Effects of reversible inactivation of the
dorsomedial hypothalamus on panic- and anxiety-related responses in rats
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Abstract

The medial hypothalamus is part of a neurobiological substrate controlling defensive behavior. It has been shown that a hypothalamic nucleus, the dorsomedial hypothalamus (DMH), is involved in the regulation of escape, a defensive behavior related to panic attacks. The role played by the DMH in the organization of conditioned fear responses, however, is less clear. In the present study, we investigated the effects of reversible inactivation of the DMH with the GABAₐ agonist muscimol on inhibitory avoidance acquisition and escape expression by male Wistar rats (approximately 280 g in weight) tested in the elevated T-maze (ETM). In the ETM, inhibitory avoidance, a conditioned defensive response, has been associated with generalized anxiety disorder. Results showed that intra-DMH administration of the GABAₐ receptor agonist muscimol inhibited escape performance, suggesting an antipanic-like effect (P < 0.05), without changing inhibitory avoidance acquisition. Although a higher dose of muscimol (1.0 nmol/0.2 µL; N = 7) also altered locomotor activity in an open field when compared to control animals (0.2 µL saline; N = 13) (P < 0.05), the lower dose (0.5 nmol/0.2 µL; N = 12) of muscimol did not cause any motor impairment. These data corroborate previous evidence suggesting that the DMH is specifically involved in the modulation of escape. Dysfunction of this regulatory mechanism may be relevant in the genesis/maintenance of panic disorder.
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Introduction

The medial hypothalamus has been implicated in the regulation of a series of different behavioral and physiological functions, such as food ingestion and metabolism, reproduction, and defense (1). This region contains a number of anatomically and functionally well-defined cell groups (1), such as the dorsomedial hypothalamus (DMH), the anterior hypothalamic nucleus, the dorsomedial part of the ventromedial hypothalamus (VMHdm) and the dorsal premamillary nucleus. These nuclei are interconnected and, regarding defensive reactions, seem to be especially involved in the integration of innate responses to environmental threats (1-4). In fact, it has been shown that the electrical stimulation of this region induces unconditioned escape behavior and autonomic arousal resembling those presented by animals when facing natural threats (5,6). Intramedial hypothalamus administration of glutamate agonists (2,7) and of GABA antagonists (3,8,9) also evokes a similar defense pattern. This last observation suggests that GABAergic mechanisms exert a tonic inhibition on this neural substrate of defense.
It is interesting to point out that, based on the ethopharmacological analysis of the rodent defensive repertoire, escape behavior has been associated with fear (10,11), and dysfunction of the brain circuitry controlling this behavior has been related to panic disorder (10,12). Thus, altogether, previous studies suggest that the medial hypothalamus plays a relevant role not only in the regulation of escape behavior but also in the pathophysiology of panic disorder (3,13). The role of the region in the regulation of conditioned defense reactions, however, is less clear. Given the importance of the medial hypothalamus in defense, a full understanding of the extent to which this region also integrates conditioned fear is of relevance.

In the present study, we compared the relationship of a specific hypothalamic nucleus, the DMH, with escape and conditioned defense reactions in the same animal. For this purpose, male Wistar rats were injected intra-DMH with the GABA<sub>¡</sub> receptor agonist muscimol and tested in the elevated T-maze (ETM). Muscimol was used to reversibly inactivate the nucleus. In the ETM, escape behavior is induced by an ethologically relevant threatening stimulus, i.e., the exposure of rats to an open and elevated space (14-16). Besides escape, the model also allows the measurement of inhibitory avoidance (14), a conditioned defense response. The pharmacological validation of the ETM has shown that compounds representative of three classes of anxiolytics - namely the agonist of benzodiazepine receptors diazepam, the serotonin (5-HT) 1A agonist buspirone, and the nonselective 5-HT<sub>2</sub> antagonist ritanserin - selectively impair inhibitory avoidance while leaving one-way escape unchanged (14). These results are compatible with the view that inhibitory avoidance relates to generalized anxiety. In contrast, the escape task is impaired by chronic, but not acute administration of imipramine (15), clomipramine and fluoxetine (16), drugs that are used to treat panic. As a result, one-way escape in the ETM has been used as an animal model of panic disorder.

In order to avoid confounding results due to drug effects on locomotor activity, after tests in the ETM, animals were also evaluated in an open field.

**Subjects and Methods**

**Subjects**

Twenty-nine male Wistar rats (Federal University of São Paulo, CEDEME, Brazil) weighing approximately 280 g at the beginning of the experiment were housed in groups of 5-6 per cage. After surgery, animals were housed in pairs in Plexiglas-walled cages until testing. Room temperature was controlled (22 ± 1°C) and a light-dark cycle was maintained on a 12-h on-off cycle (lights on from 7:00 to 19:00 h). Food and water were available throughout the experiments. The study was performed in compliance with the recommendations of the SBNeC (Brazilian Society of Neuroscience and Behavior), which are based on the US National Institutes of Health Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

**Apparatus**

The elevated T-maze was made of wood and had 3 arms of equal dimensions (50 x 12 cm). One of the arms was enclosed by 40-cm high walls and was oriented perpendicularly to two opposite open arms. The whole apparatus was elevated 50 cm above the floor. To avoid falls, the open arms were surrounded by a 1-cm high Plexiglas rim.

The open field test was performed in a wooden square arena (60 x 60 cm) with 30-cm high walls. Luminosity at the level of the maze arms or the open field center was 60 lux.

**Drugs**

Muscimol (0.5 and 1.0 nmol; Sigma, USA) was dissolved in sterile saline. Control animals received sterile saline. Drug and saline were administered in a volume of 0.2 µL.

**Surgery**

Rats were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal (ip) injection of ketamine hydrochloride (80 mg/kg; AgribranDs, Brazil) and xylazine (10 mg/kg; AgribranDs) and fixed to a stereotaxic frame (Insight, Brazil). Before the implant of a stainless steel guide cannula into the DMH, the animals received local anesthesia with 2% lidocaine with a vasoconstrictor (Harvey, Brazil). The cannula (0.6-mm outer diameter and 0.4-mm inner diameter) was inserted into the right side of the brain through a hole drilled in the skull above the hypothalamic nucleus, following the coordinates from the atlas of Paxinos and Watson (17): AP = -3.1 mm from Bregma, ML = +0.6 mm, and DV = -7.2. Guide cannulas were attached by means of acrylic resin and a stainless steel screw. Stylets with the same length as the guide cannula were introduced inside them to avoid obstruction. To prevent infections, at the end of surgery, all animals were injected intramuscularly with 0.2 mL of a pentabiotic preparation (Pentabiótico Veterinário Pequeno Porte; Forte Dodge, Brazil) and with the anti-inflammatory Banamine (1 mL/kg, sc; Fort Dodge).
Microinjections

For drug injection, needles (0.3-mm outer diameter) were introduced through the guide cannula until their tip was 2 mm below the cannula end. Muscimol and saline were injected over a period of 120 s using 5-µL microsyringes (Hamilton 701-RN, USA) attached to a microinfusion pump (Insight). The displacement of an air bubble inside the polyethylene catheter connecting the syringe needle to the intracerebral needle was used to monitor the microinjection. The intracerebral needles were removed 60 s after the end of injection.

Procedure

Five days after surgery, the animals were exposed to one of the open arms of the ETM for 30 min as described by Sena et al. (18). It has been shown that pre-exposure renders the escape task more sensitive to the effects of antipanic drugs because it shortens the latencies of withdrawal from the open arm during the test (18). On the next day, rats were injected (0.2 µL, 2 min) with muscimol (0.5 and 1.0 nmol) or saline. Ten minutes later, ETM-inhibitory avoidance was measured by recording the time taken by the rats to withdraw from the enclosed arm of the maze in three consecutive trials at 30-s intervals (baseline, avoidance 1 and 2). Following avoidance training (30 s), each animal was placed at the end of the same open arm as used in the pre-exposure session and the time taken to leave this arm was recorded in three consecutive trials (escape 1 to 3), again with 30-s inter-trial intervals. Immediately after the tests in the ETM, animals were placed in the center of the open field and allowed to freely explore for 5 min.

Histology

After the experiments, animals were sacrificed under deep urethane anesthesia. Their brains were perfused through the heart with saline followed by 10% formalin, before being removed and fixed in 10% formalin. Frozen sections of 55 µm were cut with a microtome (Leica, Germany) in order to locate the site of drug injections (17).

Statistical analysis

Avoidance and escape data were submitted to repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA), with treatment as the independent factor and trials (baseline, avoidance 1 and 2 or escape 1, 2, and 3 latencies) as the dependent factor. When appropriate, group comparisons were made by the Tukey test. Locomotor activity data in the open field were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey test. A value of P ≤ 0.05 was considered to be significant.

Results

Figure 1 (upper panel, left side) shows intra-DMH injection of muscimol on ETM-inhibitory avoidance acquisition. Repeated measures ANOVA showed a significant effect of trials [F(2,58) = 6.60; P = 0.003], but not of treatment [F(2,29) = 0.482; P = 0.623] or of treatment by trial interaction [F(4,58) = 0.397; P = 0.810]. In a similar way, injections of muscimol outside the DMH did not alter inhibitory avoidance in the ETM (Figure 1, upper panel, right side).

Figure 1 (lower panel, left side) shows intra-DMH injection of muscimol on ETM escape. Repeated measures ANOVA showed a significant effect of trials [F(2,58) = 4.659; P = 0.013], treatment [F(2,29) = 5.379; P = 0.010] and treatment by trial interaction [F(4,58) = 4.855; P = 0.002]. The Tukey post hoc test showed that animals treated with 0.5 nmol muscimol were significantly different from the control group in escape 2 and that animals treated with 1.0 nmol muscimol were significantly different from the control group in escape 3 (P < 0.05). On the other hand, escape measurements were not altered by injections of muscimol outside the DMH (Figure 1, lower panel, right side).

As shown in Figure 2 (left side), both the number of crossings and the number of rearings in the open field were significantly altered by the highest dose of muscimol (1.0 nmol) administered intra-DMH (P < 0.05). In contrast, neither the number of crossings nor the number of rearings in the open field were significantly altered by injections of muscimol outside the DMH (Figure 2, right side).

Discussion

The present results showed that the temporary inactivation of the DMH by local injection of the GABA A agonist muscimol selectively impaired escape behavior in the ETM, a panicolytic effect. Although the highest dose of muscimol administered (1.0 nmol) also altered locomotor activity in the open field, the lower dose (0.5 nmol) did not cause any motor impairment. The decrease in locomotor activity was probably also responsible for the increase in baseline latencies observed after intra-DMH treatment with 1 nmol muscimol (Figure 1, upper panel, left side). In fact, baseline latency in the ETM is used as an index of motor activity and not of fear/anxiety (15). Furthermore, the analysis of animals with cannula placement outside the DMH did not show any significant results in ETM avoidance or escape measurements.
(Figure 1, lower panel, right side), confirming that the significant results observed were in fact due to the reversible in-activation of this hypothalamic nucleus.

The effect of DMH inactivation on escape expression corroborates previous reports. In fact, it has been shown that escape is evoked after chemical stimulation of the medial hypothalamus with glutamate agonists (2, 7). Similar observations have also been reported after local administration of GABA antagonists into the region (8-9). Furthermore, the injection of GABA/BZD agonists into the medial hypothalamus seems to modulate the aversive consequences of the electrical or chemical stimulation, raising the aversive threshold necessary to induce escape responses (2.9). In the present study, the relationship between a specific nucleus of the medial hypothalamus, the DMH, and escape was demonstrable when this behavior was evoked by an ethologically relevant threatening stimulus, i.e., exposure to an open and elevated space. As previously mentioned, dysfunction of the brain circuitry controlling escape has been related to panic disorder (10, 12). In this regard, it is worth mentioning that impairment of the GABAergic function of the DMH by chronic inhibition of the GABA synthesis inhibitor L-allylglycine (L-AG) induced robust panic-like responses in rats (3) that were prevented by administration of the benzodiazepine alprazolam and LY354740, a potent group II metabotropic glutamate receptor agonist (3). Thus, it seems that the DMH is, in fact, an important neurobiological substrate of the medial hypothalamic zone implicated in the pathophysiology of panic disorder.

On the other hand, intra-DMH administration of muscimol did not change ETM avoidance measurements, a conditioned anxiety response. This effect corroborates what has been shown by Santos and co-workers (4) with the fear-potentiated startle response to light, also a conditioned fear reaction. In this particular study (4), both muscimol and the glutamic acid decarboxylase inhibitor semicarbazide were without effect when administered intra-DMH.

The results observed with the DMH are very similar to those obtained with another neuroanatomic region implicated in defense, i.e., the dorsal periaqueductal gray (dPAG). When administered intra-dPAG, muscimol also impaired escape, without altering ETM-inhibitory avoidance (19). In fact, the similarities of the role played by the medial hypothalamus and the dPAG in the modulation of defense were previously pointed out by Schmitt et al. (8), who showed that both intra-dPAG and intramedial hypothalamus administrations of SR 95103, a GABA-A receptor antagonist, produced a dose-dependent behavioral activation together with jumps. In contrast, the present results do not agree with those observed with another hypothalamic nucleus, the VMHdm (20). Previous observations have shown that muscimol inhibits both ETM-inhibitory avoidance and escape when administered intra-VMHdm. Thus, on the basis of these results, it seems possible that different medial hypothalamic nuclei play distinct roles in the modulation of fear/anxiety responses. While most caudal nuclei of the medial hypothalamus seem to modulate panic-related responses, such as escape, more rostral nuclei, such as the VMHdm, also mediate conditioned fear. Nevertheless, further work needs to be done to understand how these systems are possibly interrelated.

In conclusion, the results of the present study suggest that inactivation of the DMH by muscimol selectively alters escape, a defensive response related to panic. Dysfunction of this regulatory mechanism may be of relevance in the genesis/maintenance of panic disorder.
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Figure 1. Effects (mean ± SEM) of intra-dorsomedial hypothalamus (DMH) muscimol (0.5 and 1.0 nmol/0.2 µL; left side) on inhibitory avoidance (upper panel) and escape (lower panel) latencies measured in the elevated T-maze (left side). The effects of injections outside the nucleus are represented on the right side of the figure. The latencies to leave the enclosed arm (baseline, avoidance 1 and avoidance 2) or one of the open arms (escape 1-3) were measured sequentially at 30-s intervals beginning 10 min after intra-DMH injection of either drug or saline. N = 13 (saline), 12 (0.5 nmol) and 7 (1.0 nmol). *P < 0.05 compared to control in the same trial (ANOVA followed by the Tukey post hoc test).
Figure 2. Effects (mean + SEM) of intra-dorsomedial hypothalamus (DMH) muscimol (0.5 and 1.0 nmol/0.2 µL) on the total number of crossings and rearings in an open field. The effects of injections outside the nucleus are represented on the right side of the figure. Measurements were made immediately after tests in the elevated T-maze. *P < 0.05 compared to control (ANOVA followed by the Tukey post hoc test). For additional information, see Figure 1.