

Luísa Sorio Flor<sup>I</sup>Monica Rodrigues Campos<sup>II</sup>Andreia Ferreira de Oliveira<sup>III</sup>Joyce Mendes de Andrade Schramm<sup>IV</sup>

# Diabetes burden in Brazil: fraction attributable to overweight, obesity, and excess weight

## Carga de diabetes no Brasil: fração atribuível ao sobrepeso, obesidade e excesso de peso

---

### ABSTRACT

**OBJECTIVE:** To estimate the burden of type 2 diabetes mellitus and its percentage attributable to overweight and obesity in Brazil.

**METHODS:** The burden of diabetes mellitus was described in terms of disability-adjusted life years, which is the sum of two components: years of life lost and years lived with disability. To calculate the fraction of diabetes mellitus attributable to overweight, obesity, and excess weight, we used the prevalence of these risk factors according to sex and age groups (> 20 years) obtained from the 2008 *Pesquisa Dimensões Sociais das Desigualdades* (Social Dimensions of Inequality Survey) and the relative risks derived from the international literature.

**RESULTS:** Diabetes mellitus accounted for 5.4% of Brazilian disability-adjusted life years in 2008, with the largest fraction attributed to the morbidity component (years lived with disability). Women exhibited higher values for disability-adjusted life years. In Brazil, 49.2%, 58.3%, and 70.6% of diabetes mellitus in women was attributable to overweight, obesity, and excess weight, respectively. Among men, these percentages were 40.5%, 45.4%, and 60.3%, respectively. Differences were observed with respect to Brazilian regions and age groups.

**CONCLUSIONS:** A large fraction of diabetes mellitus was attributable to preventable individual risk factors and, in about six years, the contribution of these factors significant increased, particularly among men. Policies aimed at promoting healthy lifestyle habits, such as a balanced diet and physical activity, can have a significant impact on reducing the burden of diabetes mellitus in Brazil.

**DESCRIPTORS:** Diabetes Mellitus, epidemiology. Attributable Risk. Overweight. Obesity. Disability-Adjusted Life Years. Sickness Impact Profile.

<sup>I</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Saúde Pública. Escola Nacional de Saúde Pública Sergio Arouca. Fundação Oswaldo Cruz. Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil

<sup>II</sup> Departamento de Ciências Sociais. Escola Nacional de Saúde Pública Sergio Arouca. Fundação Oswaldo Cruz. Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil

<sup>III</sup> Fundação Cesgranrio. Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil

<sup>IV</sup> Departamento de Epidemiologia e Métodos Quantitativos em Saúde. Escola Nacional de Saúde Pública Sergio Arouca. Fundação Oswaldo Cruz. Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil

#### Correspondence:

Luísa Sorio Flor  
Núcleo de Pesquisa em Métodos Aplicados aos Estudos de Carga Global de Doença Av. Brasil, 4036 Sala 904 Manguinhos 21040-361 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil  
E-mail: luisa.sorio@gmail.com

Received: 5/12/2014

Approved: 8/7/2014

---

## RESUMO

**OBJETIVO:** Estimar a carga do diabetes mellitus tipo 2 e sua fração atribuível ao sobrepeso e obesidade no Brasil.

**MÉTODOS:** A carga de diabetes mellitus foi descrita por meio dos anos de vida perdidos ajustados por incapacidade, a partir da soma de dois componentes: anos de vida perdidos por morte prematura e anos de vida perdidos devido à incapacidade. Para o cálculo da fração do diabetes mellitus devida ao sobrepeso, à obesidade e ao excesso de peso, foram utilizadas as prevalências desses fatores de risco por sexo e faixa etária (> 20 anos), obtidas na Pesquisa Dimensões Sociais das Desigualdades, do ano de 2008, e os riscos relativos obtidos na literatura internacional.

**RESULTADOS:** Diabetes mellitus respondeu por 5,4% dos anos de vida perdidos ajustados por incapacidade em 2008, sendo a maior parcela atribuída ao componente de morbidade (anos de vida perdidos devido à incapacidade). As mulheres apresentaram maiores valores de anos de vida ajustados para incapacidade. No Brasil, 49,2%, 58,3% e 70,6% do diabetes mellitus no sexo feminino foram atribuíveis ao sobrepeso, à obesidade e ao excesso de peso, respectivamente. Entre os homens, esses percentuais foram 40,5%, 45,4% e 60,3%, respectivamente. Diferenças foram observadas no que tange às grandes regiões brasileiras e às faixas etárias.

**CONCLUSÕES:** Grande parte da carga do diabetes foi atribuível a fatores de risco modificáveis e, em aproximadamente seis anos, a contribuição desses fatores aumentou expressivamente, sobretudo entre os homens. Políticas voltadas à promoção de hábitos saudáveis de vida, como alimentação balanceada e prática de atividade física, podem ter um impacto significativo na redução da carga de diabetes mellitus no Brasil.

**DESCRIPTORIOS:** Diabetes Mellitus, epidemiologia. Risco Atribuível. Sobrepeso. Obesidade. Anos de Vida Perdidos por Incapacidade. Perfil de Impacto da Doença.

---

## INTRODUCTION

The epidemiological, nutritional, and demographic transitions observed in recent decades have resulted in increased morbidity and mortality from chronic non-communicable diseases (NCD).<sup>19,20</sup> Global data show that in 1990, NCD accounted for 43.0% of disability-adjusted life years (DALY), with this percentage increasing to 54.0% in 2010.<sup>16</sup> Worldwide, NCD and DALY are considered the leading causes of death, accounting for 68.0% of deaths in 2008.<sup>25</sup>

In Brazil, NCD have become established as the main disease burden, accounting for 66.0% of DALY in 1998.<sup>20</sup> In 2009, they accounted for more than 70.0% of deaths, particularly affecting the poorest strata of the population.<sup>4,19</sup>

Among the NCD, type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) assumes a prominent position; it is considered a global epidemic and was among the 10 leading causes of death worldwide in 2011.<sup>a</sup> Projections indicate that T2DM will be responsible for an even greater share of the global disease burden by 2030.<sup>24</sup>

In a disease burden study conducted in Brazil in 1998, T2DM was the leading cause of DALY for both sexes.<sup>20</sup> In addition, although the mortality attributable to NCD decreased by 20.0% between 1996 and 2007 in the country, there was a 2.0% increase in mortality resulting from T2DM in the same period.<sup>19</sup>

In view of this scenario, strategies have been formulated to combat NCD, particularly T2DM; these include those related to modifiable risk factors

---

<sup>a</sup> World Health Organization. The top 10 causes of death. Geneva; 2013; updated 2014 [cited 2013 Nov 26]. (Fact Sheet, 310). Available from: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs310/en/>

such as overweight, physical inactivity, smoking, and excessive alcohol consumption.<sup>4</sup>

Studies show consistent associations between overweight and a higher prevalence of T2DM.<sup>3,22</sup> This association becomes alarming in countries such as Brazil where more than half of the population is overweight.<sup>14</sup> Global estimates for the year 2000 showed that 50.0% and 66.0% of T2DM cases in men and women, respectively, could be prevented by controlling overweight.<sup>b</sup> Given the importance of T2DM and the fact that overweight and obesity are important risk factors for the development of the disease, the present study aimed to estimate the burden of DM and the percentage attributable to overweight and obesity in Brazil.

## METHODS

This study of disease burden in Brazil in 2008 evaluated approximately 100 diseases, which were classified into three major groups: infectious and parasitic diseases, maternal causes, perinatal causes, and nutritional deficiencies (Group I); chronic NCD (Group II); and external causes (Group III).<sup>c</sup>

DALY, the indicator used in this study, is a summary measurement that represents the effect of morbidity and mortality on the health status of populations. It is the sum of two components: one related to the years of life lost due to premature death (YLL) and another that represents the years lived with disability (YLD).<sup>15</sup>

To calculate YLL, mortality data were obtained from *Sistema de Informação sobre Mortalidade* (SIM – Mortality Information System),<sup>d</sup> considering the average for the period 2007-2009 after reassessing the deaths in terms of age, sex, and municipality and reassigning the garbage codes and causes of death where signs, symptoms, and conditions were poorly defined.

YLD is calculated with the incident cases, the duration, and the severity of the disability for both uncomplicated T2DM and sequelae of the disease (retinopathy, blindness, neuropathy, diabetic foot, and amputation).<sup>e</sup>

Given the lack of incidence parameters for the uncomplicated cases, prevalence estimates were made for T2DM. The values for these estimates, as well as for remission and mortality, were fed into the Dismod II<sup>f</sup> program in order to calculate the incidence and duration of T2DM by modeling.

The overall prevalence of T2DM was estimated at 7.4% on the basis of *Estudo Multicêntrico de Prevalência de Diabetes* (Multicenter Study of Diabetes Prevalence),<sup>12</sup> a household survey conducted in nine Brazilian state capitals between 1986 and 1988. The relationship between this prevalence of T2DM and the nutritional state of the population, according to the 1989 *Pesquisa Nacional sobre Saúde e Nutrição* (Brazilian Survey of Health and Nutrition)<sup>g</sup> and the 2008-2009 *Pesquisa de Orçamentos Familiares* (POF – Family Budget Survey), was then determined.<sup>h</sup>

Zero remission was assumed for cases of T2DM without complications, as well as the weight proposed by Murray & Lopez (weight = 0.023).<sup>i</sup> After modeling, the incidences and durations of T2DM up to 19 years of age were eliminated, according to expert consensus. A discount rate of 3.0% was incorporated into the calculations of YLL and YLD.

The population attributable fraction (PAF), besides stating the contribution of a specific risk factor to the disease or mortality, indicates the proportion of the outcome that could be avoided if the exposure factor were eliminated, thereby enabling quantification of the effect of a preventive health strategy.<sup>2</sup>

The load of T2DM attributed to excess weight, obesity, and overweight was calculated in this study on the basis of PAF following the methodology proposed by Oliveira et al.<sup>17</sup> PAF can be expressed as follows:

$$FPA = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^k p_i (RR_i - 1)}{1 + \sum_{i=0}^k p_i (RR_i - 1)} = 1 - \frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^k p_i (RR_i)}$$

<sup>b</sup> World Health Organization, Department of Health Statistics and Information. Global health risks: mortality and burden of disease attributable to selected major risks. Geneva; 2009 [cited 2014 Dec 8]. Available from: [http://www.who.int/healthinfo/global\\_burden\\_disease/GlobalHealthRisks\\_report\\_full.pdf](http://www.who.int/healthinfo/global_burden_disease/GlobalHealthRisks_report_full.pdf)

<sup>c</sup> Leite IC, Valente JG, Schramm JMA. Relatório final do projeto Carga de Doença do Brasil - 2008. Rio de Janeiro (RJ): Escola Nacional de Saúde Pública da Fiocruz; 2013.

<sup>d</sup> Ministério da Saúde. Sistema de Informação sobre Mortalidade. Indicadores de mortalidade. Taxa de mortalidade por diabetes mellitus tipo 2. Brasília (DF): 2007-2009. Available from: <http://tabnet.datasus.gov.br>

<sup>e</sup> Costa AF, Schramm JMA, Flor LS. Diário de bordo de Diabetes mellitus tipo 2. Rio de Janeiro (RJ): Escola Nacional de Saúde Pública da Fiocruz; 2013 [cited 2014 Dec 8]. Available from: <http://cargadedoenca.fiocruz.br>

<sup>f</sup> World Health Organization. Health statistics and information systems. Available from: [http://www.who.int/healthinfo/global\\_burden\\_disease/tools\\_software/en/](http://www.who.int/healthinfo/global_burden_disease/tools_software/en/)

<sup>g</sup> Instituto Nacional de Alimentação e Nutrição. Pesquisa Nacional sobre Saúde e Nutrição: Condições Nutricionais da População Brasileira: adultos e idosos. INAN: Brasília (DF); 1991.

<sup>h</sup> Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. Pesquisa de Orçamentos Familiares 2008-2009. Antropometria e estado nutricional de crianças, adolescentes e adultos no Brasil. Rio de Janeiro (RJ); 2010.

<sup>i</sup> Murray CJL, Lopez AD. Global health statistics: a compendium of incidence, prevalence, and mortality estimates for over 200 conditions. Geneva: World Health Organization; Harvard University Press; 1996.

**Table 1.** Prevalence of overweight, obesity, and excess weight according to sex and age groups in Brazil and its regions. PDS D,\* 2008.

Region	Masculine					Feminine					
	Age group (years)	20 - 29	30 - 39	40 - 49	≥ 50	Total	20 - 29	30 - 39	40 - 49	≥ 50	Total
<b>Overweight (%)</b>											
North	39.4	44.0	56.1	53.3	49.1	15.9	27.2	35.0	37.7	29.1	
Northeast	29.1	34.0	35.5	35.9	34.5	26.5	30.7	40.8	33.7	33.5	
Southeast	29.4	39.5	31.1	36.7	35.1	22.8	33.7	34.5	32.8	32.2	
South	40.1	44.3	41.5	42.2	42.2	25.2	30.4	34.0	34.4	32.7	
Midwest	32.4	34.1	35.5	41.6	36.8	24.8	31.4	31.5	41.3	33.7	
Brazil	31.7	38.7	35.2	38.2	36.8	23.8	31.9	36.0	33.8	32.5	
<b>Obesity (%)</b>											
North	11.5	10.0	5.3	13.5	10.2	2.6	15.2	29.0	24.4	17.7	
Northeast	9.8	11.0	16.7	12.4	12.7	10.4	19.9	19.4	21.5	18.9	
Southeast	11.8	14.7	17.1	16.5	15.8	13.9	15.2	22.7	29.3	23.4	
South	13.6	16.8	23.2	21.9	20.3	9.2	17.3	25.2	32.8	26.2	
Midwest	19.3	26.0	11.4	13.1	16.7	20.1	10.4	15.8	18.6	16.8	
Brazil	12.0	14.3	16.9	16.3	15.5	11.6	16.6	22.2	27.4	22.1	
<b>Excess weight (%)</b>											
North	50.9	54.1	61.3	66.8	59.3	18.5	42.4	64.0	62.0	46.8	
Northeast	38.8	45.1	52.2	48.3	47.2	37.0	50.6	60.2	55.1	52.4	
Southeast	41.2	54.2	48.2	53.2	50.9	36.7	48.9	57.2	62.1	55.6	
South	53.7	61.1	64.7	64.1	62.5	34.3	47.7	59.2	67.2	58.9	
Midwest	51.7	60.0	46.9	54.7	53.5	44.9	41.8	47.3	59.9	50.5	
Brazil	43.7	53.0	52.1	54.5	52.3	35.4	48.5	58.1	61.2	54.6	

\* Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro. Centro para o Estudo da Riqueza e da Estratificação Social. Pesquisa Dimensões Sociais das Desigualdades (PDS D). Rio de Janeiro (RJ); 2010. Available from: <http://ceres.iesp.uerj.br/desigualdade>

In this equation,  $p_i$  is the prevalence of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  category of risk factor exposure and  $RR_i$  is its relative risk (RR) in relation to the exposure category of reference. For this calculation, we used the prevalence of excess weight, obesity, and overweight according to sex and age groups as well as the RR for developing T2DM because of these exposure factors.

The prevalence data for Brazil and its macro-regions according to sex and age groups were obtained from the 2008 *Pesquisa Dimensões Sociais das Desigualdades* (PDS D – Survey on Social Dimensions of Inequalities)<sup>j</sup> (Table 1). The data from PDS D were collected using a population-based home survey, with stratified sampling consisting of 1,374 census sectors (primary sampling units) and 8,048 private, permanent households (secondary sampling units) in common or non-special sectors, including slum communities in urban and rural areas throughout Brazil.<sup>8</sup> In total, 12,423 heads of households and their spouses over the age of 20 years were interviewed.

In the health domain, responses to questions concerning the prevalence of various diseases, life habits and risk factors, quality of life, and access to health services were collected. Weight and height measurements were also included to assess the nutritional status of adults in Brazil using the body mass index (BMI). BMI values over 25.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup> were considered “excess weight”, values between 25.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and 29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> were considered “overweight”, and values over 30.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup> were considered “obese”.

The methodology of Oliveira et al<sup>17</sup> was used to determine RR in order to calculate PAF. The odds ratios (OR) presented by Field et al,<sup>5</sup> which assessed the risks stemming from overweight in middle-aged men and women in the United States, were transformed into RR according to the methodology proposed by Zhang & Yu<sup>27</sup> and used by Sichieri et al.<sup>21</sup>

Because the data from the study by Field et al<sup>5</sup> were not disaggregated by age groups, we used the distribution of RR according to age presented by Yoon et al.<sup>26</sup> In this

<sup>j</sup> Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro. Centro para o Estudo da Riqueza e da Estratificação Social. Pesquisa Dimensões Sociais das Desigualdades. Rio de Janeiro (RJ); 2010. Available from: <http://ceres.iesp.uerj.br/desigualdade>

**Table 2.** Relative risk of developing diabetes mellitus in the presence of overweight, obesity, or excess weight according to sex and age groups. Brazil. 2008.

Age group (years)	RR <sup>a</sup>	RR Study 2002-2003 <sup>b</sup>		Estimated RR 2008		Population	
		Masculine	Feminine	Masculine	Feminine	2008	Exposed
<b>Overweight</b>							
20 - 29	2.2	NA	NA	3.2	4.3	35,082,432	35,082,432
30 - 39	2.3	NA	NA	3.2	4.3	28,472,896	28,472,896
40 - 49	1.9	NA	NA	2.7	3.6	23,894,015	20,267,245
≥ 50	1.8	NA	NA	2.6	3.4	35,747,961	28,726,040
Total	NA	NA	NA	2.9	3.9	123,197,304	113,057,058
<b>Obesity</b>							
20 - 29	6.7	9.4	10.9	9.7	11.1	35,082,432	35,082,432
30 - 39	4.9	6.9	8.0	7.1	8.1	28,472,896	20,854,588
40 - 49	4.1	5.8	6.6	5.9	6.8	23,894,015	14,607,851
≥ 50	2.7	3.8	4.3	3.9	4.4	35,747,961	14,267,123
Total	NA	NA	NA	6.7	7.7	123,197,304	84,811,994
<b>Excess weight</b>							
20 - 29	3.6	4.3	5.9	5.0	6.8	35,082,432	35,082,432
30 - 39	3.1	4.1	5.6	4.3	5.8	28,472,896	24,483,410
40 - 49	2.7	3.5	4.8	3.8	5.1	23,894,015	17,863,946
≥ 50	2.1	2.9	3.9	3.0	4.0	35,747,961	21,276,685
Total	NA	NA	NA	4.0	5.4	123,197,304	98,706,472

NA: Not applicable

<sup>a</sup> Yoon et al<sup>26</sup>.<sup>b</sup> Oliveira et al<sup>17</sup>.

study, RR for the development of T2DM was presented separately for overweight and obesity. To estimate RR for excess weight, the prevalence of overweight and obesity according to age groups given in PDS were considered.<sup>1</sup> Table 2 presents the estimated RR, as well as those found in a 2002-2003 study,<sup>17</sup> according to sex and age groups.

Using the age group of 20-29 years as a reference, the weights were obtained from RR in the subsequent groups using the RR ratios presented by Yoon et al.<sup>26</sup> These weights were applied to the 2008 population data from the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE)<sup>k</sup> to determine the population exposed to the risk of T2DM according to age groups. The mean risk was obtained by dividing the total population exposed in each BMI category by the total population in 2008 (mean risk of overweight = 0.918; mean risk of obesity = 0.688; mean risk of excess weight = 0.801).

The distribution of RR according to sex was the subject of a study by Sichieri et al.<sup>21</sup> The mean estimated risk and the weight of RR were applied using the rule of three, to the total RR for occurrence of T2DM. The total RR for each variable of exposure was calculated

on the basis of the risks presented according to BMI categories in the study by Sichieri et al.<sup>21</sup> In the case of obesity and excess weight, it was necessary to weigh the RR presented according to the prevalence of these factors given in PDS in order to generate an overall risk for all BMI categories included in the previously described categories of exposure (overweight: masculine RR = 2.9 and feminine RR = 3.9; obesity: masculine RR = 6.6 and feminine RR = 7.6; excess weight: masculine RR = 4.0 and feminine RR = 5.4).

Using the prevalence data from PDS (Table 1) and the estimated RR (Table 2), the gross attributable fractions were calculated and standardized for Brazil and its macro-regions according to sex, age group, and BMI category. The fractions were standardized for age with the objective of comparison between regions of the country. The standardized prevalence was calculated by applying the crude prevalence according to sex and age groups to the 2008 Brazilian population.

The 2008 study of disease burden was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the *Escola Nacional de Saúde Pública Sérgio Arouca* (ENSP-CAAE 0054.0.031.000-11).

<sup>k</sup> Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. Dados demográficos. Rio de Janeiro (RJ): IBGE; 2008. Available from: <http://tabnet.datasus.gov.br>

## RESULTS

It was observed that in 2008, Brazil had a rate of 195 DALY per 1,000 inhabitants. Group II (NCD) was responsible for approximately 77.0% of the disease burden in the country. T2DM, in turn, accounted for nearly 5.0% of the burden, with a DALY rate of 9.2 per 1,000 inhabitants. Of the T2DM burden, 53.2% was linked to morbidity, with 930,478 YLD. During this period, 7.4% of deaths were the result of T2DM, with 816,716 YLL.

Table 3 presents the DALY, YLL, and YLD for individuals over the age of 20 years according to the groups in the different regions of the country. This age group represented approximately 85.0% of the DALY of all age groups in Brazil in 2008. More than 80.0% of DALY was associated with Group II, ranging from 80.6% in the North region to 83.2% in the Southeast region. Group II's large share of participation in YLL and YLD was also evident (72.0% and 92.6%, respectively).

Regarding T2DM, more than 5.0% of the total DALY was attributed to this disease. Although in Brazil, the most significant component of the T2DM burden was YLD (53.2%), with emphasis on the South region, 61.6% of DALY in the Northeast region was the result of YLL (310,623), representing almost 7.0% of the total YLL in this region (Table 3). The percentage of DALY from T2DM in Group II as a whole followed the pattern of the share of T2DM in the total regional distribution of DALY, with greater representativity in the Northeast region for the mortality component.

Table 4 shows the standardized PAF for overweight, obesity, and excess weight in the major regions of Brazil according to sex. It also presents the results obtained in the 2002-2003 study.<sup>17</sup> For Brazil, as well as the macro-regions, women had higher fractions of T2DM associated with excess weight and obesity. For overweight, PAF was slightly higher among men in the North region. Among women, 49.2%, 58.3%, and 70.6% of T2DM cases were attributable to overweight, obesity, and excess weight, respectively; in men, these percentages ranged from 40.5% to 60.3%.

In just over five years, the percentage of T2DM cases attributable to the assessed risk factors increased, particularly among men (Table 4). The percentage attributable to excess weight increased by 14.2% between 2002-2003 and 2008 for both sexes. The percentage attributable to obesity grew even more: 28.4% for women and 38.8% for men. The greatest growth of PAF resulting from excess weight occurred in the North region among men and in the Midwest region among women (25.9% and 15.1%, respectively). With regard to obesity, the Midwest and North regions showed > 50.0% growth in PAF among men. In women, the

greatest increase (31.8%) occurred in the Midwest region (Table 4).

Table 5 presents PAF for Brazil and its macro-regions, broken down according to sex and age groups. In the country, for all BMI categories, higher PAF was seen in men in the initial age ranges (up to 39 years), whereas the largest fractions in women were found in those aged between 40 and 49 years. Percentages higher than the national average were found among men in virtually all age groups in the South and Midwest for all of the BMI categories. The share of T2DM attributable to overweight was also significantly higher than the national average in the northern region. For women, the share of T2DM attributable to obesity exceeded the national average in almost all age groups in the South and Southeast regions. The percentages attributable to excess weight behaved in a similar manner in the Northeast and Southeast.

## DISCUSSION

A large fraction of the diabetes burden was attributable to the modifiable risk factors assessed. In Brazil, 49.2%, 58.3%, and 70.6% of cases of DM in women were attributable to overweight, obesity, and excess weight, respectively. Among men, these percentages were 40.5%, 45.4%, and 60.3%, respectively.

In this study, NCD accounted for most of the disease burden in Brazil in 2008, corroborating previous studies.<sup>1,6,16</sup> In Brazil, NCD accounted for 66.3% of the disease burden in 1998,<sup>20</sup> reaching 77.2% in 2008. This relative percentage of Group II was similar to recent findings in other Latin American countries<sup>6</sup> such as Mexico (73.0%) and Colombia (74.0%). It was higher than the percentage in Peru (58.5%) and Costa Rica (62.0%) and lower than that in Chile (84.0%).

With regard to the burden of T2DM, this disease commonly ranks among the leading causes of DALY. Worldwide, T2DM rose from the 15<sup>th</sup> to the 9<sup>th</sup> cause of DALY between 1990 and 2010.<sup>16</sup> In Australia, T2DM accounted for 5.5% of DALY in 2004,<sup>1</sup> occupying the 7<sup>th</sup> place, similar to the relative percentage found in this study: 4.7% in all age groups and 5.4% in individuals aged > 20 years. Despite the methodological differences in estimating DALY between the 1998 and 2008 studies, the total disease burden related to T2DM remained stable (5.1% in 1998).<sup>20</sup> This percentage of T2DM was higher than that reported in the international literature.<sup>6</sup>

Similar to developed countries, the greater fraction of the burden resulting from T2DM in Brazil in 2008 was the result of YLD. However, the Northeast region presented a significant share for YLL, which may reflect differences in relation to health care conditions

**Table 3.** Absolute number and percentages of DALY and its components in individuals aged > 20 years according to disease clusters and regions of Brazil, 2008.

DALY								
Region	Total DALY	DALY Group I	DALY Group II		DALY Group III	Diabetes		
			n	%		DALY	% DALY/Total	% DALY/Grupo II
North	2,048,433	181,736	1,650,783	80.6	215,914	106,471	5.2	6.4
Northeast	9,138,722	778,813	7,564,364	82.8	795,545	504,430	5.5	6.7
Southeast	14,004,662	1,171,635	11,655,604	83.2	1,177,424	741,659	5.3	6.4
South	4,674,790	376,888	3,864,642	82.7	433,260	269,556	5.8	7.0
Midwest	2,063,639	159,959	1,665,021	80.7	238,659	107,150	5.2	6.4
Brazil	31,904,687	2,652,473	26,392,797	82.7	2,859,417	1,728,207	5.4	6.5
YLL								
Region	Total YLL	YLL Group I	YLL Group II		YLL Group III	Diabetes		
			n	%		YLL	% YLL/Total	% YLL/Grupo II
North	950,038	128,215	628,483	66.2	193,341	51,154	5.4	8.1
Northeast	4,662,341	525,717	3,405,991	73.1	730,633	310,623	6.7	9.1
Southeast	6,551,223	786,360	4,752,195	72.5	1,012,669	304,964	4.7	6.4
South	2,180,370	210,127	1,593,939	73.1	376,304	99,703	4.6	6.3
Midwest	953,324	104,426	635,669	66.7	213,229	41,396	4.3	6.5
Brazil	15,297,297	1,754,844	11,016,278	72.0	2,526,176	807,840	5.3	7.3
YLD								
Region	Total YLD	YLD Group I	YLD Group II		YLD Group III	Diabetes		
			n	%		YLD	% YLD/Total	% YLD/Grupo II
North	1,098,394	53,521	1,022,300	93.1	22,573	55,317	5.0	5.4
Northeast	4,476,381	253,096	4,158,373	92.9	64,912	193,807	4.3	4.7
Southeast	7,453,439	385,275	6,903,409	92.6	164,755	436,695	5.9	6.3
South	2,494,419	166,762	2,270,702	91.0	56,955	169,852	6.8	7.5
Midwest	1,110,315	55,533	1,029,352	92.7	25,430	65,754	5.9	6.4
Brazil	16,607,390	897,629	15,376,519	92.6	333,242	920,367	5.5	6.0

DALY: disability-adjusted life years; YLL: years of life lost due to premature death; YLD: years lived with disability

and economic development. Despite the increase in the Brazilian human development index in 2013, 61.3% of the municipalities in the Northeast region were still classified as having “low human development”.<sup>1</sup> This scenario is alarming, because access to health services in Brazil can be strongly influenced by the social condition of individuals and the place where they live.<sup>23</sup> This inequality can lead to inadequate and/or insufficient assistance to patients with T2DM and its sequelae, generating a specific disease load profile in this region.

With regard to risk factors, high BMI values have led to various adverse health outcomes in different countries. In 2000,<sup>7</sup> elevated BMI was responsible for 2.3% of DALY worldwide and 58.0% of the T2DM burden, whereas in 2004 in Australia,<sup>1</sup> these numbers were 7.5%

and 54.7%, respectively. Furthermore, in 2004, 39.0% of T2DM cases could have been avoided in Canada by reducing exposure to this risk factor.<sup>10</sup> In Switzerland, 42.5% of T2DM cases were attributed to obesity in 2002.<sup>18</sup> In 2010, excess weight was considered the sixth most important risk factor for worldwide DALY.<sup>16</sup> The PAF found in this study, however, was greater than that presented in the international literature.

Among the results described, there was a notable increase in PAF due to obesity and overweight in Brazil between 2002-2003 and 2008, indicating that the importance of other factors in defining the burden of T2DM in the country has decreased. The role played by elevated BMI in defining the profile of T2DM has increased in proportion to the prevalence of physical inactivity and unhealthy diet in Brazil over the years.<sup>14</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Programa das Nações Unidas para o Desenvolvimento (PNUD). Atlas do desenvolvimento humano no Brasil 2013. Rio de Janeiro (RJ): IPEA; 2013.

**Table 4.** Standardized fractions (%) attributable to overweight, obesity, and excess weight associated with diabetes mellitus according to sex and regions of Brazil in 2002-2003 and 2008.

Region	Overweight		Obesity		Excess weight	
	Masculine	Feminine	Masculine	Feminine	Masculine	Feminine
2008 Study						
North	47.5	47.3	37.3	55.1	63.6	68.5
Northeast	38.8	50.3	40.7	55.8	57.8	70.4
Southeast	39.3	48.8	45.6	59.5	59.6	70.8
South	44.3	49.1	51.1	60.3	64.5	71.2
Midwest	40.6	50.4	49.9	54.3	61.7	70.0
Brazil	40.5	49.2	45.4	58.3	60.3	70.6
2002-2003 Study*						
North	NA	NA	30.2	42.3	50.5	59.9
Northeast	NA	NA	27.1	43.2	47.9	61.2
Southeast	NA	NA	34.9	46.6	54.6	62.0
South	NA	NA	35.6	48.0	55.1	63.3
Midwest	NA	NA	32.0	41.2	53.9	60.8
Brazil	NA	NA	32.7	45.4	52.8	61.8
Difference between 2002-2003 and 2008 (growth %)						
North	NA	NA	7.1 (23.5)	12.8 (30.3)	13.1 (25.9)	8.6 (14.4)
Northeast	NA	NA	13.6 (50.2)	12.6 (29.2)	9.9 (20.6)	9.2 (15.0)
Southeast	NA	NA	10.7 (30.7)	12.9 (27.7)	5.0 (9.1)	8.8 (14.2)
South	NA	NA	15.5 (43.5)	12.3(25.6)	9.4 (17.1)	7.9 (12.5)
Midwest	NA	NA	17.9 (55.9)	13.1(31.8)	7.8 (14.5)	9.2 (15.1)
Brazil	NA	NA	12.7 (38.8)	12.9 (28.4)	7.5 (14.2)	8.8 (14.2)

NA: Not applicable

\* Oliveira et al<sup>17</sup>.

Similar to the findings in other countries, women were affected more by greater PAF.<sup>1,17</sup> However, between 2002-2003 and 2008, it was men who experienced greater increases in the fractions of T2DM attributable to the evaluated risk factors. In 2006, fat-rich diet and physical inactivity were more prevalent among men in Brazil.<sup>14</sup> The POF in 2008 indicated that overweight had almost tripled among men between 1974 and 2008, from 18.5% to 50.1%, respectively.<sup>h</sup>

Differentiated changes in the regional profile were also observed. The more developed South and Southeast regions showed higher percentages of T2DM attributable to obesity, whereas in the North region, the increase in PAF was due to overweight. Such behavior may be related to a delayed nutritional transition in this region where economic advances have led to more recent exposure to the risk factors for T2DM.

Finally, with regard to age group, the results of this study agree with those of Sichieri et al;<sup>21</sup> obesity is a recent public health problem in Brazil, and older population groups have not yet been affected by the chronic consequences of obesity. Furthermore, among

the younger groups, excess weight competes with a smaller number of factors compared with older groups, who are already experiencing the effects of other risk factors related to age. In a country where approximately 12.0% of citizens are obese upon entering adulthood, it is clear that the impact on health conditions will occur at increasingly earlier ages (Table 1).

By aggregating information about mortality and morbidity, DALY provides a foundation for better understanding of the changes in the T2DM profile in the context of demographic and epidemiological transition. In addition, presentation by its components (YLL and YLD) according to the major regions of the country makes it possible to characterize different profiles of involvement for T2DM and provides support for government actions. Despite differences in the methodology used for their construction, the indicators presented here are internationally comparable.

With regard to risk factors, although they may be potentially relevant in defining the epidemiological profile of the Brazilian population, monitoring is still considered inadequate in Brazil.<sup>13</sup> Thus, this study advances understanding



**Table 5.** Standardized fractions (%) attributable to overweight, obesity, and excess weight associated with diabetes mellitus according to sex, age range, and regions of Brazil, 2008.

Region	Masculine				Feminine				
	Age group (years)	20 - 29	30 - 39	40 - 49	50+	20 - 29	30 - 39	40 - 49	50+
Overweight (%)									
North		46.0	49.4	48.5	45.1	34.2	47.7	47.8	47.8
Northeast		38.6	43.0	37.4	35.6	46.4	50.7	51.7	45.0
Southeast		38.9	46.7	34.3	36.1	42.7	53.0	47.5	44.4
South		46.4	49.5	41.1	39.4	45.1	50.3	47.1	45.6
Midwest		41.1	43.0	37.4	39.1	44.8	51.3	45.2	50.1
Brazil		40.7	46.2	37.2	37.0	43.8	51.7	48.5	45.1
Obesity (%)									
North		50.0	38.0	20.6	27.9	20.5	52.1	62.7	45.5
Northeast		45.9	40.2	45.1	26.2	51.3	58.7	52.9	42.4
Southeast		50.6	47.2	45.7	32.1	58.4	52.1	56.8	50.1
South		54.1	50.5	53.2	38.6	48.1	55.2	59.4	53.0
Midwest		62.7	61.3	35.8	27.3	67.0	42.7	47.8	39.0
Brazil		51.0	46.6	45.4	31.8	54.0	54.3	56.2	48.4
Excess weight (%)									
North		67.1	64.1	62.7	57.0	51.5	67.1	72.2	65.2
Northeast		60.9	59.8	58.9	48.9	68.0	70.9	70.9	62.5
Southeast		62.3	64.2	56.9	51.3	67.9	70.2	69.9	65.2
South		68.3	66.9	64.0	56.0	66.4	69.7	70.6	67.0
Midwest		67.5	66.5	56.3	52.0	72.1	66.8	65.7	64.4
Brazil		63.7	63.7	58.9	51.9	67.1	70.0	70.2	64.9

of the relationship between overweight, obesity, excess weight, and T2DM. It provides data that allow comparison (within a time span of approximately six years) of the performance of these factors compared with the burden of T2DM in the country.

Limitations related to the complexity of DALY estimates and PAF have already been addressed by some researchers.<sup>9,11</sup> In Brazil, apart from the scarcity of recent population studies on the prevalence of T2DM, which requires multiple studies to calculate parameters, the data systems are weak in terms of the quality and quantity of data available. Finally, the weights used in calculating YLD are standardized worldwide, not considering the specifics of the different health systems.

To calculate PAF, the same methodology as that used in the 2002-2003 study was used with the aim of

comparing the results. However, this also led to some of the limitations identified in a previous publication.<sup>17</sup> These limitations are primarily related to the use of parameters from the international literature, although we defend the plausibility of their use.

The results presented here represent important tools for managing resources and defining priorities in health interventions at all levels of care. With regard to T2DM, control of this disease must be guided by modifiable risk factors. Policies aimed at promoting healthy living habits can reduce the T2DM burden in Brazil. Given the high prevalence of overweight in young people, actions directed at school children are important. Finally, population-based actions (not aimed at risk groups) should be prioritized, because the results showed that a significant fraction of the T2DM burden was attributed to moderately high BMI values.

## REFERENCES

- Begg SJ, Vos T, Barker B, Stanley L, Lopez AD. Burden of disease and injury in Australia in the new millennium: measuring health loss from diseases, injuries and risk factors. *Med J Aust.* 2008;188(1):36-40.
- Camey SA, Agranonik M, Radaelli J, Hirakata VN. Fração atribuível populacional. *Rev HCPA.* 2010;30(1):77-85.

3. Cassano PA, Rosner B, Vokonas PS, Weiss ST. Obesity and body fat distribution in relation to the incidence of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. A prospective cohort study of men in the normative aging study. *Am J Epidemiol* 1992;136:1474-86.
4. Duncan BB, Chor D, Aquino EML, Bensenor IM, Mill JG, Schmidt MI, et al. Doenças crônicas não transmissíveis no Brasil: prioridade para enfrentamento e investigação. *Rev Saude Publica*. 2012;46(Supl 1):126-34. DOI:10.1590/S0034-89102012000700017
5. Field AE, Coakley EH, Must A, Spadaro JL, Laird N, Dietz WH, et al. Impact of overweight on the risk of developing common chronic diseases during a 10-year period. *Arch Intern Med*. 2001;161(13):1581-6. DOI:10.1001/archinte.161.13.1581
6. Gómez Dantés H, Castro MV, Franco-Marina F, Bedregal P, Rodríguez García J, Espinoza A, et al. La carga de la enfermedad en países de América Latina. *Salud Publica Mex*. 2011;53(Suppl 2):S72-7.
7. James WPT, Jackson-Leach R, Mhurchu CN, Kalamara E, Shayegui M, Rigby NJ, et al. Overweight and obesity (high body mass index). In: Ezzati M, Lopez AD, Rodgers A, Murray CJL, editors. Comparative quantification of health risks: global and regional burden of disease attribution to selected major risk factors. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004. v.1, p.497-596.
8. Laguardia J, Campos MR, Travassos CM, Najar AL, Anjos LA, Vasconcellos MM. Psychometric evaluation of the SF-36 (v.2) questionnaire in a probability sample of Brazilian households: results of the survey Pesquisa Dimensões Sociais das Desigualdades (PDSO), Brazil, 2008. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2011;9(1):61. DOI:10.1186/1477-7525-9-61
9. Levine B. What does the population attributable fraction mean? *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2007;4(1):A14.
10. Luo W, Morrison H, Groh M, Waters C, DesMeules M, Jones-McLean E, et al. The burden of adult obesity in Canada. *Chronic Dis Can*. 2007;27(4):135-44.
11. Lyons RA, Kendrick D, Towner EM, Christie N, Macey S, Coupland C, et al. Measuring the population burden of injuries: implications for global and national estimates: a multi-centre prospective UK longitudinal study. *PLoS Med*. 2011;8(12):e1001140. DOI:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001140
12. Malerbi DA, Franco LJ. Multicenter study of the prevalence of diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance in the urban Brazilian population aged 30-69 yr. *Diabetes Care*. 1992;15:1509-16.
13. Monteiro CA, Moura EC, Jaime PC, Lucca A, Florindo AA, Figueiredo ICR, et al. Monitoramento de fatores de risco para doenças crônicas por entrevistas telefônicas. *Rev Saude Publica*. 2005;39(1):47-57. DOI:10.1590/S0034-89102005000100007
14. Moura EC, Morais Neto OL, Malta DC, Moura L, Silva NN, Bernal R, et al. Vigilância de fatores de risco para doenças crônicas por inquérito telefônico nas capitais dos 26 estados brasileiros e no Distrito Federal (2006). *Rev Bras Epidemiol*. 2008;11(Supl 1):20-37. DOI:10.1590/S1415-790X2008000500003
15. Murray CJ. Quantifying the burden of disease: the technical basis for disability-adjusted life years. *Bull World Health Organ*. 1994;72(3):429-45.
16. Murray CJL, Vos T, Lozano R, Naghavi M, Flaxman AD, Michaud C, et al. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9859):2197-223. DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61689-4
17. Oliveira AF, Valente JG, Leite IC. Fração da carga global do diabetes mellitus atribuível ao excesso de peso e à obesidade no Brasil. *Rev Panam Salud Publica*. 2010;27(5):338-44. DOI:10.1590/S1020-49892010000500003
18. Schmid A, Schneider H, Golay A, Keller U. Economic burden of obesity and its comorbidities in Switzerland. *Soz Präventivmed*. 2005;50(2):87-94. DOI:10.1007/s00038-004-4067-x
19. Schmidt MI, Duncan BB, Azevedo e Silva G, Menezes AM, Monteiro CA, Barreto SM, et al. Chronic non-communicable diseases in Brazil: burden and current challenges. *Lancet*. 2011;377(9781):1949-61. DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60135-9
20. Schramm JMA, Oliveira AF, Leite IC, Valente JG, Gadelha AMJ, Portela MC, et al. Transição epidemiológica e o estudo de carga de doença no Brasil. *Cienc Saude Coletiva*. 2004;9(4):897-908. DOI:10.1590/S1413-81232004000400011
21. Sichieri R, Nascimento S, Coutinho W. The burden of hospitalization due to overweight and obesity in Brazil. *Cad Saude Publica*. 2007;23(7):1721-7. DOI:10.1590/S0102-311X2007000700025
22. Shaten BJ, Smith GD, Kuller LH, Neaton JD. Risk Factors for the Development of Type II Diabetes Among Men Enrolled in the Usual Care Group of the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. *Diabetes Care* 1993;16:1331-9.
23. Travassos C, Oliveira EXG, Viacava F. Desigualdades geográficas e sociais no acesso aos serviços de saúde no Brasil: 1998 e 2003. *Cienc Saude Coletiva*. 2006;11(4):975-86. DOI:10.1590/S1413-81232006000400019
24. World Health Organization. The global burden of disease: 2004 update. Geneva; 2008.
25. World Health Organization. Global status report on noncommunicable diseases 2010. Geneva; 2011.
26. Yoon YS, Shin SA, Noh JH, Oh SW. Burden of type 2 diabetes in young Korean adults owing to obesity. *Diabetes Care*. 2005;28(9):2329. DOI:10.2337/diacare.28.9.2329
27. Zhang J, Yu KF. What's the relative risk? A method of correcting the odds ratio in cohort studies of common outcomes. *JAMA*. 1998;280(19):1690-1. DOI:10.1001/jama.280.19.1690