

A framework for examining social stress and susceptibility to air pollution in respiratory health

Um sistema para examinar o estresse social e a suscetibilidade à poluição do ar na saúde respiratória

Jane Ellen Clougherty¹
Laura Diane Kubzansky²

Abstract *There is growing interest in disentangling the health effects of spatially clustered social and physical environmental exposures and in exploring potential synergies among them, with particular attention directed to the combined effects of psychosocial stress and air pollution. Both exposures may be elevated in lower-income urban communities, and it has been hypothesized that stress, which can influence immune function and susceptibility, may potentiate the effects of air pollution in respiratory disease onset and exacerbation. In this paper, we review the existing epidemiologic and toxicologic evidence on synergistic effects of stress and pollution, and describe the physiologic effects of stress and key issues related to measuring and evaluating stress as it relates to physical environmental exposures and susceptibility. Finally, we identify some of the major methodologic challenges ahead as we work toward disentangling the health effects of clustered social and physical exposures and accurately describing the interplay among these exposures. As this research proceeds, we recommend careful attention to the relative temporalities of stress and pollution exposures, to non-linearities in their independent and combined effects, to physiologic pathways not elucidated by epidemiologic methods, and to the relative spatial distributions of social and physical exposures at multiple geographic scales.*

Key words *Air pollution, Social stress, Spatial analysis, Synergistic effects, Urban community health*

Resumo *Há um crescente interesse em esclarecer os efeitos na saúde de exposições físicas e sociais de agrupamentos espaciais e em explorar suas potenciais sinergias, com atenção especial aos efeitos do estresse psicossocial e poluição do ar. Ambas as exposições podem ser elevadas em comunidades urbanas de baixa renda; há hipótese de que o estresse, que pode influenciar a função imunológica e a suscetibilidade, possa potencializar os efeitos da poluição do ar no início e no agravamento de doenças respiratórias. Analisamos as evidências epidemiológicas e toxicológicas sobre os efeitos sinérgicos do estresse e poluição. Descrevemos os efeitos fisiológicos do estresse e questões-chave relacionadas à sua medição e avaliação em relação à suscetibilidade e exposição ambiental física. Identificamos alguns dos principais desafios metodológicos à medida que esclarecemos os efeitos à saúde de exposições de agrupamento físicos e sociais e descrevemos a interação entre essas exposições. Recomendamos especial atenção às relativas temporalidades das exposições ao estresse e poluição, à não-linearidade em seus efeitos independentes e combinados, aos caminhos fisiológicos não elucidados pelos métodos epidemiológicos e à distribuição espacial relativa de exposições sociais e físicas em múltiplas escalas geográficas.*

Palavras-chave *Poluição do ar, Estresse social, Análise espacial, Efeitos sinérgicos, Saúde da comunidade urbana*

This article was originally published by *Environ Health Perspect* 117:1351–1358 (2009). doi:10.1289/ehp.0900612 available via <http://dx.doi.org/> [Online 12 May 2009] and is part of the scientific collaboration between Cien Saude Colet and EHP. The authors declare they have no competing financial interests.

¹ Department of Environmental Health, Landmark Building, 4th Floor West, Boston, MA 02215 USA.

jloughe@hsph.harvard.edu

² Department of Society, Human Development, and Health, Harvard School of Public Health.

There is growing interest within environmental health in disentangling effects of clustered social and environmental exposures and exploring potential synergies among these exposures¹⁻⁶. This interest stems from the observation that social stressors (i.e., violence, poverty) and environmental exposures (i.e., traffic-related and industrial air pollution) are often spatially correlated and may cluster in lower-income communities, often in locations with lower property values along highways and industrial corridors^{7,8}. Traffic-related air pollution is a complex chemical mix⁹, often spatially associated with noise, poverty, and other stressors¹⁰. Social stressors may lead to poor health outcomes directly or may increase susceptibility to physical exposures, such as air pollution, through alterations in immune function and biological systems¹¹. Thus, the most pollution exposed communities may also be more susceptible because of higher prevalence of social stressors¹². This potential for spatial confounding and effect modification by social stressors presents a challenge to air pollution epidemiology. Disentangling social and physical environmental risks¹³, understanding synergies among these exposures, and identifying modifiable exposures are particularly important for understanding how best to protect susceptible populations and improve overall population health.

There is significant evidence linking traffic-related pollution exposure to respiratory illness. Chronic exposure to traffic-related and particulate air pollution among adults has been linked to upper respiratory tract inflammation¹⁴, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)¹⁵, lung cancer¹⁶, and premature mortality^{17,18}. In children, traffic-related pollution exposures, often indicated by residential proximity to major roads, has been associated with airway hyperresponsiveness¹⁹, wheeze²⁰, asthma²¹, reduced lung function²², and asthma-related hospitalizations^{23,24}. There is now increased interest in understanding cardiovascular effects^{25,26}, identifying causal constituents²⁷, and characterizing susceptible subpopulations⁵.

Psychological stress results when external demands exceed an individual's perceived abilities and resources to meet those demands²⁸ and has been linked to respiratory disease and other illness. Limited but growing epidemiologic evidence indicates that psychological stress may also alter susceptibility to physical exposures, such as air pollution. This work examined social-environmental interactions in respiratory and cardiovascular disease, such as stress-related modifica-

tion of traffic-related air pollution effects on asthma etiology²⁹ or exacerbation³⁰. There is also evidence of stress-related modification in the relationship between bone lead level and hypertension among older men³¹. In the same data set, we recently identified modification by stress in the relation between bone lead and heart rate variability (unpublished data by Clougherty JE, Peters JL, Schwartz J, Kubzansky L). One possible pathway for these differential susceptibilities is allostasis load¹¹. Allostasis (literally, "achieving stability through change") refers to the body's ability to adapt to transient stressors and exposures. Over time, chronic psychological stress and maladaptive behaviors (e.g., poor diet, sleep deprivation)³² may impair the body's ability to maintain allostasis, producing wear and tear on bodily systems, compromised immune function, and enhanced general susceptibility^{11,32}, and enhancing responsiveness to environmental toxicants including air pollution. For example, some toxicologic evidence suggests permanent alteration in hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) function associated with chronic stress and lead exposures^{33,34}. However, some biological systems involved in maintaining allostasis may also be worn down by repeated exposure to physical toxicants, as particle exposures may influence HPA function³⁵. Finally, some air pollutants and psychosocial stress may independently affect common physiologic processes such as oxidative stress³⁶ or inflammatory cell [immunoglobulin E (IgE)] production^{37,38}.

More studies have explored air pollution effect modification by socioeconomic status (SES) than by stress. Given other evidence of greater stress-related illness and susceptibility among persons with lower SES³⁹⁻⁴¹, heightened air pollution responses with lower SES may be mediated through stress-related pathways. The American Cancer Society (ACS) studies reported stronger effects of air pollution on respiratory, cardiovascular, and all-cause mortality among lower-SES persons⁴², supported by other studies reporting stronger air pollution-health associations among less-educated adults⁴³⁻⁴⁵. Time-series studies have indicated that lower-SES persons display stronger associations between short-term air pollution exposures and health⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸, although not consistently⁴⁹. These studies, however, have not explored specific components of SES that may be responsible for susceptibility effects, although stress has been proposed as a key component.

Several theoretical perspectives suggest that social, economic, and psychological disadvantage

may cluster⁵⁰⁻⁵³. Fundamental causes theory, for example, describes social conditions (e.g., socioeconomic position) as determinants of resource access and psychological and behavioral experience, shaping disease risk⁵⁴. Although applicable to combined social and physical exposures, these theories have not addressed methodologic issues (e.g., spatial correlation, confounding, measurement bias) or interpretation challenges arising in combining theory, methods, and data across disciplines. Like air pollution, low SES and stressors (e.g., noise) may be elevated in urban settings along highways and industrial areas, and methods are needed to disentangle effects of clustered social and physical stressors and to investigate potential synergies. One model² captures our key constructs of interest: a) varied stressors at the individual and community level contribute to individual chronic stress; b) chronic individual stress is embodied as allostatic load, or physiologic wear and tear, influencing individual susceptibility; and c) stress-borne physiologic susceptibility may shape response to environmental exposures, including internal dose, resiliency, likelihood of developing a given illness, and ability to recover from it.

Like other models combining social and physical exposures^{1,3,55}, the Morello-Frosch model acknowledges that some community-level stressors are also physical hazards (e.g., poor housing quality), complicating distinctions between effects of psychosocial and physical factors. This model frames our discussion, although it is outside the scope of our review to describe the myriad possible social, political, and economic forces that contribute to individual stress. Instead, we focus our attention on individual-level stress and pollution susceptibility, given that higher-level stressors need be individually embodied to modify pollution effects through stress-specific pathways.

We build on conceptual models of social-environmental interaction by describing key methodologic and conceptual issues that arise when merging data and methods from across environmental and social science traditions. We hope to foster more integrative approaches toward understanding combined health effects of social and physical environmental exposures. We attempt to synthesize the literature to date on the following:

- . Effects of stress on respiratory health and susceptibility
- . Epidemiologic and toxicologic evidence of synergistic effects of stress and pollution
- . Pathways through which stress may influence pollution susceptibility

- . Methodologic challenges in merging data and methods from environmental and social sciences.

We aim to provide a template for researchers aiming to rigorously consider combined effects on health across disciplines. We hope to support the growing literature targeted at disentangling effects of spatially clustered social and environmental exposures and exploring potential synergies among these exposures.

Physiologic effects of psychological stress on respiratory health and susceptibility

A long history of research links psychological stress and respiratory health. In *Treatise on Asthma*, Maimonides (1135–1204) described the illness—“Whereas treatment of asthma is important, treatment of a patient as a whole is more important. For asthma the physician must be a doctor in every sense”—and described the importance of clean air and its interplay with emotions and hormones⁵⁶. In the 1930s, Hans Selye recognized links between chronic stress in laboratory rats and nonspecific susceptibility to respiratory distress and death⁵⁷. More recently, chronic stress has been linked to asthma symptoms in cross-sectional studies⁵⁸, and prospective studies link caregiver stress to infant wheeze⁵⁹. Among asthmatic adolescents, SES has been associated with stress-linked immune mediators [interleukin (IL)-5, interferon- γ], an association mediated by stress and control beliefs⁶⁰. Acute stressors (e.g., violent events) may trigger asthma episodes^{61,62}, and older individuals with greater psychological distress and anger display faster lung function decline over time⁶³.

Distinctions between acute (short-term, generally lasting days to weeks) and chronic (longer-term, lasting weeks to years) stress is not always clear. Some severe acute stressors (e.g., sexual violence, or combat) can produce chronic stress effects (i.e., post-traumatic stress disorder). Assessing stress chronicity is important, however, as acute and chronic stress can produce substantively different physiologic sequelae, and the predominance of acute versus chronic stress experience in a given period may shape observed relationships between stress and susceptibility. Acute stress is linked to sympathetic innervation, including increased ventilation and bronchodilation, plausibly masking some short-term effects air pollution effects (e.g., acute irritation, broncho-constriction). Conversely, chronic stress

can produce wear and tear on bodily systems, weaken immune function, and increase pollution susceptibility. Because of these very different physiologic sequelae, careful consideration of stress chronicity and of the relative chronicities of stress and pollution exposures is critical in accurately characterizing their interplay and in interpreting interactions.

Acute stress, sympathetic regulation, and the fight-or-flight response

Sympathetic nervous system activation under acute stress produces the immediate, short-term fight-or-flight response. Neural synapses produce catecholamines (e.g., epinephrine and norepinephrine or adrenaline and noradrenaline), and catabolic functioning breaks down metabolites for physical activity and energy expenditure. Glucocorticoids are produced, including cortisol, increasing heart rate, ventilation, myocardial contraction force, arterial vasodilation to working muscles, vaso-constriction to nonworking muscles, and dilating pupils and bronchi. Under acute stress, parasympathetic nervous system-regulated activities subside (e.g., salivary and intestinal secretions for digestion and nutrient absorption, growth and repair). Frequent sympathetic nervous system dominance under repeated acute stress may interfere with growth and repair, especially important for children's development, and suggests one pathway through which childhood stress may shape lifelong health and susceptibility.

Chronic stress, immune function, and inflammatory response

Chronic stress may be characterized by recurrent acute stress or an inability to moderate acute stress responses¹¹. Building on Hans Selye's model of nonspecific susceptibility and allostatic load models of cumulative wear and tear, attention is increasingly being directed toward identifying biological mediators linking chronic stress to immune and endocrine function^{64,65}.

Chronic stress and associated negative emotional states (e.g., depression, anxiety, anger) may mediate immune and endocrine processes⁶⁶, with associations so consistent that some researchers have proposed reconceptualizing depression as dysfunction in HPA-axis regulation and stress response⁶⁷. Endocrine responses to chronic stress

include dysregulation in production of catecholamines (epinephrine, norepinephrine), adrenocorticotropin, cortisol, growth hormone, and prolactin. Cytokines, particularly IL 6, stimulate corticotrophin-releasing hormone and HPA-axis activity, increasing plasma adrenocorticotropin hormone and cortisol⁶⁸⁻⁷¹. Frequent activation of the glucocorticoid receptor by cortisol can lead to blunted glucocorticoid response⁶⁴, increased nuclear factor- κ B signaling⁷², and dysregulated catecholamine production⁷³.

Immune-linked inflammatory response may influence asthma and related airway disease. Asthma-linked parameters responsive to stress include IgE⁷⁴ and cytokine production⁶⁰ and respiratory inflammation⁷⁵. Early life chronic stress may alter T-helper (Th)1–Th2 immune cell balance⁷⁵, which is linked with childhood asthma, allergy, and inflammatory responses⁴⁰.

Impacts on common physiologic systems

Growing evidence suggests complex dose-dependent interactions among multiple pollutants⁷⁶, mediated through the same mechanistic pathway, or some pollutants may potentiate effects of others. Similarly, stress may potentiate pollution health effects through immune and inflammatory processes, or stress and pollution may affect common physiologic systems. Both early childhood environmental exposures and stress-related catecholamines affect Th1–Th2 balance⁷⁵. Psychological stress⁷⁷, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, cigarette smoke⁷⁸, and ozone³⁶ affect oxidative stress, which is linked to asthma and COPD⁷⁹. Both stress and diesel exhaust particles are associated with elevated cytokines (e.g., IL-2, IL-6, and local IgE in nasal mucosa)^{37,38}. Some evidence suggests that stress may alter permeability of bodily membranes to chemical exposures, such that stress may alter systemic transport and chemical uptake into organs including the brain⁸⁰, facilitating combined and synergistic effects of stressors and pollution on many bodily systems.

Key issues to be addressed as this work progresses

Careful attention to stress measurement

For environmental researchers, social epidemiologic theory and methods for stress measurement may be unfamiliar, but accurately under-

standing and applying these principles is necessary to produce meaningful analyses of interactions among stressors and pollution exposures. Careful attention to stress processes and distinction among the major components is needed to accurately capture and interpret stress effects on susceptibility. Moreover, because perceived stress is highly variable over time, it is important that measured stress periods are temporally appropriate to the pollution exposures and outcomes under study. The stress process is often described in three phases: a) the stressor (i.e., any event, condition, or external stimuli posing a physical or psychological challenge), b) stress appraisal (i.e., how one experiences, perceives, or interprets the event), and c) stress response (e.g., psychological and physiologic sequelae).

These phases are interdependent. A stressor appraised as benign or beneficial, rather than threatening, generally produces no stress response. Highly thorough stress assessments would measure each stage, which is rarely feasible. Most studies focus on a single, chronic stressor (e.g., caregiver burden) or one unlikely to be positively appraised (e.g., exposure to violence, natural disaster). Newer measures emphasize later stages of the stress process. Because of inconsistent findings with stressor measures (e.g., life event scales assessing major life changes)⁸¹, more researchers today use perceived stress measures, which capture response to multiple stressors^{28,82}. Recent evidence indicates, however, that subjective stress may poorly predict immune change⁶⁵; thus, another approach emphasizes negative affect (e.g., anxiety, depression) as a cumulative indicator of mental distress and psychosocial stress. Negative affect may serve as a common final pathway for multiple psychological stressors, possibly providing a more stable indicator of cumulative stress and susceptibility^{39,83}.

Other approaches include using biomarkers—hormones or immune markers associated with physiological stress responses, including glucocorticoids or cytokines⁶⁴. Although many physiologic systems are influenced by acute and chronic stress, there is relatively little consensus on optimal biomarkers to capture physiologic changes with acute or chronic stress. Formerly, corticosteroids (e.g., cortisol) in blood or saliva were emphasized as markers of HPA-axis activity, although stress-related HPA function changes lead to cortisol dysregulation (via glucocorticoid resistance and HPA regulation), not simply increased cortisol production. Thus, cortisol can be difficult to interpret and better indicates acute rather

than chronic stress. Recent research emphasizes indicators of glucocorticoid resistance and neuroendocrine signaling⁷². Other evidence suggests that C-reactive protein (Miller G, personal communication) and tumor necrosis factor- α (unpublished data by Clougherty JE, Rossi CA, Lawrence J, Long M, Diaz E, Koutrakis P *et al.*) may capture chronic stress in rats. Although no single biomarker is appropriate for all applications⁸⁴, suites of physiologic parameters have been developed to represent allostatic load in humans, including indicators of cardiovascular function, metabolism, cholesterol, glucose metabolism, HPA-axis function, and sympathetic nervous system activity^{39,85}. Several studies document chronic stress effects on cardiovascular risk indicators (abdominal obesity, elevated serum triglycerides, low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, glucose intolerance, elevated blood pressure)⁸⁶, known collectively as metabolic syndrome, and may provide a method for capturing cumulative stress effects on cardiovascular and systemic function.

Relative temporality in stress and pollution exposures

Generally, stressors must precede or be contemporaneous with pollution exposures to plausibly modify their effects. The actual periods of stress and pollution exposures, their overlap, and temporal relation to health outcomes, deserve careful attention, because temporal exposure misclassification may nullify or even reverse the directionality of observed interactions. For example, if stress exposure occurs after or very late in a pollution exposure interval, interpreting observed interactions is problematic. If perceived stress is stable over time, the report will accurately reflect stress during the hypothesized period of susceptibility. If perceived stress varies over the period, however, and respondents compare current stress to prior experiences, then individuals reporting high stress likely experienced relatively lower stress previously, during the pollution exposure period. Such temporal misclassification may significantly confound results, and researchers should ensure that stress and pollution measurement periods support a plausible physiologic interaction in relation to the health outcome of interest.

Spatial correlations among social and physical exposures

Both social and physical exposures vary across communities, and lower-income and minority

communities may be disproportionately exposed to both^{2,51,87}. Strong spatial covariance among stress, SES, and pollution has confounded geographic information systems (GIS)-based air pollution epidemiology, and spatial epidemiologists are challenged to differentiate health effects of traffic-related pollution from those of spatially correlated noise, stress, or poverty. Evidence suggests that roadway noise, a spatial stressor correlated with pollution, increases heart rate among adults and children^{88,89}, and living in high-traffic areas predicts higher stress, lower self-reported health, and depressive symptoms⁹⁰, effects distinct from those of pollution per se.

Because of spatial autocorrelation and possible confounding, accurate fine-scale exposure assessment for both stress and pollution is critical. GIS-based exposure models, now common in environmental epidemiology, should be validated to the spatial extent of the cohort examined, and spatial patterns in stressors and pollution, at multiple levels, should be carefully considered to avoid spatial misclassification and confounding. Social-physical correlations may vary by region or context, and the appropriate geographic scale of analysis may vary as well. The 1995-1997 Health Survey for England found that in urban areas, lower-SES households experienced worse air quality, although the opposite was true in rural regions. Air quality and SES independently predicted lung function in both settings, with greater pollution susceptibility among lower-SES men, but not women¹⁰.

Although some neighborhoods may experience higher average levels of pollution and stressors (variation across neighborhoods), one should not assume that individuals or residences within these neighborhoods are relatively more exposed to both (variation within neighborhoods). Individuals living closer to highways likely have higher pollution exposures than do other community residents but are not necessarily more exposed to violence or family stress. Similarly, U.S. census tracts, selected to be relatively homogeneous for key sociodemographic indicators, may be a meaningful unit of analysis for some social and economic factors⁹¹. All persons within a census tract, however, do not have similar traffic-related pollution exposures, which vary dramatically within 50-200 m of major roadways⁹².

Nonlinear, threshold, and saturation effects

There is evidence linking stress and air pollution, separately, to asthma onset and exacerbation. Because both exposures independently influence respiratory health, we might expect that with especially high levels of either exposure, exacerbations likely occur, regardless of the second exposure. Effectively, very high exposures may overpower any potential interaction effects.

Potential saturation effects call for careful attention to the exposure range observed in any study, relative to normal exposure levels, to inform whether interactions should be expected and how to interpret observed interactions. For example, our group reported that asthmatic children of families reporting higher fear of violence showed less symptom improvement with allergen-reducing indoor environmental interventions⁹³. Counter to initial hypotheses, this result suggested saturation effects in our very high-exposure public housing cohort. Either fear of violence or allergen exposures may have been high enough to independently induce or maintain symptoms.

The need for toxicologic research

Because of strong potential confounding between stressors and pollution, epidemiologic methods alone are unlikely to fully differentiate their effects or resolve spatial confounding. Additionally, chronic air pollution exposure may contribute to HPA axis stimulation and stress processes³⁵. Thus, experimental studies using well-developed animal models of social stress (e.g., monkeys, rats) are needed to delineate these effects. Toxicologic data can improve causal inference and interpretability by randomizing exposures, controlling their temporality and intensity, and, importantly, can help elucidate physiologic mechanisms for stress-pollution interactions.

Several authors have investigated stress as a modifier of neurologic effects of lead in animal models. Cory-Slechta *et al.*⁹⁴ examined separate and combined effects of restraint stress and lead in pregnant dams on corticosterone, neurotransmitter levels, and behavioral learning. They found significant interactions, generally more prominent in female offspring, and noted that lead alone influenced corticosterone, suggesting that lead may influence later-life susceptibility^{33,34}. They observed strongly altered HPA axis function with both lead and stress, separately and in combina-

tion, providing a model for better understanding the etiology of conditions linked to both exposures and potentially mediated through HPA function, including obesity, hypertension, diabetes, anxiety, schizophrenia, and depression⁹⁵.

We recently compared short-term respiratory response to concentrated fine particulate air pollution (CAPs) among chronically stressed and unstressed rats. Using the social dominance paradigm, a rat model of social stress^{96,97}, we introduced male test rats individually into the cage of an older, larger male, over an 8-week period, to establish chronic stress conditions. Test animals were exposed to controlled CAPs for 5 hr on days after stress exposures. Across four exposure groups [stress/CAPs; stress/filtered air (FA); nonstress/CAPs; nonstress/FA], animals exposed to both stress and CAPs displayed elevated C-reactive protein and altered respiratory function relative to other groups, with higher frequencies and briefer pauses after inspiration and expiration, suggesting a shallower, more rapid breathing pattern⁹⁸.

Experimental studies involving humans

Experimental studies have identified physiologic parameters associated with stressors in humans (exam stress, public speaking). Although these studies can examine only short-term, relatively benign stressors, they do allow for controlled, randomized stressor exposures that are not feasible in the community setting. Fiedler *et al.*⁹⁹ examined combined effects of stressors (public speaking, math challenge) and oxidation by-products of indoor volatile organic carbons and ozone, prevalent in office settings, on cortisol, respiratory function, and symptoms (anxiety, eye/nose irritation, respiratory symptoms) at multiple time points. They found that stress predicted anxiety symptoms and cortisol, outweighing any potential interaction with pollution⁹⁹. Such experimental studies cannot mimic the lifelong stress and pollution exposures associated with low SES but help to elucidate some mechanisms for stress-related susceptibility and, importantly, allow for the separation of persistently correlated exposures, otherwise difficult to delineate.

Pollution and pollution sources as psycho-social stressors

Health geographers influenced by the environmental justice movement have long understood that neighborhood pollution sources, in-

cluding highways, power plants, and smokestacks, can send strong messages to residents about the value of their health and well-being to the larger society⁷. Indeed, the study of air pollution effects on health has historically considered its psychological effects^{100,101}, including psychosocial impacts of perceived exposure¹⁰² on vigilance^{103,104}, test performance¹⁰⁵, irritation¹⁰⁶, aggression¹⁰², behavior¹⁰⁷, neurologic effects¹⁰⁵, and vision impairment¹⁰⁸. More recent evidence indicates that air quality below regulatory guidelines can be detected by residents, with negative health consequences¹⁰⁹, although perceived air quality can also be influenced by disease status¹¹⁰. Indeed, it can be difficult to distinguish health effects produced by the physical aspects of air pollution from its psychosocial health effects in communities near toxic sites^{111,112}, to separate both pollution-derived effects from those of other spatially correlated stressors, or to establish directionality. To better elucidate this complex synergy among exposure pathways, environmental exposure assessment and risk management may ultimately consider both tangible physical and psychosocial effects of pollution to fully understand the mechanisms linking air pollution, stress, and susceptibility.

Additional questions to be explored

Many questions about stress-related pollution susceptibility remain. We raise several here, describing each only briefly, and hope these issues will be explored in detail in coming years.

To which pollutants and health out-comes is stress-related susceptibility relevant?

A few studies demonstrate significant stress-related modification in air pollution effects on respiratory health, particularly asthma onset²⁹ and exacerbation^{30,33}. Stress may also modify relationships between bone lead and cardiovascular out-comes (e.g., hypertension)³¹ and, in the same data set, heart rate variability (unpublished data by Clougherty JE, Peters JL, Schwartz J, Kubzansky L). A growing toxicology literature demonstrates stress-related susceptibilities to neurocognitive effects of lead and polychlorinated biphenyls, including neurologic damage and hippocampal function⁹⁴. These vastly different exposures and outcomes demonstrating stress-pollutant interactions and the many physiologic systems affected by stress (e.g., sympathetic reg-

ulation, immune function, glucocorticoid production) suggest potential stress-related modifications in a wide range of pollutant exposures on health outcomes.

Are these interactions different in illness onset than in exacerbation?

For preexisting illness, many factors may modify stress effects on illness severity and chronicity, including current medical treatment and comorbidities. The progression of preexisting illness, if influenced by stress, may also change the relevant temporalities, as later stress could plausibly modify recovery from environmentally derived illness. Thus, stress–pollution interactions may be even more complicated for existing illness, and careful attention to temporality and confounding (e.g., by medical treatment) is even more important. Some evidence suggests that stress may modify pollution effects in exacerbating preexisting asthma^{30,93}, although both studies produced associations opposite to hypotheses (stronger pollution effects with lower stress). More generally, attention to distinguishing processes related to illness onset from illness progression or exacerbation will be critical in assessing whether interactions are robust or should be expected in healthy populations.

Are there critical periods for stress and pollution exposures across the life course?

Different diseases are relevant at different life stages. Asthma is among the most prevalent chronic illnesses of childhood, whereas cardiovascular illness generally afflicts older persons. Because the life-course distribution of illness varies considerably, relationships among stress, susceptibility, and illness likely also vary with age. Although stress can be toxic at any age, there may be critical periods, such as during early immune development, when it is particularly influential in shaping future susceptibility and disease risk⁵¹.

Parental stress has been used to examine stress exposures in young children, and research supports inverse associations for both mother's SES and depressive states on children's stress hormone levels¹¹³. Prospective studies have linked care-giver stress to infant wheeze⁵⁹, and maternal stress during pregnancy may influence immune function and health in neonates⁶. Maternal air pollution exposures associated with low birth weight¹¹⁴ may increase later childhood respiratory disease risk. Stress exposures during development may

produce broad biological and psychological vulnerabilities, affecting neuroendocrine, immune, metabolic, and growth processes¹¹⁵. Although not entirely immutable, these effects may have permanent and compounding consequences, especially if not addressed early^{116, 117}.

What are the roles of gender/sex and race/ethnicity in shaping stress-related susceptibility to pollution?

It is beyond the scope of this review to describe the myriad societal-level sources of stress. However, ongoing research in this area will be informed by the growing literatures on racism as a prevalent stressor, and on sex and gender differences in psychological and physiologic responses to stress and susceptibility.

Racial and ethnic minority groups in the United States are often differentially exposed to psychosocial stressors and physical contaminants in their homes, neighborhoods, and workplaces⁷. The environmental justice movement has drawn attention to disproportionate pollution exposures in minority and low-income communities¹¹⁸, but only recently has attention been directed to combined pollution exposures and greater susceptibility in these communities^{2,29,51,119}. Experiences of racism as a stressor have been associated with negative physical and emotional health effects¹²⁰⁻¹²⁵ modified by coping practices and social support¹²³. Experiences of racial discrimination predicted poorer birth outcomes among women with Arabic names in California after 11 September 2001¹²⁶. There is also evidence of poorer health, including mortality, for U.S. persons than for foreign-born persons of the same ethnicity, especially Hispanics¹²⁷. Effects of race and racism are not fully mediated through social class¹²³ and are not generally attributable to genetic variation¹²⁸. Race-related stressors may contribute to “weathering” or physiologic wear and tear¹²⁹⁻¹³¹. Hypertension onset, for example, may be four to seven times higher among low-SES than among high-SES African-American men¹³². African Americans also have higher rates of cardiovascular illness¹³³ and low birth weight¹³⁴ relative to Caucasians with comparable education.

Sex and gender differences in stress and susceptibility may be significant. The distinction between gender (socially influenced behaviors) and sex (biology, including hormonal composition, sex organs, and anthropometric measures) is comparable with that between exposure and susceptibility. Sex differences have been observed

in air pollution–health associations. After early childhood, more studies report greater risks among women and girls¹³⁵⁻¹³⁸, possibly explained, in part, by biological sex differences in lung capacity, hormonal status, inflammation, or airway particle deposition^{139,140}. Gendered social and behavioral patterns also matter. Sex stratification in the workforce and work-related exposures are well documented^{141,142}. Among children, play activities may influence ventilation rate, creating exposure differences between boys and girls¹⁴³. Common pollution exposures metrics may be differently accurate by gender; residential exposures may better capture exposures for stay-at-home parents (usually mothers) than for working adults^{144,145}. Physiologic stress responses differ by sex^{146,147}, as does observed effect modification by SES¹⁰, such that gendered stress assessment may be needed to fully understand stress-linked effect modification for air pollution.

To what extent are the effects of SES attributable to stress?

As described, there is growing evidence of SES effect modification in the air pollution–disease relationship. ACS studies reported stronger health effects of air pollution among less-educated adults⁴², and time-series studies report the same^{46-48,148}, although these air pollution studies have not identified causal components of SES shaping susceptibility. Like air pollution, SES is a complex exposure mix accumulated over the life course, potentially including poorer-quality education; nutrition; housing; health care; health behaviors; pollution exposures in the home, community, and workplace; perceived social position; and other stressors. Indeed, newer variants of the allostatic load model incorporate primary (e.g., perceived stress, psychological response) and secondary (e.g., smoking, sleep, dietary behaviors) manifestations of stress³². Growing evidence links low SES to perceived stress and distress^{40,149} and to biological markers of stress-related susceptibility⁸⁷. Some of these studies used allostatic load models to link SES to distress and markers of disease risk (e.g., metabolic dysregulation)³⁹. Other studies link allostatic load parameters to morbidity and mortality, suggesting that allostatic load may mediate relationships between SES and mortality, after accounting for demographic characteristics and physician-diagnosed illness⁴¹.

Among children, low SES may be a marker of early exposure to adversity. Recent studies link low childhood SES to epigenetic changes in mes-

senger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) for the glucocorticoid receptor and toll-like receptor 4, indicative of proinflammatory profiles associated with asthma and allergic illness⁴⁰. Low-SES children 6–10 years of age have shown higher basal cortisol levels than higher-SES children⁸⁷. Children of parents reporting higher stress display physiologic hyperresponsiveness, and low-income children of depressed mothers show sex-specific physiologic responses: elevated cortisol in girls, HPA axis hypoactivity in boys¹⁵⁰. Children of depressed mothers can display altered adrenocorticotropic hormone and corticotropin-releasing hormone production into young adulthood¹⁵¹. Evidence suggesting that stress is a key mediator for SES effects on health is not universally endorsed, and associations between SES and stress-related biological changes are not always positive¹⁵². Authors have argued that psychosocial factors are inextricably bound to material factors in developed countries; therefore, the accumulated evidence does not unequivocally demonstrate an independent pathway by which psychosocial stress may link SES to physical health¹⁵³. Other aspects of SES (e.g., nutrition, health care) may also influence pollution susceptibility, and methods are needed to determine their effects. We believe that the evidence to date is broadly suggestive of a link between SES and physiologic susceptibility, a significant portion of which may be explained by life stress. Continued research in this area is valuable, especially as it improves our understanding of the pathways through which physical exposures, including pollution, may differently impinge on the health of vulnerable populations.

Conclusions

There is tremendous potential, although much work still to be done, in understanding combined effects of social and physical environmental exposures. These topics are exceedingly complicated. Accurately characterizing both social and physical exposures is a significant challenge that must be performed carefully, especially in light of potential confounding across the exposures of interest, before analyzing and interpreting interactions.

The issues we have highlighted here—temporal relationships between stressors and pollution; nonlinearity and saturation effects; spatial colinearity across exposures; age-related susceptibility and critical periods; and distinctions between processes related to illness etiology and

exacerbation—will be critical in exploring social–environmental interactions. These factors are significant enough to distort observed associations (e.g., saturation effects may reverse interactions at high exposures; treatment can mask exacerbations of pre-existing illness). With sustained attention to these issues, we can work toward a clearer, richer understanding of complex effects among social and physical environmental exposures on health.

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to B. McEwen for his helpful suggestions on this manuscript.

References

1. Gee G, Payne-Sturges D. Environmental health disparities: a framework for integrating psychosocial and environmental concepts. *Environ Health Perspect* 2004; 112:1645–1653.
2. Morello-Frosch R, Shenassa ED. The environmental “risk-scape” and social inequality: implications for explaining maternal and child disparities. *Environ Health Perspect* 2006; 114:1150–1153.
3. O’Neill M, Jerrett M, Kawachi I, Levy J, Cohen A, Gouveia N, Wilkinson P, Fletcher T, Cifuentes L, Schwartz J; Workshop on Air Pollution and Socio-economic Conditions. Health, wealth, and air pollution: advancing the-ory and method. *Environ Health Perspect* 2003; 111:1861–1870.
4. O’Neill M, McMichael A, Schwartz J, Wartenberg D. Poverty, environment, and health: the role of environmental epidemiology and environmental epidemiologists. *Epidemiology* 2007; 18(6):664–668.
5. O’Neill MS, Veves A, Sarnat JA, Zanobetti A, Gold DR, Economides PA, Horton ES, Schwartz J. Air pollution and inflammation in type 2 diabetes: a mechanism for susceptibility. *Occup Environ Med* 2007; 64(3):373–379.
6. Weiss B, Bellinger DC. Social ecology of children’s vulnerability to environmental pollutants. *Environ Health Perspect* 2006; 114:1479–1485.
7. Bullard R. *Dumping in Dixie: Race, Class, and Environmental Quality*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press; 1990.
8. Graves P. The robustness of hedonic price estimation: urban air quality. *Land Econ* 1988; 64:220–233.
9. Schauer JJ, Lough GC, Schafer MM, Christensen WF, Arndt MF, DeMinter JT, Park JS. Characterization of metals emitted from motor vehicles. *Res Rep Health Eff Inst* 2006; 133:1–7.
10. Wheeler B, Ben-Shlomo Y. Environmental equity, air quality, socioeconomic status, and respiratory health: a linkage analysis of routine data from the Health Survey for England. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2005; 59:948–954.

11. McEwen BS, Seeman TE. Protective and damaging effects of mediators of stress. Elaborating and testing the concepts of allostasis and allostatic load. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1999; 896:30-47.
12. Lipfert FW. Air pollution and poverty: Does the sword cut both ways? *J Environ Community Health* 2004; 58:3-5.
13. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *Integrated Science Assessment for Oxides of Nitrogen—Health Criteria*. Research Triangle Park, NC: National Center for Environmental Assessment, Office of Research and Development; 2008.
14. Hiltermann T, Bruijne CD, Stolk J, Zwinderman A, Spijksma F, Roemer W, Steerenberg PA, Fischer PH, van Bree L, Hiemstra PS. Effects of photochemical air pollution and allergen exposure on upper respiratory tract inflammation in asthmatics. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997; 156(6):1765-1772.
15. Schikowski T, Sugiri D, Ranft U, Gehring U, Heinrich J, Wichmann E, Krämer U. Long-term air pollution exposure and living close to busy roads are associated with COPD in women. *Respir Res* 2005; 6(1):152.
16. Vineis P, Hoek G, Krzyzanowski M, Vigna-Taglianti F, Veglia F, Airoidi L, Overvad K, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Clavel-Chapelon F, Linseisen J, Boeing H, Trichopoulou A, Palli D, Krogh V, Tumino R, Panico S, Bueno-De-Mesquita HB, Peeters PH, Lund EE, Agudo A, Martinez C, Dorransoro M, Barricarte A, Cirera L, Quiros JR, Berglund G, Manjer J, Forsberg B, Day NE, Key TJ, Kaaks R, Saracci R, Riboli E. Lung cancers attributable to environmental tobacco smoke and air pollution in non-smokers in different European countries: a prospective study. *Environ Health* 2007; 15:6:7.
17. Dockery D. An association between air pollution and mortality in six U.S. cities. *N Engl J Med* 1993; 329:1753-1759.
18. Pope C III, Thun M, Namboordiri M, Dockery D, Evans J, Speizer F. Particulate air pollution as a predictor of mortality in a prospective study of U.S. adults. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1995; 151(3):669-674.
19. Jang A, Yeum C, Son M. Epidemiologic evidence of a relationship between airway hyperresponsiveness and exposure to polluted air. *Allergy* 2003; 58(7):585-588.
20. Ryan P, LeMasters G, Biswas P, Levin L, Hu S, Lindsey M, Bernstein DI, Lockey J, Villareal M, Khurana Hershey GK, Grinshpun SA. A comparison of proximity and land use regression traffic exposure models and wheezing in infants. *Environ Health Perspect* 2007; 115:278-284.
21. McConnell R, Berhane K, Yao L, Jerrett M, Lurmann F, Gilliland FD, Künzli N, Gauderman J, Avol E, Thomas D, Peters J. Traffic, susceptibility, and childhood asthma. *Environ Health Perspect* 2006; 114:766-772.
22. Pekkanen J, Timonen K, Ruuskanen J, Reponen A, Mirmes A. Effects of ultrafine and fine particles in urban air on peak expiratory flow among children with asthmatic symptoms. *Environ Res* 1997; 74:24-33.
23. Lin S, Munsie P, Hwang S-A, Fitzgerald E, Cayo MR. Childhood asthma hospitalization and residential exposure to state route traffic. *Environ Res* 2002; 88(A):73-81.
24. Moore K, Neugebauer R, Lurmann F, Hall J, Brajer V, Alcorn S, Tager I. Ambient ozone concentrations cause increased hospitalizations for asthma in children: an 18-year study in Southern California. *Environ Health Perspect* 2008; 116:1063-1070.
25. Peters A. Particulate matter and heart disease: evidence from epidemiological studies. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2005; 207(2):477-482.

26. Rückerl R, Ibald-Mulli A, Koenig W, Schneider A, Woelke G, Cyrus J, Heinrich J, Marder V, Framp-ton M, Wichmann HE, Peters A. Air pollution and markers of inflam-mation and coagulation in pa-tients with coronary heart disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006; 173(4):432–441.
27. Lanki T, de Hartog JJ, Heinrich J, Hoek G, Janssen NA, Peters A, Stölzel M, Timonen KL, Vallius M, Van-ninen E, Pekkanen J. Can we identify sources of fine par-ticles responsible for exercise-induced ischemia on days with elevated air pollution? The ULTRA study. *Environ Health Perspect* 2006; 114:655–660.
28. Cohen S, Kessler RC, Underwood GL. *Measuring Stress: A Guide for Health and Social Scientists*. New York: Oxford University Press; 1995.
29. Clougherty JE, Levy JI, Kubzansky LD, Ryan PB, Suglia SF, Canner MJ, Wright RJ. Synergistic effects of traffic-related air pollution and exposure to vio-lence on urban asthma etiology. *Environ Health Perspect* 2007; 115:1140–1146.
30. Chen E, Schreier HMC, Strunk R, Brauer M. Chronic traffic-related air pollution and stress interact to predict biological and clinical outcomes in asth-ma. *Environ Health Perspect* 2008; 116:970–975.
31. Peters JL, Kubzansky LD, McNeely E, Schwartz J, Spiro A, Sparrow D, Wright RO, Nie H, Hu H. Stress as a potential modifier of the impact of lead levels on blood pressure: The Normative Aging Study. *Environ Health Perspect* 2007; 115:1154–1159.
32. McEwen BS. Sleep deprivation as a neurobiologic and physiologic stressor: allostasis and allostatic load. *Metabolism* 2006; 55(10 suppl 2):S20–S23.
33. Virgolini MB, Chen K, Weston DD, Bauter MR, Cory-Slechta DA. Interactions of chronic lead ex-posure and inter-mittent stress: consequences for brain catecholamine systems and associated behav-iors and HPA axis function. *Toxicol Sci* 2005; 87(2):469–482.
34. Virgolini MB, Bauter MR, Weston DD, Cory-Slech-ta DA. Permanent alterations in stress responsivity in female offspring subjected to combined mater-nal lead exposure and/or stress. *Neurotoxicology* 2006; 27(1):11–21.
35. Sirivelu MP, MohanKumar SMJ, Wagner JG, Harke-ma JR, MohanKumar PS. Activation of the stress axis and neurochemical alterations in specific brain areas by concentrated ambient particle exposure with concomitant aller-gic airway disease. *Environ Health Perspect* 2006; 114:870–874.
36. Fugisawa T. Role of oxygen radicals on bronchial asthma. *Curr Drug Targets Inflamm Allergy* 2005; 4(4):505–509.
37. Diaz-Sanchez D, Penichet-Garcia M, Saxon A. Die-sel exhaust particles directly induce activated mast cells to degranulate and increase histamine levels and symptom severity. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2000; 106:1140–1146.
38. Nel A. The role of particulate pollutants in pulmo-nary inflammation and asthma: evidence for the involvement of organic chemicals and oxidative stress. *Curr Opin Pulm Med* 2001; 7:20–26.
39. Kubzansky LD, Kawachi I, Sparrow D. Socioeco-nomic status, hostility, and risk factor clustering in the Normative Aging Study: any help from the con-cept of allostatic load? *Ann Behav Med* 2000; 21(4):330–338.
40. Miller G, Chen E. Unfavorable socioeconomic con-ditions in early life presage expression of proin-flammatory pheno-type in adolescence. *Psychosom Med* 2007; 69(5):402–409.
41. Seeman TE, Crimmins EM, Huang M-H, Singer B, Bucur A, Gruenewald TL, Berkman KF, Reuben DB. Cumulative biological risk and socio-econom-ic differences in mortality: MacArthur Studies of Successful Aging. *Soc Sci Med* 2004. 58:1985–1997.
42. Krewski D, Burnett RT, Goldberg MS, Hoover K, Siemiatycki J, Jerrett M, Abrahamowicz M, White WH. *Reanalysis of the Harvard Six Cities Study and the American Cancer Society Study of Particulate Air Pollution and Mortality*. Cambridge, MA: Health Effects Institute; 2000.
43. Finkelstein M, Jerrett M, DeLuca P, Finkelstein N, Verma D, Chapman K, Sears MR. Relation between income, air pollution, and mortality: a cohort study. *Can Med Assoc J* 2003; 169(5):397–402.
44. Hoek G, Brunekreef B, Goldbohm S, Fischer P, van den Brandt P. Association between mortality and indica-tors of traffic-related air pollution in the Neth-erlands: a cohort study. *Lancet* 2002; 360:1203–1209.
45. Pope C III. Lung cancer, cardiopulmonary mortal-ity, and long-term exposure to fine particulate air pollution. *JAMA* 2002; 287:1132–1141.
46. Jerrett M, Burnett R, Brook J, Kanaraglou P, Giovis C, Finkelstein J, Hutchison B. Do socioeconomic char-acteristics modify the short term association between air pollution and mortality? Evidence from a zonal time series in Hamilton, Canada. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2004; 58:31–40.
47. Lin M, Chen Y, Villeneuve P, Burnett R, Lemyre L, Hertzman C, McGrail KM, Krewski D. Gaseous air pollutants and asthma hospitaliza-tion of children with low household income in Vancouver, British Colum-bia, Canada. *Am J Epidemiol* 2004; 159(3):294–303.
48. Martins M, Fatigati F, Vespoli T, Martins L, Pereira L, Martins M, Saldiva PH, Braga AL. Influence of socioeconomic conditions on air pollution adverse health effects in elderly people: an analysis of six regions of São Paulo, Brazil. *J Epidemiol Communi-ty Health* 2004; 58:41–46.
49. Zanobetti A, Schwartz J. Race, gender, and social status as modifiers of the effects of PM10 on mortal-ity. *J Occup Environ Med* 2000; 42:469–474.
50. Adler N, Matthews K. Health psychology: why do some people get sick and some stay well? *Annu Rev Psychol* 1994; 45:229–259.
51. Evans GW, English K. The environment of poverty: multiple stressor exposure, psychophysiological stress, and socioemotional adjustment. *Child Dev* 2002; 73(4):1238–1248.
52. Link B, Phelan J. Evaluating the fundamental cause explanation for social disparities in health. In: Bird C, Conrad P, Freemont A, editors. *Handbook of Medical Sociology*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pren-tice-Hall; 2000.

53. Shonkoff JP, Phillips DA. *From Neurons to Neighborhoods: The Science of Early Childhood Development*. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press; 2000.
54. Link B, Phelan J. Social conditions as fundamental causes of disease. *J Health Soc Behav* 1995; (extra issue):80-94.
55. deFur PL, Evans GW, Cohen Hubal EA, Kyle AD, Morello-Frosch RA, Williams DR. Vulnerability as a function of individual and group resources in cumulative risk assessment. *Environ Health Perspect* 2007; 115:817-824.
56. Muntner S, editor. *The Medical Writings of Moses Maimonides: Treatise on Asthma*. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott; 1963.
57. Selye H. A syndrome produced by diverse noxious agents. *Nature* 1936; 138:32.
58. Oh Y-M, Kim YS, Yoo SH, Kim SK, Kim DS. Association between stress and asthma symptoms: a population-based study. *Respirology* 2004; 9:363-368.
59. Wright RJ, Cohen S, Carey V, Weiss ST, Gold DR. Parental stress as a predictor of wheezing in infancy: a prospective birth-cohort study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002; 165:358-365.
60. Chen E, Fisher EB, Bacharier LB, Strunk RC. Socio-economic status, stress, and immune markers in adolescents with asthma. *Psychosom Med* 2003; 65(6):984-992.
61. Sandberg S, Paton J, Ahola S, McCann D, McGuinness D, Hillary CR, Oja H. The role of acute and chronic stress in asthma attacks in children. *Lancet* 2000; 356(9234):982-987.
62. Sandberg S, Jarvenpaa S, Pettinen A, Paton J, McCann D. Asthma exacerbations in children immediately following stressful life events: a Cox's hierarchical regression. *Thorax* 2004; 59:1046-1051.
63. Kubzansky LD, Wright RJ, Cohen S, Weiss ST, Rosner B, Sparrow D. Breathing easy: a prospective study of optimism and pulmonary function in the Normative Aging Study. *Ann Behav Med* 2002; 24(4):345-353.
64. Miller GE, Cohen S, Ritchey AK. Chronic psychological stress and the regulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines: a glucocorticoid-resistance model. *Health Psychol* 2002; 21:531-541.
65. Segerstrom S, Miller G. Psychological stress and the human immune system: a meta-analytic study of 30 years of inquiry. *Psychol Bulletin* 2004; 130(4):601-630.
66. Kiecolt-Glaser J, McGuire L, Robles T, Glaser R. Emotions, morbidity, and mortality: new perspectives from psychoneuroimmunology. *Annu Rev Psychol* 2002; 53:83-107.
67. Sternberg E, Choursos G, Wilder R, Gold P. The stress response and the regulation of inflammatory disease. *Ann Intern Med* 1992; 117:854-866.
68. Hellhammer DH, Buchtal J, Gutberlet I, Kirschbaum C. Social hierarchy and adrenocortical reactivity in men. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 1997; 22:643-650.
69. Kirschbaum C, Prussner JC, Stone AA, Federenko I, Gaab J, Lintz D, Schommer N, Hellhammer DH. Persistent high cortisol responses to repeated psychological stress in a subordinate population of healthy men. *Psychosom Med* 1995; 57:468-474.
70. Ockenfels MC, Porter L, Smyth J, Kirschbaum C, Hellhammer DH. Effect of chronic stress associated with unemployment on salivary cortisol levels, diurnal rhythm, and acute stress reactivity. *Psychosom Med* 1995; 57:460-467.
71. Seeman TE, Singer B, Rowe JW, Horwitz RI, McEwen BS. Price of adaptation: allostatic load and its health consequences. *Arch Intern Med* 1997; 157:2259-2268.
72. Miller GE, Chen E, Sze E, Marin T, Arevalo JMG, Doll R, Ma R, Cole SW. A functional genomic fingerprint of chronic stress in humans: blunted glucocorticoid and increased NF-kappaB signaling. *Biol Psychiatry* 2008; 64:266-272.
73. Glaser R, Kiecolt-Glaser JK. Stress-induced immune dysfunction: implications for health. *Nat Immunol* 2005; 5:243-251.
74. Wright RJ, Finn P, Contreras JP, Cohen S, Wright RO, Staudenmayer J, Staudenmayer J, Wand M, Perkins D, Weiss ST, Gold DR. Chronic caregiver stress and IgE expression, allergen-induced proliferation, and cytokine profiles in a birth cohort predisposed to atopy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004; 113:1051-1057.
75. Umetsu DT, McIntire JJ, Akbari O, Macaubas C, DeKruyff RH. Asthma: an epidemic of dysregulated immunity. *Nat Immunol* 2002; 3(8):715-720.
76. Mauderly JL, Samet J. Is there evidence for synergy among air pollutants in causing health effects? *Environ Health Perspect* 2009; 117:1-6.
77. Epel E, Blackburn E, Lin J, Dhabhar F, Adler N, Morrow J, Cawthon RM. Accelerated telomere shortening in response to life stress. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2004; 101(49):17312-17315.
78. Adcock IM, Ito K. Glucocorticoid pathways in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease therapy. *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 2005; 2(4):313-319.
79. Rahman I, MacNee W. Oxidative stress and regulation of glutathione in lung inflammation. *Eur Respir J* 2000; 16:534-554.
80. Sinton CM, Fitch TE, Petty F, Haley RW. Stressful manipulations that elevate corticosterone reduce blood-brain barrier permeability to pyridostigmine in the rat. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2000; 165:99-105.
81. Dohrenwend BP, Raphael KG, Schwartz S, Stueve A, Skodol A. The structured event probe and narrative rating method for measuring stressful life events. In: Goldberger L, Breznitz S, editors. *Handbook of Stress*. New York: Free Press; 1993. p. 174-199.
82. Cohen S, Kamarack T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. *J Health Soc Behav* 1983; 24(4):385-396.
83. Seeman TE, Singer BH, Ryff CD, Dienberg Love G, Levy-Storms L. Social relationships, gender, and allostatic load across two age cohorts. *Psychosom Med* 2002; 64:395-406.

84. Brunner E. Biology and health inequality. *PLoS Biol* 2007; 5(11):2449–2452.
85. Seeman TE, Singer B. Psychosocial factors and the development of allostatic load. *Psychosom Med* 2002; 64:395–406.
86. Brunner EJ, Marmot MG, Nanchahal K, Shipley M, Stansfeld SA. Social inequality in coronary risk: central obesity and the metabolic syndrome. Evidence from the Whitehall II study. *Diabetologia* 1997; 30:1341–1349.
87. Lupien SJ, King S, Meaney MJ, McEwen BS. Can poverty get under your skin? Basal cortisol levels and cognitive function in children from low and high socioeconomic status. *Dev Psychopathol* 13:653–676.
88. Belojevic G, Jakovljevic B, Stojanov V, Paunovic K, Ilic J. 2008. Urban road-traffic noise and blood pressure and heart rate in preschool children. *Environ Int* 2001; 34:226–231.
89. Belojevic G, Saric-Tanaskovic M. Prevalence of arterial hypertension and myocardial infarction in relation to subjective ratings of traffic noise exposure. *Noise Health* 2002; 4:33–37.
90. Gee GC, Takeuchi DT. Traffic stress, vehicular burden, and well-being: a multilevel analysis. *Soc Sci Med* 2004; 59(2):405–414.
91. Subramanian SV, Chen J, Rehropf DH, Waterman PD, Krieger N. Racial disparities in context: a multilevel analysis of neighborhood variations in poverty and excess mortality among black populations in Massachusetts. *Am J Public Health* 2005; 95(2):260–265.
92. Zhu Y, Hinds WC, Kim S, Sioutas C. Concentration and size distribution of ultrafine particles near a major high-way. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc* 2002; 52:1032–1041.
93. Clougherty JE, Levy JI, Hynes HP, Spengler JD. A longitudinal analysis of the efficacy of environmental interventions on asthma-related quality of life and symptoms among children in urban public housing. *J Asthma* 2006; 43:335–343.
94. Cory-Slechta DA, Virgolini MB, Thiruchelvam M, Weston DD, Bauter MR. Maternal stress modulates the effects of developmental lead exposure. *Environ Health Perspect* 2004; 112:717–730.
95. Rossi-George A, Virgolini MB, Weston DD, Cory-Slechta DA. Alterations in glucocorticoid negative feedback following maternal Pb, prenatal stress, and the combination: a potential biological unifying mechanism for their corresponding disease profiles. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2009; 234(1):117–127.
96. Hotsenpilller G, Williams J. Conditioned fear and analgesia to conspecific odors: benzodiazepine and 5-HT_{1A} agonists. *Psychobiology* 1996; 24(2):118–126.
97. Luciano D, Lore R. Aggression and social experience in domesticated rats. *J Comp Physiol Psych* 1975; 88:917–923.
98. Clougherty JE, Rossi CA, Lawrence J, Long M, Diaz E, Koutrakis P, Godleski JJ. Social stress and susceptibility to concentrated particulate air pollution (CAPs) in rats [Abstract]. *Epidemiology* 2008; 19(6):S163.
99. Fiedler N, Laumbach R, Kelly-McNeil K, Liou P, Fan Z, Zhang J, Ottenweller J, Ohman-Strickland P, Kipen H. Health effects of a mixture of indoor air volatile organics, their ozone oxidation products, and stress. *Environ Health Perspect* 2005; 113:1542–1548.
100. Colligan MJ. The psychological effects of air pollution. *Bull NY Acad Med* 1981; 57(10):1014–1026.
101. Strahilevitz M, Strahilevitz A, Miller J. Air pollution and the admission rate of psychiatric patients. *Am J Psychiatry* 1979; 136:205–207.
102. Rotton J, Frey J, Barry T. The air pollution experience and physical aggression. *J Appl Soc Psychol* 1979; 9:397–412.
103. Horvath SV, Dahms TE. Carbon monoxide and human vigilance: a deleterious effect of present urban concentrations. *Arch Environ Health* 1971; 23:343–347.
104. Putz V, Johnson BL, Setzer JV. *Effects of CO on Vigilance Performance*. NIOSH Publication 77-124. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office; 1977.
105. Hore T, Gibson DE. Ozone exposure and intelligence tests. *Arch Environ Health* 1968; 17:77–79.
106. Jones JW. Adverse emotional reactions of non-smokers to secondary cigarette smoke. *Environ Psychol Nonverbal Behav* 1978; 3:125–127.
107. Evans GW, Jacobs SV. Air pollution and human behavior. *J Soc Issues* 1981; 37:95–125.
108. Lagerwerff JM. Prolonged ozone inhalation and its effect on visual parameters. *Aerosp Med* 1963; 34:479–486.
109. Forsberg B, Stjernberg N, Wall S. People can detect poor air quality well below guideline concentrations: a prevalence study of annoyance reactions and air pollution from traffic. *Occup Environ Med* 1997; 54(1):44–48.
110. Piro F, Madsen C, Naess O, Nafstad P, Clausen B. A comparison of self reported air pollution problems and GIS-modeled levels of air pollution in people with and without chronic diseases. *Environ Health* 2008; 28:7:9
111. Elliot SJ, Taylor SM, Walter S. Modelling psychosocial effects of exposure to solid waste facilities. *Soc Sci Med* 1993; 37(6):791–804.
112. Eyles J, Taylor SM, Johnson N. Worrying about waste: living close to solid waste disposal facilities in southern Ontario. *Soc Sci Med* 1993; 37(6):805–812.
113. Lupien SJ, King S, Meaney MJ, McEwen BS. Child's stress hormone levels correlate with mother's socio-economic status and depressive state. *Biol Psychiatry* 2000; 48:976–980.
114. Gouveia N, Bremner S, Novaes M. Association between ambient air pollution and birth weight in São Paulo, Brazil. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2004; 58:11–17.
115. Viltart O, Vanbesien-Maillot CC. Impact of prenatal stress on neuroendocrine programming. *Scientific World Journal* 2007; 7:1493–1537.
116. Cicchetti D, Walker EF. Stress and development: biological and psychological consequences [Editorial]. *Dev Psychopathology* 2001; 13:413–418.

117. McEwen BS. Understanding the potency of stressful early life experiences on brain and body function. *Metabolism* 2008; 57(suppl 2):S11-S15.
118. Krieger N. Gender and racial discrimination: risk factors for high blood pressure? *Soc Sci Med* 1990; 12:1273-1281.
119. National Environmental Justice Advisory Council. *Ensuring Risk Reduction in Communities with Multiple Stressors: Environmental Justice and Cumulative Risks/Impacts*. New Orleans, LA: Cumulative Risks/Impacts Work Group, National Environmental Justice Advisory Council; 2004.
120. Borrell LN, Kiefe CI, Williams DR, Diez-Roux AV, Gordon-Larsen P. Self-reported health, perceived racial discrimination, and skin color in African Americans in the CARDIA study. *Soc Sci Med* 2006; 63:1415-1427.
121. Clark R, Anderson NB, Clark VR, Williams DR. Racism as a stressor for African Americans: a biopsychosocial model. *Am Psychol* 1999; 54(10):805-816.
122. Krieger N, Sidney S. Racial discrimination and blood pressure: the CARDIA Study of young black and white adults. *Am J Public Health* 1996; 86:1370-1378.
123. Kwate NOA, Valdimarsdottir HB, Guevarra JS, Bovbjerg DH. Experiences of racist events are associated with negative health consequences for African American women. *J Natl Med Assoc* 2003; 95(6):450-460.
124. Landrine H, Klonoff EA. The Schedule of Racist Events: a measure of racial discrimination and a study of its negative physical and mental health consequences. *J Black Psychol* 1996; 22:144-168.
125. Thompson VLS. Perceived experiences of racism as stressful life events. *Community Men Health J* 1996; 32:223-233.
126. Lauderdale DS. Birth outcomes for Arabic-named women in California before and after September 11. *Demography* 2006; 43(1):185-201.
127. Singh GK, Hiatt RA. Trends and disparities in socio-economic and behavioral characteristics, life expectancy, and cause-specific mortality of native-born and foreign-born populations in the United States, 1979-2003. *Int J Epidemiol* 2006; 35(4):903-919.
128. Krieger N. Stormy weather: race, gene expression, and the science of health disparities. *Am J Public Health* 2005; 95(12):2155-2160.
129. Geronimus A. The weathering hypothesis and the health of African-American women and infants: evidence and speculations. *Ethn Dis* 1992; 2:207-221.
130. Goodman E, McEwen BS, Dolan LM, Schafer-Kalkoff T, Adler NE. Social disadvantage and adolescent stress. *J Adolesc Health* 2005; 37:484-492.
131. Merritt M, Bennett G, Williams R, Sollers J, Thayer J. Low educational attainment, John Henryism, and cardiovascular reactivity to and recovery from personally relevant stress. *Psychosom Med* 2004; 66(1):49-55.
132. James SA, Van Hoewyk J, Belli RF, Strogatz DS, Williams DR, Raghunathan TE. Life-course socioeconomic position and hypertension in African-American men: The Pitt County Study. *Am J Public Health* 2006; 96(5):812-817.
133. Pappas G, Queen S, Hadden W, Fisher G. The increasing disparity and mortality between socioeconomic groups in the United States, 1960 and 1986. *N Engl J Med* 1993; 329:103-109.
134. David RJ, Collins JW. Differing birth weight among infants of U.S.-born blacks, African-born blacks, and U.S.-born whites. *N Engl J Med* 1997; 337:1209-1214.
135. Luginaah IN, Fung KY, Gorey KM, Webster G, Wills C. Association of ambient air pollution with respiratory hospitalization in a government-designated "area of concern": the case of Windsor, Ontario. *Environ Health Perspect* 2005; 113:290-296.
136. Oftedal B, Brunekreef B, Nystad W, Madsen C, Walker SE, Nafstad P. Residential outdoor air pollution and lung function in schoolchildren. *Epidemiology* 2008; 19(1):129-137.
137. Rojas-Martinez R, Perez-Padilla R, Olaiz-Fernandez G, Mendoza-Alvarado L, Moreno-Macias H, Fortoul T, McDonnell W, Loomis D, Romieu I. Lung function growth in children with long-term exposure to air pollutants in Mexico City. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007; 176:377-384.
138. Rosenlund M, Forastiere F, Porta D, De Sario M, Badaloni C, Perucci CA. Traffic-related air pollution in relation to respiratory symptoms, allergic sensitization, and lung function in school children. *Thorax* 2009; 64(7):573-580.
139. Kim CS, Hu SC. Regional deposition of inhaled particles in human lungs: comparison between men and women. *J Appl Physiol* 1998; 84(6):1834-1844.
140. Kohlhauf M, Brand P, Scheuch G, Meyer TS, Schulz H, Haussinger K. Increased fine particle deposition in women with asymptomatic nonspecific airway hyper-responsiveness. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999; 159(3):902-906.
141. Arbuckle TE. Are there sex and gender differences in acute exposure to chemicals in the same setting? *Environ Res* 2006; 101:195-204.
142. Messing K, Stellman JM. Sex, gender, and women's occupational health: the importance of considering mechanism. *Environ Res* 2006; 101:146-162.
143. McConnell R, Berhane K, Gilliland F, London SJ, Islam T, Gauderman WJ, Avol E, Margolis HG, Peters JM. Asthma in exercising children exposed to ozone: a cohort study. *Lancet* 2002; 359:386-391.
144. Dietrich DF, Gemperil A, Gaspoz J-M, Schindler C, Liu SL-J, Gold DR, Schwartz J, Rochat T, Barthélémy JC, Pons M, Roche F, Probst Hensch NM, Bridevaux PO, Gerbase MW, Neu U, Ackermann-Lieblich U, SAPALDIA Team. Differences in heart rate variability associated with long-term exposure to NO₂. *Environ Health Perspect* 2008; 116:1357-1361.
145. Jedrychowski W, Krzyzanowski M. Ventilatory lung function and chronic chest symptoms among the inhabitants of urban areas with various levels of acid aerosols: prospective study in Cracow. *Environ Health Perspect* 1989; 79:101-107.
146. Sundaram V, Helweg-Larsen K, Laursen B, Bjerregaard P. Physical violence, self rated health, and morbidity: is gender significant for victimisation? *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2004; 58:65-70.

147. Taylor SE, Klein LC, Lewis BP, Gruenewald TL, Gurung RAR, Updegraff JA. Biobehavioral responses to stress in females; tend-and-befriend, not fight-or-flight. *Psychol Rev* 2000; 107(3):411–429.
148. Kan H, London SJ, Chen G, Zhang Y, Song G, Zhao N, Jiang L, Chen B. Season, sex, age, and education as modifiers of the effects of outdoor air pollution on daily mortality in Shanghai, China: The Public Health and Air Pollution in Asia (PAPA) Study. *Environ Health Perspect* 2008; 116:1183–1188.
149. Adler NE, Boyce T, Chesney MA, Cohen S, Folkman S, Kahn RL, Syme SL. Socioeconomic status and health. The challenge of the gradient. *Am Psychol* 1994; 49(1):15–24.
150. Fernald LCH, Burke HM, Gunnar MR. Salivary cortisol levels in children of low-income women with high depressive symptomatology. *Dev Psychopathol* 2008; 20:423–436.
151. Ronsaville DS, Municchi G, Laney C, Cizza G, Meyer SE, Haim A, Radke-Yarrow M, Chrousos G, Gold PW, Martinez PE. Maternal and environmental factors influence the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis response to corticotropin-releasing hormone infusion in offspring of mothers with or without mood disorders. *Dev Psychopathol* 2006; 18:173–194.
152. Dowd J, Goldman N. Do biomarkers of stress mediate the relation between socioeconomic status and health? *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2006; 60:633–639.
153. Macleod J, Davey Smith G. Psychosocial factors and public health: a suitable case for treatment? *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2003; 57(8):565–570.

Received 23 January 2009

Accepted 12 May 2009