

Occurrence of *Cryptosporidium* (Apicomplexa, Cryptosporidiidae) in *Crotalus durissus terrificus* (Serpentes, Viperidae) in Brazil

Andréa Satie Matsubara Karasawa, Reinaldo José da Silva/⁺, Luciene Maura Mascarini, Thomaz Henrique Barrella*, Carlos Alberto de Magalhães Lopes*

Departamento de Parasitologia, Instituto de Biociências, Unesp, Distrito de Rubião Júnior, s/nº, 18618-000 Botucatu, SP, Brasil

*Centro de Estudos de Venenos e Animais Peçonhentos, Unesp, Botucatu, SP, Brasil

The objective of the present study was to investigate the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* (Apicomplexa, Cryptosporidiidae) in the snake *Crotalus durissus terrificus* (Serpentes, Viperidae). Fifty animals were evaluated for the presence of oocysts of *Cryptosporidium* sp. at the time of arrival and 30 and 60 days later. Intestinal washings with saline solution (1% body weight), fecal samples, and organ scrapings were collected during the study. Oocysts were concentrated by an ether-phosphate-buffered saline sedimentation technique and then separated by a density gradient centrifugation technique. Smears were made with the sediment and submitted to modified acid-fast and auramine-rhodamine staining. *Cryptosporidium*-positive smears were used as controls for the experimental findings. The overall prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* sp. oocysts was 14%. Among the positive snakes, oocysts were detected only in the intestinal washing in two specimens, only in the feces in four specimens, and in both materials at least once in one specimen. The positive snakes were predominantly from Santa Maria da Serra city State of São Paulo (57.1%). We also observed that all of the examinations that presented positive results were obtained at least 27 days after the capture of the animals.

Keywords: *Cryptosporidium* - *Crotalus durissus terrificus* - snakes - Brazil

Cryptosporidiosis is currently a topic of great worldwide interest in terms of hygiene and health because it can be detected in different hosts, including man, other mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, and fish (Fayer & Ungar 1986, Cranfield & Graczyk 1996).

The etiological agent is a protozoan of the genus *Cryptosporidium* that colonizes the mucous membranes of the gastric or intestinal epithelium, sometimes causing clinical signs or subclinical infection. Several studies have been published on the occurrence of cryptosporidiosis in snakes (Fayer et al. 1995, Graczyk & Cranfield 1996, 1998, Graczyk et al. 1996a,b, 1998a,b,c). Infections described in Boa, Elaphe, and *Crotalus* species suggest that all snakes species may serve as hosts. Easily stressed species, such as rattlesnakes, seem to have a higher infection-rate.

There are studies on the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* sp. in Brazilian snakes such as *Boa constrictor*, *Corallus caninus*, and *Epicrates cenchria cenchria*, but no references are available for venomous snakes. Thus, the objective of the present study was to investigate the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* in *C. d. terrificus*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted on *C. d. terrificus* snakes from Botucatu, State of São Paulo, Brazil, recently captured and donated to the Center for the Study of Venoms and

Venomous Animals, of São Paulo State University, from October 2000 to January 2001. The animals were checked for the presence of oocysts of *Cryptosporidium* sp. at the time of arrival and 30 and 60 days later. Data concerning snake collection (origin, place, and date), biometry (total length and weight), and sex were recorded immediately after the arrival of each animal.

Intestinal washings with saline solution (1% body weight) were performed on three occasions during the study. The collected samples were centrifuged and the sediment stored in 10% buffered formalin. *Cryptosporidium* oocysts were concentrated by an ether-phosphate-buffered saline sedimentation technique and then separated by a density gradient centrifugation technique (Waldman et al. 1986). Smears were performed with the sediment and stained by a modified acid-fast and auramine-rhodamine method (Henriksen & Pohlenz 1981). *Cryptosporidium*-positive smears were used as a control to the experimental findings.

Fecal samples were collected throughout the study and evaluated by the same methodology. Organ scrapings from animals that died were also examined.

The data obtained for the length and weight of the animals at the different times of study were analyzed statistically by the Friedman test. The numbers of males and females were analyzed by the proportion test. The level of significance was set at 5%. Statistical analysis was performed using the Sigma Stat 2.0 software (Jandel Cientific Corporation).

RESULTS

Characterization of the snake population - Fifty snakes were examined. Botucatu (15%) and Santa Maria da Serra (13%) were the towns that donated the largest number of snakes. The proportion of males (46%) and

Financial support: Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (Fapesp)

*Corresponding author. Fax: +55-14-68213744. E-mail: reinaldo@ibb.unesp.br

Received 26 December 2001

Accepted 13 May 2002

females (54%) was similar ($p > 0.05$). Length and weight measured at 0, 30 and 60 days (82.5 ± 14.3 cm versus 82.7 ± 14.2 cm versus 83 ± 14.1 cm, and 374.8 ± 234.8 g versus 361.3 ± 223.1 g versus 350 ± 197.1 g, respectively) did not differ significantly ($p > 0.05$).

Prevalence of infection with *Cryptosporidium* sp. oocysts - To determine the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* sp. infection we studied 150 samples of intestinal washings collected at 0, 30 and 60 days; 62 fecal samples collected throughout the experiment; and scrapings collected at autopsy from the windpipe, lungs, esophagus, stomach and intestine.

The overall prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* sp. oocysts was 14%. A sample was considered to be positive if suspected forms were detected after auramine-rhodamine staining later confirmed by acid-fast staining.

Among the positive snakes, oocysts were detected only in the intestinal washing in two specimens (17 and 21), only in the feces in four (specimens 1, 22, 37 and 49) and in both materials at least once in one specimen (18). The positive snakes were predominantly from Santa Maria da Serra (57.1%). We also observed that all of the examinations giving positive results were those made at least 27 days after the capture of the animals (Table).

DISCUSSION

We believe that the infection-rate of 14% in our snakes was underestimated due to the techniques employed. Detection of anti-*Cryptosporidium* antibodies in serum, as well as antigens in the feces of snakes, have shown a respective prevalence of 73% and 89% (Graczyk & Cranfield 1997).

The current literature shows that the fecal smear technique is not the best method for the diagnosis of cryptosporidiosis, even more so when the infection is subclinical. This technique should be used exclusively for the determination of *Cryptosporidium*-positive snakes and not for the diagnosis of negativity. Even multiple, subsequent negative smears cannot be used as the basis for any conclusion regarding infection with *Cryptosporidium* (Graczyk et al. 1995, 1996c). Our concentration technique permits larger amounts of feces or intestinal washings to be analyzed. It has been shown that feces

that do not represent at least 0.41% of the body weight of the snake present low oocyst numbers, resulting in concentrations below detectable levels in a single smear (Graczyk et al. 1995).

Disproportion in the mass of feces is a characteristic of the physiology of the digestive tract of snakes (Graczyk et al. 1995). Food retention and infrequent defecation are normal for these animals and are not associated with any abnormalities or with health (Graczyk et al. 1996c). The food can stay in the intestine for variable periods of time, and the number of defecations is not the same as the number of food intakes. The cloaca of the snake serves as a place of passage or stockpiling for feces and urine. Thus, in fecal samples of small weight, the urine constitutes a large proportion compared to fecal samples of high weight. This can explain the low concentration of oocysts in fecal samples of low weight (Graczyk et al. 1995).

This explanation is also valid for the intestinal washing. We noted that in some cases there was only mucus and no fecal content after washing of the mucous membrane, or even a large urate concentration. This resulted in a low oocyst concentration. For this reason, we decided to centrifuge the samples.

The literature shows that elimination of oocysts in the feces is periodic (Graczyk et al. 1996c), explaining the presence or absence of oocysts in samples from the same animal. The presence of *Cryptosporidium* sp. can be frequently associated with capture or recent import, suggesting that stress and altered immunological state can play an important role in the development of the infection (Fayer & Ungar 1986, Gillespie 1988, O'Donoghue 1995, Tzipori & Griffiths 1998). Cryptosporidiosis is self-limited in immunocompetent mammals and a life threat in immunosuppressed mammals, and this seems to also be true for reptiles. The elimination of environmental or nutritional problems and other diseases seems to be more effective than the use of anti-*Cryptosporidium* drugs to reduce the infection (Graczyk et al. 1996a).

The stress of captivity may have contributed to induce elimination of oocysts in the feces and intestinal washing in our snakes, a fact that would explain the detection of positive samples during the second examination of our study (30th day). When we specifically analyzed animal

TABLE
Origin, capture, sex and examinations of snakes positive for *Cryptosporidium* sp.

Nr.	Origin	Capture date	Arrival date	Sex	Date of positive exam (days after capture)	Sample
1	Pratânia	NI	11/01/2000	M	12/09/2000 (39th day)	FE
17	Santa Maria da Serra	11/14/2000	11/17/2000	F	12/26/2000 (42th day)	IW
					01/29/2001 (76th day)	IW
18	Itaberá	10/30/2000	11/20/2000	F	11/27/2000 (28th day)	FE
					12/26/2000 (57th day)	IW
					01/29/2001 (91th day)	IW
					02/16/2001 (109th day)	FE
21	Santa Maria da Serra	11/29/2000	11/29/2000	F	12/26/2000 (27th day)	IW
22	Santa Maria da Serra	11/29/2000	11/29/2000	F	01/24/2001 (56th day)	FE
37	Santa Maria da Serra	12/28/2000	01/02/2001	M	01/24/2001 (27th day)	FE
49	Anhembi	01/21/2001	01/30/2001	M	02/22/2001 (30th day)	FE

NI: no information from donor; M: male; F: female; FE: feces; IW: intestinal washing

18, which had presented positive results only 7 days after its arrival at the Center; we observed that this animal had been captured 20 days before. Therefore, 100% of the samples studied, including feces or intestinal washings, were positive commencing from the 27th day after the animal's capture in its natural environment.

Our results confirm the characteristic of intermittent elimination of oocysts (Graczyk et al. 1996c). Animal 1 gave a negative result for oocysts in the three intestinal washings, but the fecal samples were positive on the 38th day. Another fecal examination performed 6 days later gave a negative result. Animal 17 presented positive intestinal washings at 30 and 60 days, but negative fecal examinations. The first intestinal washing of animal 18 was negative for *Cryptosporidium* sp. oocysts, while 7 days later, the fecal sample was positive. None of the snakes studied showed clinical signs of infection with *Cryptosporidium* sp.

Captive snakes are maintained on a diet of rodents, birds or mammals. It seems unlikely that infection is by way of the prey, however, because a comparison of the biology of *Cryptosporidium* from reptiles and mammals suggests that they represent different species (Fayer et al. 1995, Graczyk et al. 1996, Graczyk & Cranfield 1998, Graczyk et al. 1998a,b), and that cross-transmission experiments do not produce infections (Fayer et al. 1995, Graczyk et al. 1996, 1998, Graczyk & Cranfield 1998). Thus, *Cryptosporidium* oocysts isolated from snakes are not transmissible to birds or rodents (Graczyk & Cranfield 1997) and probably, therefore, not to man.

It is important nevertheless to recognize that the infected prey can be a source of oocysts which are ingested by the snake and undergo a passive oocyst transfer through the intestine. Although there is no infection, these animals can then eliminate oocysts that are detected in the feces (Graczyk & Cranfield 1997). Although some minimal differences have been reported in the size of *Cryptosporidium* sp. oocysts of snakes and mammals, it is impossible to differentiate them using only morphology (Graczyk & Cranfield 1997). This means that snakes that eliminate oocysts may not actually be infected by *Cryptosporidium* sp. In our study, tests were not performed for the presence of oocysts in the mice used to feed the snakes. However, we observed the presence of oocysts in snakes that had both fed and not fed on such animals. In addition, most of the animals that did feed did not present oocysts. All this suggests that the oocysts detected in our snakes were acquired under natural conditions. Finally, molecular studies will probably prove to be useful in the identification of *Cryptosporidium* species.

REFERENCES

- Cranfield MR, Graczyk TK 1996. Cryptosporidiosis. In DR Mader, Reptile Medicine and Surgery, WB Saunders, Philadelphia, p. 359-363.

- Fayer R, Ungar BLP 1986. *Cryptosporidium* spp. and cryptosporidiosis. *Microbiol Rev* 50: 458-483.
- Fayer R, Graczyk TK, Cranfield MR 1995. Multiple heterogeneous isolates of *Cryptosporidium serpentis* from captive snakes are not transmissible to neonatal BALB/c mice (*Mus musculus*). *J Parasitol* 81: 482-484.
- Gillespie D 1988. Criptosporidiosis em répteis. In RW Kirk, Atualização Terapêutica Veterinária, Vol. 2, Manole, Rio de Janeiro, p. 941-942.
- Graczyk TK, Cranfield MR 1996. Assessment of the conventional detection of fecal *Cryptosporidium serpentis* oocysts in subclinically infected captive snakes. *Vet Res* 27: 185-192.
- Graczyk TK, Cranfield MR 1997. Detection of *Cryptosporidium*-specific serum immunoglobulins in captive snakes by a polyclonal antibody in the direct Elisa. *Vet Res* 28: 131-142.
- Graczyk TK, Cranfield MR 1998. Experimental transmission of *Cryptosporidium* oocyst isolates from mammals, birds and reptiles to captive snakes. *Vet Res* 29: 187-195.
- Graczyk TK, Cranfield MR, Fayer R 1995. A comparative assessment of direct fluorescence antibody modified acid fast stain, and sucrose flotation techniques for detection of *Cryptosporidium serpentis* oocysts in snakes fecal specimens. *J Zoo Wildl Med* 26: 396-402.
- Graczyk TK, Cranfield MR, Fayer R 1998a. Oocysts of *Cryptosporidium* from snakes are not infectious to ducklings but retain viability after intestinal passage through a refractory host. *Vet Parasitol* 77: 33-40.
- Graczyk TK, Cranfield MR, Geitner MEA 1998b. Multiple *Cryptosporidium serpentis* oocyst isolates from captive snakes are not transmissible to amphibians. *J Parasitol* 84: 1298-1300.
- Graczyk TK, Cranfield MR, Helmer P, Fayer R, Bostwick EF 1998c. Therapeutic efficacy of hyperimmune bovine colostrum treatment against clinical and subclinical *Cryptosporidium serpentis* infections in captive snakes. *Vet Parasitol* 74: 123-132.
- Graczyk TK, Cranfield MR, Hill SL 1996a. Therapeutic efficacy of halofuginone and spiramycin treatment against *Cryptosporidium serpentis* (Apicomplexa: Cryptosporidiidae) infections in captive snakes. *Parasitol Res* 82: 143-148.
- Graczyk TK, Fayer R, Cranfield MR 1996b. *Cryptosporidium parvum* is not transmissible to fish, amphibians, or reptiles. *J Parasitol* 85: 748-751.
- Graczyk TK, Owens R, Cranfield MR 1996c. Diagnosis of subclinical cryptosporidiosis in captive snakes based on stomach lavage and cloacal sampling. *Vet Parasitol* 67: 143-151.
- Henriksen A, Pohlenz JFL 1981. Staining of *Cryptosporidium* by a modified Ziehl-Neelsen technique. *Acta Vet Scand* 22: 594-596.
- O'Donoghue PJ 1995. *Cryptosporidium* and cryptosporidiosis in man and animals. *Int J Parasitol* 25: 139-195.
- Tzipori S, Griffiths JK 1998. Natural history and biology of *Cryptosporidium parvum*. *Adv Parasitol* 40: 5-36.
- Waldman E, Tzipori S, Forsyth JRL 1986. Separation of *Cryptosporidium* species oocysts from feces by using a percoll discontinuous density gradient. *J Clin Microb* 23: 199-200.

