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Objective - To determine the influence of stress on teaching medical emergencies in an Advanced Cardiac Life Support (ACLS) course and to verify this influence on learning, and the efficiency of emergency care training.

Methods - Seventeen physicians signed up for an ACLS course. Their pulses were taken and blood pressure (BP) verified on the first day, before the beginning of the course, and on the second day, during the theoretical and practical test (TPT). Variations in pulse rates and BP were compared with students’ test grades. Then, students answered a questionnaire of variables (QV) about the amount of sleep they had during the course, the quantity of study material and the time spent studying for the course, and a stress scale graphic.

Results - Seven students had a pulse variation less than 10% between the 2 periods and 10 had a 10% or more variation. Grades on TPT were, respectively, 91.4±2.4 and 87.3±5.2 (p<0.05). Six students had a BP variation less than 20 mmHg, and in 11 it varied more than 21 mmHg. Grades on the TPT were 92.3±3.3 and 86.2±8.1, respectively (p<0.05). The QV dates did not significantly influence grades.

Conclusion - Stress, as an isolated variable, had a negative influence on the learning process and on the efficiency of emergency training in this situation.
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In recent years, cardiology emergency courses have been developed for a greater number of emergency and nonemergency care physicians. We know that adult learning is different and different pedagogic techniques for learning are needed. In medical education, a new paradigm, Problem Based Learning (PBL), has been presented. It is a revolutionary pedagogic technique that stimulates learning based in real situations 1, and Advanced Cardiology Life Support (ACLS) courses are an example of it. In ACLS courses from American Heart Association 2 in particular, we have observed that course methodology encourages instructors to apply stress during student training to create a real emergency service atmosphere. Some ACLS instructors believe that stress during emergency situations must be managed by physicians. However, this technique has received criticisms from ACLS students, who say that it disturbs their performance, during the course.

Stress is important and positive in human life, and it is responsible for our capacity to adapt to new situations, good or bad 3. Reaction to stress evokes complex neuroendocrine mechanisms, and stress can affect memory 4 and learning 5. Stress may also directly affect skills 6, and in training courses it has been demonstrated that stress may affect students learning 7 in different areas 8 with different stressor agents 9,10. In medical education where stress is part of the training, it may happen and we do not perceive it.

The aim of this study was to learn more about the effects of instructor-induced stress on student learning during ACLS training courses.

Methods

Seventeen physicians were studied who enrolled in an ACLS course. Students received the ACLS book to study 4 weeks before the course with an orientation letter, reinforcing the importance of prior reading of principal points in the book. The course was given on 2 weekend days. During the morning of the first day during the breakfast period before any pedagogic activity, the first blood pressure and heart rate measurements were taken. This time was conside-
red the minimum stress level period. Students received a questionnaire that they were to complete and return at the end of second day, with these 5 questions: 1) How much sleep did you have the night before the course? 2) How much sleep did you have at night during the course? 3) How much did you read from the ACLS book? 4) How many hours do you work per day? 5) How many days, before the start of the course, did you start reading the ACLS book? With these data, we could identify others factors that may influence the ACLS assessment.

They also received a graphic stress scale for recording the perceived stress level for each of 9 situations: 1) universal algorithm/basic life support; 2) external automatic defibrillator; 3) ventricular fibrillation and ventricular tachycardia without pulse; 4) stable and unstable tachycardia; 5) bradycardia and asystole; 6) pulseless electrical activity; 7) acute myocardial infarction, shock, acute lung edema; 8) special situations and; 9) megacode.

It is very difficult to determine the perceived stress level, to change subjective to objective information. We used a modified quality of life scale that consisted of a 10-cm line (without values) and a lateral median starting point. Students had to trace a line starting at this point to the level of perceived stress, for each ACLS practical situation (fig. 1). With this method, we were able to determine more precisely the values for perceived stress.

During the ACLS theoretical evaluation, at the end of second day, students were given a practical evaluation, and at the end of this practical evaluation, before they returned to the theoretical evaluation, another blood pressure and heart rate measurement was taken, this moment being considered the period of maximum stress.

We compared the perceived stress values, physical signs of stress (blood pressure and heart rate), grade on the ACLS theoretical evaluation, and the responses on the questionnaire.

We separated the students into the following groups: 1) heart rate variation above and below 10% 11; 2) systolic blood pressure variation above and below 20 mmHg 12; 3) sleep duration above and below 70%; 4) ACLS book reading above and below 30%; 5) time of ACLS book reading above and below 2 weeks; 6) perceived stress scale above and below 50%.

We performed the statistical analysis with the paired t test with a 5% significance level and made the linear regression statistical analysis comparing heart rate and systolic blood pressure, maximum and minimum stress time difference, and grade on the ACLS theoretical examination.

Results

The general characteristics of the population were mean age 42±12.6; 9 males (52.9%) and 8 females (47.1%); 12 clinical physicians (70.6%) and 5 surgeons (29.4%).

Ten students had heart rate variations above 10% and a mean grade on the ACLS theoretical examination of 87.3±5.2 (fig. 2). Seven students had heart rate variations below 10% and examination scores of 91.4±2.4 (p<0.05).

Eleven students had systolic blood pressure variations above 20 mmHg and a mean grade on the ACLS theoretical examination of 86.2±8.1 (fig. 3). Six students had systolic blood pressure variations below 20 mmHg and theoretical examination scores of 92.3±3.3 (p<0.05).

Six students received less than 70% of their regular sleep time and their mean grade on the ACLS theoretical examination was 88.6±7.7, and 11 students who received less than 70% of their regular sleep time had scores of 87.8±8.7 (p=0.96).

Seven students had read more than 30%, and their mean grade on the ACLS theoretical examination was 87.5±9.6, and 10 students that read less than 30% had scores of 88.8±7 (p=0.99).

Eight students studied more than 2 weeks. Their mean grade on the ACLS theoretical examination was 87.9±8. Nine students that had studied for less than 2 weeks had a mean grade of 88.4±8.3 (p=0.66).

In the 9 ACLS practical skill situations, 91 scores were 50% higher for perceived stress level and 62 were below

Fig. 1 - Graphic stress scale

Fig. 2 - Linear regression - Heart rate difference: Maximum/minimum stress time.
50%. The mean grades on the ACLS theoretical examination were 87.5±1.3 for the above 50% group and 90.1±2.3 for the below 50% group (p<0.05).

Discussion

The objective of this study was to evaluate the impact of stress on learning during an ACLS course. We studied physiological variables (heart rate and systolic blood pressure), environment variables (study time and quantity), and behavioral variables (sleep time and perceived stress), and these variables were compared with student performance in the ACLS course.

In recent decades, a great number of studies have been performed about pathophysiological stress mechanisms 13-15, and we know the neuroendocrine system plays an important role in this pathophysiology 16, and the activation of the sympathetic nervous system is important in the acute physiological response in stress situations 17. Increased heart rate 18 and blood pressure 19 are important parts of this homeostatic reaction too.

In the physiological variables, we found a significant correlation between a higher heart rate and a lower grade on the ACLS theoretical examination. In situations of stress, an increase occurs in the basal heart rate 18. We can conclude that stress influences student performances on the ACLS theoretical examination, in our observation. And when we observed systolic blood pressure, we had a significant correlation between higher levels of systolic blood pressure and lower grades on the ACLS theoretical examination. Looking at the same pathophysiological mechanisms 19 of heart rate, we can conclude also that stress may play a role in student performance on the ACLS theoretical examination.

In the environmental variables, curiously, we did not find a significant correlation between the study loads (time and/or quantity) and student performance on the ACLS theoretical examination. These variables did not have an influence or were a cause of bias in this study. Probably, because, during the ACLS course, students received the necessary theoretical information in the theory classes, for their better performances.

In the behavioral variables, no significant difference occurred between sleep time and performance on the ACLS theoretical evaluation. However, we found significant differences between the perceived stress scale and student performance. This means that the stress perceived by students, in this ACLS course, was a determinant in their performance.

The perceived stress scale, it was shown in this study, is a good tool for perceived stress research, and it may be better than a questionnaire 20, because this scale changes qualitative into quantitative data. To prove this, more research is needed that uses this scale against different kinds of perceived stress questionnaires.

Student performance in the practical assessments were not considered for analysis, because with this sample binary data (ie, past or not past) are inconsistent, and we could have biased data.

With these data, discussion about stress and learning during ACLS courses can begin. Perhaps we need to think about remodeling the pedagogic techniques of ACLS courses, and other courses that use these kinds of stress techniques, to improve student performance. However, we must not forget that the stress is a variable present in emergency care services, and physicians must know how to manage stress factor to achieve better performance and emergency patient safety.

We would like to suggest that information and skills training about stress management 21 should be included in ACLS courses, and other emergency care courses, and perhaps we could, in a better way, reach emergency course objectives. Further studies should be undertaken to understand more about the effect of stress, training skills, and learning in medicine.
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