS, Cruz DP, Sawada NO. Correlational analysis between elderly people's sexuality and quality of life. Texto Contexto Enferm [Internet]. 2022 [cited YEAR MONTH DAY]; 31:e20200629. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1590/1980-265X-TCE-2020-0629





ANÁLISE CORRELACIONAL ENTRE SEXUALIDADE E QUALIDADE DE VIDA DE IDOSOS

RESUMO

Objetivo: analisar a correlação entre as vivências da sexualidade e a qualidade de vida de idosos.

Método: trata-se de um estudo seccional, descritivo e analítico realizado com 592 idosos residentes no Nordeste do Brasil. Os dados foram coletados exclusivamente de forma on-line por meio da rede social Facebook, entre os meses de agosto e outubro de 2020. Foi utilizada a Escala de Vivências Afetivas e Sexuais do Idoso para avaliar a sexualidade e o *World Health Organization Quality of Life – Old* para avaliar a qualidade de vida. Empregou-se a estatística não paramétrica por meio dos testes de Mann-Whitney, correlação de Spearman e Kruskal-Wallis com aplicação do *post hoc* de Bonferroni quando necessário, com intervalo de confiança de 95% (p < 0,05) para todas as análises estatísticas.

Resultados: houve predominância de idosos do sexo masculino (60,5%), com idade entre 60 e 64 anos (44,6%) e que nunca receberam orientações sobre sexualidade pelos profissionais da saúde (75,8%). As melhores correlações encontradas foram positivas entre a faceta da qualidade de vida "intimidade" com o "ato sexual" (ρ =0,561; p < 0,001) e "relações afetivas" (ρ =0,626; p < 0,001).

Conclusão: constatou-se que as dimensões "ato sexual" e "relações afetivas" da sexualidade possuíram as melhores correlações positivas com a faceta "intimidade" da qualidade de vida dos idosos. Nesse sentido, evidencia-se que os profissionais de saúde podem adotar abordagens com essas dimensões em suas consultas como forma de promoção e proteção da qualidade de vida da pessoa idosa, cumprindo, desse modo, a proposta do envelhecimento ativo.

DESCRITORES: Saúde pública. Saúde do idoso. Qualidade de vida. Sexualidade. Promoção da saúde. Envelhecimento saudável.

ANÁLISIS CORRELACIONAL ENTRE SEXUALIDAD Y CALIDAD DE VIDA DEL ANCIANOS

RESUMEN

Objetivo: analizar la correlación entre las experiencias de la sexualidad y la calidad de vida del ancianos. **Método:** se trata de un estudio seccional, descriptivo y analítico realizado con 592 ancianos residentes en el Nordeste de Brasil. Los datos se recolectaron exclusivamente en línea a través de la red social Facebook, entre los meses de agosto y octubre de 2020. Se utilizó la Escala de Experiencias Sexuales y Afectivas del Anciano para evaluar la sexualidad y el World Health Organization Quality of Life – Old para evaluar la calidad de vida. Se utilizaron estadísticas no paramétricas mediante las pruebas de correlación de Mann-Whitney, Spearman y Kruskal-Wallis, con aplicación post hoc de Bonferroni cuando fue necesario, con un intervalo de confianza del 95% (p<0,05) para todos los análisis estadísticos.

Resultados: predominó el sexo masculino de edad avanzada (60,5%), con edades comprendidas entre los 60 y 64 años (44,6%) y que nunca habían recibido orientación sobre sexualidad por parte de profesionales de la salud (75,8%). Las mejores correlaciones encontradas fueron positivas entre la faceta calidad de vida "intimidad" con "acto sexual" (ρ =0,561; ρ <0,001) y "relaciones afectivas" (ρ =0,626; ρ <0,001).

Conclusión: se encontró que las dimensiones "acto sexual" y "relaciones afectivas" de la sexualidad tenían las mejores correlaciones positivas con la faceta "intimidad" de la calidad de vida del anciano. En este sentido, es evidente que los profesionales de la salud pueden adoptar enfoques con estas dimensiones en sus consultas como una forma de promover y proteger la calidad de vida del ancianos, cumpliendo así con la propuesta de envejecimiento activo.

DESCRIPTORES: Salud pública. Salud del ancianos. Calidad de vida. Sexualidad. Promoción de la salud. Envejecimiento saludable.

INTRODUCTION

The increase in life expectancy of populations and the growing phenomenon of aging occur on a global scale so that the World Health Organization (WHO) treats aging as a powerful and transforming demographic force capable of changing perspectives on the health-illness process¹. Among the factors responsible for this increase are the improvements in healthcare, the evolution of medical sciences, education and economics, especially in more developed countries. This sum of factors directly affects elderly people's quality of life (QoL), defined by the WHO as the perception that individuals have of their position in life, linked to the culture and value system in which they are and related to their goals, expectations, standards and concepts².

Elderly people's QoL is dynamically expressed and is associated with a sense of well-being that is built based on their experiences, beliefs and sociocultural values. It is also the expression of the activities that these individuals are able to perform, the interpersonal relationships they maintain over the years and the satisfaction of individual needs, needs and desires. In this sense, sexuality in old age appears as an important factor related to QoL, and understanding this relationship becomes relevant to elucidate elderly people's behavior³.

Sexuality must be understood as a broad construct of identities, behaviors, thoughts and cognition, which is not limited to physical and sexual aspects, but encompasses several others of a quantitative-qualitative order. In this way, expressions of affection, hugs, touch, love, eroticism, intimacy⁴, fantasies, values, practices, beliefs, attitudes, desires, roles and relationships³, including the sexual activity itself⁴. Therefore, it is observed that sexuality is a component that transcends genitality and is present in all phases of life, starting at birth and ending only with individuals' death⁴.

Thus, sexuality can play an important role in terms of maintaining eldrly people's mental health, feelings, level of satisfaction and QoL⁵. Furthermore, it brings benefits to their physical and mental health, as it is related to a reduction in morbidity and mortality, less propensity to develop depression, anxiety and low self-esteem⁶. However, even in the face of such benefits, there is a social stigma that makes elderly people be seen as asexual beings and that they should not get involved in issues related to sexuality, treating it as a taboo or something unattainable so that the QoL of this group becomes compromised⁷.

Socially, it is clear that there is a lack of information about the aging process and changes that occur in sexuality during old age. This lack contributes, for example, to support the thought of sexual activity in old age as something impractical, an understanding linked to ancient cultural, religious and educational principles that see elderly people as a being already in their finiteness of life and that, therefore, should be free from desires and pleasure⁸. In addition to cultural and mythical beliefs about elderly people's sexuality, it is known that the increase in the burden of chronic diseases resulting from aging, such as diabetes, cardiovascular, cancer and respiratory diseases, can influence sexual expressions and practices in old age and negatively impact the mood, self-confidence and self-esteem of this population, resulting in decreased QoL⁹.

In this sense, it is relevant to invest in research that goes beyond the biological aspects of sexuality and value those subjective and expanded aspects of sexuality in old age. Thus, scientific information on the relationship between sexuality and QoL in this age group is essential to support the development of new health strategies capable of providing better quality to the additional years of life of this population.

Considering the above, the scenario in which there is little appreciation of elderly people's health and well-being, as well as the lack of health promotion strategies aimed at sexuality in aging and its impacts on QoL, the development of this study, whose objective was to analyze the correlation between the experiences of elderly people's sexuality and QoL.

METHOD

This is a sectional, descriptive and analytical study built with the aid of the STROBE checklist¹⁰. Data collection was carried out between August and October 2020 exclusively through Facebook. The authors created a page for the development of scientific research, in which a playful post was published, inviting participants to click on the link and be directed to the questionnaire. The strategy of monthly boosting the post was used so that Facebook could expand the dissemination of research to all elderly people in the Northeast previously established in the geolocation resource.

The link posted on the page gave access to the questionnaire organized by Google-Forms with validated and standardized instruments for the Brazilian population, which gave rise to three thematic chunks: biosociodemographic, sexuality and QoL. It is noteworthy that, in order to avoid bias, before participants had access to the questionnaire, it was required to include a valid e-mail so that the authors could identify a possible multiplicity of responses by the same participant.

The biosociodemographic chunk was built with questions elaborated by the authors themselves in order to describe the profile of participants. In this chunk, there was information on sex, age, education, sexual orientation, ethnicity, religion, time spent with a partner, among others.

The sexuality chunk was constructed using the Affective and Sexual Experiences Scale for Elderly (EVASI)¹¹. This is a psychometric instrument in which the highest/lowest score indicates, respectively, the best/worst experience of sexuality. The scale consists of 38 items that comprise three dimensions: sexual activity, affective relationships and physical and social adversities. The answers are organized as follows: 1 – never; 2 – rarely; 3 – sometimes; 4 – frequently; 5 – always¹¹.

The third chunk was structured with the World Health Organization Quality of Life – Old (WHOQOL-Old)¹². It is an instrument built by the World Health Organization and specific for elderly people population, containing 24 questions distributed in six facets: sensory skills; autonomy; past, present and future activities; social participation; death and dying; and intimacy. The answers are organized on a Likert-type scale from 1 to 5 points. The final score can vary between 24 and 100 points; and, the higher the score, the better the participants' QoL^{12–13}.

The sample size was defined a priori having α equal to 0.05% and confidence interval equal to 95% (z α /2=1.96), with adjustment for an infinite population, in which the need for at least 385 participants was evidenced. However, as data collection was done online using post boosting, it was preferred to continue collection until the last day of the last contracted month, even after reaching the sample size. Thus, there was the non-probabilistic recruitment of 592 elderly people, which corresponds to an increase of more than 50% of the minimum necessary sample.

All participants are 60 years old or older, live in northeastern Brazil, are married, in a stable relationship or with a fixed partner, and have access to the internet and an active Facebook account. The fact that we did not include single elderly people was due to the characteristic of EVASI, as it is only aimed at elderly people who have a partner, as they assess their perception of sexuality in relation to themselves and their spouse¹¹. All elderly residents in long-term care facilities or similar and those who were hospitalized during the data collection period were excluded. It is noteworthy that, due to the skills required to handle electronic devices that give access to the internet, as

well as the active interaction in social networks, there was no application of instruments to assess elderly cognition.

Data tabulation and analysis were performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics program. After evidencing data distribution abnormality by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (p<0.05), nonparametric statistics were used through Mann-Whitney (for variables with two categories) and Kruskal-Wallis (for variables with more than two categories) tests. Bonferroni post hoc was applied to identify differences between groups after the Kruskal-Wallis test. The comparison between independent (sexuality) and dependent (QoL) variables was performed using Spearman's correlation (ρ). Furthermore, the results are presented in absolute and relative frequencies, median, interquartile range and mean rank, with 95% confidence interval (ρ < 0.05) for all statistical analyses.

This study followed all ethical and bioethical principles that guide the development of research with human beings. Participants read and accepted the Informed Consent Form (ICF) online, and the duplicate was sent in blind copy to all emails, making it impossible for participants to have access to other people's data and, guaranteeing the anonymity and confidentiality of the information provided.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows biosociodemographic characteristics of participants, in which it is possible to see the predominance of male elderly (60.5%; n=358); aged between 60 and 64 years (44.6%; n=264), Catholics (53.9%; n=319); self-declared white (67.9%; n=402); with higher education (42.60%; n=252) and who had never received guidance on sexuality by healthcare professionals (75.8%; n=449). The other characteristics are described in Table 1.

Table 1 – Biosociodemographic variables of participants. Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil, 2020. (n=592)

Variables	n	%
Sex		
Male	358	60.5
Female	234	39.5
Age group		
60 to 64 years	264	44.6
65 to 69 years	206	34.8
70 to 74 years	86	14.5
75 to 79 years	32	5.4
80 to 84 years	4	0.7
Religion		
Catholicism	319	53.9
Protestantism	76	12.8
Spiritism	67	11.3
African reigions	9	1.5
Others	49	8.3
No religion	72	12.2
Ethnicity		
White	402	67.9

Table 1 – Cont.

Variables	n	%
Yellow	9	1.5
Black	29	4.9
Brown	139	23.5
Indigenous	8	1.4
Do not know	5	0.8
Education		
Elementary school I	96	16.2
Elementary school II	42	7.1
High school	201	34.0
Higher education	252	42.6
Without degree	1	0.1
Marital status		
Married	366	61.8
Stable union	103	17.4
With a fixed partner	123	20.8
Time spent with the partner		
≤5 years	104	17.6
Between 6 and 10 years	43	7.3
Between 11 and 15 years	49	8.3
Between 16 and 20 years	34	5.7
> 20 years	362	61.1
Live with children		
Yes	179	30.2
No	383	64.7
No children	30	5.1
Sexual orientation		
Heterosexual	520	87.8
Homosexual	15	2.5
Bisexual	9	1.5
Others	48	8.2

In Table 2, in the context of sexuality, it is observed that elderly people experience better affective relationships, which is evidenced by the higher median. Furthermore, it is noted that the sexual activity, although it obtained the lowest score, did not have a very different value from the affective relationships analyzed together with the interquartile range.

With regard to QoL, it was observed that sensory skills and intimacy have the highest medians, indicating better QoL in these facets. However, it is worth mentioning that the score obtained in the overall QoL stands out for having the lowest value among the facets, as observed in Table 2.

As observed in Table 3, there was a statistical difference for gender, showing that men face worse physical and social adversities (308.78; p=0.030), and women have better perception of QoL in sensory skills (330.31; p<0.001).

Table 2 – Median and interquartile range of sexuality and quality of life. Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil, 2020. (n=592)

Variables	Median (IQ)
Sexuality	
Sexual activity	73.00 (61.25-80.00)
Affective relationships	74.00 (62.00-81.00)
Physical and social adversity	7.00 (5.00-9.00)
Quality of Life	
Sensory skills	75.00 (62.50-93.75)
Autonomy	68.75 (56.25-75.00)
Past, present, and future activities	68.75 (51.56-75.00)
Social participation	68.75 (50.00-75.00
Death and dying	68.75 (43.75-87.50)
Intimacy	75.00 (62.50-81.25)
Overall quality of life	67.70 (59.37-78.12)

Elderly people aged between 65 and 69 years experience the sexual activity better (308.08; p=0.027) and have better QoL in the "intimacy" domain (309.45; p=0.037). Elderly people aged between 80 and 84 years face worse physical and social adversities (512.25; p=0.039). Finally, elderly people between 60 and 64 years have greater autonomy (308.43; p=0.030), and Bonferroni's post hoc showed that there is a difference between the group aged 75 to 79 years (329.69; p= 0.040) and those aged 80 to 84 years (63.88; p=0.040).

Participants belonging to African religions experience better affective relationships (430.89; p=0.026), have greater autonomy (408.72; p=0.015), greater social participation (369.33; p=0.018), greater intimacy (411.22; p=0.011) and better overall QoL (367.00; p=0.022).

With regard to marital status, elderly people with a fixed partner experience sexual activity better (342.21; p<0.001) and have more autonomy (326.45; p=0.020). Finally, elderly people who live with their partners for a period equal to or less than 5 years experience the sexual activity better (356.45; p < 0.001) when compared to those who live for more than 20 years (264, 63; p < 0.001), as evidenced by Bonferroni's post hoc.

According to Table 4, it is noted that all dimensions of sexuality are statistically correlated with all facets of QoL (p<0.001). In addition to this, there is a positive correlation between sexual activity and affective relationships with QoL, indicating that these variables have a directly proportional behavior, while the dimension "physical and social adversities" showed negative correlations, thus having an inversely proportional behavior with QoL.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, it was revealed that, in the context of sexuality, elderly people experience better affective relationships. These relationships involve love, partnership, complicity, friendship, companionship, support, expression of feelings and other qualitative variables that are related to affection¹¹.

These results corroborate a study¹⁴ carried out with 213 elderly Brazilians, Portuguese and English in which it was identified that elderly people express sexuality, above all, with the expressions of altruism, positive communication, eroticism, affection, attention and attractiveness, and the sexual activity was not readily revealed as a priority, although it is within the field of sexuality.

Table 3 – Comparison of biosociodemographic variables with sexuality and quality of life. Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil, 2020. (n=592)

Sexual Affective pationships Physical activity relationships Sexual activity relationships Affective and social activity relationships Physical activity relationships Serval activity relationships Activity relationships Activity and future activities Social activities Deskip of the participation Construction activities Activities Deskip of the participation <		Sexi	Sexuality					Quality of Life	fe		
298.07 297.19 308.78 274.40 294.49 295.95 294.08 304.70 294.59 294.10 295.44 277.71 330.31 299.58 297.34 300.20 283.96 2994.3 0.783 0.903 0.0307 < 0.0001* 0.721 0.923 0.668 0.148 0.731 202.36 298.29 284.12 286.42 308.43 299.85 297.12 286.91 303.38 202.36 298.29 284.12 286.42 308.43 299.85 297.12 286.91 303.38 272.26 269.04 321.44 303.40 294.78 325.06 329.69* 286.83 254.78 267.05 290.06 2814.4 333.00 294.78 325.06 329.69* 286.83 254.78 267.05 290.06 2814.4 333.00 294.78 325.06 329.69* 286.83 254.78 267.05 299.93 299.88 276.75 279.77 279.77 303.94 333.87 312.90 331.32 287.77 304.83 338.62* 346.62 341.80 329.89 312.90 331.32 287.74 304.83 338.62* 346.62 341.80 329.89 312.90 331.32 287.74 304.83 338.62* 346.62 341.80 329.80 312.90 331.32 287.74 304.83 335.26 287.44 288.16 287.94 329.80 312.90 331.32 287.74 304.87 309.86 294.10 297.93 309.45 312.90 333.85 324.76 306.02 313.19 314.33 289.89 294.10 297.93 309.45 312.40 322.14 310.04 281.69 302.07 302.73 299.89 294.10 397.34 398.84 322.63 322.47 322.47 304.83 333.00* 337.43 336.45 33		Sexual activity	Affective relationships	Physical and social adversity	Sensory skills	Autonomy	Past, present and future activities	Social participation	Death and dying	Intimacy	Overall quality of life
298.07 297.19 308.78 274.40 294.49 295.95 294.08 304.70 294.59 294.10 295.44 277.71 330.31 299.58 297.34 300.20 283.96 299.43 0.783 0.903 0.030° < 0.001° 0.721 0.923 0.668 0.148 0.731 302.36 298.29 284.12 286.42 308.43 299.85 297.12 285.91 303.38 208.08 309.42 300.10 300.77 311.98 302.77 312.73 311.81 309.45 267.05 290.08 281.44 303.40 255.42 277.22 278.15 290.91 287.86 1.0.13 155.13 512.25 300.75 123.50 153.38 63.88 413.00 129.25 1.0.13 155.13 512.25 300.75 123.50 153.38 63.88 413.00 129.25 1.0.144 30.087 0.611 0.030° 0.154 0.040° 0.312 0.037 1.0.13 157.92 299.83 298.85 298.88 328.94 413.00 129.25 1.0.144 338.28 408.72 373.61 369.33 289.74 285.18 329.95 1.0.144 338.28 408.72 373.61 338.86 338.87 32.99 334.11 338.81 2.70 63*** 1.0.144 30.89** 2.70 63*** 2.70 63*** 2.70 63*** 2.70 63*** 2.70 63*** 2.70 63*** 2.70 63*** 2.70 63*** 2.70 63*** 2.70 63*** 2.70 63*** 2.70 63*** 2.70 63*** 2.70 63*** 2.70 77 278.77 278.77 288.35 289.79 294.18 289.79 294.10 297.89 294.10 297.89 294.10 297.89 294.10 297.89 294.10 297.89 294.10 297.89 294.10 297.89 294.10 297.89 294.10 297.89 294.10 297.89 294.10 297.89 294.10 297.89 299.18 297.17 278.77 278.						Average rai	nks				
298.07 297.19 308.78 274.40 294.49 295.95 294.08 304.70 284.59 244.10 265.44 277.71 330.31 295.38 297.34 300.20 283.96 299.43 207.83 20.903 0.0903 0.0007 0.0007 0.0771 301.84 299.85 297.12 286.91 303.86 208.08 208.29 286.42 300.43 296.85 297.72 286.91 303.86 277.26 209.04 321.44 303.40 255.42 277.73 303.86 286.91 303.86 277.26 209.06 281.44 303.40 255.42 277.73 302.86 256.88 286.87 303.86 286.78 303.86 286.78 303.86 286.88 413.00 264.78 303.86 286.88 414.30 303.38 284.78 305.69 328.88 411.20 0.037 103.76 10.54 0.040 0.044 0.044 0.044 0.044 0.054 0.054	Sex										
294.10 295.44 277.71 390.31 299.58 297.34 300.20 283.96 299.43 0.783 0.903 0.000** < 0.001**	Male	298.07	297.19	308.78	274.40	294.49	295.95	294.08	304.70	294.59	291.97
7.736 2.983 0.993 0.030* < 0.001* 0.721 0.923 0.668 0.148 0.731 302.36 2.98.29 2.84.12 2.86.42 308.43 299.86 297.12 265.91 303.38 308.08 3.09.42 3.00.10 3.00.77 3.01.98 302.77 302.73 311.81 309.45 272.26 2.96.04 321.44 3.00.77 3.01.98 302.77 302.73 311.81 309.45 267.05 2.96.04 321.44 3.00.76 2.85.60 2.87.65 2.86.93 2.96.93 2.96.93 2.94.78 2.96.93 2.94.78 2.96.93 2.94.78 3.96.94	Female	294.10	295.44	277.71	330.31	299.58	297.34	300.20	283.96	299.43	303.44
302.36 298.29 284.12 286.42 308.43 299.85 297.12 285.91 303.38 308.08 309.42 300.10 300.77 301.98 302.77 302.73 311.81 309.45 272.26 268.04 321.44 333.09 294.78 325.06 286.81 287.88 284.78 302.77 20.0277 290.86 281.44 333.09 294.78 325.06 329.69 286.83 284.78 20.0277 0.0277 0.0399 0.047 0.0399 0.047 0.0407 0.0507 0.0399 0.047 0.0407 0.05	P value	0.783	0.903	0.030*	< 0.001*	0.721	0.923	0.668	0.148	0.731	0.425
302.36 298.29 284.12 286.42 308.43 299.85 297.12 285.91 303.38 308.08 309.42 300.10 300.77 301.98 302.73 311.81 303.48 272.26 269.04 321.44 303.40 224.78 325.06 329.69 286.83 247.8 267.05 290.06 281.44 330.90 224.78 325.06 326.98 286.83 247.8 70.13 155.13 512.25 300.75 123.50 163.38 63.88° 413.00 129.26 nutsm 200.44 200.80 304.54 285.26 286.83 289.74 0.040° 0.312 0.037° ntism 315.90 331.32 287.57 304.83 385.25 338.62 325.99 334.11 318.19 312.90 331.32 287.57 304.83 385.25 338.62 326.74 326.39 324.11 318.19 318.19 310.00 247.46 270.77 288.6	Age group										
308.08 309.42 300.10 300.77 301.98 302.77 302.73 311.81 309.45 272.26 286.04 321.44 303.40 255.42 267.22 278.65 220.91 267.68 267.05 281.44 303.40 255.42 267.26 278.65 220.91 267.68 70.17 156.13 512.25 300.75 123.50 153.86 63.88 413.00 129.25 0.0277 0.184 0.0397 0.611 0.0307 0.154 0.0407 0.312 267.78 290.46 220.88 286.28 286.88 289.74 322.99 334.11 318.19 315.90 334.32 287.74 286.25 38.86 322.99 334.11 318.19 417.11 430.891 341.44 338.28 408.72 373.61 389.33 261.33 417.11 430.891 341.44 339.27 301.50 267.44 258.16 293.91 259.87 30.18 <td>60-64</td> <td>302.36</td> <td>298.29</td> <td>284.12</td> <td>286.42</td> <td>308.43</td> <td>299.85</td> <td>297.12</td> <td>285.91</td> <td>303.38</td> <td>295.99</td>	60-64	302.36	298.29	284.12	286.42	308.43	299.85	297.12	285.91	303.38	295.99
77.2.6 269.04 321.44 303.40 255.42 267.22 278.15 290.91 267.68 267.05 290.06 281.44 333.09 294.78 325.06 329.69‡ 286.83 254.78 70.13 155.13 512.25 300.75 123.50 153.38 63.88‡ 413.00 129.25 90.27¹ 0.184 0.039‡ 0.611 0.030† 0.154 0.040† 0.312 0.037† 290.46 290.80 304.54 285.26 285.33 282.39 334.11 318.19 315.79 299.83 289.86 276.76 279.74 288.68 322.99 334.11 318.19 317.90 331.32 287.74 309.27 301.50 267.44 258.16 299.31 259.63 477.11 430.89 287.74 288.68 322.99 334.11 318.19 311.8 302.02 272.33 345.25 338.6‡ 269.47 399.65 329.78 30.04.6 </td <td>62-69</td> <td>308.08</td> <td>309.42</td> <td>300.10</td> <td>300.77</td> <td>301.98</td> <td>302.77</td> <td>302.73</td> <td>311.81</td> <td>309.45</td> <td>308.74</td>	62-69	308.08	309.42	300.10	300.77	301.98	302.77	302.73	311.81	309.45	308.74
267.05 290.06 281.44 333.09 294.78 325.06 329.694 285.83 254.78 70.13 155.13 512.25 300.75 123.50 153.8 63.881 413.00 129.25 70.13 155.13 512.25 300.75 123.50 153.8 63.881 413.00 129.25 200.46 290.80 304.54 285.26 286.88 322.99 334.11 318.19 315.79 299.93 293.86 276.76 279.77 288.68 322.99 334.11 318.19 316.79 299.93 334.14 338.28 408.72 373.61 366.23 321.39 341.12 245.46 247.86 287.74 309.27 307.50 267.44 258.16 259.31 259.87 301.18 302.02 272.23 345.47 289.35 361.47 309.65 324.14 309.84 301.18 302.02 272.23 341.42 289.35 261.33 241.88	70-74	272.26	269.04	321.44	303.40	255.42	267.22	278.15	290.91	267.68	271.67
70.13 155.13 512.25 300.75 123.50 153.38 63.88‡ 413.00 129.25 0.027¹ 0.184 0.039¹ 0.611 0.030¹ 0.154 0.040¹ 0.312 0.037¹ 290.46 290.80 304.54 285.26 285.83 289.74‡ 283.73 282.53 281.04 315.70 299.93 293.86 276.76 279.77 288.68 322.93 334.11 318.19 312.90 331.32 287.77 286.83 289.74 283.73 282.53 281.04 312.90 331.32 287.74 309.27 304.83 322.94 334.11 318.19 417.11 430.89† 341.44 338.28 408.72 373.61 369.33 261.33 411.22 245.46 247.86† 287.74 309.27 301.50 267.44 258.16 293.91 259.87 30.18 302.02 272.23 345.47 289.35 306.34 309.45 270.63‡	75-79	267.05	290.06	281.44	333.09	294.78	325.06	329.69^{\ddagger}	285.83	254.78	311.84
0.027† 0.184 0.039† 0.611 0.030† 0.154 0.040† 0.312 0.037† 290.46 290.80 304.54 285.26 285.83 289.74* 283.73 282.53 281.04 315.90 299.83 293.86 276.76 279.77 288.68 322.99 334.11 318.19 315.90 231.32 287.57 304.83 356.25 338.62* 346.62 322.99 334.11 318.19 417.11 430.89* 341.44 382.8 408.72 338.62* 366.33 261.33 411.22 245.46 247.86* 287.74 309.27 301.50 267.44 258.16 293.91 259.87 301.18 302.02 272.23 345.47 289.35 305.66 295.47 309.65 321.88 30.091 0.029* 0.049* 0.015* 0.142 0.018* 0.201 0.011* 270.63* 324.76 300.89 328.42* 303.81 297.96 <td< td=""><td>80-84</td><td>70.13</td><td>155.13</td><td>512.25</td><td>300.75</td><td>123.50</td><td>153.38</td><td>63.88</td><td>413.00</td><td>129.25</td><td>111.25</td></td<>	80-84	70.13	155.13	512.25	300.75	123.50	153.38	63.88	413.00	129.25	111.25
290.46 290.80 304.54 285.26 285.83 289.74‡ 283.73 282.53 281.04 315.79 229.38 276.76 279.77 288.68 322.99 334.11 318.19 312.90 331.32 287.57 304.83 355.25 338.62‡ 346.62 312.84 329.63 417.11 430.89‡ 341.44 338.28 408.72 373.61 369.33 261.33 411.22 245.46 247.86‡ 287.74 289.35 366.62 295.47 309.65 321.88 301.18 302.02 272.23 345.47 289.35 366.66 295.47 309.65 321.88 301.14 302.02 272.23 345.47 289.35 30.66 295.47 309.65 321.88 270.63** 284.00 301.44 290.33 281.42* 30.38 294.10 297.96 302.18 333.86** 324.76 306.32 314.33 326.45* 280.27 294.18 276.76	P value	0.027	0.184	0.039⁺	0.611	0.030⁺	0.154	0.040⁺	0.312	0.037	0.098
290.46 290.80 304.54 285.26 286.83 289.74‡ 283.73 282.53 281.04 315.79 299.33 293.86 276.76 279.77 288.68 322.99 334.11 318.19 312.90 331.32 287.54 304.83 355.25 338.62‡ 346.62 312.84 329.63 417.11 430.89‡ 341.44 338.28 408.72 373.61 369.33 261.33 411.22 304.18 302.02 272.23 345.47 289.35 305.66 295.47 309.65 321.88 30.091 0.094 0.015* 0.042 0.015* 0.018* 0.201 0.011* 270.63** 284.00 301.44 290.33 281.42* 303.89 294.10 297.96 307.45 270.63** 324.76 305.02 313.19 314.33 289.89 294.10 297.96 309.45 333.85** 324.76 30.62 313.19 314.33 326.45 294.18	Religion										
315.79 299.93 293.86 276.76 279.77 288.68 322.99 334.11 318.19 312.90 331.32 287.57 304.83 355.25 338.62‡ 346.62 312.84 329.63 417.11 430.89‡ 341.44 338.28 408.72 373.61 369.33 261.33 411.22 245.46 247.86‡ 287.74 309.27 301.50 267.44 258.16 293.91 259.87 301.18 302.02 272.23 345.47 289.35 305.66 296.47 309.65 321.88 301.18 302.02 272.23 345.47 289.35 305.66 296.47 309.65 321.88 270.63‡ 322.24 0.034 0.015† 0.142 0.018* 0.01† 0.01† 372.24\$ 342.476 305.02 313.48 324.46 326.45 289.49 322.29 334.48 309.45 342.24\$ 310.04 274.66 30.89 324.18 377.76 <	Catholicism	290.46	290.80	304.54	285.26	285.83	289.74‡	283.73	282.53	281.04	279.91
312.90 331.32 287.57 304.83 355.26 338.62‡ 346.62 312.84 329.63 417.11 430.89‡ 341.44 338.28 408.72 373.61 369.33 261.33 411.22 245.46 247.86‡ 287.74 309.27 301.50 267.44 258.16 293.91 259.87 301.18 302.02 272.23 345.47 289.35 305.66 295.47 309.65 321.88 0.091 0.026† 0.679 0.094 0.015† 0.142 0.018† 0.201 0.011† 270.63* 284.00 301.44 290.33 281.42‡ 289.89 294.10 297.90 309.45 333.85‡ 324.76 305.02 313.19 314.33 289.89 294.18 276.76 281.48 4.001† 0.052 313.19 314.33 326.45‡ 280.27 294.18 276.76 297.18 56.45* 310.04 274.66 300.89 326.45‡ 280.27 <	Protestantism	315.79	299.93	293.86	276.76	279.77	288.68	322.99	334.11	318.19	308.53
417.11 430.89‡ 341.44 338.28 408.72 373.61 369.33 261.33 411.22 245.46 247.86‡ 287.74 309.27 301.50 267.44 258.16 293.91 259.87 301.18 302.02 272.23 345.47 289.35 305.66 295.47 309.65 321.88 0.091 0.026‡ 0.679 0.094 0.015‡ 0.142 0.018‡ 0.201 0.011‡ 270.63‡§ 284.00 301.44 290.33 281.42‡ 303.81 297.96 302.73 297.90 333.85‡ 324.76 305.02 313.19 314.33 289.89 294.10 297.93 309.45 342.21§ 310.04 274.66 300.89 326.45‡ 280.27 294.18 276.76 281.48 50.001† 0.062 0.272 0.458 0.020† 0.376 0.965 0.342 0.443 1 350.12 271.77 278.47 314.80 274.07 302.73<	Spiritism	312.90	331.32	287.57	304.83	355.25	338.62^{\ddagger}	346.62	312.84	329.63	349.31
245.46 247.86‡ 287.74 309.27 301.50 267.44 258.16 293.91 259.87 301.18 302.02 272.23 345.47 289.35 305.66 295.47 309.65 321.88 0.091 0.026‡ 0.679 0.094 0.015‡ 0.142 0.018‡ 0.201 0.011‡ 270.63‡§ 284.00 301.44 290.33 281.42‡ 303.81 297.96 302.73 297.90 333.85‡ 324.76 305.02 313.19 314.33 289.89 294.10 297.93 309.45 342.21§ 310.04 274.66 300.89 326.45‡ 280.27 294.18 276.76 281.48 <0.001†	African religions	417.11	430.89 [‡]	341.44	338.28	408.72	373.61	369.33	261.33	411.22	367.00
301.18 302.02 272.23 345.47 289.35 305.66 295.47 309.65 321.88 0.091 0.026† 0.679 0.094 0.015† 0.142 0.018† 0.201 0.011† 270.63‡§ 284.00 301.44 290.33 281.42‡ 303.81 297.96 302.73 297.93 333.85‡ 324.76 305.02 313.19 314.33 289.89 294.10 297.93 309.45 342.21§ 310.04 274.66 300.89 326.45‡ 280.27 294.18 276.76 281.48 <0.001†	Others	245.46	247.86‡	287.74	309.27	301.50	267.44	258.16	293.91	259.87	272.78
0.091 0.026† 0.679 0.094 0.015‡ 0.142 0.018‡ 0.018† 0.011‡ 0.011‡ 270.63‡§ 284.00 301.44 290.33 281.42‡ 303.81 297.96 302.73 297.90 333.85‡ 324.76 305.02 313.19 314.33 289.89 294.10 297.93 309.45 342.21§ 310.04 274.66 300.89 326.45‡ 280.27 294.18 276.76 281.48 <0.001†	No religion	301.18	302.02	272.23	345.47	289.35	305.66	295.47	309.65	321.88	315.49
270.63±\$ 284.00 301.44 290.33 281.42‡ 303.81 297.96 302.73 297.90 333.85± 324.76 305.02 313.19 314.33 289.89 294.10 297.93 309.45 342.21‡ 310.04 274.66 300.89 326.45‡ 280.27 294.18 276.76 281.48 <0.001†	P value	0.091	0.026^{\dagger}	0.679	0.094	0.015^{\ddagger}	0.142	0.018⁺	0.201	0.011	0.022^{+}
270.63±8 284.00 301.44 290.33 281.42‡ 303.81 297.96 302.73 297.90 333.85± 324.76 305.02 313.19 314.33 289.89 294.10 297.93 309.45 342.21⁵ 310.04 274.66 300.89 326.45‡ 280.27 294.18 276.76 281.48 <0.001†	Marital status										
333.85‡ 324.76 305.02 313.19 314.33 289.89 294.10 297.93 309.45 342.21\$ 310.04 274.66 300.89 326.45‡ 280.27 294.18 276.76 281.48 < 0.001‡	Married	270.63 ^{±.§}	284.00	301.44	290.33	281.42^{\ddagger}	303.81	297.96	302.73	297.90	295.03
342.21\$ 310.04 274.66 300.89 326.45‡ 280.27 294.18 276.76 281.48 < 0.001*	Stable union	333.85^{\ddagger}	324.76	305.02	313.19	314.33	289.89	294.10	297.93	309.45	303.98
< 0.001 [†] 0.062 0.272 0.458 0.020 [‡] 0.376 0.965 0.342 0.443 356.45 [§] 319.04 281.69 313.78 333.00 [‡] 307.15 292.29 298.85 297.18 336.45 [§] 321.12 271.77 278.47 314.80 274.07 302.73 299.65 291.14 351.73 [‡] 328.21 319.87 302.43 361.41 [§] 313.20 333.43 306.40 357.21 322.03 322.40 314.79 321.18 350.38 298.63 296.18 338.21 304.53 264.63 [‡] 280.38 298.81 290.56 269.99 [‡] 294.49 292.00 290.19 287.97 < 0.001 [‡] 0.078 0.543 0.595 < 0.001 [‡] 0.610 0.604 0.612 0.604 0.112	Fixed partner	342.21§	310.04	274.66	300.89	326.45^{\ddagger}	280.27	294.18	276.76	281.48	294.60
356.45\$ 319.04 281.69 313.78 333.00‡ 307.15 292.29 298.85 297.18 336.45\$ 321.12 271.77 278.47 314.80 274.07 302.73 299.65 291.14 351.73‡ 328.21 319.87 302.43 361.41\$ 313.20 333.43 306.40 357.21 322.03 322.40 314.79 321.18 350.38 289.63 296.18 338.21 304.53 264.63‡\$ 280.38 298.81 290.56 269.99‡\$ 294.49 292.00 290.19 287.97 < 0.001†	P value	< 0.001 [†]	0.062	0.272	0.458	0.020⁺	0.376	0.965	0.342	0.443	0.887
$356.45^{\$}$ 319.04 281.69 313.78 333.00^{\ddagger} 307.15 292.29 298.85 297.18 336.70 321.12 271.77 278.47 314.80 274.07 302.73 299.65 291.14 351.73^{\ddagger} 328.21 319.87 302.43 301.41 313.20 313.43 306.40 357.21 322.03 322.40 314.79 321.18 350.38 289.63 296.18 338.21 304.53 264.63^{\ddagger} 280.38 298.81 290.56 269.99^{\ddagger} 294.49 292.00 290.19 287.97 $<0.001^{\ddagger}$ 0.78 0.543 0.595 $<0.001^{\ddagger}$ 0.784 0.604 0.604 0.112	Time living together										
$336.70 321.12 271.77 278.47 314.80 274.07 302.73 299.65 291.14$ $351.73^{\ddagger} 328.21 319.87 302.43 361.41^{\$} 313.20 333.43 306.40 357.21$ $322.03 322.03 322.40 314.79 321.18 350.38 289.63 296.18 338.21 304.53$ $264.63^{\ddagger\$} 280.38 298.81 290.56 269.99^{\ddagger\$} 294.49 292.00 290.19 287.97$ $264.63^{\ddagger\$} 0.078 0.543 0.595 <0.001^{\ddagger} 0.784 0.610 0.604 0.112$	≥ 5	$356.45^{\$}$	319.04	281.69	313.78	333.00^{\ddagger}	307.15	292.29	298.85	297.18	310.55
$351.73^{\ddagger} 328.21 \qquad 319.87 \qquad 302.43 \qquad 361.41^{\$} \qquad 313.20 \qquad 333.43 \qquad 306.40 \qquad 357.21$ $322.03 \qquad 322.40 \qquad 314.79 \qquad 321.18 \qquad 350.38 \qquad 296.18 \qquad 338.21 \qquad 304.53$ $264.63^{\ddagger\$} 280.38 \qquad 298.81 \qquad 290.56 \qquad 269.99^{\ddagger\$} \qquad 294.49 \qquad 292.00 \qquad 290.19 \qquad 287.97$ $< 0.001^{\ddagger} 0.078 \qquad 0.543 \qquad 0.595 \qquad < 0.001^{\ddagger} \qquad 0.784 \qquad 0.610 \qquad 0.604 \qquad 0.112$	6-10	336.70	321.12	271.77	278.47	314.80	274.07	302.73	299.65	291.14	294.14
322.03 322.40 314.79 321.18 350.38 289.63 296.18 338.21 304.53 $264.63^{\pm \$}$ 280.38 298.81 290.56 $269.99^{\pm \$}$ 294.49 292.00 290.19 287.97 $< 0.001^{\dagger}$ 0.078 0.543 0.595 $< 0.001^{\dagger}$ 0.784 0.610 0.604 0.112	11-15	351.73^{\ddagger}	328.21	319.87	302.43	361.418	313.20	333.43	306.40	357.21	341.03
$264.63^{+\$}$ 280.38 298.81 290.56 $269.99^{+\$}$ 294.49 292.00 290.19 287.97 $< 0.001^{\dagger}$ 0.078 0.543 0.595 $< 0.001^{\dagger}$ 0.784 0.610 0.604 0.112	16-20	322.03	322.40	314.79	321.18	350.38	289.63	296.18	338.21	304.53	335.22
$< 0.001^{\dagger} 0.078 0.543 0.595 < 0.001^{\dagger} 0.784 0.610 0.604 0.112$	> 20	264.63 ^{±.§}	280.38	298.81	290.56	269.99≠.§	294.49	292.00	290.19	287.97	283.08
	P value	< 0.001	0.078	0.543	0.595	< 0.001	0.784	0.610	0.604	0.112	0.091

*Statistical significance by the Mann-Whitney test (p < 0.05); † Statistical significance by the Kruskal-Wallis test (p < 0.05); ‡, § Differences between groups by Bonferroni's post hoc



Table 4 – Correlation between sexuality and quality of life of older adults. Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil, 2020. (n=592)

Dimensions of sexuality	Facets of quality of life	Spearman's ρ	P value
	Sensory skills	0.160 [†]	
	Autonomy	0.377†	
	Past, present, and future activities	0.451 [†]	
Sexual activity	Social participation	0.403 [†]	< 0.001*
	Death and dying	0.219 [†]	
	Intimacy	0.561 [‡]	
	Overall quality of life	0.521 [‡]	
	Sensory skills	0.161 [†]	
	Autonomy	0.364^{\dagger}	
A. CC	Past, present, and future activities	0.468^{\dagger}	
Affective relationships	Social participation	0.387†	< 0.001*
	Death and dying	0.254^{\dagger}	
	Intimacy	0.626 [‡]	
	Overall quality of life	0.539 [‡]	
Physical and social adversity	Sensory skills	-0.298 [†]	
	Autonomy	-0.201 [†]	
	Past, present, and future activities	-0.278 [†]	
	Social participation	-0.245 [†]	< 0.001*
	Death and dying	-0.240 [†]	
	Intimacy	-0.274 [†]	
	Overall quality of life	-0.364 [†]	

^{*} Statistical significance by Spearman's ρ (p < 0.05); †Weak correlation; ‡ Moderate correlation

Another survey¹⁵ carried out with one hundred elderly women revealed that participants' satisfaction involved caresses, kisses and auto-eroticism as a way of expressing their sexuality. It should be remembered that sexuality transcends sexual activity and involves several other qualitative aspects capable of providing pleasure¹⁵. Furthermore, it appears that, in old age, sexual activity takes a secondary position, and the manifestations of companionship, emotions, affection and care gain prominence in the experiences of sexuality in this age group¹⁶.

With regard to QoL, it was observed that sensory abilities and intimacy had the highest medians, indicating better QoL in these facets, which corroborates other similar studies^{17–18}. Sensory skills involve the impact of the loss of senses (hearing, vision, taste, smell and touch) on the capacity for elderly people's social interaction and activities of daily living, two dimensions considered in measuring QoL¹³, with females having a better perception of QoL in this facet.

Furthermore, the score obtained in the overall QoL stands out for having the lowest value among the facets. Assuming that the score can vary between 0 and 100 points, the median of overall QoL of 67.70, found in this study, does not seem to show a good score that points to a good QoL.

Another relevant finding refers to the fact that men face physical and social adversities worse. This dimension highlights the health problems that interfere with sexual experiences, the discomfort caused by changes in sexuality resulting from aging, and the fear of suffering prejudice due to the attitudes taken to experience sexuality¹¹.

It is known that, with advancing age, elderly men experience sexual dysfunction, especially erectile dysfunction, which, in addition to interfering with sexual penetration, has a direct impact on

well-being and on the sense of masculinity¹⁹. Men with penile erection difficulties often experience psychosexual stressors, marital friction and loss of self-confidence, which significantly increases the aforementioned dysfunction²⁰.

In this way, the man without his satisfactory sexual capacity feels somehow diminished, less of a man²¹. This is because, from a young age, usually in adolescence, men are socially challenged to initiate and maintain sexual activities whenever they feel it is necessary. However, this situation occurred differently between the sexes, in which all sexual freedom guaranteed to men was prohibited to women before marriage, in addition to being forced to marry with their virginity preserved¹⁶. Hence, there is a need for scientific studies to go deeper into the male universe and value their insecurities, fears and uncertainties, beyond the stereotype of a dominant and invulnerable man²¹.

In the present study, elderly people between 65 and 69 years old experience sexual activity better and have a better QoL in the "intimacy" domain. It is noteworthy that sexual relations do not end in old age;¹⁵ in this sense, a study²² carried out with 126 elderly individuals identified that 90.48% of participants reported sexual activity as an important factor in achieving happiness.

Furthermore, an investigation¹⁵ carried out with elderly women revealed that, for 78% of participants, there is no age for the end of sexual relations, which contradicts the view that sexual experiences are incompatible with advancing age. Also, the study showed that, among sexually active elderly women, 80.8% continue to have sexual desire. However, due to society's lack of knowledge and prejudice, many elderly people show feelings of shame or guilt for expressing their natural desires, simply because of the desire to obtain pleasure¹⁵.

In this study, it was observed that participants belonging to African religions experience better affective relationships, have greater autonomy, greater social participation, greater intimacy and better overall QoL.

It was expected that sexual activity was associated with religion, since some religious trends point to sex as something sinful, undignified and impure, depending on the context¹⁶; and, in this sense, adherents of Christian religions (Catholicism and Protestantism) were more conservative. This inference is supported by the results of a study²³ in which participants adherent to Christianity had more conservative attitudes regarding sexuality in old age. Still in this context, perhaps the conservatism among Christian religions has highlighted the better scores of African religions in terms of autonomy, social participation, intimacy and, therefore, better overall QoL.

Another finding found was that elderly people with a fixed partner experience the sexual activity better and have more autonomy. This is a curious fact, as married elderly people were expected to have a better experience in the "sexual activity" dimension, since marriage in Brazilian culture is seen as a legal space from the point of view of religious morality, in which it is allowed the expression of different sexual approaches as a way to strengthen marital bonds²⁴.

A possible explanation for this result concerns the monotony that affects spouses after a few years of marriage²⁵, and this clearly represents elderly people couples who, in overall, begin to relate from youth, maintaining the same relationship until the old age²⁶. This context may also justify the reason why elderly people who live with their partners for a period equal to or less than 5 years experience sexual activity better than those who live together for more than 20 years.

It is reported that all dimensions of sexuality were statistically correlated with all facets of QoL. Furthermore, there was a positive correlation between sexual activity, affective relationships and QoL, indicating that these variables have a directly proportional behavior. This means that the better elderly people experience their sexuality in "sexual activity" and "affective relationships" dimensions, the better their QoL in all facets of assessment

On the other hand, the "physical and social adversity" dimension of sexuality showed negative correlations, having an inversely proportional behavior with QoL, i.e., the more elderly people face adversity, the lower their QoL in all facets.

Indeed, the literature states that sexuality is directly related to QoL^{15,25,27} and plays a prominent role over the years, through new forms of pleasure when the person reaches old age, through sexual expressions and/or affective, also contributing to the promotion of pleasure, well-being, self-knowledge and self-esteem¹⁶.

Even elderly people point to sexuality as a fundamental part of their QoL;¹⁵ and they, even in the face of organic changes arising from the aging process, report that it is possible to satisfactorily live the expression of their sexuality. Furthermore, there are cases in which sexuality reaches its climax in old age, becoming more pleasurable in relation to sexuality experienced in youth²⁸.

However, although there are scientific reasons to believe in the benefits of sexuality in elderly people's QoL, there is still a predominance of social prejudices according to which their image is associated with that of deteriorated beings, especially in the sexual sphere. As a result of this cultural interference, elderly people do not find support from family members regarding the theme; the media network provides an unattractive stereotype of aging; and healthcare professionals do not provide the necessary support to elderly people in their sexuality²⁷.

This last evidence confirms the results of the present study, in which it was found that 75.8% of elderly people had never received guidance on sexuality by healthcare professionals. It is a reality that promotes several undesirable events for them, since, in addition to sexuality being essential for a good QoL, its denial generates negative impacts on social relationships and mental health²⁷.

A study¹⁵ carried out with one hundred elderly women revealed that only 25% of the interviewees had already talked to a healthcare professional about aspects of sexuality. Another study²² carried out with 126 elderly people showed that 73.81% reported difficulty in dialoguing about sexual activity. Thus, it is necessary for this population to find comfort in health spaces so that they can express their emotions and needs without feelings of shame²².

In this sense, health education can be a strategy capable of building new perspectives and freeing elderly people from social prejudice so that they can live their sexuality fully. These actions can be implemented in different spaces: for example, in nursing consultations or in elderly groups²⁸. It should work from the perspective that elderly's sexuality is something natural, pleasurable, healthy and with positive impacts on the overall well-being of those involved. Furthermore, it is worth emphasizing that the knowledge acquired in this holistic field becomes a strategic and constructivist tool that helps to break down existing derogatory stereotypes in society¹⁶.

Healthcare professionals must consider that the development of educational approaches with elderly people requires different strategies in relation to those used in the overall population. Their values, beliefs and knowledge must be kept in mind, empowering them as active agents in the teaching-learning process²⁹.

It is noteworthy that elderly's sexuality is still a limited field of scientific research, since most available studies focus on physiological aspects (eg, sexual dysfunctions) and not sexuality as part of individuals' identity. Thus, investigations that apprehend the subjectivity of affective and sexual experiences in old age are relevant, as they allow the understanding of the feelings and emotions present in elderly people's daily lives and that guide the behavior of this population²⁷.

The online data collection raised some considerations that may influence future research and, therefore, guide researchers to the proper handling of difficulties that may be encountered. The great challenge of this modality was to convince elderly people that the research was really linked to a higher education institution and that it was not a criminal means to obtain personal data. In the

fixed postings of the invitations, elderly people commented in order to alert their virtual colleagues that our invitation was a fraudulent strategy to obtain personal information and harm the participants.

However, the researchers responded to these comments by informing the Ethics Committee approval number, the possibility of verifying its approval and legality with this committee, in addition to contact details so that elderly people could question the truth of the research. Moreover, elderly women often presented a conservative posture in the comments, criticizing other women who participate in research on sexuality and stating that this topic should not be discussed with people in this age group. Somehow, this may have influenced our results, especially in the smaller number of female participants, diverging from most investigations carried out with this population^{3,6,22,27}.

However, it was observed that the online collection has some strengths, such as the better reliability of the answers given to the instrument, a reality that could not be observed if the researcher was present at the time of collection, through the interview technique, for example. As the investigated object is an intimate component of human life, the online collection technique can be beneficial because, through the comfort provided, elderly people can feel more comfortable in revealing sensitive data.

It is worth mentioning that this study has some limitations: for example, comparisons with national and international surveys were compromised due to the quantitative limitations of studies that address sexuality in its expanded concept. As for statistics, data were limited to correlational analysis. Furthermore, the fact that the sample was recruited with elderly people in only one social network may have promoted a restriction of the public and discarded other elderly people with different characteristics that could impact the results found here.

Finally, it is noteworthy that elderly people with interaction on social networks have greater access to information on the subject, leading to the inference, therefore, that the results revealed here may not represent other elderly people in overall, especially those with restricted options for information. However, such limitations do not invalidate these data, as they constitute an instigation for further research on sexuality and its effects on elderly people's health and QoL.

CONCLUSION

It was found that "sexual activity" and "affective relationships" dimensions of sexuality had the best positive correlations with the "intimacy" facet of elderly people's QoL. In this regard, it is evident that healthcare professionals can adopt approaches with these dimensions in their consultations as a way to promote and protect elderly people's QoL, thus fulfilling the proposal of active aging.

REFERENCES

- World Health Organization, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Global Health and Aging [Internet]. Global Health and Aging. 2011 [cited 2020 Nov 4]. Available from: https://www.who.int/ageing/publications/global health.pdf
- 2. The Whoqol Group. The World Health Organization Quality of Life Assessment (WHOQOL): development and general psychometric properties. Soc Sci Med [Internet]. 1998 [cited 2017 Nov 25];46(12):1569–85. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9672396
- 3. Jackson SE, Firth J, Veronese N, Stubbs B, Koyanagi A, Yang L, et al. Decline in sexuality and wellbeing in older adults: a population-based study. J Affect Disord [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2020 Oct 30];245:912-7. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2018.11.091
- 4. Souza EV Jr, Silva CS, Lapa PS, Trindade LES, Silva Filho BF, Sawada NO. Influence of sexuality on the health of the elderly in process of dementia: integrative review. Aquichan [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 23];20(1):e2016. Available from: https://doi.org/10.5294/aqui.2020.20.1.6

- Steptoe A, Deaton A, Stone AA. Subjective wellbeing, health, and ageing. Lancet [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2020 Nov 4];385(9968):640-8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61489-0
- Syme ML, Klonoff EA, Macera CA, Brodine SK. Predicting sexual decline and dissatisfaction among older adults: The role of partnered and individual physical and mental health factors. J Gerontol [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2020 Nov 4];68(3):323-32. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/ geronb/gbs087
- 7. Srinivasan S, Glover J, Tampi RR, Tampi DJ, Sewell DD. Sexuality and the older adult. Curr Psychiatry Rep [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2020 Oct 22];21(10):97. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-019-1090-4
- 8. Dantas DV, Batista Filho RC, Dantas RAN, Nascimento JCP, Nunes HMA, Rodriguez GCB, et al. Sexualidade e qualidade de vida na terceira idade. Rev Bras Pesqui Saude [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2020 Oct 28];19(4):140-8. Available from: https://periodicos.ufes.br/rbps/article/view/19814
- Merghati-Khoei E, Pirak A, Yazdkhasti M, Rezasoltani P. Sexuality and elderly with chronic diseases: A review of the existing literature. J Res Med Sci [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2020 Nov 6];21:136. Available from: https://doi.org/10.4103/1735-1995.196618
- The Equator Network. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2020 Oct 23]. Available from: https://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/strobe/
- Vieira KFL. Sexualidade e qualidade de vida do idoso: desafios contemporâneos e repercussões psicossociais [Tese]. [João Pessoa (PB)]: Universidade Federal da Paraíba; 2012. 234 p. [cited 2020 Oct 22]. Available from: https://repositorio.ufpb.br/jspui/bitstream/tede/6908/1/arquivototal.pdf
- 12. Fleck MP, Chachamovich E, Trentini C. Development and validation of the Portuguese version of the WHOQOL-OLD module. Rev Saude Publica [Internet]. 2006 [cited 2020 Oct 28];40(5):785-91. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1590/S0034-89102006000600007
- Scherrer G Jr, Okuno MFP, Oliveira LM de, Barbosa DA, Alonso AC, Fram DS, et al. Quality of life of institutionalized aged with and without symptoms of depression. Rev Bras Enferm [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2020 Oct 28];72(2 Suppl):127-33. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1590/0034-7167-2018-0316
- 14. Humboldt S, Ribeiro-Gonçalves J, Costa A, Leal I. How do older adults express themselves sexually? An exploratory study. Psicol Saude Doenças [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 23];21(1):62-8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.15309/20psd210110
- Rodrigues LR, Portilho P, Tieppo A, Chambo Filho A. Analysis of the sexual behavior of elderly women treated at a gynecological outpatient clinic. Rev Bras Geriatr Gerontol [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2020 Oct 23];21(6):724-30. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1590/1981-22562018021.180090
- Barros TAF, Assunção ALA, Kabengele DC. Sexualidade na terceira idade: sentimentos vivenciados e aspectos influenciadores. Cien Biol Saude Unit [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 23];6(1):47-62. Available from: https://periodicos.set.edu.br/fitsbiosaude/article/download/6560/3888
- 17. Almeida BL, Souza MEBF, Rocha FC, Fernandes TF, Evangelista CB, Ribeiro KSMA. Quality of life of elderly people who practice physical activities. Rev Pesq Cuid Fundam Online [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 28];12:432-6. Available from: https://doi.org/10.9789/2175-5361.rpcfo.v12.8451
- Manso MEG, Maresti LTP, Oliveira HSB. Analysis of quality of life and associated factors in a group of elderly persons with supplemental health plans in the city of São Paulo, Brazil. Rev Bras Geriatr Gerontol [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2020 Oct 28];22(4):e190013. Available from: https:// doi.org/10.1590/1981-22562019022.190013



- 19. Quijada PDS, Fernandes PA, Ramos SB, Santos BMO. Qualidade de vida relacionada à saúde de pacientes com câncer de próstata. Rev Cuid [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2020 Oct 23];8(3):1826-38. Available from: https://doi.org/10.15649/cuidarte.v8i3.436
- Chung E. Sexuality in ageing male: review of pathophysiology and treatment strategies for various male sexual dysfunctions. Med Sci (Basel) [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2020 Oct 23];7(10):98. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3390/medsci7100098
- 21. Martins ERC, Alves FS, Oliveira KL, Medeiros AS, Fassarella LG. Perception of man on the implantation of penile prosthesis: a contribution to nursing. Braz J Health Rev [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 23];3(3):6480-7. Available from: https://doi.org/10.34119/bjhrv3n3-196
- 22. Araújo BJ, Sales CO, Cruz LFS, Moraes Filho IM, Santos OP. Qualidade de vida e sexualidade na população da terceira idade de um centro de convivência. Rev Cient Sena Aires [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2020 Oct 28];6(2):85-94. Available from: http://revistafacesa.senaaires.com.br/index.php/revisa/article/view/282/183
- Aguiar RB, Leal MCC, Marques APO, Torres KMS, Tavares MTDB. Elderly people living with hiv-behavior and knowledge about sexuality: An integrative review. Cienc e Saude Coletiva [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Oct 21];25(2):575-84. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-81232020252.12052018
- 24. Silva LA, Scorsolini-Comin F, Santos MA. Casamentos de longa duração: Recursos pessoais como estratégias de manutenção do laço conjugal. Psico-USF [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2020 Oct 23];22(2):323-35. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-82712017220211
- 25. Silva EP, Nogueira IS, Labegalini CMG, Carreira L, Baldissera VDA. Perceptions of care among elderly couples. Rev Bras Geriatr Gerontol [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2020 Oct 28];22(1):e180136. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1590/1981-22562019022.180136
- Almeida T, Lourenço ML. Envelhecimento, amor e sexualidade: utopia ou realidade? Rev Bras Geriatr Gerontol [Internet]. 2007 [cited 2020 Oct 23];10(1):101-14. Available from: https://doi. org/10.1590/1809-9823.2007.10018
- Vieira KFL, Coutinho MPL, Saraiva ERA. A sexualidade na velhice: representações sociais de idosos frequentadores de um grupo de convivência. Psicol Cien Prof [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2020 Oct 28];36(1):196-209. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1590/1982-3703002392013
- 28. Silva FG, Pelzer MT, Ruoso B, Neutzling BRS. Attitudes of elderly women regarding the expression of their sexuality. Aquichan [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2020 Oct 28];19(3):e1934. Available from: https://doi.org/10.5294/aqui.2019.19.3.4
- 29. Araújo WJS, Bragagnollo GR, Nascimento KC, Camargo RAA, Tavares CM, Monteiro EMLM. Educational intervention on hiv/aids with elderly individuals: a quasi-experimental study. Texto Contexto Enferm [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Nov 17];29:e20180471. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1590/1980-265x-tce-2018-0471

NOTES

CONTRIBUTION OF AUTHORITY

Study design: Souza Júnior EV. Data collection: Souza Júnior EV.

Data analysis and interpretation: Souza Júnior EV, Souza CS, Santos GS.

Discussion of results: Souza Júnior EV, Souza CS, Santos GS. Writing and/or critical review of content: Cruz DP, Sawada NO.

Review and final approval of the final version: Souza CS, Silva CS, Cruz DP, Sawada NO.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This study was financed by the *Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior* – Brazil (CAPES) – Finance Code 001.

APPROVAL OF ETHICS COMMITTEE IN RESEARCH

Approved by the Ethics Committee in Research of the *Escola de Enfermagem de Ribeirão Preto* of the *Universidade de São Paulo*, under Opinion 4,319,644 and CAAE – Certificate of Presentation for Ethical Consideration) 32004820.0.0000.5393.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There is no conflict of interest.

EDITORS

Associated Editors: Gisele Cristina Manfrini, Natália Gonçalves, Monica Motta Lino. Editor-in-chief: Roberta Costa.

HISTORICAL

Received: December 18, 2020. Approved: July 07, 2021.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Edison Vitório de Souza Júnior edison.vitorio@usp.br