Effect of the 5-HT_4 receptor and serotonin transporter on visceral hypersensitivity in rats
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Abstract

Visceral hypersensitivity plays an important role in motor and sensory abnormalities associated with irritable bowel syndrome, but the underlying mechanisms are not fully understood. The present study was designed to evaluate the expression of the 5-HT_4 receptor and the serotonin transporter (SERT) as well as their roles in chronic visceral hypersensitivity using a rat model. Neonatal male Sprague-Dawley rats received intracolonic injections of 0.5% acetic acid (0.3-0.5 mL at different times) between postnatal days 8 and 21 to establish an animal model of visceral hypersensitivity. On day 43, the threshold intensity for a visually identifiable contraction of the abdominal wall and body arching were recorded during rectal distention. Histological evaluation and the myeloperoxidase activity assay were performed to determine the severity of inflammation. The 5-HT_4 receptor and SERT expression of the ascending colon were monitored using immunohistochemistry and Western blot analyses; the plasma 5-HT levels were measured using an ELISA method. As expected, transient colonic irritation at the neonatal stage led to visceral hypersensitivity, but no mucosal inflammation was later detected during adulthood. Using this model, we found reduced SERT expression (0.298 ± 0.038 vs 0.634 ± 0.200, P < 0.05) and increased 5-HT_4 receptor expression (0.308 ± 0.017 vs 0.298 ± 0.021, P < 0.05). Treatment with fluoxetine (10 mg·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹, days 36-42), tegaserod (1 mg·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹, day 43), or the combination of both, reduced visceral hypersensitivity and plasma 5-HT levels. Fluoxetine treatment increased 5-HT_4 receptor expression (0.322 ± 0.020 vs 0.308 ± 0.017, P < 0.01) but not SERT expression (0.219 ± 0.039 vs 0.298 ± 0.038, P = 0.654). These results indicate that both the 5-HT_4 receptor and SERT play a role in the pathogenesis of visceral hypersensitivity, and its mechanism may be involved in the local 5-HT level.
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Introduction

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a common gastrointestinal (GI) disorder associated with alterations in motility, secretion, and visceral sensation (1). Although the pathophysiology of IBS is not fully understood, it is believed that visceral hypersensitivity is responsible for the motor and sensory abnormalities in patients with this condition (2). Since the serotonin (5-HT) signaling pathway plays a significant role in visceral hypersensitivity (3), it is of interest to determine the role of this pathway in the pathogenesis of IBS.

As an important neurotransmitter and neuromodulator, serotonin released by enterochromaffin cells (EC) promotes peristalsis, secretion, vasodilation, and sensory signaling in the gut via different subtypes of serotonergic receptors (1,4). Serotonin is involved in the regulation of both motor and sensory functions of the GI tract (5). Accumulating evidence suggests that serotonergic signaling is altered in the gut of IBS patients, including alterations in 5-HT biosynthesis, content, release, and/or reuptake (6,7). However, the status of serotonergic receptors and their role in the pathogenesis of IBS are not clear.

As a membrane protein that mediates the inactivation of serotonin, the serotonin transport protein (SERT) plays a...
significant role in terminating transmitter action and maintaining transmitter homeostasis (8). Its role in the onset and development of IBS has been suggested (6,9), although the exact mechanisms of action remain unknown.

Colon irritation in neonatal rats has been widely used as an animal model of IBS to study chronic visceral hypersensitivity. In this model, abnormal stimulation of the GI tract during postnatal development can have a long-lasting impact on the neural processing of sensory information in adulthood (10,11), which does not result in any pathological changes in colon mucosa. In this study, we used acetic acid to induce colon irritation in order to develop an animal model of IBS, which has proven to be a validated model for persistent visceral hypersensitivity (12), and then evaluated the changes in the 5-HT₄ receptor and SERT expression. Additionally, we investigated whether SERT and 5-HT₄ receptor can influence visceral hypersensitivity through their impact on 5-HT signaling by using pharmacological modulators, including fluoxetine, a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) (13), and tegaserod, a partial agonist of the 5-HT₄ receptor (14).

Material and Methods

Animals

In order to avoid the influence of estrogens on visceral sensitivity, we used male rats to develop the animal models. Male neonate Sprague–Dawley rats were provided by the Peking University Laboratory Animal Center. Rats were housed in plastic cages containing soft bedding and maintained at 23–24°C on a 12/12-h light/dark cycle. Ten neonates were housed per cage with 1 adult female rat until they were 25 days old. The adult female had access to food and water ad libitum. After separation, 4 male rats were housed per cage with free access to food and water. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the First Hospital, Peking University.

Protocol

Neonatal male Sprague-Dawley rats were randomly divided into 5 groups for different treatments. Group 1 received colonic infusion of 0.9% saline as the control group. Groups 2 to 5 received 0.5% acetic acid between postnatal days 8 and 21 (0.3 mL daily for days 8-14 and 0.5 mL daily for days 15-21). The infusion was performed through a coronary arteriography catheter inserted 2 cm from the anus. After 2 weeks of treatment with acetic acid, the animals were maintained for 2 weeks without any additional treatment. At 5 weeks of age, groups 3 and 5 received fluoxetine (a generous gift from Shanghai Zhongxi Pharmaceutical Group Co., Ltd., China) at an ip dose of 10 mg·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹ for one week. Groups 1, 2, and 4 received 0.9% saline as control. At day 43, groups 4 and 5 received tegaserod (a generous gift from Novartis, Switzerland) at a dose of 1 mg/kg, ip, and the other groups received 0.9% saline as control. Thirty minutes after administering tegaserod or saline, we evaluated the visceral sensitivity of all groups. At the end of the experiments, all rats (8 per group) were euthanized, samples were taken, and the inflammation status of the colon was evaluated. The doses of drugs used in the study were based on effective doses previously described in the literature (15,16). Table 1 depicts the experimental schedule for each group.

Evaluation of visceral sensitivity

At day 43, the threshold intensity, which induces a visually identifiable contraction of the abdominal wall and body arching, was recorded during rectal distention to evaluate visceral hypersensitivity. After 30 min of adaptation in small Lucite cubicles (20 x 8 x 8 cm), rectal distention was performed using the 6F Fogarty arterial embolectomy catheter (Edwards Lifesciences LLC, USA) in the descending colon (1 cm from the anal verge) with increasing volumes of saline in increments of 20 µL, starting at 100 µL. For each measurement, the rats were given rectal distention for 20 s every 2 min. The measurements were repeated three times for accuracy, and the difference between replicate measurements was <20%.

Assessment of colonic inflammation

Segments from the proximal colons of rats of groups 1 and 2 were collected and embedded in 10% paraffin for histological examination. Sections of 4 µm were cut and processed for hematoxylin-eosin staining. A pathologist blind to the group assignment reviewed the slides.

The myeloperoxidase (MPO) activity assay was performed to quantify the severity of inflammation. MPO content was measured by the method described by Krawisz et al. (17). Briefly, a 1-cm segment of the proximal colon was collected by laparotomy, minced in 1 mL ice-cold 0.9% saline, homogenized, diluted to a concentration of 5% homogenate, and then analyzed colorimetrically according to manufacturer instructions (A044, Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, China); the change in absorbance at 460 nm was measured.
Measurement of 5-HT in plasma

Measurement of 5-HT was performed according to the method described by Linden et al. (18). Blood samples from the vein of the inner canthus were collected into a heparinized tube and centrifuged at 1000 g for 5 min at room temperature; plasma samples were then collected and stored in -70°C until analysis. Aliquots of each sample were taken and 5-HT levels were determined using an enzyme immunoassay kit (3B081, RapidBio, USA) according to manufacturer instructions. The absorbance was read at 450 nm and the corresponding concentration was determined from the standard curve.

Immunohistochemistry

Segments of the proximal colon were embedded in 10% paraffin and 5-µm thick sections were prepared for immunohistochemistry. The standard protocol for the immunostaining of the 5-HT4 receptor was followed as Ref. 19. The primary antiserum used was rabbit antibody to HTR4 at 1:600 dilution (S1001-20, UsBio Company, USA) and the detection was performed using the Two-Step IHC Detection Reagent (GBI, USA). Positive development of HTR4 was visualized by DAB staining. To quantify the HTR4 signal intensities, the tissue sections were digitized at a single exposure with a 20X objective. Each sample was evaluated in 3 continuous sections and 3 random fields in each section. The mean gray values of immunoreactivity in the mucosal layer of these images were determined using the Leica Qwin image processing and analysis software (Germany).

Western blot analysis

After removal, the segments of the proximal colon were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -70°C until analysis by Western blotting. The tissue samples were homogenized in 0.5 mL ice-cold RIPA Lysis Buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.1% SDS) and the homogenates were centrifuged at 15,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and its protein concentration was determined using Coomassie brilliant blue. Western blotting was performed by the method of Bian et al. (20), but with slight modifications. Samples with 100 µg of total proteins were loaded onto a 10% SDS-PAGE for separation and then transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Membranes were then blocked with 5% milk in TBS-Tween 20 buffer for 1 h, incubated at 4°C overnight with a 1:300 diluted rabbit polyclonal anti-rat SERT (AB1594P, Chemicon, USA), washed 3 times with Tris-buffered saline Tween, and then incubated for 1 h with HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody (1:8000 diluted, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA). Enhanced chemiluminescence (Millipore, USA) was used to visualize the immunoreactive proteins. The absorbance of the protein bands was measured with the AlphaEaseFC Imaging software (Alpha Innotech Corporation, USA) and normalized to the intensity of β-actin.

Statistical analysis

Data are reported as means ± SEM. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS11.0. Comparisons between 2 groups were performed using the Student t-test. Comparisons between multiple groups were performed using ANOVA. Differences with a P value <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Visceral hypersensitivity

In this animal model, we observed visceral hypersensitivity in adult rats when they were subjected to transient colonic irritation with 0.5% acetic acid during the neonatal age. Rats were tested for sensitivity to colorectal distention on day 43. A significantly lower threshold of abdominal wall contraction and body arching in response to increasing colorectal distension was observed in neonatal rats treated with acetic acid than in the controls (0.19 ± 0.06 vs 0.40 ± 0.14 mL for abdominal muscle contraction and 0.47 ± 0.13 ml vs 0.91 ± 0.26 mL for body arching, P < 0.01, t-test, N = 8). There was no difference in body weight between Group 1 and Group 2 at any time. Histological examination showed no significant structural damage to colonic tissues. MPO level, an index of inflammation and neutrophil infiltration of tissue (21), was similar for the two groups (0.623 ± 0.739 vs 0.497 ± 0.570, P = 0.724; N = 8).

These results indicate that transient colonic irritation due to 0.5% acetic acid in neonatal rats has no significant effects on the growth and development or on the tissue histology of the colon mucosa of adults, but can lead to visceral hypersensitivity. This is thus a suitable model for mechanistic studies of chronic visceral hypersensitivity and IBS.

Effects of tegaserod and fluoxetine on visceral sensitivity

In order to understand the possible role of 5-HT4 receptor and SERT in visceral hypersensitivity, we used two related drugs. As illustrated in Figure 1, both tegaserod, a partial 5-HT4 receptor agonist, and fluoxetine, a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor, reduced visceral hypersensitivity. The effect of fluoxetine seemed to be more evident on low volume
distention (Figure 1), indicating that this drug interferes with hyperreflexia due to enhanced nociception. The doses of the drugs used in the study were based on effective doses previously described in the literature (15,16).

**Plasma 5-HT**

Peripheral 5-HT can cause hyperalgesia. SERT can influence the local 5-HT level by uptake into neuronal cells. Tegaserod can also lead to changes in the local 5-HT level since the 5-HT4 receptor can regulate 5-HT release from EC cells. In order to understand the relationship between 5-HT level, visceral hypersensitivity and these 2 drugs, we measured the peripheral 5-HT level. A previous study showed that 5-HT in platelet-depleted plasma can be used as an estimate for serotonin release from the intestinal mucosa (22). In the present study, we found that, compared with the hypersensitivity group, treatment with fluoxetine, tegaserod, or their combination reduced 5-HT levels (Figure 2). These results indicate that SERT and the 5-HT4 receptor influence visceral hypersensitivity partly by modulating peripheral 5-HT levels.

**SERT expression**

To determine whether SERT expression was altered in adult rats that were sensitized with acetic acid as neonates, we measured SERT expression in colonic tissues. Figure 3 shows that neonatal colonic irritation can cause a reduction of SERT expression in adults. Fluoxetine treatment had no effect on SERT expression. Since protein expression is a long-term process, we did not measure SERT expression in the tegaserod-treated group.

**5-HT4 receptor expression**

We next examined whether 5-HT4 receptor expression was changed in adult rats that were sensitized with acetic acid as neonates. As shown in Figure 4, neonatal colonic irritation increased the expression of the 5-HT4 receptor. We also found that fluoxetine treatment further increased its expression.

**Discussion**

Repeated stimulation of peripheral visceral sensitivity during early postnatal development can induce chronic visceral hyperalgesia, which is a well-defined model of visceral hypersensitivity resembling IBS (10). In the present study, we have validated a rat model of chronic visceral hypersensitivity with transient colonic irritation by 0.5% acetic acid during the neonatal period, in which no remarkable histopathological changes were observed. Using this model, we found increased expression of 5-HT4 receptor and reduced SERT expression in colon tissues compared to control. Also, we found that a partial 5-HT4 receptor agonist and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor could improve visceral hypersensitivity, presumably through 5-HT signaling.

Compared to control, the animals in the visceral hypersensitivity group had higher levels of 5-HT4 receptor in colon tissues. A recent study has suggested that 5-HT4 receptors are involved in neurogenesis in the enteric nervous system (23). Local neurogenesis is responsible for the visceral hypersensitivity observed here. The 5-HT4 receptor is a G-protein-coupled receptor and increases intracellular cyclic adenosine monophosphate (24). As a 5-HT4 receptor partial agonist, tegaserod has been suggested to reduce colonic sensitivity both in animals (16) and humans (25), but the related mechanisms have not been clearly established. In addition to competing with endogenous 5-HT to functionally antagonize peripheral 5-HT4 receptors in order to reduce afferent transmission (26), tegaserod can influence the local 5-HT concentration through its negative feedback regulation of 5-HT release from EC cells (27,28) or by inhibiting SERT (29). As an enteric neurotransmitter and a paracrine messenger from EC cells, 5-HT plays an important role in bowel physiology (30). In addition to increasing peristalsis and secretion, 5-HT has been thought to participate in mucosal sensory transduction (31). Our finding that tegaserod significantly reduces plasma 5-HT levels suggests that tegaserod acts more like an autoreceptor agonist of EC cells than an inhibitor of SERT.

SERT is essential for serotonin clearance and is critical for serotonin homeostasis both at central 5-HT synapses and in the gut (32). In the gut, SERT is present both in the mucosa and in nerves of the enteric nervous system (33). Abnormalities in serotonin reuptake can alter enteric serotonergic signaling, leading to sensory, motor, and secretory gut dysfunctions, which contribute to the pathophysiology of IBS (34). The functions of SERT depend on its membrane expression (35). Although different results have been reported (9,36), most studies support the view that the SERT expression is reduced in IBS patients compared to healthy subjects (6,37). The next question, however, is how does this reduction of SERT change visceral sensation. SSRIs can rapidly inhibit 5-HT uptake, but studies have shown that, in healthy subjects, acute SERT inhibition by administration of the selective 5-HT reuptake inhibitor citalopram does not induce changes in colonic sensitivity (38). It seems that therapeutic effects are obtained only after prolonged treatment. These results suggest that, in addition to the inhibition of 5-HT reuptake, other long-term adaptive changes occur and may contribute to the improvement of visceral sensitivity (39). In the present study, the administration of fluoxetine for 7 days...
to rats with chronic visceral hypersensitivity diminished visceral hypersensitivity. At the same time, we found increased 5-HT\textsubscript{4} receptor expression in the SSRI group. In view of the reduced plasma 5-HT levels in this group, in addition to the inhibition of the 5-HT reuptake during the fluoxetine treatment, the adaptive compensation of the 5-HT\textsubscript{4} receptor may be one of the reasons for the diminished visceral hypersensitivity. We also found that SSRIs did not influence SERT expression, in agreement with previous reports (40). A recent in vitro study has shown that long-term fluoxetine treatment can reduce the availability of the transporter in the membrane without altering either the level of human SERT mRNA or the total amount of human SERT protein (39), suggesting that SSRIs mainly play a role in the gut at the postsynaptic level and induce postsynaptic 5-HT receptor activation (24).

We observed changes in 5-HT\textsubscript{4} receptors and SERT in a rat model of chronic visceral hypersensitivity and found that modulators of 5-HT\textsubscript{4} receptors and SERT could influence visceral sensitivity partly through their impact on 5-HT signaling. The influence of SSRIs on 5-HT\textsubscript{4} receptors may explain the relationship between different factors in the pathogenesis of visceral hypersensitivity in IBS.

Some limitations of our study should be noted. Serotonin release in the GI tract and its plasma levels can be affected by a number of variables, and the possibility that SSRIs induce analgesia through central actions could not be ruled out in our study. Although it is becoming increasingly clear that changes in 5-HT signaling occur in IBS, the related mechanism is far from thoroughly understood. Further studies are needed to better understand the pathogenesis of visceral hypersensitivity in order to improve the treatment of IBS.
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Figure 1. Effects of fluoxetine and tegaserod on visceral sensitivity (N = 8 per group). *P < 0.01 compared to the hypersensitivity group; #P < 0.05 compared to the hypersensitivity group (ANOVA).

Table 1. Protocol for the induction of visceral hypersensitivity in neonatal Sprague-Dawley rats (N = 8 per group) and treatment with fluoxetine and tegaserod.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Daily colonic irritation with 0.5% acetic acid</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Days 8-14 (dose 0.3 mL)</td>
<td>Days 15-21 (dose 0.5 mL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypersensitive group</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoxetine group</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tegaserod group</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoxetine and tegaserod group</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control group: - 0.5% acetic acid irritation. Hypersensitive group: + 0.5% acetic acid irritation. Fluoxetine group: + 0.5% acetic acid irritation and daily fluoxetine treatment. Tegaserod group: + 0.5% acetic acid irritation and tegaserod treatment. Fluoxetine and tegaserod group: + 0.5% acetic acid irritation, daily fluoxetine treatment, and tegaserod treatment.
Figure 2. Plasma 5-HT content of different experimental groups (N = 8 per group). *P < 0.01 compared to the hypersensitivity group; #P < 0.05 compared to the hypersensitivity group (ANOVA).
Figure 3. A, Western blotting of the serotonin transporter (SERT) in the proximal colon tissues. B, Quantitation of the human SERT protein in different groups compared to actin (N = 3 per group). *P < 0.05 compared to the hypersensitivity group. There was no significant difference in SERT expression between the hypersensitivity group and the fluoxetine group (ANOVA).
Figure 4. A, Immunohistochemistry of colonic 5-HT₄ receptor expression. 5-HT₄ receptor staining is pronounced mainly in epithelial cells (arrows). B, The gray value of 5-HT₄ receptor immunoreactivity in different groups. 5-HT₄ receptor expression was significantly decreased in the control group compared to the hypersensitivity group (*P < 0.05, ANOVA). 5-HT₄ receptor expression was significantly increased in the fluoxetine group compared to the hypersensitivity group (#P < 0.01, ANOVA).