

Gisele Aparecida Fernandes^a

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5978-3279>

Victor Wünsch-Filho^{b,c}

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5958-1717>

^a A.C. Camargo Câncer Center, Grupo de Epidemiologia e Estatística em Câncer. São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

^b Universidade de São Paulo, Faculdade de Saúde Pública, Departamento de Epidemiologia. São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

^c Fundação Oncocentro de São Paulo. São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

Contact:

Gisele Aparecida Fernandes

Email:

gisele.fernandes@accamargo.org.br

How to cite (Vancouver):

Occupation and cancer in Brazil: a perennial challenge. Rev bras saúde ocup [Internet]. 2023;48:edcinq10. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1590/2317-6369/35422en2023v48edcinq10>

Occupation and cancer in Brazil: a perennial challenge

Ocupação e câncer no Brasil: um desafio perene

Abstract

Introduction: cancer incidence is increasing worldwide, especially in low- and middle-income countries. **Objective:** to identify and synthesize knowledge about occupational exposure and cancer, with emphasis on Brazilian scientific publications. **Method:** essay based on reviews carried out in the SciELO and PubMed databases. **Results:** a recent study identified 47 occupational agents among the 120 classified as definitively carcinogenic to humans by the International Agency for Research on Cancer. Studies carried out in the last two decades suggested fractions of cancer attributable to occupation, ranging from 1.3% in Brazil to 8% in Finland, although the criteria for measuring exposure in these studies can be questioned. In Brazil, scientific production on occupation and cancer is limited. The Revista Brasileira de Saúde Ocupacional (RBSO) published, between January 2003 to July 2022, six articles on the subject. In the PubMed database, from 2012 to 2022, 14 studies carried out in Brazil were identified. **Conclusion:** expanding research in this subject in Brazil is imperative to obtain more accurate estimates of workers exposed to carcinogens and related malignant tumors, essential to support public health actions and to establish norms on exposure limits or agents banning, reducing the burden of cancer in the Brazilian society.

Keywords: cancer; work; occupational exposure; occupational health; Brazil.

Resumo

Introdução: a incidência do câncer tem aumentado continuamente no mundo, especialmente em países de baixa e média renda. **Objetivo:** identificar e sintetizar o conhecimento sobre exposição ocupacional e câncer, com ênfase na produção científica brasileira. **Métodos:** ensaio elaborado com base em revisões realizadas nas bases SciELO e PubMed. **Resultados:** um estudo recente identificou 47 agentes ocupacionais entre os 120 agentes classificados como definitivamente cancerígenos para humanos pela Agência Internacional de Pesquisa em Câncer. Estudos realizados nas duas últimas décadas indicaram frações de câncer atribuíveis à ocupação, variando de 1,3% no Brasil a 8% na Finlândia, embora os critérios para aferir a exposição nesses estudos possam ser questionados. No Brasil, a produção científica sobre ocupação e câncer é limitada. A Revista Brasileira de Saúde Ocupacional publicou, entre janeiro de 2003 e julho de 2022, seis artigos sobre o tema. Na base PubMed, de 2012 a 2022, foram identificados 14 estudos realizados no Brasil. **Conclusão:** ampliar pesquisas nesta área realizadas no país é imperativo para obtenção de estimativas mais precisas de trabalhadores expostos a cancerígenos e tumores malignos relacionados, essencial para subsidiar ações de saúde pública e normas sobre limites de exposição ou banimento de agentes, reduzindo o fardo do câncer na sociedade brasileira.

Palavras-chave: câncer; trabalho; exposição ocupacional; saúde do trabalhador; Brasil.



Introduction

Cancer is a global disease, whose incidence, mortality, and survival vary across regions, countries or even between different geographical areas in a given country. Despite this variation, its incidence is increasing worldwide, especially in low-and-middle-income countries¹. In South America, until 2040, projections indicate an increase above 65% in this rate in relation to 2020². In Brazil, estimates for 2040 project increases of more than 60% in new cases and in more than 70% in deaths³.

The term cancer includes hundreds of diseases, which have numerous risk factors that require relevant preventive actions. Its multifactorial characteristic complicates the task of investigating the role of specific causal factors as multiple agents are simultaneously involved in interacting in the carcinogenesis process. Therefore, linking exposure to carcinogens in the workplace to the occurrence of cancer in workers requires perspectives from a wide range of disciplines in a gradual and cumulative process of knowledge. This is what we learned when reviewing the classic examples of the association between soot and scrotal skin cancer and that between asbestos and mesothelioma or malignant lung neoplasms⁴.

In 1975, Doll carried out a relevant review of occupational risk factors for cancer, dividing results into two periods: before and after 1915. In the first period, much of the knowledge derived from clinicians and pathologists' case reports and from epidemiological observations. In the second period, advances in laboratory experiments influenced the detection of occupational carcinogens⁵. Still in the 1970s, the International Agency for Research on Cancer of the World Health Organization (IARC/WHO) started its Monographs program, which systematically evaluated the carcinogenic potential of agents, complex mixtures, and occupations. The first volume of the Monographs was published in 1971⁶. Currently, we find a vast amount of information about risks factors in different work environments and occupations that expose workers to excessive risks of cancer.

This study neither includes in-depth analyses of particular situations nor attempts to list occupational carcinogens and relate them to different types of cancer, as other authors have already competently done so^{7,8}. We aimed to review and summarize in general terms the knowledge about the impact of exposure to occupational carcinogens, particularly in the Brazilian scientific production.

Occupational carcinogens: characterization and magnitude

Exposures to carcinogens frequently occur in work environments. However, several such agents are also in the overall environment. The IARC Monographs program has evaluated the carcinogenic potential of chemical and physical agents considering epidemiological research results, animal models experiments, and studies with structure-activity analyses. From 1971 to 2022, it examined more than 1000 agents⁹, classifying them into one of the following categories: carcinogenic to humans (Group 1), probably carcinogenic to humans (Group 2A), possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B), and not classifiable as to its carcinogenicity to humans (Group 3). However, the IARC provides no indications on the relevance of occupational exposure to agents¹⁰.

In 2004, Siemiatycki et al.⁷ carried out an investigation to recognize which agent the IARC had evaluated up to 2003 (about 880) were mainly present in occupational settings. To assess occupational exposure, the authors used a substantial number of workers exposed at relevant levels to an agent. Their results showed 28 occupational agents in Group 1, 27 in Group 2, 113 in Group 3, and 18 occupations or industries that involved excessive cancer risks.

Loomis et al.⁸ updated the data but examined only IARC Group 1 agents. Of the 120 agents classified in Group 1 up to 2017, the authors recognized as occupational carcinogens those with sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity obtained in whole or in part by epidemiological studies in exposed workers and found that the occurrence of exposure in workers had been documented in the monograph of an agent. Based on these criteria, they classified 47 agents as occupational. A much more expressive number than that recorded by Siemiatycki et al.⁷ in Group 1.

Many countries produce their own lists of occupational carcinogens. The United States of America (USA) has two main lists: the NIOSH (National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health) list, which lists 131 potential occupational carcinogens and undergoes continuous revision¹¹; and the NTP (National Toxicology Program), which, in its 15th report on occupational carcinogens published in December 2021, lists 256 substances known or able to cause cancer in humans¹². However, the criteria and methods used to classify occupational carcinogens into these two lists remains unclear.

In Brazil, following Ordinance MS/GM 1339 from 1999¹³, the Ministry of Health released a list of work-related diseases with their respective risk factors. It listed malignant neoplasms and related them to etiological agents or occupational risk factors. The Ordinance proposal proposed an annual list review but that is yet to take place. In 2012, the National Cancer Institute (Instituto Nacional do Câncer - INCA) published its “Guidelines for work-related cancer surveillance,” which also followed the logic of relating malignant neoplasms with occupational risk factors¹⁴. To classify agents, the Guidelines followed IARC criteria. The most recent INCA publication “Environment, work and cancer: epidemiological, toxicological and regulatory aspects”¹⁵, also based on IARC criteria, points out the link between certain agents, going beyond only occupational carcinogens and malignant neoplasms.

Cancer attributable to occupational exposures

The demarcation of surveillance priorities requires the establishment of the attributable fraction of cancer as a result of occupational exposures. The reference study for it was published in 1981 – ‘The causes of cancer’¹⁶. The proportion of overall cancer deaths due to occupation in the US population totaled 4%, varying for anatomic locations. For example, 12.5% of lung cancer deaths were attributed to occupations.

New studies were conducted in this century to reexamine the fraction of cancer mortality attributable to occupations in different populations, with divergent results (**Table 1**). They show important methodological differences regarding exposure data, consensus criteria for carcinogenic agents, and incidence and mortality by types of neoplasia. The Brazilian study¹⁷ was the most restrictive research as it considered only a selection of agents and occupations classified in IARC Group 1 (definitely carcinogenic). The other studies also included agents classified in Group 2A (probably carcinogenic to humans). Naturally, different approaches reflected the varying results¹⁷. The attributable fractions estimated in studies from the USA and the United Kingdom^{18,19} resemble each other those obtained by Doll and Peto¹⁶. The Finnish study observed an attributable fraction of 8%, the highest among estimates²¹. On the other hand, the Brazilian study showed the smallest fraction — 1.3% (2.1% in men and 0.3% in women)¹⁷. All surveys showed higher proportions in men. Studies from Finland, the USA, and the United Kingdom highlighted the prominence of lung cancer and exposure to asbestos.

The Institute of Health Metrics and Evaluation has published a series of analyses on disease occurrences in the world under the generic title of Global Burden of Diseases (GBD). This sequence of analyses estimated the burden of cancer due to occupational exposures in different world regions²². Based on IARC reviews of agents up to 2014, the authors selected 14 major occupational carcinogens linked to eight malignant tumours. Exposure were based on CAREX (Carcinogen Exposure), a database created in the 1990s in Western Europe to estimate, by carcinogen, the number of exposed workers and the type of activity they performed by country²³. The GBD authors²² admitted that the prevalence of exposures among workers failed to change over time, extrapolating their data to all regions of the world and adjusting them for high-, medium-, and low-income countries. Tropical Latin America (a classification that includes Brazil) showed eight deaths from cancer per 100,000 inhabitants attributable to occupations. Half of this burden, i.e., four deaths per 100,000 inhabitants, would stem from exposure to asbestos. The limitations of this analysis are evident. The authors considered the CAREX estimates of the number of workers exposed to carcinogens in the 1990s in Western Europe to be stable and applicable to the rest of the world, examining a limited number of occupational carcinogens and malignant neoplasms.

Table 1 21st-century estimates of the fraction of cancer attributable to occupations. Finland, USA, United Kingdom, Brazil.

Studies	Nurminen and Karjalainen, 2001 ²¹ (Finland)	Steenland et al., 2003 ¹⁹ (USA)	Rushton et al., 2010 ²⁰ (United Kingdom)	Azevedo and Silva et al., 2016 ¹⁷ (Brazil)
Exposure	1960-1984	1980-1983	1956-1995/1986-2005	2000 Census PNAD 2003
Incidence	No	No	Yes	Yes
Mortality	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Carcinogens	IARC Groups 1 (22 agents) and 2A (22 agents)	IARC Group 1 and 2A	IARC Group 1 and 2A	IARC Group 1
Evaluated cancer types	26 tumors related to occupational exposure	Nine tumors related to occupational exposures	All types of cancer	All types of cancer
Fraction of cancer attributable to occupations	8% (Men: 14%; Women 2%)	From 2.4 to 4.8% (Men: 3.3-7.3%; Women: 0.8-1.0%)	5.3% (Men: 8.2%; Women: 2.3%)	1.3% (Men: 2.3%; Women: 0.3%)
Notes:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nearly half of work-related deaths were due to malignant tumors 54% of occupation-related deaths were due to lung cancer. Of all lung cancer deaths, 24% were attributed to exposure to occupational carcinogens; half of which to asbestos 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lung cancer contributed to about 75% of all work-related cancer deaths Half of occupation-related lung tumor deaths were attributed to asbestos exposure 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> More than 8% of cancer deaths in men were due to occupational exposures and above 20% for lung cancer Nearly 70% of occupation-related deaths were due to lung cancer. More than half were due to exposure to asbestos, half of which was due to construction work 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unlike the other studies in the table, this one was not designed specifically for occupational exposure. The fraction attributable to multiple cancer risk factors was examined, which included occupation. Same approach as the classic study by Doll and Peto¹⁵.

Legend: PNAD: National Household Sample Survey (Pesquisa Nacional por Amostra de Domicílios); IARC: International Agency for Research on Cancer.

Research on occupational exposure and cancer in Brazil

Brazil has scarce information on occupation and cancer. An investigation into theses and dissertations in occupational health carried out in graduate programs in Brazil and abroad from 1970 to 2004 showed that, of 1,018

theses and dissertations, no more than six (0.6 %) targeted cancer—the lowest proportion among all occupational health topics listed in the study²⁴. This scenario has changed little in the last two decades.

From January 2003 to July 2022, SciELO only had six articles on occupational exposure and cancer in *Revista Brasileira de Saúde Ocupacional* (RBSO) pages. All were published from 2012 onward. Considering the RBSO position as a national reference for research dissemination in occupational health, the lack of research interest in this topic is unsettling. In 2012, RBSO published an editorial on the Guidelines on Surveillance of Work-Related Cancer, issued by INCA²⁵. In 2013, an essay published on Occupational Health Surveillance²⁶, pointed out the need for surveillance and the organization of an information system on exposure to carcinogenic agents. In 2017, the RBSO volume 42 supplement was dedicated to benzene, and although some articles focus on aspects of its genotoxicity, its carcinogenic property received a tangential mention. In 2019, three studies were published on exposure to potential carcinogens: crystalline silica in the rubber industry²⁷, pesticides²⁸ and lead, cadmium, copper, and zinc²⁹. However, these studies only poorly highlighted the links with cancer. Finally, in 2022 (up to July), an umbrella review evaluated occupational exposure and cancer³⁰.

The first references on PubMed of studies on the subject of occupation and cancer in Brazil date from the late 1960s^{31,32}. In the 1980s, the first case-control studies emerged, investigating risk factors for different malignant tumors but without reference to occupational conditions^{33,34}. In the following decade, the theme emerged more clearly, with cross-sectional or ecological, three case-control³⁵⁻³⁷, and a cohort studies³⁸. In the first decade of the 21st century, Brazilian studies in the country with several methodological approaches on occupation and cancer were published: case series, mutagenicity analyses, cross-sectional, ecological, case-control, and cohort studies³⁹⁻⁵⁴ and an investigation of the prevalence of exposure to silica⁵⁵.

At this point, we conducted a review on PubMed to follow the last decade evolution of research on occupation and cancer in Brazil. From January 31, 2012 to December 31, 2022, we screened studies using the following MeSH terms combination: ((Occupational Health) OR (occupation)) AND ((cancer) OR (neoplasms) OR (Hematologic Neoplasms) OR (mesotheliomas) OR (melanoma)) AND (Brazil). To include additional research, we also manually searched the references of the chosen studies. We only included ecological, case-control, and cohort studies in this review, ignoring language restrictions. Our exclusion criteria included: (1) cell and animal studies; and (2) review, descriptive, cross-sectional studies, letters to editors, and case reports. If studies met our eligibility criteria after we screened their titles and abstracts, we retrieved their full text for full reading.

We collected 396 articles. After reading their titles, we excluded 362 studies. Reading the abstracts of the remaining 34 articles, we selected 14 for full reading. Of these, 12 were selected from the database and another two^{56,57} were identified from bibliographical references. Thus, we included 14 studies in this review.

The selected articles include eight ecological, five case-control, and one cohort studies (**Table 2**). Pesticides, agricultural activities, and asbestos were the main investigated exposures. The studies examined distinct types of cancer. Most evaluated deaths from the disease as their main outcome.

Among the ecological studies on asbestos exposure, Algranti et al.⁵⁶ showed a growing trend in deaths from mesothelioma in Brazil, especially in São Paulo, a state that showed an increase in standardized mortality rates for this neoplasm. Based on the data, the authors extrapolated the projected peak mortality for mesothelioma in Brazil between 2021 and 2026, exhibiting a 15 to 20-year lag compared to developed countries. The authors related this fact to the history of the consumption of that fiber in Brazil. Another study found an excess of deaths due to malignant lung neoplasms in men aged 60 years and older in Osasco (a municipality that housed a large asbestos-cement industry in the previous century) in relation to the male population of São Paulo State and in the municipality of Sorocaba⁵⁷. Saito et al.⁵⁸ observed that, in municipalities with a history of asbestos mining and asbestos-cement production, mortality rates due to mesothelioma, asbestosis, pleural plaques, and lung and ovarian cancer exceeded rates in all of Brazil.

Table 2 Ecological, case-control, cohort studies on PubMed on occupation and cancer that were published in Brazil, from January 2012 to December 2022.

Author/ Year/Study location	Design/ Period of study	Exposure	Outcome	Main results
Miranda Filho et al., 2014 ⁵⁹ Rio de Janeiro	Ecological Study 1996 and 2010.	Agriculture	Deaths from malignant neoplasm of the brain (ICD-10, C-71)	Serrana Region AAPC: 3.8%; 95%CI:0.8;5.6 RR (75-79 years): 33.63; 95%CI:15.24;74.22 Metropolitan area AAPC: -0.2%; 95%CI:-1.2;0.7 RR (75-79 years): 23.78; 95%CI:22.55;25.07
Koifman et al., 2014 ⁶¹ Brazil	Ecological Study 1996 and 2004.	Dentist (occupation code 063)	Deaths from malignant neoplasms (ICD-10, C00-C97)	MOR (general population) Male dentists 20-49 years old: C00-C97: 4.29; 95%CI:3.42;5.47 C18-21: 3.24; 95%CI:1.30;6.67 C71: 1.70; 95%CI:0.55;3.96 C82-C85: 3.71;95%CI: 1.36;8.09 50-79 years old: C00-C97: 1.67;95%CI:1.54;1.81 C18-21: 2.40; 95%CI:1.81;3.15 C71: 2.08; 95%CI:1.33;3.10 C82-C85: 2.54;95%CI:1.55;3.91 Female dentists: 20-49 years old: C00-C97: 2.26; 95%CI:1.91;2.67 C18-21: 2.47; 95%CI:1.13;4.69 C71: 5.56; 95%CI:3.35;8.67 C82-C85: 3.18; 95%CI:1.03;7.41 C50: 3.67; 95%CI:2.69;4.89 50-79 years old: C00-C97: 4.22; 95%CI:3.58;4.97 C18-21: 3.12; 95%CI:1.66;5.34 C71: 2.73; 95%CI:0.88;6.36 C82-C85: 6.17; 95%CI:2.47;12.7 C50: 5.19; 95%CI:3.69;7.09
Algranti et al., 2015 ⁵⁶⁵ Municipalities of São Paulo and other places in Brazil	Ecological Study	Asbestos	Deaths from mesothelioma (ICD-10, C45) and pleural cancer (ICD-10, C38.4)	Crude average crude mortality rate ratio C45 Leme: 11.0 São Caetano do Sul: 8.4 Osasco: 5.2 Brazil: 1 C38,4 Leme: 0.0 São Caetano do Sul: 4.2 Osasco: 1.7 Brazil: 1 C45+C38,4 Leme: 4.4 São Caetano do Sul: 5.9 Osasco: 3.1 Brazil: 1 C45 Trends in numbers of cases Brazil: R ² = 0.63, p = 0.0012 São Paulo R ² = 0.69, p = 0.0004 Standardized crude mortality rate São Paulo: R ² = 0.35, p=0.0344

(Continues)

Table 2 Continuation...

Author/ Year/Study location	Design/ Period of study	Exposure	Outcome	Main results
Segatto et al., 2015 ⁶³ Porto Alegre, state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil	Hospital-based case-control April 2012 to September 2013	Pesticides	Cases of cutaneous melanoma	Use of pesticides for > 10 years: OR:1.9; 95%CI:1.2;8.2 Occupational exposure to pesticides: OR: 3.2; 95%CI:1.2;6.8 General use of pesticides OR: 2.03; 95%CI:1.03;6.89 Indoor use of pesticides four or more times a week OR: 1.44; 95%CI:1.11;3.49
Boccolini et al., 2016 ⁶⁵ Paraná, Santa Catarina, and Rio Grande do Sul	Case-control based on death certificates January 1996 to December 2005	Agricultural workers	Deaths from non- Hodgkin's lymphoma (ICD-10, C82-C85)	20 – 39 years old: Crude OR:1.31; 95%CI:1.05;1.87 OR adjusted for gender, state of residence, education, and race: 2.06; 95%CI:1.20;3.14 40 – 69 years old: Crude OR: 0.80; 95%CI:0.67;0.95 OR adjusted for gender, state of residence, education, and race: 0.96; 95%CI:0.75;1.23
Fortes et al., 2016 ⁶⁴ Brazil and Italy	Hospital-based case-control (2001 and 2003 for the Italian study and 2007 to 2013 for the Brazilian study)	Exposure to the sun and pesticides	Cases of cutaneous melanoma	Pesticides OR: 2.58; 95%CI:1.18;5.65 Use of pesticides for 10 years or more OR: 7.40; 95%CI:1.91;28.7 Exposure to at least two types of pesticides OR: 4.04; 95%CI:1.20;13.6 Herbicides OR: 3.08; 95%CI:1.06;8.97 Fungicides OR: 3.88; 95%CI:1.17;12.9 Pesticides and occupational sun exposure OR: 4.68; 95%CI:1.29;17.0
Azevedo e Silva et al., 2016 ²¹ Brazil	Ecological Study	Formaldehyde, paint, rubber industry, benzene, leather powder, silica, wood powder, nickel, asbestos, benzopyrene, diesel, iron/steel, radon, gamma radiation	Cancer of the esophagus (squamous), nasopharynx, sinonasal, larynx, lung, bladder, breast, ovary, mesothelioma, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and leukemia	Fraction of cancer attributable to occupation: 1.3% Men 2.3% Women 0.3%

(Continues)

Table 2 Continuation...

Author/ Year/Study location	Design/ Period of study	Exposure	Outcome	Main results
Krawczyk et al., 2016 ⁶⁰ Região Serrana do Rio de Janeiro: Teresópolis, Nova Fribourg, Bom Jardim, Cantagalo, Duas Barras, and Sumidouro	Ecological Study 1999-2013	Agricultural workers	Cancer deaths	Men 30-69 years Reference group: non-agricultural workers in the mountainous region of Rio de Janeiro Stomach= MOR:1.55; 95%CI:1.13;2.12 Esophageal cancers= MOR:1.93; 95%CI:1.38;2.7 Reference group: non-agricultural workers in Rio de Janeiro Stomach= MOR: 2.30; 95%CI:1.72;3.08 Esophageal cancers= MOR: 3.12; 95%CI:2.30;4.24 Reference group: non-agricultural workers in Porto Alegre Stomach= MOR: 2.28 95%CI:1.69;3.08 Esophageal cancers= MOR:1.72; 95%CI:1.26;2.34
Fernandes et al., 2019 ⁵⁷ Osasco, Sorocaba, and São Paulo State	Ecological Study 1980 to 2016	Asbestos	Deaths from lung cancer (ICD-9, C162) (ICD-10, C33-34)	Men Osasco = AAPC: 0.7%; 95%CI:0.1;1.3 Sorocaba = AAPC: -1.5%; 95%CI:-2.4;-0.6 São Paulo State = AAPC: -0.1; 95%CI:-0.3;0.1 Period-age-cohort model from 1996 onward: Osasco: increased risk of death Sorocaba: reduced risk of death São Paulo State: reduced risk of death
Santos et al., 2020 ⁶² Southern and Southeastern Brazil	Ecological Study 2006 to 2017	Male mechanics	Deaths from hypopharyngeal ICD-10, C10; nasopharynx ICD-10, C13; larynx ICD-10, C11; ICD-10 lung, C32; and bladder cancers ICD-10, C67; myeloid leukemia ICD-10, C92; and all ICD-10, C91-95 leukemias	Oropharyngeal:MOR:1.84; 95%CI:1.66;2.11 Hypopharynx: MOR:1.39; 95%CI:1.07;1.81 Larynx: MOR: 1.45; 95%CI:1.32;1.59 Lung: MOR: 1.07; 95%CI:1.01;1.12 Bladder: MOR:1.16; 95%CI:1.02;1.32 Leukemia: MOR:0.85; 95%CI:0.75;0.96
Fernandes et al., 2021 ⁶⁸ State of São Paulo	Cohort 1995 to 2016	Asbestos	Cancer deaths	Men: Overall Mortality = SMR: 1.1; 95%CI:0.98;1.23 Mesothelioma = SMR: 69.4; 95%CI:22.55;162.1 Asbestosis = SMR: 975.7; 95%CI:396.4;2031 Peritoneal cancer = SMR: 5,0; 95%CI:0.13;27.78 Laryngeal cancer = SMR: 1,4; 95%CI:0.30;4.20 Lung cancer = SMR: 1,5; 95%CI:0.82;2.64

(Continues)

Table 2. Continuation...

Author/ Year/Study location	Design/ Period of study	Exposure	Outcome	Main results
Saito et al., 2022 ⁵⁸ Five municipalities that mined asbestos and 24 that housed cement- asbestos industry plants in Brazil	Ecological Study 2000 to 2017	Asbestos	Deaths from mesothelioma (ICD-10, C45X), asbestosis (ICD-10, J61), pleural plaques (ICD-10, J92.0), lung cancer (ICD-10, C34), and ovarian cancer (ICD-10, C56)	Men: Mesothelioma = SRR: 1.70; 95%CI:1.45;1.99 Asbestosis= SRR: 6.35; 95%CI:4.86;8.28 Pleural Plaques = SRR: 5.06; 95%CI:3.69;6.89 Lung cancer= SRR: 1.33; 95%CI:1.31;1.34 Women: Mesothelioma = SRR: 1.17;95%CI:0.95;1.43 Asbestosis = SRR: 1.86; 95%CI:0.96;3.39 Pleural Plaques = SRR: 0.86; 95%CI:0.35;1.79 Lung cancer = SRR: 1.19; 95%CI:1.17;1.20 Ovary = SRR: 1.34; 95%CI:1.31;1.37
Áfio et al., 2022 ⁶⁶ Reference Hospital in the State of Ceará	Hospital-based case-control study 2019 and 2021	Occupations	Lymphohematopoietic cancers, multiple myeloma, leukemias, or non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	Rural workers OR _{crude} = 5.00; 95%CI:1.91;13.06 OR _{adjusted} = 3.38; 95%CI:1.20;9.54 Commercial workers OR _{crude} = 0.26; 95%CI:0.10;0.70 OR _{adjusted} = 0.30; 95%CI:0.10;0.88
Brey et al., 2022 ⁶⁷ Philanthropic Hospital in Curitiba-PR	Hospital-based case-control study February and October 2019	Known (list A) or suspected (list B) occupations associated with lung cancer	Cases of lung cancer (ICD-10, C34; including its subcategories)	Painters (list A) OR= 14.3; 95%CI:1.8;116.5

AAPC: Average annual percent change; RR: relative risk; MOR: Mortality odds ratio; SRR: Standardized rate ratio; OR: Odds ratio; DRA: diseases related to asbestos exposure; SMR: Standardized mortality rate ratio

An ecological study conducted in the mountainous agricultural region of Rio de Janeiro State showed a growing trend in brain cancer mortality rates, with a higher risk of death due to increasing age, compared to the population of the Metropolitan Region of Rio de Janeiro⁵⁹. An additional investigation in the same region found a high probability of death from stomach and esophageal cancer in agricultural workers compared to non-agricultural workers in the region and in the municipalities of Rio de Janeiro and Porto Alegre⁶⁰.

Moreover, two other ecological studies investigated the association between professional practice in certain occupations and the risk of death from cancer. Koifman et al.⁶¹ found a higher probability of death from non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, breast cancer, colon rectum, lung, brain, and all malignant neoplasm, among dentists of all genders in the age range from 20 to 79 years compared to the general population. Santos et al.⁶² found higher mortality from oropharyngeal, hypopharyngeal, laryngeal, lung, and bladder cancer but lower mortality from all leukemias in male mechanics. Azevedo and Silva et al.¹⁷ estimated the fraction of cancer deaths attributable to occupational exposures, finding a low impact on the general population, although it is clear that the risks may be much more relevant for some categories of workers.

Additionally, two hospital-based case-control studies aimed to investigate the risk factors for melanoma. The first study examined exposure to pesticides⁶³, whereas the second, including data from 95 Brazilian patients and 304 cases from Italy, investigated exposure to pesticides and solar radiation⁶⁴. Both studies found an increased risk of melanoma among exposed workers. Another case-control study based on death certificates

was conducted in southern Brazil, indicating a higher risk of death from non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in young agricultural workers (20-39 years) compared to non-agricultural workers⁶⁵. In 2022, two other hospital-based case-control studies were published. Áfio et al.⁶⁶ found that rural workers were more likely to have lymphohematopoietic tumors, multiple myeloma, leukemia, or non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Brey et al.⁶⁷ found a higher risk of developing lung cancer among painters.

The only cohort study published in the period, in 2021, evaluated mortality in former workers of an asbestocement industry in the municipality of Osasco⁶⁸, observing an excess of deaths in this population due to pleural and peritoneal malignant neoplasms, lung cancer, and asbestosis.

Final considerations

Although the general population is subject to a discreet exposure to occupational carcinogens, some environments have a significant number of workers exposed to high levels of carcinogenic agents. Therefore, understanding and monitoring substances, complex mixtures, or radiations with carcinogenic potential in workplaces and labor processes and occupations with an excessive risk of cancer form the foundation for planning surveillance and control activities.

In Brazil professional experience remains underexplored in medical anamnesis and is often absent in disease databases. Such constraints lead to the loss of valuable sources of information for examining potential correlations between cancer and occupation.

It is likely (indeed almost certain) that the scope of the review for this study excluded some studies. We admit this limitation, but the eventually unmentioned authors would certainly agree that these omissions fail to change the overall described framework. Conducting research is essential for a better understanding of the extent of workers' exposure and the most frequent malignant tumors. Considering its increasing incidence, developing knowledge about occupational hazards is a priority for establishing regulations on exposure limits or banishing carcinogens, thereby reducing the burden of cancer in Brazil.

References

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2021;71(3):209-49.
2. Piñeros M, Laversanne M, Barrios E, Cancela M de C, de Vries E, Pardo C, et al. An updated profile of the cancer burden, patterns and trends in Latin America and the Caribbean. *Lancet Reg Health Am.* 2022;13:100294.
3. International Agency for Research on Cancer. Global Cancer Observatory. *Cancer Tomorrow* [Internet]. Lyon; 2002. [cited 2022 sept 13]. Available from: <https://gco.iarc.fr/tomorrow/en/>.
4. Toporcov T, Wunsch Filho V. Epidemiological science and cancer control. *Clinics.* 2018;73(Suppl 1):e627s.
5. Doll R. Part III: 7th Walter Hubert lecture. Pott and the prospects for prevention. *Br J Cancer.* 1975;32(2):263-74.
6. Saracci R, Wild C. International Agency for Research on Cancer: the first 50 years, 1965-2015. Lyon: IARC; 2015.
7. Siemiatycki J, Richardson L, Straif K, Latreille B, Lakhani R, Campbell S, et al. Listing Occupational Carcinogens. *Environ Health Perspec.* 2004;112(15):1447-59.
8. Loomis D, Guha N, Hall AL, Straif K. Identifying occupational carcinogens: an update from the IARC Monographs. *Occup Environ Med.* 2018;75(8):593-603.
9. International Agency for Research on Cancer. Agents classified by the IARC Monographs volumes 1-132 [Internet]. Lyon; 2022. [cited 2022 Sept 8]. Available from: <https://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Classification/index.php>
10. International Agency for Research on Cancer. IARC Monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans [Internet]. Lyon; 2019. [cited 2022 Sept 8]. Available from: <https://monographs.iarc.who.int/>

11. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (USA). NIOSH Carcinogen List [Internet]. Atlanta; [2001] [cited 2022 Sept 9]. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/cancer/npotocca.html>
12. National Toxicology Program (USA). 15th Report on Carcinogens. National Toxicology Program [Internet] Durham; 2021 [cited 2022 Sept 9]. Available from: <https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/whatwestudy/assessments/cancer/roc/index.html>
13. Ministério da Saúde. Lista de doenças relacionadas ao trabalho. Portaria n. 1679/GM, de 19 de setembro de 2002. .2a. ed. Brasília, DF; 1999.
14. Instituto Nacional de Câncer José de Alencar Gomes da Silva. Diretrizes para a vigilância do câncer relacionado ao trabalho [Internet]. Rio de Janeiro; 2012 [cited 2022 Sept 9]. Available from: https://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/inca/diretrizes_vigilancia_cancer_trabalho.pdf
15. Instituto Nacional de Câncer José de Alencar Gomes da Silva. Ambiente, trabalho e câncer: aspectos epidemiológicos, toxicológicos e regulatórios [Internet]. Rio de Janeiro; 2021[cited 2022 Sept 9]. Available from: https://www.inca.gov.br/sites/ufu.sti.inca.local/files/media/document/ambiente_trabalho_e_cancer_-_aspectos_epidemiologicos_toxicologicos_e_regulatorios.pdf
16. Doll R, Peto R. The causes of cancer: quantitative estimates of avoidable risks of cancer in the United States today. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1981;66(6):1192-308.
17. Azevedo e Silva G, de Moura L, Curado MP, Gomes FS, Otero U, Rezende LFM et al. The fraction of cancer attributable to ways of life, infections, occupation, and environmental agents in Brazil in 2020. *PloS One.* 2016;11(2):e0148761.
18. Purdue MP, Hutchings SJ, Rushton L, Silverman DT. The proportion of cancer attributable to occupational exposures. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2015;25(3):188-92.
19. Steenland K, Burnett C, Lalich N, Ward E, Hurrell J. Dying for work: The magnitude of US mortality from selected causes of death associated with occupation. *Am J Ind Med.* 2003;43(5):461-82.
20. Rushton L, Bagga S, Bevan R, Brown TP, Cherrie JW, Holmes P, et al. Occupation and cancer in Britain. *Br J Cancer.* 2010;102(9):1428-37.
21. Nurminen M, Karjalainen A. Epidemiologic estimate of the proportion of fatalities related to occupational factors in Finland. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 2001;27(3):161-213.
22. Global Burden of Diseases. Global and regional burden of cancer in 2016 arising from occupational exposure to selected carcinogens: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Occup Environ Med.* 2020;77(3):151-9.
23. Kauppinen T, Toikkanen J, Pedersen D, Young R, Ahrens W, Boffetta P, et al. Occupational exposure to carcinogens in the European Union. *Occup Environ Med.* 2000;57(1):10-8.
24. Santana VS. Saúde do trabalhador no Brasil: pesquisa na pós-graduação. *Rev Saúde Pública.* 2006; 40(spe):101-11.
25. Wünsch Filho V. Vigilância do câncer relacionado ao trabalho: sobre as Diretrizes 2012 publicadas pelo INCA. *Rev Bras Saúde Ocup.* 2012;37(125):6-8.
26. Ribeiro FSN. Vigilância em Saúde do Trabalhador: a tentação de engendrar respostas às perguntas caladas. *Rev Bras Saúde Ocup.* 2013;38(128):268-79.
27. Oliveira A, Pinto TCNO. Avaliação da eficácia de sistema de ventilação local exaustora utilizado no controle de sílica cristalina em indústria de borracha de silicone. *Rev Bras Saúde Ocup.* 2019;44.
28. Petarli GB, Cattafesta M, Luz TC, Zandonade E, Bezerra OMPA, Salaroli LB. Exposição ocupacional a agrotóxicos, riscos e práticas de segurança na agricultura familiar em município do estado do Espírito Santo, Brasil. *Rev Bras Saúde Ocup.* 2019;44.
29. Rego RF, Machado LOR, Silva GA, Falcão IR. Implantação de protocolo de vigilância e atenção à saúde de ex-trabalhadores e população exposta a chumbo, cádmio, cobre e zinco em Santo Amaro, Bahia. *Rev Bras Saúde Ocup.* 2019;44.
30. Guimarães RM, Dutra VGP, Ayres ARG, Garbin HBR, Martins TCF, Meira KC. Exposição ocupacional e câncer: uma revisão guarda-chuva. *Rev Bras Saúde Ocup.* 2022;47.
31. Rocha AA, Bueno Z. Câncer ocupacional. *Hospital (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil)* 1968;74(6):1885-96.
32. Pereira MF. Cancerologia ocupacional. *Hospital (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil)* 1969;75(3):885-95.
33. Kirchoff LV, Evans AS, McClelland KE, Carvalho RP, Pannutti CS. A case-control study of Hodgkin's disease in Brazil. I. Epidemiologic aspects. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1980;112(5):595-608.
34. Franco EL, Kowalski LP, Oliveira BV, Curado MP, Pereira RN, Silva ME, et al. Risk factors for oral cancer in Brazil: A case-control study. *Int J Cancer.* 1989;43(6):992-1000.
35. Suzuki I, Hamada GS, Zamboni MM, de Biasi Cordeiro P, Watanabe S, Tsugane S. Risk factors for lung cancer in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: a case-control study. *Lung Cancer.* 1994;11(3-4):179-90.

36. Wünsch Filho V, Moncau J, Mirabelli D, Boffetta P. Occupational risk factors of lung cancer in São Paulo, Brazil. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 1998;24(2):118-24.
37. Santana VS, Silva M, Loomis D. Brain Neoplasms among Naval Military Men. *Int J Occup Environ Health*. 1999;5(2):88-94.
38. Fassa AG, Facchini LA, Dall'Agnol MM. The Brazilian cohort of pulp and paper workers: the logistic of a cancer mortality study. *Cad Saúde Pública*. 1998;14(suppl 3):S117-23.
39. Medrado-Faria MD, Almeida JW, Zanetta DM, Gattás GJ. Nervous system cancer mortality in an industrialized area of Brazil, 1980-1993. *Arq Neuro-Psiquiatr*. 2000;58(2B):412-7.
40. Faria MA, Almeida JW. Lung cancer mortality in an urban and industrialized area of Brazil: 1980-93. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*. 2001;58(2):136-7.
41. Mattos IE, Sauaia N, Menezes PR. Padrão de mortalidade por câncer em trabalhadores eletricitários. *Cad Saúde Pública*. 2002;18(1):221-3.
42. Rêgo MAV, Campos Sousa CS, Kato M, Barreto de Carvalho A, Loomis D, Carvalho FM. Non-Hodgkin's lymphomas and organic solvents. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2002;44(9):874-81.
43. Leal CHS, Wünsch Filho V. Mortalidade por leucemias relacionada à industrialização. *Rev Saúde Pública*. 2002;36(4):400-8.
44. Meyer A, Chrisman J, Moreira JC, Koifman S. Cancer mortality among agricultural workers from Serrana Region, state of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Environ Res*. 2003;93(3):264-71.
45. Stoppelli IM, Crestana S. Pesticide exposure and cancer among rural workers from Bariri, São Paulo State, Brazil. *Environ Int*. 2005;31(5):731-8.
46. Bahia SHA, Mattos IE, Koifman S. Cancer and wood-related occupational exposure in the Amazon region of Brazil. *Environ Res*. 2005;99(1):132-40.
47. Neves H, Moncau JEC, Kaufmann PR, Wünsch Filho V. Mortalidade por câncer em trabalhadores da indústria da borracha de São Paulo. *Rev Saúde Pública*. 2006;40(2):271-9.
48. Andreotti M, Rodrigues AN, Cardoso LMN, Figueiredo RAO, Eluf-Neto J, Wünsch-Filho V. Ocupação e câncer da cavidade oral e orofaringe. *Cad Saúde Pública*. 2006;22(3):543-52.
49. Veiga LHS, Amaral ECS, Colin D, Koifman S. A retrospective mortality study of workers exposed to radon in a Brazilian underground coal mine. *Radiat Environ Biophys*. 2006;45(2):125-34.
50. Sartor SG, Eluf-Neto J, Travier N, Wünsch Filho V, Arcuri ASA, Kowalski LP, et al. Riscos ocupacionais para o câncer de laringe: um estudo caso-controle. *Cad Saúde Pública*. 2007;23(6):1473-81.
51. Oliveira KMPG, Martins EM, Arbilla G, Gatti LV. Exposure to volatile organic compounds in an ethanol and gasoline service station. *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol*. 2007;79(2):237-41.
52. Seabra D, Fava G, Faria E, Sacheto T, Hidalgo G. Scrotal neoplasia: would truck drivers be at greater risk? *Int Braz J Urol*. 2007;33(4):515-20.
53. Varella SD, Rampazo RA, Varanda EA. Urinary mutagenicity in chemical laboratory workers exposed to solvents. *J Occup Health*. 2008;50(5):415-22.
54. Popim RC, Corrente JE, Marino JAG, Souza CA. Câncer de pele: uso de medidas preventivas e perfil demográfico de um grupo de risco na cidade de Botucatu. *Ciênc Saúde Coletiva*. 2008;13(4):1331-6.
55. Ribeiro FSN, Camargo EA de, Algranti E, Wünsch Filho V. Exposição ocupacional à sílica no Brasil no ano de 2001. *Rev Bras Epidemiol*. 2008;11(1):89-96.
56. Algranti E, Saito CA, Carneiro APS, Moreira B, Mendonça EMC, Bussacos MA. The next mesothelioma wave: mortality trends and forecast to 2030 in Brazil. *Cancer Epidemiol*. 2015;39(5):687-92.
57. Fernandes GA, Algranti E, Conceição GM de S, Wünsch Filho V, Toporcov TN. Lung cancer mortality trends in a Brazilian city with a long history of asbestos consumption. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16(14):2548.
58. Saito CA, Bussacos MA, Salvi L, Mensi C, Consonni D, Fernandes FT, et al. Sex-specific mortality from asbestos-related diseases, lung and ovarian cancer in municipalities with high asbestos consumption, Brazil, 2000–2017. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022;19(6):3656.
59. Miranda Filho AL, Koifman RJ, Koifman S, Monteiro GTR. Brain cancer mortality in an agricultural and a metropolitan region of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: a population-based, age-period-cohort study, 1996–2010. *BMC Cancer*. 2014;14(1):320.
60. Krawczyk N, Espíndola Santos AS, Lima J, Meyer A. Revisiting cancer 15 years later: exploring mortality among agricultural and non-agricultural workers in the Serrana Region of Rio de Janeiro. *Am J Ind Med*. 2016;60(1):77-86.

61. Koifman S, Malhão TA, Pinto de Oliveira G, de Magalhães Câmara V, Koifman RJ, Meyer A. Cancer mortality among Brazilian dentists. *Am J Ind Med.* 2014;57(11):1255-64.
62. Santos ASE, Martins AAF, Simões Gonçalves E, Meyer A. Mortality from selected cancers among Brazilian mechanics. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2020;21(6):1779-86.
63. Segatto MM, Bonamigo RR, Hohmann CB, Müller KR, Bakos L, Mastroeni S, et al. Residential and occupational exposure to pesticides may increase risk for cutaneous melanoma: a case-control study conducted in the south of Brazil. *Int J Dermatol.* 2015;54(12):e527-538.
64. Fortes C, Mastroeni S, Segatto MM, Hohmann C, Miligi L, Bakos L, et al. Occupational exposure to pesticides with occupational sun exposure increases the risk for cutaneous melanoma. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2016;58(4):370-5.
65. Boccolini P de MM, Boccolini CS, Chrisman J de R, Koifman RJ, Meyer A. Non-Hodgkin lymphoma among Brazilian agricultural workers: A death certificate case-control study. *Arch Environ Occup Health.* 2016;72(3):139-44.
66. Áfio NS, Forte ACFMS, Sanzana CES, Aguiar IWO. Trabalho rural associado a cânceres linfomatópicos em hospital público de referência: estudo caso-controle, Ceará, Brasil, 2019-2021. *Cad Saúde Pública.* 2022;38(7):e00286121.
67. Brey C, Consonni D, Sarquis LMM, Miranda FMDA. Câncer de pulmão e exposição ocupacional: estudo caso-controle de base hospitalar. *Rev Gaúcha Enferm.* 2022;43:e20210043.
68. Fernandes GA, Algranti E, Wünsch-Filho V, Silva LF, Toporcov TN. Causes of death in former asbestos-cement workers in the state of São Paulo, Brazil. *Am J Ind Med.* 2021;64(11):952-9.

Authors' contributions: Fernandes GA and Wünsch-Filho V contributed to the study design, data collection and interpretation, and the drafting and critical review of this manuscript. The authors approved the final version and assume full public responsibility for this study and its published content.

Data Availability: the authors declare that the entire dataset supporting the results of this study has been published in the article itself.

Funding: the authors declare that the study was not subsidized.

Competing interests: the authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Presentation at a scientific event: the authors inform that this study has not been presented at any scientific event.

Received: September 27, 2022

Reviewed: March 03, 2023

Approved: March 13, 2023

Editor-in-Chief:

José Marçal Jackson Filho