Infrared and Chemical Characterization of Natural Amethysts and Prasiolites Colored by Irradiation
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The infrared bands of amethyst and prasiolite samples from different origins were correlated to the trace elements contents. Amethysts have an iron content greater than 20 ppm and a low content of sodium and potassium. Prasiolites have an aluminum content greater than 120 ppm and a higher overall trace elements content, which accounts for a strong absorption between 3200 and 3600 cm⁻¹. Colorless samples of quartz that become amethysts and prasiolites after irradiation have infrared spectra at room temperature with a broad band at 3441 cm⁻¹ and a sharp band at 3595 cm⁻¹. The broad band splits in several bands at low temperatures that are related to Al⁺ and Fe⁺. The color of amethysts and prasiolites are assigned to [AlO₄/h⁺] and [FeO₄/h⁺] centers formed by the exposure to ionizing irradiation and to the influence of lattice distortions due to the content of iron as a substitute for silicon and a high content of trace elements of large ionic radius like potassium.
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1. Introduction

Amethyst is a violet variety and prasiolite is a green variety of natural quartz. They are appreciated as gemstones. These colors can be bleached by heating or exposure to intense ultraviolet radiation. The heating of amethyst and prasiolite may also produce yellow colors. Some occurrences of natural colorless quartz may be transformed in amethyst or prasiolite by exposure to high energy ionizing radiation (e.g., gamma rays, X-rays, or electron beam). They are routinely exposed to ionizing radiation to produce amethyst and prasiolite for jewelry. This is a well-established economic activity in the states of Minas Gerais and Rio Grande do Sul in Brazil. The understanding of the color formation mechanism is necessary for the control of the extraction and irradiation of natural colorless quartz to produce amethyst and prasiolite.

The causes of color of amethyst have been investigated since 1899. The violet color have been explained in association with trace elements in small inclusions in the quartz matrix, or as substitutes for silicon, or in interstitial positions in the quartz crystal lattice, and to the exposure of quartz to natural ionizing irradiation. Synthetec amethyst and prasiolite samples were grown in autoclaves in aqueous medium with alkaline and iron ions. Aluminum and iron are the trace elements usually assigned as substitutes for silicon in the quartz lattice. Since Al³⁺ or Fe³⁺ replace Si⁴⁺ in the center of a SiO₄ tetrahedron (designated as Al₅₄ or Fe₅₄), the charge deficiency requires an interstitial positive monovalent ion in the vicinity to neutralize the electric charge. H⁺, Li⁺, and Na⁺ are usually considered as charge compensators. Interstitial Fe⁴⁺ was also proposed as charge compensator for Fe₅₄. The formation of FeO microinclusions and the presence of oxygen vacancies were also used to explain the formation of yellow colors after the heating of some amethysts. These [AlO₄/M⁺] or [FeO₄/M⁺] centers (M⁺ is the charge compensator) are supposed to have a relation to the color formation in quartz by exposure to irradiation and heat. The relation of [AlO₄/h⁺] center to smoky quartz is well accepted in the literature (h⁺ is an electron hole). This center is also noted as [AlO₄]⁺.

The infrared spectrum of natural colorless quartz between 2400 and 4000 cm⁻¹ is correlated to the potential of color development by irradiation and heating. Bands related to hydroxyl between 3200 and 3600 cm⁻¹ are influenced by the trace elements. The bands at room temperature at 3381, 3433, and 3483 cm⁻¹ are respectively related to Al₅₄-OH, Al₅₄-OH/Na⁺, and Al₅₄-OH/Li⁺. Colorless samples that produce amethyst and prasiolite after irradiation show at room temperature a broad band at 3441 cm⁻¹ and a sharp band at 3585 cm⁻¹. The nature of these bands is not yet resolved.

Prasiolite usually occurs in nature in geodes, like amethyst. It is common to find both prasiolite and amethyst in the same place. The formation of prasiolite may also produce amethyst and amethyst due to these similarities, prasiolite is occasionally designated as “green amethyst”. The infrared spectra also support the similarities between these quartz.

This paper presents comparative results of the characterization of amethyst and prasiolite in order to establish the differences between these varieties of quartz and to discuss the nature of the infrared bands of some amethysts. These [AlO₄/M⁺] or [FeO₄/M⁺] centers (M⁺ is the charge compensator) are supposed to have a relation to the color formation in quartz by exposure to irradiation and heat. The relation of [AlO₄/h⁺] center to smoky quartz is well accepted in the literature (h⁺ is an electron hole). This center is also noted as [AlO₄]⁺.

2. Experimental

Samples of natural amethyst and prasiolite from different occurrences were collected (see Table 1). The trace elements contents in the samples were measured by inductively coupled plasma atomic
Table 1. Trace elements contents (ppm) of amethysts and prasiolites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Li</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amethyst-Felício dos Santos, Minas Gerais, Brazil</td>
<td>104.00</td>
<td>&lt;2.00</td>
<td>&lt;4.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>&lt;10.00</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>30.90</td>
<td>&lt;4.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>&lt;1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amethyst-Espírito Santo, Brazil</td>
<td>93.60</td>
<td>&lt;2.00</td>
<td>&lt;4.00</td>
<td>136.30</td>
<td>12.90</td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>&lt;5.00</td>
<td>&lt;4.00</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amethyst-Brumado, Bahia, Brazil</td>
<td>&lt;10.00</td>
<td>&lt;2.00</td>
<td>&lt;4.00</td>
<td>28.70</td>
<td>20.90</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>33.60</td>
<td>&lt;4.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>&lt;1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amethyst-Santa Maria, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil</td>
<td>13.10</td>
<td>&lt;2.00</td>
<td>&lt;4.00</td>
<td>28.70</td>
<td>20.90</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>33.60</td>
<td>&lt;4.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>&lt;1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amethyst-Brejinho das Ametistas, Bahia, Brazil</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>&lt;2.00</td>
<td>&lt;4.00</td>
<td>51.10</td>
<td>29.50</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>33.60</td>
<td>&lt;4.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>&lt;1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prasiolite-Maranhá, Pará, Brazil</td>
<td>171.70</td>
<td>&lt;2.00</td>
<td>&lt;4.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>86.40</td>
<td>&lt;4.00</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>&lt;1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prasiolite-Montezuma, Minas Gerais, Brazil</td>
<td>155.60</td>
<td>&lt;2.00</td>
<td>&lt;4.00</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>86.40</td>
<td>&lt;4.00</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>&lt;1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prasiolite-Montezuma, Minas Gerais, Brazil</td>
<td>137.80</td>
<td>&lt;2.00</td>
<td>&lt;4.00</td>
<td>16.80</td>
<td>50.20</td>
<td>9.14</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>48.20</td>
<td>&lt;4.00</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>&lt;1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prasiolite-Uberlândia, Minas Gerais, Brazil</td>
<td>224.20</td>
<td>&lt;2.00</td>
<td>&lt;4.00</td>
<td>13.80</td>
<td>93.10</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>113.20</td>
<td>&lt;4.00</td>
<td>&lt;1.00</td>
<td>&lt;1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prasiolite-Tocantins, Brazil</td>
<td>313.40</td>
<td>&lt;2.00</td>
<td>&lt;4.00</td>
<td>9.65</td>
<td>153.50</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>175.50</td>
<td>&lt;4.00</td>
<td>&lt;1.00</td>
<td>&lt;1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Low temperature infrared bands of amethysts and prasiolites after heating to complete bleaching of the color.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band at (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Amethyst Felício dos Santos</th>
<th>Amethyst Espírito Santo</th>
<th>Amethyst Brumado</th>
<th>Amethyst Santa Maria</th>
<th>Amethyst Brejinho das Ametistas</th>
<th>Amethyst Nova Era</th>
<th>Prasiolite Maranhá</th>
<th>Prasiolite Montezuma</th>
<th>Prasiolite Bolívia</th>
<th>Prasiolite Uberlândia</th>
<th>Prasiolite Tocantins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3593.6 to 3598.9</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3579.1 to 3580.5</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3437.3 to 3440.2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3395.4 to 3415.2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3365.5 to 3367.4</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3351.5 to 3354.4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3296.5 to 3308.6</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3223.3 to 3232.4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2686.6 to 2691.9</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2607 to 2612.8</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2509 to 2513.5</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X means that the band is clearly observed; ? means that the band is not clearly observed, and O means that the band is not observed.
emission spectrometry using a Perkin Elmer spectrometer model ICP Optima 3000. The samples were sonicated in distilled and deionized water for surface cleaning. After drying in air, the samples were heated to 973 K and quenched in cold water to promote their fracture to help the grinding. This step is also important to crackle the fluid inclusions and to promote their leaching to the aqueous medium. The grinding to a fine granulometry was performed in a mortar with a pestle, both made of high purity quartz. The powder was sonicated in distilled and deionized water and filtered in a Büchner vacuum funnel. The solubilization was performed in a polytetrafluoroethylene beaker with fluoridric, chloridric, and perchloric acids. The solution was dried at 373 K. This procedure was repeated three times. Then sulfuric and chloridric acids were added and dried at 373 K. The residue in the beaker was transferred to a volumetric flask with chloridric acid and distilled and deionized water. The trace contents were measured in two samples to verify the possibility of contamination and errors in the procedure above described. The trace contents were calculated according to Guzzo. Fractions of the samples were ground and analyzed by X-ray powder diffraction to assure that all samples were quartz crystals. The diffractograms were taken using a Philips®-PANalytical model PW-1710 (scan speed of 0,060 degrees per second).

Thin sheets about 1 x 1 cm and 1 mm thickness were cut from the samples with a low speed rim diamond wafering blade, with the c axis perpendicular to the square faces. The sheets were ground and polished on both sides up to the diamond paste of 1 µm. They were heated in air up to 723 K until the complete bleaching of the colors.

The irradiation of the colorless sheets was performed in a Cobalt®-60 Nordion GB-127 panoramic dry gamma irradiator up to a dosis of 600 kGy. The temperature in the irradiation room was about 300 K. The dose rate was not controlled, but it varied from 0.5 to 20 kGy/hour.

Infrared absorption spectra of the sheets were measured using an ABB Bomem spectrometer model MB 102 (spectral range from 400 to 4000 cm⁻¹, 128 scans, and a resolution of 1 cm⁻¹). Both room and low temperature spectra were measured. Since the bands are higher and thinner in the low temperature spectrum, the observation of details not visible at room temperature is possible at low temperature. The low temperature measurements were performed with the same spectrometer and a variable temperature cell (88 to 298 K) from Specac with NaCl windows and liquid nitrogen under low pressure (about 1.3 Pa).

Infrared spectra of samples of colorless quartz that become black after irradiation and greenish yellow to brown after heating or grayish olive green after irradiation and olive green after heating were also measured.

3. Results and Discussion

The X-ray diffraction revealed that all samples were high purity alpha quartz (content higher than 98%).

Table 1 shows the trace contents in the samples. The main trace elements are, as expected, aluminum, iron, lithium, sodium, and potassium. The hydrogen content could not be measured. Amethysts have higher contents of iron (>20 ppm) and lower contents of sodium plus potassium, whereas prasiolites have higher contents of aluminum (>120 ppm) and sodium plus potassium.

A background of infrared absorption that rises, falls, or is constant for wavenumbers above 3000 cm⁻¹ was observed. It was attributed to internal turbidity of the samples for the rising background or to micro inclusions. Many samples show a noise between 3600 and 4000 cm⁻¹ that was also attributed to micro inclusions. The Table 2 shows the bands observed in the infrared spectrum at low temperature. The position of these bands may vary within 10-20 cm⁻¹. The last three bands are present in all samples of quartz. They were used to normalize the spectra by considering the absorption in one of them equal to 1 (the band at around 2690 cm⁻¹ was chosen). A third order polynomial was fitted to the baseline in the range of 4100 to 5300 cm⁻¹, where no bands are observed, and subtracted.
from the normalized spectra. The spectra presented in the following were obtained according to this procedure.

Figures 1 and 2 show typical spectra of the samples measured at room and at low temperature. The prasiolites show a stronger absorption between 3200 to 3600 cm\(^{-1}\). At low temperature the bands in this range appear decomposed in different bands.

Only the amethyst sample from Espirito Santo didn’t show the band at 3593.6 to 3598.9 cm\(^{-1}\). This sample has the lowest sodium and potassium content. The band at 3437.3 to 3440.2 cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to Al\(_2\)-OH/Na, and the bands at 3365.5 to 3367.4 cm\(^{-1}\) and 3296.5 to 3308.6 cm\(^{-1}\) are attributed to Al\(_4\)-OH. The bands at 3579.1 to 3580.5 cm\(^{-1}\), 3395.4 to 3415.2 cm\(^{-1}\), and 3351.5 to 3354.4 cm\(^{-1}\) are probably related to iron. The band at 3593.6 to 3598.9 cm\(^{-1}\) may also be observed in samples of colorless quartz that become smoky or black after irradiation and greenish yellow to brown after heating (Figure 3). The bands related to Al\(_3\)-OH/Li, Al\(_5\)-OH/Na, or Al\(_6\)-OH are observed in these samples, but the bands attributed to iron are not observed. This band is probably related to Al\(_2\)-OH/K or Al\(_3\)-OH/Na. It is apparently not correlated to the development of colors after irradiation. Karampelas at al.\(^{23}\) proposed that this band can be used to help the distinguishing of natural from synthetic amethyst, because it is present in most natural but absent in most synthetic amethyst, but they didn’t discuss its nature.

Figure 4 shows the infrared spectra before and after irradiation. One observes a decrease of the absorption between 3200 and 3600 cm\(^{-1}\), which is probably related to the dissociation of Al\(_2\)-OH and Fe\(_4\)-OH centers. The amethysts recovered their original colors and the prasiolites become smoky green after irradiation. The smoky color of the prasiolites can be bleached by short exposition to ultraviolet rays, so that the original color can be obtained.

Smoky quartz is explained by the interaction of [Al\(_2\)-O/\(M^+\)] centers with the radiation (\(M^+\) is the charge compensator, H\(^+\), Li\(^+\), or Na\(^+\)). These centers are dissociated according to

\[
[\text{Al}_2\text{O/Na}]^+ \rightarrow [\text{Al}_2\text{O/Na}^+] + M^+ + e
\]  

where \(e^+\) is an electron hole.\(^{24}\) The [Al\(_2\)-O/Na\(^+\)] center is responsible for the formation of the smoky quartz. After the dissociation of the [Al\(_2\)-O/\(M^+\)] the M\(^+\) is free to diffuse in the crystal lattice of quartz. The bands related to [Al\(_2\)-O/\(H^+\)] show a small decrease after irradiation, because the H\(^+\) has a high mobility in the quartz lattice. It may diffuse away very fast from the [Al\(_2\)-O/\(H^+\)] center, but may also meet another [Al\(_2\)-O/\(H^+\)] center so that the [Al\(_2\)-O/\(H^+\)] is formed again with a high frequency. The bands related to [Al\(_2\)-O/Na\(^+\)] also show a small decrease after irradiation, because the Na\(^+\) has a low mobility in the quartz lattice. It remains near the [Al\(_2\)-O/\(Na^+\)] center so that the [Al\(_2\)-O/Na\(^+\)] is formed again with a high frequency. Only the band related to [Al\(_2\)-O/Li\(^+\)] decreases after irradiation, because lithium has a moderate mobility in the quartz lattice. It may diffuse to an intermediate distance from the [Al\(_2\)-O/\(Li^+\)] center, so that the formation of [Al\(_2\)-O/\(Li^+\)] is a less probable event. The same processes should work in the case of iron as a substitute for silicon in the quartz lattice, so that the reaction

\[
[\text{Fe}_{2s}\text{O/Na}]^+ \rightarrow [\text{Fe}_{2s}\text{O/Na}^+] + M^+ + e
\]  

should play a role in the formation of the green color of prasiolite. Lattice distortions may also contribute for the formation of color. They are expected if an iron atom replaces a silicon one. The ionic radius of the iron is large enough to cause distortions in the tetrahedral configuration of the quartz lattice. The iron also has an electronic configuration very different from the one of silicon. Its outer electrons are 3d\(^{4}\)s\(^{2}\), whereas the aluminum outer electrons are 3s\(^{2}\)2p\(^{1}\), like the silicon ones, 3s\(^{2}\)2p\(^{2}\). This difference causes additional distortions in the lattice in the vicinity of an iron atom, because the tetrahedral configuration causes crystal field splitting of the 3d-orbitals of iron into two groups, with a lower energy splitting difference, which accounts for absorption of light by the [Fe\(_2\)-O/\(H^+\)] center in longer wavelengths in comparison to the [Al\(_2\)-O/\(H^+\)] center. The large ionic radius of potassium should also cause lattice distortions. The result

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3.** Infrared spectrum at 300 K of a colorless sample of quartz from São José da Safira, Minas Gerais, Brazil that becomes smoky or black after irradiation and greenish yellow to brown after heating.

![Figure 4](image-url)  
**Figure 4.** Infrared spectra at 93 K of a) amethyst from Brumado, Bahia, Brazil and b) prasiolite from Uberlândia, Minas Gerais, Brazil before and after irradiation with gamma rays up to 600 KGy.
is an overall shift of light absorption to longer wavelengths, which result in the violet or green colors instead of a smoky color.

There are samples of quartz that become smoky olive green after irradiation and olive green after heating. These samples have the spectrum shown in Figure 5. One notices a band at 3392.5 cm\(^{-1}\) (attributed to iron), a band at 3473.5 cm\(^{-1}\) (attributed to Al-OH/Li), and bands at 3504 and 3515.9 cm\(^{-1}\). The bands at 3595 cm\(^{-1}\) may be related to Fe-OH or Fe-OH/Na. Due to these characteristics of both the amethyst and the greenish yellow to brown quartz, we name this kind of quartz “heminefite”, from the greek “hemo” (half) and “nepho” (sober), that means “the stone of the one that is half sober”. Amethyst in ancient greek means “the stone of the one that is sober”. Table 3 summarizes the relations of the infrared bands.

4. Conclusions

The amethyst samples have a content of iron greater than 20 ppm and low contents of sodium and potassium. The prasiolite samples have a content of aluminum greater than 120 ppm and a high contents of sodium and potassium. The overall content of trace elements is higher in the prasiolite samples.

Colorless samples of natural quartz that become amethyst and prasiolite after irradiation have infrared spectra at room temperature with a broad band at around 3441 cm\(^{-1}\) and a band at 3585 cm\(^{-1}\). The samples that become prasiolites show a strong absorption between 3200 and 3600 cm\(^{-1}\), which may be related to their higher content of trace elements. The broad band at around 3441 cm\(^{-1}\) appears decomposed in several bands at low temperature. Some of them are bands related to Al-OH/Na (3437.3 to 3440.2 cm\(^{-1}\)) and Al-OH (3365.5 to 3367.4 cm\(^{-1}\)) reported in the literature. The band at 3593.6 to 3598.9 cm\(^{-1}\) is usually observed in samples that show only bands related to Al-OH, Al-OH/Li, and Al-OH/Na. This band is also probably related to aluminum. The other bands respectively at 3395.4 to 3415.2 cm\(^{-1}\) and 3351.5 to 3354.4 cm\(^{-1}\) are probably related to iron.

The irradiation decreases the absorption between 3200 and 3600 cm\(^{-1}\) in a nearly uniform way. This is expected due to the absence of an Al-OH/Li band in the infrared spectrum of the colorless samples than become amethysts or prasiolite after irradiation.

Heminefites show bands related to Al-OH/Li, Al-OH/Na, and Al-OH together with bands at 3515.9, 3504.4, and 3395 cm\(^{-1}\). The bands at 3515.9 and 3504.4 cm\(^{-1}\) are not observed in the amethyst and prasiolite samples. They are probably related to Fe-OH/Li, so that the band at 3392.5 cm\(^{-1}\) is probably related to Fe-OH or Fe-OH/Na.

The color of amethysts and prasiolites are the result of the formation of \([\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/h]^{+}\) and \([\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/h]^{+}\) centers formed by the exposure to ionizing irradiation and the influence of lattice distortions caused by the iron as a substitute for silicon and a high content of trace elements of large ionic radius like potassium.
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