BLOOD PARASITES OF SOME BIRDS FROM SÃO PAULO STATE, BRAZIL

GORDON F. BENNETT*
OSCAR DE SOUZA LOPES**

A total of 3.449 birds, representing 195 species of 33 families from three areas in São Paulo State, Brazil, were examined for hematozoa during the period 1967-1972. Only 268 birds (7.8%) of 21 families harbored a blood parasite, which were represented by species of Haemoproteus (3.5%), microfilaria (2.6%), Plasmodium (1.8%), Trypanosoma (0.8%) and Leucocytozoon (0.06%). The prevalence was similar in each of the six years of the study but a marked decrease in prevalence was noted in February and June of each year. The prevalence of parasitism was significantly different in each of the three areas sampled.

A number of studies on the blood parasites of Neotropical birds, summarized by White et al., (1978), have been made over the course of this century, with the more recent studies being concentrated in the northern portion of the continent and in Central America. Despite these studies, however, the blood parasite fauna of the rich and diverse avifauna of the Neotropical region is still poorly known. This is particularly true in Brazil, where, in spite of the studies of Aragão (1908, 1911), Lucena (1941, 1949) and Lainson (1970), there is little information as to the species composition, seasonal distribution, host specificity and prevalence of parasitism in the birds of Brazil. A long term study of the blood parasites of three dissimilar areas in the State of São Paulo in Southeastern Brazil provided the opportunity to assess some of these aspects, and the results are reported herein.

Address for reprints:
Dr. Gordon F. Bennett
International Reference Centre for Avian Haematozoa
Memorial University of Newfoundland
St. John's, Newfoundland
Canada A1B 3x9.

^{*}Department of Biology and International Reference Centre for Avian Haematozoa, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's Newfoundland, Canada, A1B 3x9.

^{**}Seção de Vírus Transmitidos por Artrópodos, Instituto Adolfo Lutz, Caixa Postal 7027, São Paulo, Brasil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Birds were collected primarily during the years 1967-1972 (with a small sample in 1966) in three areas of the State of São Paulo, viz:

Itapetininga: (23040'S, 48005'W). An area of open fields; rivers surrounded by gallery forests. Samples collected in both open fields and gallery forests.

Casa Grande (23040'S, 45055'W). A region covered by extensive primary forest, at an altitude of 800 meters, near the Atlantic Ocean. Area has a high humidity.

Guaratuba (23045'S, 45055'W). Region covered by extensive primitive forest, sea level. The forests of this region are continuous with those of Casa Grande.

Birds were captured using Japanese mist nets (ATX type with 36mm mesh), and banded for subsequent identification on re-capture. Blood films were air-dried, fixed in 100% methanol and stained with Leishman's, or Giemsa's stain, in São Paulo. The smears were then sent to the International Reference Centre for Avian Haematozoa, St. John's, Newfoundland, for examination and identification of the parasites.

In Tables 1, 3 and 3 a-c, the following abbreviations are used throughout:

Total = total birds examined; +ve = total birds infected with one or more blood parasites each; H. = Haemoproteus; P. = Plasmodium; T. = Trypanosoma; M. = microfilaria; O. = others (Atoxoplasma, Haemogregarina, Leucocytozoon). As some birds harbored more than one blood parasite, the total of the infections in any one table will exceed the total number of infected birds.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 3.449 birds of 195 species, representing 33 families, were examined for hematozoa. Only 268 (7.8%) birds of 78 species representing 21 families harbored one or more blood parasites variously represented in order of frequency by Haemoproteus (3.5%), microfilaria (2.6%), Plasmodium (1.8%), Trypanosoma (0.8%) and Leucocytozoon (0.06%). These data (Table 1) indicate a low prevalence of blood parasites, but represent a prevalence similar to that recorded elsewhere in Central and South America (Bennett & Borrero, 1976; Gabaldon et al., 1974, 1975; White et al., 1978), but far lower than that noted in North America (Greiner et al., 1975). A further indicator of the intensity of parasite attack in the avifauna is the number of multiple infections noted in the infected birds, an indicator conveniently expressed as the parasite index - defined as the number of parasite infections / infected bird. In this study, a parasite index of 1.06 was obtained, which compares closely with that obtained for all Neotropical and Southeast Asian birds (Table 2), but is significantly lower than that obtained for Nearctic birds. This parasite index indicates that 10% or less of the infected birds in the Neotropical region harbored more than one blood parasite, while almost one-third of the North American birds had multiple infections. Presumably this indicates a low vector potential in the Neotropical (and Southeast Asian) regions.

The frequency of occurrence of the different hematozoan genera in the avifauna is also of interest. In Brazil, 42.3% of the total infections were composed of *Haemoproteus* (Table 2), substantially lower than the 63.8% recorded for this genus in all Neotropical birds, but similar to that observed for Nearctic birds. Substantial differences between the Brazilian (and Neotropical) and North American and Southeast Asian samples were noted in the almost complete absence of *Leucocytozoon* in the former sample. In fact, only two *Leucocytozoon* infections were noted in this study; one in a parulid (*Parula pitayumi*) and one in a fringillid (*Haplospiza unicolor*) (Table 1). Possibly these two individuals acquired their *Leucocytozoon* infections elsewhere and then moved into the study region. However, the conclusion is inevitable that the ornithophilic simuliid vectors of

TABLE 1

Hematozoa of birds collected in three areas of São Paulo State, Brazil, 1966-72.

	Total	+ve*	Н.	Р.	T.	M_{\cdot}	0.
ACCIPITRIDAE							
Buteo magnirostris	1	0					
Harpagus bidentatus	2	2				2	
Total:	3	2				2	
ALCEDINIDAE							
Chloroceryle aenea	3	0					
Chloroceryle americana	10	0					
Chloroceryle inda	2	0					
Total:	15	0					
APODIDAE							
Chaetura cinereiventris	4	0					
ARDEIDAE							
Butorides striatus	1	0					
BUCCONIDAE							
Malacoptila striata	2	1				1	
Nystalus chacuru	11	0					
Total:	13	1				1	
CAPRIMULGIDAE							
Hydropsalis brasiliana	1	0					
Lurocalis semitorquatus	3	0					
Nyctidromus albicollis	1	0					
Nyctiphrynus ocellatus	_3_	0					
Total:	8	0					

^{*}For abbreviations, see "Materials and Methods".

TABLE 1 (continued)

	Total	+ve:	Н.	<i>P</i> .	Т.	M.	<i>O.</i>
COLUMBIDAE		·			_		
Columbigallina talpacoti	57	14	14				
Geotrygon montana	13	2	2				
Leoptila rufaxilla	2	0					
Leptotila verreauxi	9	0					
Total:	81	16	16				
CONOPOPHAGIDAE							
Conopophaga lineata	92	14		11	4		
Conopophaga melanops	3	0					
Total:	95	14		11	4		
CORVIDAE							
Cyanocorax cristatellus	1	0					
COTINGIDAE							
Attila rufus	8	0					
Pachyramphus marginatus	1	1			1		
Pachyramphus polychropterus	9	3			2	3	
Pachyramphus viridis	1	0					
Platypsaris rufus	3	2	1		1	1	
Pseudattila phoenicurus	4	0					
Rhytipterna simplex	2	0					
Total:	28	6	1		4	4	
CUCULIDAE							
Crotophaga ani	5	0					
Dromococcyx pavoninus	1	Ō					
Piaya cayana	2	0					
Tapera naevia Total:	$\frac{1}{9}$	$\frac{0}{0}$					
DENDROCOLAPTIDAE							
Campylorhamphus trochilirostris	11	2	1		1		
Dendrocincla fuliginosa	24	1		1			1
Dendrocolaptes platyrostris	12	1	1				
Lepidocolaptes fuscus	45	0					
Sittasomus griseicapillus	27	1					1
Xiphocolaptes albicollis	5	0	_		_		
Total:	124	5	2	1	1		2
FALCONIDAE							
Micrastur ruficollis	5	0					

TABLE 1 (continued)

	Total	+ve	Н.	Р.	<i>T</i> .	М.	О.
FORMICARIIDAE							
Batara cinerea	1	0					
Drymophila malura	8	0					
Drymophila ochropyga	2	0					
Drymophila squamata	1	0					
Dysithamnus mentalis	40	7	2	2	4		
Dysithamnus xanthopterus	1	0					
Formicarius colma	6	0					
Grallaria ochroleuca	1	1	1				
Grallaria varia	1	0					
Herpsilochmus rufimarginatus	1	0					
Mackenziaena leachii	1	0					
Myrmoderus squamosus	18	0					
Myrmotherula gularis	8	1		1			
Myrmotherula unicolor	4	0					
Pyriglena leucoptera	43	4	1	1	2		
Thamnophilus caerulescens	32	1		1			
Thamnophilus ruficapillus	13	0		_			
Total:	181	14	4	5	6		
FRINGILLIDAE							
Arremon taciturnus	7	1	1				
Emberizoides herbicola	8	0					
Haplospiza unicolor	20	3	2				1
Myospiza humeralis	7	0					
Pitylus fuliginosus	4	2				2	
Saltator similis	33	6				6	
Sicalis flaveola	1	0					
Spinus magellanicus	17	1		1			
Sporophila caerulescens	35						
Tiaris fuliginosa	8	1		1			
Volatinia jacarina	4	1					
Zonotrichia capensis	163	34	$\frac{24}{27}$	<u>7</u>	1	4	
Total:	307	49	27	9	1	12	1
FURNARIIDAE							
Anabazenops fuscus	1	0					
Anabacerthia amaurotis	3	0					
Automolus leucophthalmus	56	3	1		2		
Cichlocolaptes leucophrys	13	0					
Furnarius rufus	10	0					
Heliobletus contaminatus	7	0					
Lochmias nematura	30	2	1			1	
Phacellodomus crythrophthalmus	1	0					

TABLE 1 (continued)

	Total	+ve	Н.	P	<i>T</i> .	М.	<i>O</i> .
Philydor atricapillus	8	1	1				
Philydor rufus	3	0	•				
Sclerurus scansor	8	0					
Synallaxis frontalis	3	0					
Synallaxis ruficapilla	17	0					
Synallaxis spixi	3	0					
Syndactyla rufosuperciliata	41	2	1			1	
Xenops minutus	13	2				2	
Xenops rutilans	2	0					
Total:	219	10	4		2	4	
HIRUNDINIDAE							
Alopochelidon fucata	4	0					
Notiochelidon cyanoleuca	3	0					
Stelgidopteryx ruficollis	21	1					1
Total:	28	1					1
ICTERIDAE							
Cacicus haemorrhous	2	0					
Gnorimopsar chopi	1	0					
Icterus cayanensis	3	1	1				
Molothrus bonariensis	1	_0					
Total:	7	1	1				
MIMIDAE							
Mimus saturninus	11	2	2				
PARULIDAE							
Basileuterus culicivorus	10	0					
Basileuterus hypoleucus	24	1		1			
Basileuterus leucoblepharus	15	0					
Brasileuterus rivularis	10	0					
*Coereba flaveola	64	0	_				
Geothlypis aequinoctialis	19	2	1			l	•
Parula pitiayumi Total:	<u>5</u> 147	$\frac{1}{4}$	1			1	$\frac{1}{1}$
PICIDAE							
Chrysoptilus melanochloros	2	0					
Colaptes campestris	3	0					
Piculus aurulentus	1	0					
= SC/OVEOUT UPDET OFFC / F COST	•	-					

TABLE 1 (continued)

_							·
	Total	+ve	<i>H</i> .	<i>P</i> .	<i>T</i> .	M_{\cdot}	<i>O.</i>
Picumnus temminckii	18	0					
Veniliomis spilogaster	2	0					
Total:	26	0					
PIPRIDAE							
Chiroxiphia caudata	326	3	2			1	
Ilicura militaris	33	0					
Manacus manacus	45	2	1		1		
Neopelma aurifrons	45	1		1			
Schiffornis virescens	_62	1	-		1		
Total:	511	7	3	1	2	1	
PLOCEIDAE							
Passer domesticus	1	0					
RALLIDAE							
Aramides cajanea	1	0					
Micropygia schomburgkii	1	0					
Laterallus melanophaius	_1_	1_				1	
Total:	3	1				1	
RHINOCRYPTIDAE							
Merulaxis ater	1	0					
STRIGIDAE							
Glaucidium brasilianum	1	0					
Otus choliba	3	0					
Speotyto cunicularia	1	0					
Total:	5	0					
THRAUPIDAE							
*Chlorophanes spiza	7	1					
Chlorophonia cyanea	1	0					
* Dacnis cayana	29	3	2			2	
Habia rubica	22	1	2			1	
Hemithraupis guira	1	0				1	
Hemithraupis ruficapilla	3	1	1				
Pipraeidea melanonota	5	0	1				
Ramphocelus bresilius	21	5				5	
Schistochlamys melanopis	1	n					
Schistochlamys metanopis Schistochlamys ruficapillus	16	2				2	
Tachyphonus coronatus	180	15		2	2	11	
Tachyphonus cristatus	1	0		_	_	- -	
/ F	•	•					

TABLE 1 (continued)

	Total	+ve	Н.	<i>P.</i>	T.	М.	<i>O.</i>
Euphonia pectoralis	28	1	1				
Euphonia violacea	31	3	3				
Tangara cayana	29	1				1	
Tangara cyanocephala	5	2	2				
Tangara desmaresti	14	4	4				
Tangara seledon	10	5	5			4	
Thraupis cyanoptera	13	1	1				
Thraupis ornata	1	1	1			1	
Thraupis palmarum	4	2	2			1	
Thraupis sayaca	85	13	12			1	
Trichothraupis melanops	_86	_1				_1	
Total:	593	62	34	2	2	30	
TINAMIDAE							
Crypturellus parvirostris	3	1				1	
TROCHILIDAE							
Cly tolaema rubricauda	1	0					
TROGLODYTIDAE							
Thryothorus longirostris	8	1				1	
Troglody tes aedon	<u>17</u>	0					
Total:	25	1				1	
TURDIDAE							
Platycichla flavipes	42	7	2			5	
Turdus albicollis	96	16	4	1	4	8	
Turdus amaurochalinus	48	8	3	1		4	
Turdus leucomelas	28	5	2	3		1	
Turdus rufiventris	111	11	1	3		6	
Total:	325	47	12	8	4	24	
TYRANNIDAE							
Camp tostoma obsole tum	5	0					
Contopus cinereus	1	0					
Elaenia chiriquensis	28	0					
Elaenia cristata	24	0					
Elaenia flavogaster	14	0					
Elaenia mesoleuca	38	2	2				
Elaenia obscura	55	1				1	
Elaenia parvirostris	3	0					
Elaenia sp.	4	0					
Empidonax euleri	30	1	1				
Empidonomus varius	2	0					

TABLE 1 (continued)

TABLE I (COMMINGED)	-						
	Total	+ve	H_{\bullet}	<i>P</i> .	<i>T</i> .	М.	<i>O.</i>
Gubernetes yetapa	2	0					
Hemitriccus diops	6	0					
Idioptilon nidipendulum	3	0					
Idioptilon orbitatum	1	0					
Leptopogon amaurocephalus	12	0					
Muscipipra vetula	1	0					
Muscivora tyrannus	1	1	1				
Myiarchus swainsoni	2	0					
Myiarchus tyrannulus	7	0					
Myiobius atricaudus	20	1					1
Myiodynastes maculatus	2	0					
Myiophobus fasciatus	26	0					
Myiozetetes cayanensis	10	0					
Myiozetetes similis	1	1				1	
Phyllomyias fasciatus	12	1					
Phyllomias griseocapilla	2	0					
Phylloscartes ventralis	4	0					
Pipromorpha rufiventris	171	1			1		
Platyrinchus leucoryphus	1	0					
Platyrinchus mystaceus	62	2	1		1		
Platyrinchus platyrhynchos	1	0					
Pitangus sulphuratus	8	0					
Platyrinchus sp.	1	0					
Pyrocephalus rubinus	1	0					
Satrapa icterophrys	1	0					
Serpophaga subcristata	7	0					
Sublegatus modestus	1	0					
Todirostrum plumbeiceps	2	0					
Todirostrum poliocephalum	1	0					
Tolmomyias sulphurescens	10	0					
Tyrannus melancholicus	8	1	1				
Xanthomyias virescens	14	0					
Xolmis cinerea	1	0					
Total:	606	12	7		2	2	1
VIREONIDAE							
Cyclarhis gujanensis	14	5		1		4	
** Cyclarhis ochrocephala	1	0					
Hylophilus poicilotis	29	0					
Vìreo olivaceus	18	7	6	1	1	I	
Total:	$\frac{10}{62}$	$\overline{12}$	6	2	1	5	

TABLE 1 (conti	nued)
----------------	-------

	Total	+ve	Н.	P.	T.	М.	О.
GRAND TOTAL: Percent infected:	3.449	268 7.8	120 3.5	40 1.8			6 0.18

^{*}Coereba flaveola is considered by some authors to be in the family Coerebidae; it is here considered to be a member of the Parulidae. Dacnis cayana and Chlorophanes spiza are also considered by some authors to be in the Coerebidae, but are here considered as members of the Thraupidae.

TABLE 2

Comparison of the prevalence and parasite frequency of avian hematozoa in four regions of the world. Data obtained as follows: Brazil, this study; Neotropical, White et al. (1978); Nearctic, Greiner et al. (1975); Southeast Asia, McClure et al. (1978).

	Brazil	Neotropic.	Nearct.	SE. Asia
Total birds examined	3.449	35.555	57.026	55.289
Total infected birds	268	3.743	21.048	9.026
Percent prevalence	7.8	10.5	36.9	16.3
Total parasite infections	284	4.107	27.771	9.827
Parasite index	1.06	1.10	1.32	1.10
Percent parasite frequency of total parasite infections:				
Hacmoproteus.	42.3	63.8	40.0	63.2
Leucocytozoon.	0.01	0.01	36.3	14.9
microfilaria	31.3	10.7	6.4	10.2
Plasmodium.	14.1	16.3	7.9	4.3
Trypanosoma.	10.2	4.8	8.1	1.2

Leucocytozoon are virtually absent in the study area, and extremely rare in the Neotropical region. On the other hand, the proportion of microfilaria infections in the sample is exceptionally high (Table 2), substantially higher than in any other region, a feature that applies also to the Trypanosoma. In as much as blood films are a poor diagnostic technique for these two parasites (Bennett, 1962), the high proportion of the total parasite infections represented by these two groups is indeed significant. Why such a high proportion of these two parasite groups occurs in the avifauna of Brazil (even as compared to the Neotropical region) is not known.

Blood parasites occurred with greater frequency in certain families of birds than others (Table 1). The Columbidae (20% with *II. mccallumi*) were the most frequently parasitized family, followed by the Vireonidae (19.3%, with a variety of parasite species), the Fringillidae (15.9%, primarily with *II. fringillae* and *P. vaughani*), Conopophagidae

^{**}Some authors place both Cyclarhis gujanensis and C. ochrocephala in the separate family Cyclarhidae.

(14.7%, primarily with *P. vaughani*), Turdidae (14.5%, primarily with *H. fallisi* and microfilaria), the Thraupidae (10.4%, primarily with an undescribed haemoproteid). A number of families were not found to harbor hematozoa, but these families are usually represented by small samples. A number of families, with samples of 100 or more birds (Table 1), however, still showed a low parasite prevalence. These include the Dendrocolaptidae, Formicariidae, Fumariidae, Parulidae, Pipridae and Tyrannidae.

The families with the highest prevalences are the same families recorded with high prevalences in North America (Greiner et al., 1975), Africa (Bennett & Herman, 1976; Bennett et al., 1974) and Southeast Asia (McClure et al., 1978). It would appear that some aspect of these birds enhances parasitism by hemosporozoans. This could be interpreted as meaning that birds of these families area inherently more susceptible to infection by blood parasites. It could also be interpreted as meaning that birds of these families have behavior patterns (i.e. nesting sites, roosting sites, etc.), which bring them into more frequent contact with vectors.

Prevalence of blood parasites differed in each of the three stydy areas. The highest prevalence was experienced in Guaratuba (11.5%) while the lowest was at Casa Grande (3.8%); Itapetininga was intermediate (8.3%) (Tables 3, 3 a—c). The differences were significant, the prevalence at Casa Grande significantly lower at the P. 01 level, while that of Itapetininga was significantly lower at the P. 05 level. These differences could be explained either on the basis of a different avifauna in each area (and hence differing prevalences due to host-related factors such as susceptibility) or on the basis of different habitats (and hence differing prevalences due to habitat-related factors such as nesting sites, presence or absence of vectors, etc) or a combination of both.

TABLE 3

Prevalence of avian hematozoa in three regions of São Paulo State, Brazil, 1966-1972

Region	Total	+ve*	Н.	P.	T.	M.	О.
Guaratuba Percent infected	706	81 11.5	32 4.5	3 0.4	8 1.1	46 6.5	2 0.3
Casa Grande Percent infected	916	35 3.8	15 1.6	4 0.4	8 0.9	7 0.8	2 0.2
Itapetininga Percent infected	1.827	152 8.3	73 3.9	33 1.8	13 0.7	36 7 2.1	2 0.1
Grand Total	3.449	268	120	40	29	89	6

^{*}For abbreviations, see "Materials and Methods".

TABLE 3a

Prevalence of hematozoa in birds from Casa Grande, State of São Paulo, Brazil.

		•					
	Total	+ve*	Н.	<i>P.</i>	T.	М.	О.
COLUMBIDAE	12	1	1	•			
CONOPOPHAGIDAE	28	3		1	2		
COTINGIDAE	8	0					
DENDROCOLAPTIDAE	62	0					
FALCONIDAE	4	0					
FORMICARIIDAE	76	5	2	2	1		
FRINGILLIDAE	22	2	1				1
FURNARIIDAE	53	0					
PARULIDAE	11	0					
PICIDAE	2	0					
PIPRIDAE	258	3	2	1			
RHINOCRYPTIDAE	1	0					
THRAUPIDAE	124	5	4			1	
TROCHILIDAE	1	0					
TURDIDAE	81	11	3		3	6	
TYRANNIDAE	162	5	2		2		1
VIREONIDAE	11	0					
TOTAL:	916	35	15	4	8	7	2
percent infected		3.8	1.6	0.4	0.9	0.8	0.2

^{*}For abbreviations, see "Materials and Methods".

TABLE 3b

Prevalence of hematozoa in birds from Guaratuba, State of São Paulo, Brazil.

	Total	+ve*	Н.	Р.	T.	М.	О.
ACCIPITRIDAE	2	2				2	
ALCEDINIDAE	9	0					
APODIDAE	4	0					
BUCCONIDAE	2	1				1	
CAPRIMULGIDAE	3	0					
COLUMBIDAE	4	0					
CONOPOPHAGIDAE	2	0					
COTINGIDAE	14	5	1		3	3	
CUCULIDAE	4	0					
DENDROCOLAPTIDAE	34	2	1	1			1
FORMICARIIDAE	26	1			1		

TABLE 3b (continued)

	Total	+ve	H.	<i>P</i> .	Т.	М.	0.
FRINGILLIDAE	19	3	2	1		'	
FURNARIIDAE	23	3	1			2	
HIRUNDINIDAE	12	1					1
ICTERIDAE	2	0					
PARULIDAE	63	0					
PICIDAE	5	0					
PIPRIDAE	79	3	1		1	1	
THRAUPIDAE	214	38	18		2	24	
TROGLODYTIDAE	13	1				1	
TURDIDAE	85	15	3	1		11	
TYRANNIDAE	81	2	1			Ì	
VIREONIDAE	6	4	4		1		
TOTAL:	706	81	32	3	8	46	2
percent infected		11.5	4.5	0.4	1.1	6.5	0.3

^{*}For abbreviations, see "Materials and Methods".

TABLE 3c

Prevalence of hematozoa in birds from Itapetininga, State of São Paulo, Brazil.

	Total	+ve*	Н.	P.	<i>T</i> .	М.	О.	
ACCIPITRIDAE	1	0						
ALCEDINIDAE	6	0						
ARDEIDAE	1	0						
BUCCONIDAE	11	0						
CAPRIMULGIDAE	5	0						
COLUMBIDAE	65	15	15					
CONOPOPHAGIDAE	65	11		10	2			
CORVIDAE	1	0						
COTINGIDAE	6	1			1	1		
CUCULIDAE	5	0						
DENDROCOLAPTIDAE	28	3	1		1		1	
FALCONIDAE	1	0						
FORMICARIIDAE	79	8	2	3	4			
FRIGILLIDAE	265	44	25	8	1	12		
FURNARIIDAE	143	7	3		2	2		
HIRUNDINIDAE	16	0						
ICTERIDAE	5	1	1					

TABLE 3c	(continued)	•
----------	-------------	---

	Total	+ve*	H.	P.	<i>T</i> .	М.	0.
MIMIDAE	11	2	2				
PARULIDAE	73	4	1	1			1
PICIDAE	19	0					
PIPRIDAE	167	1			1		
PLOCEIDAE	1	0					
RALLIDAE	3	1				1	
STRIGIDAE	5	0					
THRAUPIDAE	263	19	11	2		6	
TINAMIDAE	3	1				1	
TROGLODYTIDAE	12	0					
TURDIDAE	159	21	6	7	1	8	
TYRANNIDAE	363	5	4			1	
VIREONIDAE	45	8	2	2		4	
TOTAL:	1.827	152	73	33	13	36	2
percent infected		8.3	4.0	1.8	0.7	2.0	0.3

^{*}For abbreviations, see "Materials and Methods".

Similarity of the avifauna at the familial level in the three study areas was tested with Coefficient of Community (CC) analyses, employing the protocols of Williams & Bennett (1978), viz:

$$CC = 200 S_{xy} / S_x + S_y,$$

where S_{XY} is the number of families common to both samples and S_{X} and S_{Y} are the number of families in samples X and Y respectively. The limits of the coefficient are $0 \le CC \le 100$, with the values expressed as percent similarity. When the two samples have no families in common, then CC = 0; when the two samples have identical families, then CC = 100. Using this test, it was noted that the avifauna of Casa Grande was least similar to the other regions, having a 65.2% similarity with Itapetininga and a 70% similarity with Guaratuba, while Guaratuba had a higher shared faunal relationship with Itapetininga, showing an 80.8% shared familial fauna. While the three regions do show differences in degree of shared fauna, they all have a good commonality of families. On the other hand, the three areas are known to differ environmental composition. Therefore, as the families of birds in the three areas are similar, presumably habitat-related factors are responsible for the differing prevalences noted. Particularly it would appear that the environment of Casa Grande is not suitable for the Culicoides vectors of Haemoproteus.

An examination of the overall prevalence of blood parasites on a yearly basis throughout the period 1967-72 (Table 4) indicated little variation from year to year. Individual parasite genera fluctuated somewhat more, but the variation was not considered to be significant. The data were re-analysed with respect to the prevalence of blood parasites throughout the year (Table 5). The prevalence of parasites is essentially similar in each month with the exception of February and June, in which months prevalence of parasitism is significantly lower. Presumably this lowered prevalence is due either to the appearance of nestlings (February) or to a climatic sequence (dry-cold season) which has reduced the vector potential (June).

TABLE 4
Yearly distribution of avian hematozoa in three regions of São Paulo State, 1967-1972

Voar	Takul		0()	Haemoproteus		Plasmodium		Trypanosoma		Microfilaria	
Year	Total	+ <i>ve</i>	%+ve	Nọ	%	Nò	%	Nó	%	Nò	%
1967	231	18	7.8	6	2.6	3	1.3	2	0.9	9	3.9
1968	978	66	6.7	25	2.6	17	1.7	3	0.3	24	2.5
1969	523	36	6.9	18	3.4	3	0.6	2	0.4	16	3.1
1970	639	54	8.5	30	4.7	9	1.4	4	0.6	11	1.7
1971	116	10	8.6	8	6.9	1	0.9	1	0.9	1	0.9
1972	931	79	8.5	31	3.3	8	0.9	16	1.7	28	3.0
Totals:	3.418	263	7.7	118	3.5	41	1.2	28	0.8	89	2.7

Note: The small sample of 31 birds collected in 1966 are not included in this summary as they represent a sample size statistically not comparable with the samples from the other years. The "other" category was also excluded as the numbers are too small to be of significance.

G.F. BENNETT & O.S. LOPES

TABLE 5

Monthly distribution of avian hematozoa in three regions of São Paulo state, Brazil, 1966-1972.

7. A.T.			0/) ====	Haemo	proteus	Plasm	odium	Trypai	nosoma	Micro	filaria
Month	Total	+ve	%+ve	NO	%	No	%	No	%	No	%
January	272	33	12.1	22	8.1	3	1.1	1	0.4	4	1.5
February	391	18	4.6	5	1.3	10	2.6	2	0.5	3	0.8
March	388	30	7.7	13	3.4	4	1.0	8	2.1	6	1.5
April	445	38	8.5	16	3.6	4	0.9	3	0.7	18	4.0
May	386	37	9.6	7	1.8	5	1.3	4	1.0	11	2.8
June	261	11	4.2	5	1.9	2	0.9	3	1.1	2	0.8
July	407	33	8.1	13	3.2	3	0.7	1	0.2	22	5.4
August	273	23	8.4	12	4.4	5	1.8	2	0.7	5	1.8
September	266	19	7.1	12	4.5	2	0.8	1	0.4	9	3.4
October	206	13	6.3	7	3.4	2	1.0	2	1.0	4	1.9
November	37	3	8.1	2	5.4			1	2.7	1	2.7
December	117	10	8.5	6	5.1					4	3.4
Totals:	3.449	268	7.8	120	3.5	40	1.8	28	0.8	89	2.6

Note: Although the "Other" category is omitted from the table, the monthly totals do include these infected birds.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are indebted to Mr. Emilio Dente for doing most of the bird captures and identifications in the field stations. Mrs. A.G. Campbell, M. Cameron and Ellen White shared in examination of the blood films.

LITERATURE CITED

- ARAGÃO, H.B., 1908 Sobre o cyclo evolutivo e a transmissão do *Haemoproteus co-lumbae*. Rev. Med. (São Paulo) 11:416-419.
- ARAGÃO, H.B., 1911 Observações sobre algumas hemogregarinas das aves. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz (Rio de Janeiro) 3:54-64.
- BENNETT, G. F., 1962 The hematocrit centrifuge for laboratory diagnosis of hematozoa. Can. J. Zool. 40:124-125.
- BENNETT, G. F. and J. I. Borrero, 1976 Blood parasites of some birds from Colombia. J. Wildl. Dis. 12:454-458.
- BENNETT, G. F. and C. M. Herman, 1976 Blood parasites of some birds from Kenya, Tanzania and Zaire. J. Wildl. Dis. 12:59-65.
- BENNETT, G. F., N.O. Okia and M. Cameron, 1974 Avian hematozoa of some Ugandan birds. J. Wildl. Dis., 10:458-465.
- GABALDON, A., G. Ulloa and A.G. de Montcourt, 1974 Encuesta sobre malaria aviaria en Venezuela; resultados del primer año. Bol. Dir. Malar. San. Amb. 14:80-103.
- GABALDON, A., G. Ulloa and A.G. de Montcourt, 1975 Encuesta sobre malaria aviaria en Venezuela: resultados del segundo año. Bol. Dir. Malar. San. Amb. 15: 73-92.
- GREINER, E.C., G.F. Bennett, E.M. White and R.F. Coombs., 1975 Distribution of the avian hematozoa of North America. Can. J. Zool. 53:1762-1787.
- LAINSON, R., J. J. Shaw and P. S. Humphrey, 1970 Preliminary survey of the blood parasites of birds of the area de pesquisas ecológicas do Guamá, Belém, Pará, Brasil. J. Parasitol. 56 (Sect. II): 197-198.
- LUCENA, D. T., 1941 Lista dos protozoários hemoparasitas de aves da região neotrópica. Ann. Soc. Biol. Pernambuco 2:1-61.
- LUCENA, D. T., 1949 Parasitas sanguícolas de alguns pássaros dos arredores do Recife. Bol. Agr. (São Paulo) 16:5-9.
- McCLURE, H.E., P. Poonswad, E.C. Greiner and M. Laird, 1978 Haematozoa in the birds of eastern and southern Asia. Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada. 296 pp.
- WHITE, E.M., E.C. Greiner, G.F. Bennett and C.M. Herman, 1978 Distribution of the hematozoa of Neotropical birds. Rev. Biol. Trop. 26:43-102.
- WILLIAMS, N. A. and G. F. Bennett, 1978 Hematozoa of some birds of New Jersey and Maryland. Can. J. Zool. 56:596-603.