MICROBIOLOGY

Insights into Antarctic microbiomes: diversity patterns for terrestrial and marine habitats

AMANDA G. BENDIA, JULIO CEZAR F. MOREIRA, JULIANA C.N. FERREIRA, RENATO G. ROMANO, IVAN G.C. FERREIRA, DIEGO C. FRANCO, HEITOR EVANGELISTA, ROSALINDA C. MONTONE & VIVIAN HELENA PELLIZARI

Abstract: Microorganisms in Antarctica are recognized for having crucial roles in ecosystems functioning and biogeochemical cycles. To explore the diversity and composition of microbial communities through different terrestrial and marine Antarctic habitats, we analyze 16S rRNA sequence datasets from fumarole and marine sediments, soil, snow and seawater environments. We obtained measures of alpha- and beta-diversities, as well as we have identified the core microbiome and the indicator microbial taxa of a particular habitat. Our results showed a unique microbial community structure according to each habitat, including specific taxa composing each microbiome. Marine sediments harbored the highest microbial diversity among the analyzed habitats. In the fumarole sediments, the core microbiome was composed mainly of thermophiles and hyperthermophilic Archaea, while in the majority of soil samples Archaea was absent. In the seawater samples, the core microbiome was mainly composed by cultured and uncultured orders usually identified on Antarctic pelagic ecosystems. Snow samples exhibited common taxa previously described for habitats of the Antarctic Peninsula, which suggests long-distance dispersal processes occurring from the Peninsula to the Continent. This study contributes as a baseline for further efforts on evaluating the microbial responses to environmental conditions and future changes.

Key words: microbial diversity, microbial indicators, core microbiome, Antarctic habitats.

INTRODUCTION

Despite extreme conditions, Antarctica harbors a complex mosaic of microbial habitats (Bowman 2018). In these habitats, microorganisms play a fundamental role in the food web and the biogeochemical cycles. Recent studies revealed diverse bacterial and archaeal communities inhabiting terrestrial and marine habitats in Antarctica, showing to be distinct from Arctic and alpine communities (Boetius et al. 2015). Terrestrial habitats for free-living prokaryotes in Antarctica include especially mineral, ornithogenic and geothermal soils, permafrost, lakes, glaciers, snow and rocks. The microbial diversity in these habitats have been firstly described using culture-dependent methods (e.g. Friedmann et al. 1988, Hirsch et al. 1988, Siebert et al. 1996, Siebert & Hirsch, 1988), and most recently, through culture-independent strategies, mainly by 16S rRNA sequencing (e.g. Alekseev et al. 2020, Almela et al. 2021, Archer et al. 2019, Bendia et al. 2018, Franco et al. 2017, Malard et al. 2019). These studies have shown phyla such as Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Acidobacteria, Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes as abundant in soils and permafrosts from Antarctic Peninsula (Bottos et al. 2014, Jansson & Taş 2014), whereas Cyanobacteriia, Flavobacteriia

and Alphaproteobacteria were the prevalent classes in snow samples from the Antarctic Plateau (Michaud et al. 2014).

Marine habitats generally include deep and shallow sediments, and water columns at both euphotic (<200 m) and aphotic zones (>200 m). Signori et al. (2014) studied microbial communities in the water column at Bransfield Strait, Southern Ocean, and found Thaumarchaeota, Euryarchaeota and Proteobacteria (Gamma-, Delta-, Beta-, and Alphaproteobacteria) as abundant taxa below 100 m, whereas the dominant phyla above 100 m were Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria (mainly Alpha- and Gammaproteobacteria). In marine sediments from Admiralty Bay (100–502 m total depth) (King George Island) and adjacent North Bransfield Basin (693–1147 m), Gammaproteobacteria was found as highly abundant taxa (>90%), followed by Alpha- and Deltaproteobacteria, Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes and Actinobacteria (Franco et al. 2017).

Although previous studies have described microbial communities in different environments from Maritime and Continental Antarctica (e.g. Alekseev et al. 2020, Almela et al. 2021, Archer et al. 2019, Bendia et al. 2018, Cavicchioli, 2015, Cowan et al. 2014, Franco et al. 2017, Malard et al. 2019, Signori et al. 2014), few have focused on indicating the microbiome across a range of Antarctic habitats. In this study, we aimed to reveal the microbiome within five habitats (fumarole sediment, marine sediment, snow, soil and seawater) at two main Antarctic locations, including Antarctic Peninsula (King George Island and Deception Island) and Continental Antarctica (West Antarctica, 670 km from geographical South Pole, near Criosfera 1 module). We were able to describe the core microbiome and the microbial indicators of the different Antarctic habitats, contributing as a baseline study for further efforts on evaluating the microbial responses to environmental conditions and future changes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area and sampling strategy

All the samples selected for this study were collected during the Brazilian Antarctic expeditions (OPERANTAR) XXX to XXXV, comprising the years from 2012 to 2017, and were supported by the following projects: Microsfera (CNPq 407816/2013-5), INCT-Criosfera (CNPq 028306/2009 - Criosfera 1 module) and MonitorAntar (USP-IO/MMA-SBF Agreement No. 009/2012). Detailed information is described in Supplementary Material - Table SI.

The samples selected for this study comprise areas located in both Maritime and Continental Antarctica. In addition, samples include 5 different sample types, comprising the following habitats: marine sediment, fumarole sediment, snow, seawater and soil.

The sampling sites in Maritime Antarctica included King George Island (S 62° 23' S, W 58° 27') and Deception Island (S 62° 55', W 60° 37'), located in the South Shetland archipelago. Samples from King George Island included seawater, marine sediment and soil. Seawater samples were collected at Admiralty Bay near Wanda and Ecology Glaciers, using a Van-Dorn water-sampling bottle. Three water depths were collected and classified as superficial (0 - 5 m), intermediate (~10 m) and bottom (~30 m) depths. Approximately 5 L of water of each sample were filtered on the Brazilian Antarctic Station "Comandante Ferraz" (EACF) using a vacuum pump and 0.22 μm-membrane filters. Superficial marine sediments (0 - 5 cm) were collected on the east side of Admiralty Bay, near Point Hennequin, using a Van-Veen Grab Sampler. Approximately 200 g of sediments of each sample were placed into Whirl-Pak bags.

Superficial soil samples (0 - 5 cm) were collected on the proximities of EACF and then placed into Whirl-Pak bags (~200 g). Samples from Deception Island comprised surface sediments (0 - 5 cm) in an intertidal region near active fumaroles, with temperatures of 110 ^{o}C for FBA1, FBA2 and FBA3, and 112 ^{o}C for FBB1, FBB2 and FBB3. Fumarole sediments were placed into Whirl-Pak bags (~200 g).

The Continental Antarctica sampling site is located at West Antarctica, 250 km from the southwest border of the Ronne ice shelf and 670 km from the geographic South Pole, where the Brazilian module Criosfera 1 is located (S 84°00', W 079°30'). Snow/firn samples were collected in an aseptic excavated pit structure near the Brazilian module. Six depths were collected between the surface and 200 cm, including 0 - 40 cm (C1), 40 - 85 cm (C2), 85 - 110 cm (C3), 110 - 160 cm (Crio4), 160 - 182 cm (Crio5), 182 - 200 cm (C6). Approximately 3 L of water of each sample were filtered in the Criosfera 1 module using a vacuum pump and 0.22 µm-membrane filters.

All samples collected in this study were immediately frozen at -20˚C for molecular analysis. The description of environmental samples, the coordinates and sampling year are detailed in the Table SI.

DNA extraction and sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene

The 0.22 µm-membrane filters of seawater and snow samples were submitted to DNA extraction using DNeasy PowerWater Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). For sediment and soil samples, approximately 500 mg were submitted to DNA extraction using DNeasy PowerSoil Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Approximately 10 g of fumarole sediments were submitted to DNA extraction using DNeasy PowerMax Soil Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). All extractions were performed according to the manufacturer's

instructions. Extracted DNA was quantified using Qubit dsDNA HS Assay (Thermo-Fisher Scientific, Waltham, U.S.A.) and Qubit Fluorometer 1.0 (Thermo-Fisher Scientific, Waltham, U.S.A.).

Total extracted DNA were sequenced using Illumina Miseq paired-end system 2 x 300 bp, with the primers 515F (5'-GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3') and 806R (5'-GGACTACNVGGGTWTCTAAT-3') (Caporaso et al. 2012) for fumarole sediment and snow samples, targeting the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene, and the primers 515F (5'-GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3') and 926R (5'-CCGYCAATTYMTTTRAGTTT -3') (Quince et al. 2011) for seawater, soil and marine sediment samples, targeting the V4 and V5 regions of the 16S rRNA gene. Details of pairs of primers used for each sample are in Table SI. Library construction and sequencing were performed by MR DNA (Molecular Research LP, Shallowater, TX, EUA). The library sequencing followed the Earth Microbiome Project protocol (Thompson et al. 2017).

Bioinformatics and statistical analyses

Reads were initially imported into the Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology 2 software (Qiime2) (v.2020.2, https://docs.qiime2.org/; Bolyen et al. 2019) and then evaluated according to quality. To be consistent among the different sequence datasets and pairs of primers used in our study, only forward sequences (R1) were processed, comprising the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene. Based on the quality scores, the forward reads were truncated at position 230, and trimmed at the position 25 to remove the primer, using the q2-dada2-denoise script. DADA2 software was used to obtain a set of observed amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) (Callahan et al. 2017). Taxonomic classification was performed through feature-classifier classify-sklearn using the Silva v.138 database (Quast et al. 2013, Yilmaz et al. 2014). The alignment was performed by MAFFT v.7

(Katoh et al. 2002), using default parameters and the phylogenetic tree was built by FastTree (Price et al. 2009).

The Qiime2 output qza files were imported on R version 4.0.4 using the qiime2R package (https://github.com/jbisanz/qiime2R). Alpha and beta diversity metrics were computed through the phyloseq package (McMurdie & Holmes, 2012) on R at a rarefied sampling depth of 11,604 sequences. Statistical differences in alpha diversity indices were calculated by comparing sample types and location using the ANOVA test in stats package on R. Beta diversity was measured by weighted Unifrac distance and visualized via NMDS (non-metric multidimensional scaling) using the phyloseq package in R (version 3.6.3). Differences in the microbial community structure among sample types and location were tested by performing a permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) on the community matrix (Anderson 2001).

To observe the unique and shared ASVs by each sample type, the taxa abundance table was transformed to presence/absence. The number of shared ASVs by sample types was visualized using an UpSet plot, UpSetR package (Conway and Gehlenborg, 2019). The core microbiome of each sample type was considered as the shared ASVs within the sample type, which was visualized at order level through pie charts. The statistical package IndicSpecies (Cáceres et al. 2020) was used on R to identify microbial families whose abundance was significantly associated with a sample type.

Sequencing data were deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information Sequence Read Archives (SRA) under BioProject ID PRJNA808682.

RESULTS

Richness and alpha diversity

We obtained 4,781,877 valid sequences distributed among 5 sample types (habitats), including 3 samples of marine sediment, 6 samples of fumarole sediments, 6 samples of snow/firn, 52 samples of seawater and 27 samples of soil, totalizing 94 samples. A mean of 336 ASVs (SD ± 212) were detected for each sample. The values of ASVs, richness (Chao1) and alpha diversity (Shannon and InvSimpson) were statistically different (p <0.05) according to sample type, and not by location (p = 0.96 for Chao1, p = 0.44 for Simpson and p = 0.28 for InvSimpson, Figure 2 and Table SI).

When grouped by location, the richness and alpha diversity values for the Antarctic continent samples were similar to those found for Deception Island and King George Island (Table I). When grouped by sample types (habitats), marine sediment samples exhibited the highest values of richness and alpha, followed by soil samples. Fumarole sediments, snow and seawater exhibited the lowest values of richness and alpha diversity indices (Table I).

Beta diversity

Samples were clustered according to sample type and location through the weighted Unifrac distance analysis observed in NMDS (Figure 3). Seawater samples were grouped nearest from each other, as well as marine sediments. Samples of soil, fumarole sediment and snow exhibited a clustering pattern more distant from each other. Based on the PERMANOVA, samples were significantly influenced more by sample type (p<0.01, R^2=0.61) than by location (p<0.01, R^2=0.17).

Figure 1. Study locations and sampling sites in the northwest region of Antarctica. The subfigures a, b, c and d represent, respectively, the South Shetland Islands region, the southwest border of the Ronne Ice Shelf, the Admiralty Bay in King George Island and the Deception Island. The red diamonds on the left side represent the three distinct study areas, and the circle represents the sample types by colors (yellow = fumarole sediment, pink = marine sediment, dark blue = seawater, light blue = snow, brown = soil). The map was made by using the Qgis software (QGIS.org 2021) and the Quantarctica data set (Matsuoka et al. 2018).

Table I. Values of richness and alpha diversity according to each location and sample type (habitat), including the standard deviation values.

|	Chao1	Shannon	InvSimpson
Sample Type (habitats)			
Marine sediments	1095.24±276.30	6.01±0.23	174.55±53.05
Fumarole sediments	349.38±84.70	3.79±0.74	16.46±20.48
Snow	339.02±121.31	3.60±0.64	10.32±3.87
Seawater	210.77±44.89	3.66±0.33	19.83±5.18
Soil	453.68±149.46	4.21±0.57	30.16±26.14

Figure 2. Alpha diversity analyses, including the number of ASVs (observed), the richness index of Chao1, and the alpha diversity indices of Shannon and InviSimpson. Samples are grouped by each habitat (sample type).

Microbial community composition

A total of 29 phyla were classified as abundant (> 1% of relative abundance) among our samples (Figure 4). In marine sediments, the most abundant phyla were Proteobacteria, Bacteroidota, Acidobacteriota, among others, while in fumarole sediments, the most abundant was Aquificota, followed by Proteobacteria and Crenarchaeota, among others (Table II). In snow samples, Proteobacteria, Actinobacteriota, Firmicutes and Bacteroidota were the abundant phyla, whereas in water samples only two phyla were abundant: Proteobacteria and Bacteroidota. Abundant phyla in soil samples were Proteobacteria, Bacteroidota, Actinobacteriota and Acidobacteriota. The predominant proteobacterial classes among the samples were Alpha- and Gammaproteobacteria (Figure S1).

Shared ASVs and core microbiome

The number of shared ASVs among sample types are represented in the upset plot of Figure 5. In general, communities from snow shared more ASVs with fumarole sediments (157 ASVs) and seawater (48 ASVs), whereas soil communities shared more ASVs with marine sediments (378 ASVs) and seawater (115 ASVs). The pie charts (Figure 5) represent the taxonomic classification of ASVs (at order level) that were considered the core microbiome of each sample type. The core microbiome indicates the microbial taxa that are particularly widespread within a sample group (Table SII).

The core microbiome of marine sediments was composed mainly by the orders Chitinophagales, Chthoniobacterales, Burkholderiales, Vicinamibacterales, Chloroflexales, Pyrinomonadales, Gemmatimonadales, among

Figure 3. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) ordination based on weighted UNIFRAC distances. The shapes represent the three main regions in Antarctica and colors the Antarctic habitats (sample types). Stress value=0.118.

others. For fumarole sediments, the core microbiome was composed by orders such as Desulfurococcales, Hydrogenothermales, Unclassified_Bacteria, Rhodobacterales, Woesearchaeales, Omnitrophales, Nitrococcales, among others. The core microbiome of snow samples included orders such as Pseudomonadales, Burkholderiales, Lactobacillales, Alteromonadales, Bacillales and Chitinophagales. Seawater samples exhibited as the core microbiome the orders Flavobacteriales, SAR11_clade, Cellvibrionales, Rhodobacterales, Oceanospirillales, Burkholderiales, Alteromonadales, Marine_Group_II, among others. The core microbiome of soil samples comprised orders such as Xanthomonadales, Sphingomonadales, Flavobacteriales, Chitinophagales, Burkholderiales and Vicinamibacterales. Finally, the core microbiome when considered all samples was composed by two orders: Xanthomonadales and Alteromonadales (Table SII).

Microbial indicators for each sample type

By using the R package IndicSpecies we were able to identify the families significantly associated with each sample type (Figure 6 and Table SIII). Marine sediments was the sample type which exhibited the highest number of indicators, totalizing 85 families, such as Anaerolineaceae (Chloroflexi), Pyrinomonadaceae (Acidobacteriota), Holosporaceae (Proteobacteria) and Gaiellaceae (Actinobacteria). A total of 22 families were indicators for fumarole sediments, such as lineage_IV within Elusimicrobiota, Pyrodictiaceae, Hydrogenothermaceae, Candidatus_Zambryskibacteria, Desulfurococcaceae, Acidilobaceae, SAR202_ clade, Methylomirabilaceae, Thermaceae, Thermonemataceae and Woesearchaeales. For snow samples, 6 families were considered as indicators, classified as Aerococcaceae, Chromobacteriaceae, Bifidobacteriaceae (Actinobacteriota), Planococcaceae, Leptotrichiaceae and Spongiibacteraceae

Figure 4. Microbial community composition grouped by each Antarctic habitat (sample type). The figure shows the relative abundance of bacterial and archaeal taxonomic groups at phylum level. Only phylum with more than 0.1% of abundance are represented. Sequences were taxonomically classified using the Silva database v. 138.

Table II. Percentages (%) and the standard deviation of the most abundant phyla distributed among the five sample types (habitats).

	Marine sediments	Fumarole sediments	Snow	Seawater	Soil
Acidobacteriota	14.0±2.9	-	-	-	3.0±3.6
Actinobacteriota	9.3±1.1	3.8±1.4	9.0±14.6	-	7.2±3.4
Aquificota	-	21.6±11.5	-	-	-
Bacteroidota	19.9±5.0	1.7±1.0	1.5±0.8	35.4±5.8	22.7±7.7
Chloroflexi	8.4±2.5	1.6±1.8	-	-	-
Crenarchaeota	1.0±0.5	13.6±9.5	-	-	-
Deinococcota	-	6.0±5.9	-	-	-
Firmicutes	-	11.3±8.2	7.5±3.9	-	-
Gemmatimonadota	3.3±1.0	-	-	-	-
Nanoarchaeota	-	1.1±0.7	-	-	-
Nitrospirota	2.2±0.8	-	-	-	-
Patescibacteria	-	0.02±1.2	-	-	-
Planctomycetota	4.1±1.4	-	-	-	-
Proteobacteria	21.8±1.8	21.1±13.6	77.6±17.5	62.8±5.8	63.2±0.9
Verrucomicrobiota	11.8±2.4	-	-	-	-
Verrucomicrobiota	-	1.5±0.5	-	-	-

(Firmicutes). Nine families were indicators of seawater samples, which were classified as Cryomorphaceae, OM182 clade, OCS116 clade, Thioglobaceae, NS7 marine group, SAR116 clade, Clade III (SAR11_clade), Marine_Group_II (Thermoplasmatota), and uncultured family within Proteobacteria. Finally, 3 families were indicators of soil samples, which belonged to Demequinaceae (Actinobacteriota), Iamiaceae (Actinobacteriota) and Immundisolibacteraceae (Proteobacteria).

DISCUSSION

Microbiome of marine sediments from King George Island

In the present study, marine sediments from King George Island showed the highest microbial richness (1.09×10^3 ASVs) when compared to the other studied Antarctic habitats. This probably reflects the contribution of the communities from soil and snow habitats, which reach inlet waters as results of glacier defrost, or due to cell deposition by descendant of pelagic communities, which could be buried and preserved for long periods (Hoshino et al. 2020). However, these values were lower when compared with the estimated richness for global marine sediments (4.03×10^4 to 3.30×10^6 ASVs), as indicated by Hoshino et al. (2020). Marine sediments cover 70% of Earth's surface and are thought to be a larger biomass reservoir than seawater, counting for 0.18 to 3.6% of the total living biomass of the Earth (Kallmeyer et al. 2012, Parkes et al. 2014). The microbial abundance in marine sediments is frequently associated with depth patterns, generally decreasing with increasing depth. In Antarctica, the estimation of the microbial biomass in marine sediments is still poorly understood. The extreme

Figure 5. Upset plot composed by ASVs identified among sample types. Circles indicate sample types. Black lines connecting circles indicate shared ASVs. Vertical bars indicate intersection size (number of ASVs) on each set. Pie charts show microbial composition specific to each sample type (orders with abundance > 1%) and those shared among all sample types or habitats (core microbiome).

environmental conditions in Antarctica, such as the prevalent low temperatures, freeze and thaw cycles and low nutrient input (Bölter et al. 2002, Convey et al. 2009) likely produce narrow microbial niches and demand specific adaptive mechanisms for microbial growth and survival (Cowan et al. 2014). It might explain the lower microbial richness that we observed for marine

sediments in King George Island in comparison with global marine sediments.

The core microbiome of marine sediments from Admiralty Bay (King George Island) had the prevalence of Bacteroidota, Verrucomicrobiota, Acidobacteria, Chloroflexi, Gemmatimonadota and Proteobacteria, in which some members of these phyla have been previously described in marine sediments of the Antarctic Peninsula

Figure 6. Indicator families identified as significantly associated with each sample type (habitat), calculated using the R package IndicSpecies. The colors represent the phyla classifications of each family.

(Foong et al. 2010, Li et al. 2020, Powell et al. 2003). Franco et al. (2017) revealed a high prevalence of heterotrophic gammaproteobacterial phylotypes in the marine sediments of Admiralty Bay, but also reported the presence of taxa from Bacteroidota, Verrucomicrobiota, Acidobacteria, Chloroflexi, Gemmatimonadota phyla.

Among the microbial families observed as indicators of marine sediments, Anaerolineaceae (Chroloflexi) have been previously described as abundant in marine sediments, being involved with hydrocarbon degradation (Fincker et al. 2020). In addition, we also observed

Pyrinomonadaceae as an indicator of marine sediments, which members were previously observed in diesel contaminated soil samples from King George Island (Gran-Scheuch et al. 2020), and also in other extreme environments, such as semi-arid savannah and volcanic soils (Pascual et al. 2018). This bacterial family comprises aerobic and chemoheterotrophic mesophiles or thermophiles, capable of growing in mildly acidophilic environments (Dedysh & Damsté 2018).

Microbiome of fumarole sediments from Deception Island

The fumarole sediments from Fumarole Bay on Deception Island, which comprised the temperatures of 110 °C and 112 °C, exhibited as the core microbiome mostly bacterial and archaeal lineages related to thermophiles and hyperthermophiles, such as those within the orders Hydrogenothermales, Sulfobacilalles, Desulfurococcales and Thermales. Although thermal habitats in Antarctica are rare and discontinuously distributed, the presence of thermophiles/hyperthermophiles corroborates previous studies that indicated temperature as one of the major drivers of microbial communities' diversity and structure (e.g. Price & Giovannelli 2017, Sharp et al. 2014, Antranikian et al. 2017, Ward et al. 2017, Herbold et al. 2014).

Further, the indicator families of fumarole sediments also belong to thermophiles and hyperthermophiles (Pyrodictiaceae and Hydrogenothermaceae), and to spore-forming bacteria from Firmicutes phylum (Carnobacteriaceae). Pyrodictiaceae comprises members which are autotrophic anaerobes, hydrogen-oxidizers, denitrifiers and iron-reducers, whereas Hydrogenothermaceae are usually aerobes or anaerobes, autotrophs, sulfur-oxidizers and denitrifiers (Zeng et al. 2021). Our results indicate that, despite the geographic isolation and the predominantly cold habitats in Antarctica, the hyperthermophilic temperatures act as strong pressures on selecting hyperthermophilic lineages, which showed to be widespread across these fumaroles, as also observed by Bendia et al. (2018, 2021). Pyrodictiaceae and Hydrogenothermaceae lineages have optimal growth temperature between 70 to 100 °C and were previously found in geothermal systems, and in shallow and deep-sea hydrothermal vents, such as those in Mariana Volcanic Arc (Nakagawa et al. 2006),

Manus Basin, New Guinea (Takai et al. 2001), Vulcano, Italy (Stetter et al. 1983), Tachibana Bay, Japan (Takai & Sako, 1999), and near Tonga subduction zone in the Southwestern Pacific (Ferrera et al. 2014). By comparing Deception communities with continental geothermal systems in Antarctica, such as Tramway Ridge in Mount Erebus, few taxa are shared, mainly related to Chloroflexi and Planctomycetes, likely because temperature in continental Antarctic volcanoes does not exceed 60 °C (Herbold et al. 2014, Soo et al. 2009).

Microbiome of snow from West Continental Antarctica

The core microbiome of snow samples from West Antarctica (near Brazilian module Criosfera1) was composed by heterotrophic bacterial lineages related to Proteobacteria, especially Alphaproteobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria, and orders such as Alteromonadales, Bacillales, Burkholderiales and Chitinophagales, similarly to previous studies on the Antarctic snow microbial community (Michaud et al. 2014, Antony et al. 2016, Lopatina et al. 2016). However, some taxa described for snow samples in previous studies were not abundant or detected among our samples, such as Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes and Cyanobacteria, which were dominant taxa in snow habitats in Antarctica (Antony et al. 2016, Lopatina et al. 2016, Malard et al. 2019, Michaud et al. 2014, Yan et al. 2012), Arctic (Harding et al. 2011, Hell et al. 2013, Larose et al. 2013, Maccario et al. 2014), Austria (Battin et al. 2001), Canada (Boyd et al. 2011) and Svalbard (Zarsky et al. 2013).

Further, one archaeal taxa was found as the core microbiome in snow samples, assigned within the order Nitrosopumilales (Crenarchaeota), while a previous study (Antony et al. 2016) identified only Halobacteriaceae (Euryarchaeota) in snow samples from East

Antarctica. The detection of Nitrosopumilales across a variety of temperature and saline gradients, suggests that its members have the ability to adapt to hot and cold habitats, as well as to terrestrial and marine ecosystems (Bendia et al. 2018, Learman et al. 2016, Lezcano et al. 2019, Pessi et al. 2015).

The family indicators for snow samples were Oleiphilaceae, Burkholderiaceae, Bifidobacteriaceae and Exiguobacteraceae, whose members are often aerobes and heterotrophs (Biavati & Mattarelli 2018, Coenye 2014, Vishnivetskaya et al. 2009, Yakimov & Golyshin 2014), and commonly present in soil habitats from Antarctica (Buelow et al. 2016, Chaturvedi et al. 2008, Pearce et al. 2012), except for Oleiphilaceae, which were predominantly found in deep marine sediments and are known to be hydrocarbon degraders (Bacosa et al. 2018, Golyshin et al. 2002).

It is still not clear if the presence of these bacteria and archaea in snow habitats reflects their ability to adapt and survive in extreme conditions (Edwards et al. 2014), or whether their high predominance in other Antarctic ecosystems favors their aeolian dispersion and preservation along surface habitats in the cryosphere (Archer et al. 2019). Previous studies suggested that soil microorganisms are the primary sources of snow microbial communities of the West Greenland Ice Sheet (Cameron et al. 2015) and Arctic (Cuthbertson et al. 2017, Šantl-Temkiv et al. 2018). Previous studies indicated the dominance of Proteobacteria and Firmicutes in airborne microbial communities in Antarctica (Bottos et al. 2014, Pearce et al. 2010), and the study by Malard et al. (2019) identified similarities between snow and airborne microbial communities in continental Antarctica, which suggests the importance of long-distance dispersal in seeding continental Antarctic snow ecosystems.

Microbiome of soils from King George Island

The soil samples from King George Island showed Acidobacteriota, Actinobacteriota, Bacteroidota and Proteobacteria as the most abundant phyla, while several heterotrophic bacterial families, such as Pseudomonadales, Flavobacteriales, Cytophagales, Chitinophagales, comprised the core microbiome. Wang et al. (2015) also found the predominance of Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Acidobacteria, and Verrucomicrobia in four soil types at Fildes Region, King George Island, including pristine and human-impacted soils. Flavobacteriales members are widespread in terrestrial and marine Antarctic ecosystems, and the genus *Flavobacterium* have shown to play an important role in remineralization processes mainly due to its strong macromolecular hydrolytic capabilities (McCammon & Bowman 2000). In contrast to our results, Ramos et al. (2019) showed a dominance of Firmicutes in soils from eleven regions of Admiralty Bay, King George Island. These differences in microbial composition of ecologically comparable soils from King George Island suggest a high level of spatial heterogeneity in prokaryotic diversity, as previously indicated by Almela et al. (2021). Further, we did not detect archaeal lineages among our soil samples, which was expected since previous studies suggested that archaeal taxa in Antarctic soils showed to be a negligible portion of the total microbial community and have likely a minimal role in soil processes (Cowan et al. 2014).

Although the ice-free areas comprise less than 0.3% of the total Antarctic area, soils are the most studied microbial habitat in Antarctica (Cowan et al. 2014). Soil habitats in Antarctica represent a wide variety of landforms and geochemistry, in which Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria showed to be dominant (Babalola et al. 2009, Makhalanyane et al. 2013). Indeed, the indicator taxa of soil samples

comprised families, classified as Iamiaceae and Demequinaceae, both belonging to Actinobacteriota phylum and with members isolated from marine environments (Kurahashi et al. 2011, Ue et al. 2011). Other two families were also detected as indicator taxa for soils, including NRL2 and Immundisolibacteraceae, which have lineages capable of hydrocarbon degradation (Corteselli et al. 2017). Since our soil samples were collected near Comandante Ferraz Brazilian Antarctic Station (up to 100 meters), the presence of hydrocarbon degraders might indicate an anthropogenic influence on microbial communities of the surrounding soil. Further, the presence of marine bacteria in soils from King George Island indicates that the ocean might be an important source of biological input to terrestrial environments, as suggested by Chong et al. (2012).

Microbiome of seawater from King George Island

Microbial communities along seawater samples from Admiralty Bay were very similar, even when comparing the superficial, intermediate and bottom depths. We observed as the core microbiome several marine orders, such as Alteromonadales, Oceanospirillales, SAR11 clade, Flavobacteriales, Rhodobacterales and the archaeal Marine Group II. These groups also showed to be abundant in shallow waters of the Bransfield Strait (Signori et al. 2018, 2014). Alteromonadales and Oceanospirillales are known to play an important role in organic carbon degradation by the production of extracellular hydrolytic enzymes (Dang et al. 2009). Some members of Oceanospirillales are also potential chemoautotrophs due to the presence of carbon fixation genes (Calvin Cycle pathway) (DeLorenzo et al. 2012). Although several members of the seawater community from Admiralty Bay were very similar to those found in surface waters

of Bransfield Strait (Signori et al. 2018), we did not detect some key taxa, such as those within ammonia-oxidizing Archaea (Thaumarchaeota). Thaumarchaeota lineages were indeed detected in high abundance at surface colder waters of the Southern Ocean (~ -1 °C) (Signori et al. 2018), which might explain why they were not found in the warmer waters from Admiralty Bay. Further, the high number of Rhodobacterales members in our seawater samples might be explained because they are primary colonizers of particulate organic matter (Dang et al. 2009), which become more available by the processes of glaciers melting during summer.

Among the 11 families assigned as indicators of seawater samples, the majority include uncultivated marine lineages, such as OM182 clade, OCS1116 clade and NS7 marine group, whose metabolic capabilities and roles in biogeochemical cycles are still unknown. The archaeal Marine Group II was also assigned as an indicator of seawater and comprises uncultivated lineages generally more common in surface waters that are potentially phototrophs due to the presence of proteorhodopsin genes (Pereira et al. 2019). Further, several members of the seawater microbiome have shown to contribute to important ecological processes in oligotrophic and cold waters, such as to biomass accumulation and to remineralization of organic matter, so that any environmental changes could strongly affect their functioning in biogeochemical cycles (Tonelli et al. 2021), with possible cascading effects on higher trophic levels (Signori et al. 2018).

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, our study showed that in Antarctica, the microbiome of each terrestrial and marine habitats here analyzed, showed to harbor specific bacterial and archaeal

indicators, with marine sediments harboring the highest diversity indices and number of taxa indicators. In fumarole sediments, we found the higher proportion of archaeal taxa, which were mostly related to hyperthermophiles, such as Pyrodictiaceae, while in soil samples archaeal lineages were very low abundant or absent. Surprisingly, although geographically distant, the continental snow samples exhibited common taxa previously described for habitats of the Antarctic Peninsula, such as Nitrosopumilales, which suggests long-distance dispersal processes occurring from the Peninsula to the Continent. Seawater communities showed to harbor similar taxa from those previously described for Bransfield Strait, with the absence of some taxa, such as ammonia-oxidizing thaumarchaeotal members. It is important to highlight that through our study we were able to reveal the microbiome within each studied habitat, and further comparisons between the microbiomes need to be taken with caution preferably using the same primer pairs or even shotgun metagenomics to avoid potential biases inherent to amplification. The description and proposal of key taxa from different Antarctic microbiomes are important for further studies aiming to elucidate which environmental factors drive those microbial communities and their role in biogeochemical cycles, as well as to give insights about the interplay of microbial assemblages among the Antarctic ecosystems.

Acknowledgments

REFERENCES

ALEKSEEV I, ZVEREV A & ABAKUMOV E. 2020. Microbial Communities in Permafrost Soils of Larsemann Hills, Eastern Antarctica: Environmental Controls and Effect of Human Impact. Microorganisms 8: 1202. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8081202.

ALMELA P, JUSTEL A & QUESADA A. 2021. Heterogeneity of Microbial Communities in Soils From the Antarctic Peninsula Region. Front Microbiol 12: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.628792.

ANDERSON MJ. 2001. Permutation tests for univariate or multivariate analysis of variance and regression. Can J Fish Aquat Sci: https://doi.org/10.1139/f01-004.

ANTONY R, SANYAL A, KAPSE N, DHAKEPHALKAR PK, THAMBAN M & NAIR S. 2016. Microbial communities associated with Antarctic snow pack and their biogeochemical implications. Microbiol Res 192: 192-202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2016.07.004.

ANTRANIKIAN G ET AL. 2017. Diversity of bacteria and archaea from two shallow marine hydrothermal vents from Vulcano Island. Extrem. Life Extreme Cond 21: 733-742. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00792-017-0938-y.

ARCHER SDJ, LEE KC, CARUSO T, MAKI T, LEE CK, CARY SC, COWAN DA, MAESTRE FT & POINTING SB. 2019. Airborne microbial transport limitation to isolated Antarctic soil habitats. Nat Microbiol 4: 925-932. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0370-4.

BABALOLA OO, KIRBY BM, LE ROES-HILL M, COOK AE, CARY SC, BURTON SG & COWAN DA. 2009. Phylogenetic analysis of actinobacterial populations associated with Antarctic Dry Valley mineral soils. Environ Microbiol 11: 566-576. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01809.x.

BACOSA HP, ERDNER DL, ROSENHEIM BE, SHETTY P, SEITZ KW, BAKER BJ & LIU Z. 2018. Hydrocarbon degradation and response of seafloor sediment bacterial community in the northern Gulf of Mexico to light Louisiana sweet

crude oil. ISME J 12: 2532-2543. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0190-1.

BATTIN TJ, WILLE A, SATTLER B & PSENNER R. 2001. Phylogenetic and Functional Heterogeneity of Sediment Biofilms along Environmental Gradients in a Glacial Stream. Appl Environ Microbiol 67: 799-807. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.67.2.799-807.2001.

BENDIA AG, SIGNORI CN, FRANCO DC, DUARTE RTD, BOHANNAN BJM & PELLIZARI VH. 2018. A Mosaic of Geothermal and Marine Features Shapes Microbial Community Structure on Deception Island Volcano, Antarctica. Front Microbiol 9: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00899.

BENDIA AG, LEMOS LN, MENDES LW, SIGNORI CN, BOHANNAN BJ & PELLIZARI VH. 2021. Metabolic potential and survival strategies of microbial communities across extreme temperature gradients on Deception Island volcano, Antarctica. Environmental Microbiology, 23(7), 4054-4073.

BIAVATI B & MATTARELLI P. 2018. Chapter 3 - Related Genera Within the Family Bifidobacteriaceae, In: Mattarelli P, Biavati B, Holzapfel WH & Wood BJB (Eds), The Bifidobacteria and Related Organisms. Academic Press, p. 49-66. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-805060-6.00003-X.

BOETIUS A, ANESIO AM, DEMING JW, MIKUCKI JA & RAPP JZ. 2015. Microbial ecology of the cryosphere: sea ice and glacial habitats. Nat Rev Microbiol 13: 677-690. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3522.

BÖLTER M, BEYER L & STONEHOUSE B. 2002. Antarctic Coastal Landscapes: Characteristics, Ecology and Research, In: Beyer L & Bölter M (Eds), Geoecology of Antarctic Ice-Free Coastal Landscapes, Ecological Studies. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, p. 5-15. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-56318-8_1.

BOLYEN E ET AL. 2019. Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. Nat Biotechnol 37: 852-857. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9.

BOTTOS EM, SCARROW JW, ARCHER SDJ, MCDONALD IR & CARY SC. 2014. Bacterial Community Structures of Antarctic Soils, In: Cowan DA (Ed), Antarctic Terrestrial Microbiology: Physical and Biological Properties of Antarctic Soils. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, p. 9-33. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-45213-0_2.

BOWMAN JS. 2018. Identification of Microbial Dark Matter in Antarctic Environments. Front Microbiol 9: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.03165.

BOYD ES, LANGE RK, MITCHELL AC, HAVIG JR, HAMILTON TL, LAFRENIÈRE MJ, SHOCK EL, PETERS JW & SKIDMORE M. 2011.

Diversity, Abundance, and Potential Activity of Nitrifying and Nitrate-Reducing Microbial Assemblages in a Subglacial Ecosystem. Appl Environ Microbiol 77: 4778-4787. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00376-11.

BUELOW HN, WINTER AS, VAN HORN DJ, BARRETT JE, GOOSEFF MN, SCHWARTZ E & TAKACS-VESBACH CD. 2016. Microbial Community Responses to Increased Water and Organic Matter in the Arid Soils of the McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica. Front Microbiol 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01040.

CÁCERES MD, JANSEN F & DELL N. 2020. indicspecies: Relationship Between Species and Groups of Sites.

CALLAHAN BJ, MCMURDIE PJ & HOLMES SP. 2017. Exact sequence variants should replace operational taxonomic units in marker-gene data analysis. ISME J 11: 2639-2643. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2017.119.

CAMERON KA, HAGEDORN B, DIESER M, CHRISTNER BC, CHOQUETTE K, SLETTEN R, CRUMP B, KELLOGG C & JUNGE K. 2015. Diversity and potential sources of microbiota associated with snow on western portions of the Greenland Ice Sheet. Environ Microbiol 17: 594-609. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12446.

CAPORASO JG ET AL. 2012. Ultra-high-throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. ISME J 6: 1621-1624. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.008.

CAVICCHIOLI R. 2015. Microbial ecology of Antarctic aquatic systems. Nat Rev Microbiol 13: 691-706. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3549.

CHATURVEDI P, PRABAHAR V, MANORAMA R, PINDI PK, BHADRA B, BEGUM Z & SHIVAJI SY. 2008, n.d. Exiguobacterium soli sp. nov. a psychrophilic bacterium from the McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 58: 2447-2453. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.2008/000067-0.

CHONG CW, PEARCE DA, CONVEY P, YEW WC & TAN IKP. 2012. Patterns in the distribution of soil bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences from different regions of Antarctica. Geoderma 181-182: 45-55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2012.02.017.

COENYE T. 2014. The Family Burkholderiaceae, In: Rosenberg E, Delong EF, Lory S, Stackebrandt E & Thompson F (Eds), The Prokaryotes: Alphaproteobacteria and Betaproteobacteria. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, p. 759-776. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-30197-1_239.

CONVEY P, BINDSCHADLER R, DI PRISCO G, FAHRBACH E, GUTT J, HODGSON DA, MAYEWSKI PA, SUMMERHAYES CP & TURNER J THE ACCE CONSORTIUM. 2009. Antarctic climate change

and the environment. Antarct Sci 21: 541-563. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954102009990642.

CONWAY J & GEHLENBORG N. 2019. UpSetR: A More Scalable Alternative to Venn and Euler Diagrams for Visualizing Intersecting Sets.

CORTSELLI EM, AITKEN MD & SINGLETON DR. 2017. Description of IMMUNDISOLIBACTER CERNIGLIAE gen. nov. sp. nov. a high-molecular-weight polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-degrading bacterium within the class Gammaproteobacteria, and proposal of Immundisolibacterales ord. nov. and Immundisolibacteraceae fam. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 67: 925-931. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.001714.

COWAN DA, MAKHALANYANE TP, DENNIS PG & HOPKINS DW. 2014. Microbial ecology and biogeochemistry of continental Antarctic soils. Front Microbiol 5: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00154.

CUTHBERTSON L, AMORES-ARROCHA H, MALARD LA, ELS N, SATTLER B & PEARCE DA. 2017. Characterisation of Arctic Bacterial Communities in the Air above Svalbard. Biology 6: https://doi.org/10.3390/biology6020029.

DANG H, ZHU H, WANG J & LI T. 2009. Extracellular hydrolytic enzyme screening of culturable heterotrophic bacteria from deep-sea sediments of the Southern Okinawa Trough. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 25: 71-79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-008-9865-5.

DEDYSH SN & DAMSTÉ JSS. 2018. Acidobacteria, in: ELS. American Cancer Society, p. 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470015902.a0027685.

DELORENZO S, BRÄUER SL, EDGMONT CA, HERFORT L, TEBO BM & ZUBER P. 2012. Ubiquitous Dissolved Inorganic Carbon Assimilation by Marine Bacteria in the Pacific Northwest Coastal Ocean as Determined by Stable Isotope Probing. PLOS ONE 7: e46695. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0046695.

EDWARDS A ET AL. 2014. Coupled cryoconite ecosystem structure-function relationships are revealed by comparing bacterial communities in alpine and Arctic glaciers. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 89: 222-237. https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6941.12283.

FERRERA I, BANTA AB & REYSENBACH A-L. 2014. Spatial patterns of Aquificales in deep-sea vents along the Eastern Lau Spreading Center (SW Pacific). Syst Appl Microbiol 37: 442-448. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2014.04.002.

FINCKER M, HUBER JA, ORPHAN VJ, RAPPÉ MS, TESKE A & SPORMANN AM. 2020. Metabolic strategies of marine subseafloor Chloroflexi inferred from genome reconstructions. Environ Microbiol 22: 3188-3204. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.15061.

FOONG CP, WONG VUI LING CM & GONZÁLEZ M. 2010. Metagenomic analyses of the dominant bacterial community in the Fildes Peninsula, King George Island (South Shetland Islands). Polar Sci. Antarctic Biology in the 21st Century - Advances in and beyond IPY 4: 263-273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polar.2010.05.010.

FRANCO DC, SIGNORI CN, DUARTE RTD, NAKAYAMA CR, CAMPOS LS & PELLIZARI VH. 2017. High Prevalence of Gammaproteobacteria in the Sediments of Admiralty Bay and North Bransfield Basin, Northwestern Antarctic Peninsula. Front Microbiol 8: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00153.

FRIEDMANN EI, HUA M & OCAMPO-FRIEDMANN R. 1988. Cryptoendolithic lichen and cyanobacterial communities of the Ross Desert, Antarctica. Polarforschung 58: 251-259.

GOLYSHIN PN, CHERNIKOVA TN, ABRAHAM W-R, LÜNSDORF H, TIMMIS KN & YAKIMOV MM. 2002, n.d. Oleiphilaceae fam. nov. to include Oleiphilus messinensis gen. nov. sp. nov. a novel marine bacterium that obligately utilizes hydrocarbons. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 52: 901-911. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-52-3-901.

GRAN-SCHEUCH A, RAMOS-ZUÑIGA J, FUENTES E, BRAVO D & PÉREZ-DONOSO JM. 2020. Effect of Co-contamination by PAHs and Heavy Metals on Bacterial Communities of Diesel Contaminated Soils of South Shetland Islands, Antarctica. Microorganisms 8, 1749. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8111749

HARDING T, JUNGBLUT AD, LOVEJOY C & VINCENT WF. 2011. Microbes in High Arctic Snow and Implications for the Cold Biosphere. Appl. Environ Microbiol 77: 3234-3243. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02611-10.

HELL K, EDWARDS A, ZARSKY J, PODMIRSEG SM, GIRDWOOD S, PACHEBAT JA, INSAM H & SATTLER B. 2013. The dynamic bacterial communities of a melting High Arctic glacier snowpack. ISME J 7: 1814-1826. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.51.

HERBOLD CW, MCDONALD IR & CARY SC. 2014. Microbial Ecology of Geothermal Habitats in Antarctica, In: Cowan DA (Ed), Antarctic Terrestrial Microbiology: Physical and Biological Properties of Antarctic Soils. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, p. 181-215. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-45213-0_10.

HIRSCH P, HOFFMANN B, GALLIKOWSKI CC, MEVS U, SIEBERT J & SITTIG M. 1988. Diversity and identification of heterotrophic bacteria from Antarctic Rocks of the McMurdo Dry Valleys (Ross Desert). Suppl. Hirsch P Al 1988 37 Divers. Identif. Heterotrophs Antarct. Rocks McMurdo Dry Val. Ross

Desert Polarforsch 5823: 261-269. Hdl10013epic29622d001. https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.763324.

HODSON AJ, NOWAK A, COOK J, SABACKA M, WHARFE ES, PEARCE DA, CONVEY P & VIEIRA G. 2017. Microbes influence the biogeochemical and optical properties of maritime Antarctic snow. J Geophys Res Biogeosciences 122: 1456-1470. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JG003694.

HOSHINO T, DOI H, URAMOTO G-I, WÖRMER L, ADHIKARI RR, XIAO N, MORONO Y, D'HONDT S, HINRICHS K-U & INAGAKI F. 2020. Global diversity of microbial communities in marine sediment. Proc Natl Acad Sci 117: 27587-27597. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1919139117.

JANSSON JK & TAŞ N. 2014. The microbial ecology of permafrost. Nat Rev Microbiol 12: 414-425. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3262.

KALLMEYER J, POCKALNY R, ADHIKARI RR, SMITH DC & D'HONDT S. 2012. Global distribution of microbial abundance and biomass in subseafloor sediment. Proc Natl Acad Sci 109: 16213-16216. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1203849109.

KATOH K, MISAWA K, KUMA K & MIYATA T. 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. Nucleic Acids Res 30: 3059-3066. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkf436.

KURAHASHI M, FUKUNAGA Y, SAKIYAMA Y, HARAYAMA S & YOKOTA A. 2011. Iamia majanohamensis gen. nov. sp. nov. an actinobacterium isolated from sea cucumber Holothuria edulis, and proposal of Iamiaceae fam. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 59: 869-873. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.005611-0.

LAROSE C, DOMMERGUE A & VOGEL TM. 2013. Microbial nitrogen cycling in Arctic snowpacks. Environ Res Lett 8: 035004. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/8/3/035004.

LEARMAN DR, HENSON MW, THRASH JC, TEMPERTON B, BRANNOCK PM, SANTOS SR, MAHON AR & HALANYCH KM. 2016. Biogeochemical and Microbial Variation across 5500 km of Antarctic Surface Sediment Implicates Organic Matter as a Driver of Benthic Community Structure. Front Microbiol 7: 284. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00284.

LEZCANO MÁ ET AL. 2019. Biomarker Profiling of Microbial Mats in the Geothermal Band of Cerro Caliente, Deception Island (Antarctica): Life at the Edge of Heat and Cold. Astrobiology 19: 1490-1504. https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2018.2004.

LI J, GU X & GUI Y. 2020. Prokaryotic Diversity and Composition of Sediments From Prydz Bay, the Antarctic Peninsula Region, and the Ross Sea, Southern Ocean. Front Microbiol 11: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00783.

LOPATINA A, MEDVEDEVA S, SHMAKOV S, LOGACHEVA MD, KRYLENKOV V & SEVERINOV K. 2016. Metagenomic Analysis of Bacterial Communities of Antarctic Surface Snow. Front Microbiol 7: 398. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00398.

LUO W, DING H, LI H, JI Z, HUANG K, ZHAO W, YU Y & ZENG Y. 2020. Molecular diversity of the microbial community in coloured snow from the Fildes Peninsula (King George Island, Maritime Antarctica). Polar Biol 43: 1391-1405. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-020-02716-0.

MACCARIO L, VOGEL TM & LAROSE C. 2014. Potential drivers of microbial community structure and function in Arctic spring snow. Front Microbiol 5: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00413.

MAKHALANYANE TP, VALVERDE A, BIRKELAND N-K, CARY SC, MARLA TUFFIN I & COWAN DA. 2013. Evidence for successional development in Antarctic hypolithic bacterial communities. ISME J 7: 2080-2090. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.94.

MALARD LA, ŠABACKÁ M, MAGIOPOULOS I, MOWLEM M, HODSON A, TRANTER M, SIEGERT MJ & PEARCE DA. 2019. Spatial Variability of Antarctic Surface Snow Bacterial Communities. Front Microbiol 10: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00461.

MCCAMMON SA & BOWMAN JP. 2000. Taxonomy of Antarctic Flavobacterium species: description of Flavobacterium gillisiae sp. nov. Flavobacterium tegetincola sp. nov. and Flavobacterium xanthum sp. nov. nom. rev. and reclassification of [Flavobacterium] salegens as Salegentibacter salegens gen. nov. comb. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 50 Pt 3: 1055-1063. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-50-3-1055.

MCMURDIE PJ & HOLMES S. 2012. Phyloseq: a bioconductor package for handling and analysis of high-throughput phylogenetic sequence data. Pac Symp Biocomput: 235-246.

MICHAUD L, GIUDICE AL, MYSARA M, MONSIEURS P, RAFFA C, LEYS N, AMALFITANO S & HOUDT RV. 2014. Snow Surface Microbiome on the High Antarctic Plateau (DOME C). PLOS ONE 9: e104505. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0104505.

NAKAGAWA T, TAKAI K, SUZUKI Y, HIRAYAMA H, KONNO U, TSUNOGAI U & HORIKOSHI K. 2006. Geomicrobiological exploration and characterization of a novel deep-sea hydrothermal system at the TOTO caldera in the Mariana Volcanic Arc. Environ Microbiol 8: 37-49. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00884.x.

PARKES RJ, CRAGG B, ROUSSEL E, WEBSTER G, WEIGHTMAN A & SASS H. 2014. A review of prokaryotic populations and processes in sub-seafloor sediments, including

biosphere:geosphere interactions. Mar Geol 50th Anniversary Special Issue 352: 409-425. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2014.02.009.

PASCUAL J, HUBER KJ & OVERMANN J. 2018. Pyrinomonadaceae, in: Bergey's Manual of Systematics of Archaea and Bacteria. American Cancer Society, p. 1-4. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118960608.fbm00310.

PEARCE DA, HUGHES KA, LACHLAN-COPE T, HARANGOZO SA & JONES AE. 2010. Biodiversity of air-borne microorganisms at Halley Station, Antarctica. Extrem. Life Extreme Cond 14: 145-159. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00792-009-0293-8.

PEARCE DA, NEWSHAM K, THORNE M, CALVO-BADO L, KRSEK M, LASKARIS P, HODSON A & WELLINGTON EMH. 2012. Metagenomic Analysis of a Southern Maritime Antarctic Soil. Front Microbiol 3: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00403.

PEREIRA O, HOCHART C, AUGUET JC, DEBROAS D & GALAND PE. 2019. Genomic ecology of Marine Group II, the most common marine planktonic Archaea across the surface ocean. MicrobiologyOpen 8: e00852. https://doi.org/10.1002/mbo3.852.

PESSI IS, OSORIO-FORERO C, GÁLVEZ EJC, SIMÕES FL, SIMÕES JC & JUNCA H. 2015. Distinct composition signatures of archaeal and bacterial phylotypes in the Wanda Glacier forefield, Antarctic Peninsula. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 91: 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiu005.

POWELL SM, BOWMAN JP, SNAPE I & STARK JS. 2003. Microbial community variation in pristine and polluted nearshore Antarctic sediments. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 45: 135-145. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6496(03)00135-1.

PRICE MN, DEHAL PS & ARKIN AP. 2009. FastTree: Computing Large Minimum Evolution Trees with Profiles instead of a Distance Matrix. Mol Biol Evol 26: 1641-1650. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msp077.

PRICE RE & GIOVANNELLI D. 2017. A Review of the Geochemistry and Microbiology of Marine Shallow-Water Hydrothermal Vents, in: Reference Module in Earth Systems and Environmental Sciences. Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-409548-9.09523-3.

QUAST C, PRUESSE E, YILMAZ P, GERKEN J, SCHWEER T, YARZA P, PEPLIES J & GLÖCKNER FO. 2013. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res 41: D590-D596. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1219.

QUINCE C, LANZEN A, DAVENPORT RJ & TURNBAUGH PJ. 2011. Removing Noise From Pyrosequenced Amplicons. BMC Bioinformatics 12: 38. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-38.

RAMOS LR, VOLLÚ RE, JURELEVICIUS D, ROSADO AS & SELDIN L. 2019. Firmicutes in different soils of Admiralty Bay, King George Island, Antarctica. Polar Biol 42: 2219-2226. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-019-02596-z

ŠANTL-TEMKIV T, GOSEWINKEL U, STARNAWSKI P, LEVER M & FINSTER K. 2018. Aeolian dispersal of bacteria in southwest Greenland: their sources, abundance, diversity and physiological states. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 94: https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiy031.

SHARP CE, BRADY AL, SHARP GH, GRASBY SE, STOTT MB & DUNFIELD PF. 2014. Humboldt's spa: microbial diversity is controlled by temperature in geothermal environments. ISME J 8: 1166-1174. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.237.

SIEBERT J & HIRSCH P. 1988. Characterization of 15 selected coccal bacteria isolated from Antarctic rock and soil samples from the McMurdo-Dry Valleys (South-Victoria Land). Polar Biol 9: 37-44. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00441762.

SIEBERT J, HIRSCH P, HOFFMANN B, GLIESCHE CG, PEISSL K & JENDRACH M. 1996. Cryptoendolithic microorganisms from Antarctic sandstone of Linnaeus Terrace (Asgard Range): diversity, properties and interactions. Biodivers Conserv 5: 1337-1363. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00051982.

SIGNORI CN, PELLIZARI VH, ENRICH-PRAST A & SIEVERT SM. 2018. Spatiotemporal dynamics of marine bacterial and archaeal communities in surface waters off the northern Antarctic Peninsula. Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr. Oceanographic processes and biological responses around Northern Antarctic Peninsula: a 15-year contribution of the Brazilian High Latitude Oceanography Group 149: 150-160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2017.12.017.

SIGNORI CN, THOMAS F, ENRICH-PRAST A, POLLERY RCG & SIEVERT SM. 2014. Microbial diversity and community structure across environmental gradients in Bransfield Strait, Western Antarctic Peninsula. Front Microbiol 5: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00647.

SOO RM, WOOD SA, GRZYMSKI JJ, MCDONALD IR & CARY SC. 2009. Microbial biodiversity of thermophilic communities in hot mineral soils of Tramway Ridge, Mount Erebus, Antarctica. Environ Microbiol 11: 715-728. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.01859.x.

STETTER KO, KÖNIG H & STACKEBRANDT E. 1983. Pyrodictium gen. nov. a New Genus of Submarine Disc-Shaped Sulphur Reducing Archaebacteria Growing Optimally at 105°C. Syst Appl Microbiol 4: 535-551. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0723-2020(83)80011-3.

TAKAI K, KOMATSU T, INAGAKI F & HORIKOSHI K. 2001. Distribution of Archaea in a Black Smoker Chimney

Structure. Appl Environ Microbiol 67: 3618-3629. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.67.8.3618-3629.2001.

TAKAI K & SAKO Y. 1999. A molecular view of archaeal diversity in marine and terrestrial hot water environments. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 28: 177-188. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.1999.tb00573.x.

THOMPSON LR ET AL. 2017. A communal catalogue reveals Earth's multiscale microbial diversity. Nature 551: 457-463. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24621.

TONELLI M, SIGNORI CN, BENDIA AG, NEIVA J, FERRERO B, PELLIZARI VH & WAINER I. 2021. Climate projections for the Southern Ocean reveal impacts in the marine microbial communities following increases in sea surface temperature. Front Mar Sci 8: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.636226.

UE H, MATSUO Y, KASAI H & YOKOTA A. 2011. Demequina globuliformis sp. nov. Demequina oxidasica sp. nov. and Demequina aurantiaca sp. nov. actinobacteria isolated from marine environments, and proposal of Demequinaceae fam. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 61: 1322-1329. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.024299-0.

VISHNIVETSKAYA TA, KATHARIOU S & TIEDJE JM. 2009. The Exiguobacterium genus: biodiversity and biogeography. Extremophiles 13: 541-555. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00792-009-0243-5.

WANG NF, ZHANG T, ZHANG F, WANG ET, HE JF, DING H, ZHANG BT, LIU J, RAN XB & ZANG JY. 2015. Diversity and structure of soil bacterial communities in the Fildes Region (maritime Antarctica) as revealed by 454 pyrosequencing. Front Microbiol 6: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01188.

WARD L, TAYLOR MW, POWER JF, SCOTT BJ, MCDONALD IR & STOTT MB. 2017. Microbial community dynamics in Inferno Crater Lake, a thermally fluctuating geothermal spring. ISME J 11: 1158-1167. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2016.193.

YAKIMOV MM & GOLYSHIN PN. 2014. The Family Oleiphilaceae, In: Rosenberg E, Delong EF, Lory S, Stackebrandt E & Thompson F (Eds), The Prokaryotes: Gammaproteobacteria. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, p. 529-533. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-38922-1_285.

YAN P, HOU S, CHEN T, MA X & ZHANG S. 2012. Culturable bacteria isolated from snow cores along the 1300 km traverse from Zhongshan Station to Dome A, East Antarctica. Extrem. Life Extreme Cond: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00792-012-0434-3.

YILMAZ P, PARFREY LW, YARZA P, GERKEN J, PRUESSE E, QUAST C, SCHWEER T, PEPLIES J, LUDWIG W & GLÖCKNER FO. 2014. The SILVA and "All-species Living Tree Project (LTP)"

taxonomic frameworks. Nucleic Acids Res 42: D643-D648. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1209.

ZARSKY JD, STIBAL M, HODSON A, SATTLER B, SCHOSTAG M, HANSEN LH, JACOBSEN CS & PSENNER R. 2013. Large cryoconite aggregates on a Svalbard glacier support a diverse microbial community including ammonia-oxidizing archaea. Environ Res Lett 8: 035044. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/8/3/035044.

ZENG X, ALAIN K & SHAO Z. 2021. Microorganisms from deep-sea hydrothermal vents. Mar Life Sci Technol: https://doi.org/10.1007/s42995-020-00086-4.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Tables SI-SIII.
Figure S1.

AMANDA G. BENDIA[1]
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0042-8990

JULIO CEZAR F. MOREIRA[1]
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5470-8894

JULIANA C.N. FERREIRA[1]
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5367-0257

RENATO G. ROMANO[1]
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3687-5469

IVAN G.C. FERREIRA[1]
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5077-6382

DIEGO C. FRANCO[1]
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0731-9511

HEITOR EVANGELISTA[2]
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9832-1141

ROSALINDA C. MONTONE[3]
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9586-1000

VIVIAN HELENA PELLIZARI[1]
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2757-6352

[1]Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Departamento de Oceanografia Biológica, Instituto Oceanográfico, Cidade Universitária, Praça do Oceanográfico, 191, 05508-900 São Paulo, SP, Brazil

[2]Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (UERJ), Instituto de Biologia Roberto Alcantara Gomes, Maracanã, 20550-013 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

[3]Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Departamento de Oceanografia Física, Química e Geológica, Instituto Oceanográfico, Cidade Universitária, Praça do Oceanográfico, 191, 05508-900 São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Correspondence to: **Amanda Gonçalves Bendia**
E-mail: amandagb@usp.br

Author contributions

VHP conceived the project idea, designed the research, and obtained the financial support from public funding agencies. VHP, HE and RCM coordinated the research. AGB, RGR, DCF, RCM, HE and VHP collected the samples. AGB, IGCF and DCF performed the DNA extractions, library preparation and sequencing submission. AGB, JCFM, JCNF and RGR performed the bioinformatic analysis, drafted the manuscript and designed the figures. All authors wrote the manuscript and contributed to the final version.