Evaluation of lugol solution used for counting rumen ciliates
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ABSTRACT. The present study proposes a modification of the technique described by PURSER & MOIR (1959) for the quantitative evaluation of rumen ciliate based on an adaptation described by DEHORITY (1984). The modifying process includes: the replacement of two drops of brilliant green dye, for at least four hours, by three drops of lugol solution, for at least 15 minutes. It was made a comparative evaluation of these stainings. It was concluded that lugol solution can replace the brilliant green dye showing the following advantages: staining time reduction and subsequent speeding of sample processing; evidence of skeletal plates of entodiniomorphs making its identification easier; improved observation of small ciliates and inconspicuous structures; improved total counting and generic identification of the ciliates.
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Among the techniques found in the literature for the quantitative evaluation of rumen ciliates are the one mentioned by OGIMOTO & IMAI (1981) which uses a plankton counting slide, the Fuchs-Rosenthal and the Sedgewick-Rafter counting chamber. DEHORITY (1984) described an adaptation of the procedure proposed by PURSER & MOIR (1959), using the Sedgewick-Rafter counting chamber. In order to improve the observation of ciliates and reduce the time of sample processing, the present work proposes the replacement of the brilliant green dye used by DEHORITY (1984) by the lugol solution. It also presents a comparison between these procedures.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Thirty samples of rumen contents were obtained from rumen-fistulate crossbred Holstein Friesian-Zebu cow. Each sample consisted of approximately 20 cm³ of rumen content taken from the center of the rumen mass to which were added 20 ml of rumen fluid obtained by aspiration. These 40 ml were fixed in an equal volume of 18.5% formalin and processed according to DEHORITY (1984). It involved the usage of 1 ml subsamples of the rumen content stained with two drops of brilliant green dye for at least four hours and subsequent dilution in 9 ml of 30% glycerol. The differential counting of the ciliates was performed twice using a Sedgewick-Rafter counting chamber with 1 ml of the diluted subsample. In another
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Fig. 1. Comparative aspects of ciliates observed in the rumen content stained with brilliant green dye (a) and lugol solution (b). Scale bar = 10 μm.
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counting series, performed by the same person, the following adaptation was introduced: two drops of brilliant green dye were replaced by three drops of lugol solution for at least 15 minutes before the counting. After the staining, the glycerol was added. The data were analysed statistically by analysis of variance followed by the 5% Tukey test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The following genera of rumen ciliate have been identified and quantified: *Entodinium* Stein, 1859, *Isotricha* Stein, 1859, *Dasytricha* Schuberg, 1888, *Charonina* Strand, 1928, *Eodinium* Kofoid & MacLennan, 1932, *Eremoplastron* Kofoid & MacLennan, 1932, *Polyplastron* Dogiel, 1927, *Diploplastron* Kofoid & MacLennan, 1932 and *Ostracodinium* Dogiel, 1927. The last three ones were analysed together and referred to as P + D + O.

The total numbers of ciliates reported after staining with brilliant green and lugol solution were 295,346.66 and 331,173.34, respectively. The results of the differential counting of the ciliates using brilliant green and lugol solution with the respective average and percentage values are shown in table I. The results expressed the number of ciliates per milliliter of rumen content. The *Entodinium*, *Isotricha*, *Dasytricha*, *Charonina* and *Eodinium* values as well as the total values differed significantly between the treatments.

Figure 1 presents comparative aspects of ciliates observed in the rumen content stained with brilliant green dye and lugol solution.

The improved observation of ciliates using the lugol solution seems to be responsible for the significant difference observed in the total values in some of the genera (Tab. I). Besides, this procedure reduces the staining time and the subsequent speeding of sample processing. For enhancing ciliary structures, this staining significantly favoured the identification and counting of small ciliates showing more delicate structure such as in the genus *Charonina*.

Table I. Means, percentage and total numbers of ciliates of sampled rumen contents (number of ciliates per rumen content) stained with brilliant green dye and lugol solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ciliates</th>
<th>Brilliant green</th>
<th>Lugol solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entodinium</td>
<td>254,493.33 a</td>
<td>86.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotricha</td>
<td>5,240.00 a</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dasytricha</td>
<td>280.00 a</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charonina</td>
<td>7,413.33 a</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diplodinium</td>
<td>9,400.00</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eodinium</td>
<td>6,373.33 a</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eremoplastron</td>
<td>3,240.00</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P+D+O *</td>
<td>8,906.67</td>
<td>3.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>295,346.66 a</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) Means in the same row followed by different letters are significantly different at P < 0.05; (P+D+O) *Polyplastron* + *Diploplastron* + *Ostracodinium*; N = 30.
It is shown the skeletal plates of large entodiniomorphs of the subfamily Diplodiinae (Fig. 2) which acquire a brown-redish staining when in contact with the lugol solution, owing to their polysaccharide nature. According to D’AGOSTO...
& SANTA-ROSA (1994) this observation is not possible with Feulgen’s reaction or silver impregnation’s technique.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results presented, it was concluded that the lugol solution can replace the brilliant green dye showing the following advantages: 1) staining time reduction and subsequent speeding of sample processing; 2) improved evidence of ciliates and facility for material reading; 3) improved observation of small ciliates and inconspicuous structures such as in Charonina sp.; 4) evidence of skeletal plates of entodiniomorphs, easing its identification; 5) improved total counting and generic identification of ciliates.
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