



# The Brazilian Journal of INFECTIOUS DISEASES

www.elsevier.com/locate/bjid



## Original article

# Changes in *Staphylococcus aureus* susceptibility across Latin America between 2004 and 2010

Elvira Garza-González<sup>a,\*</sup>, Michael Joseph Dowzicky<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Microbiology, Facultad de Medicina. Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Monterrey Nuevo León, Mexico

<sup>b</sup> Pfizer Inc., Collegeville, PA 19426, USA

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 28 February 2012

Accepted 9 August 2012

Available online 1 January 2013

#### Keywords:

Tigecycline

Antimicrobial surveillance

Latin America

*Staphylococcus aureus*

### ABSTRACT

The Tigecycline Evaluation and Surveillance Trial is a global surveillance study monitoring the efficacy of tigecycline and comparators against clinically important pathogens. Between 2004 and 2010, 3126 isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* were collected from 66 centers in 13 countries in Latin America; of these, 1467 (46.9%) were resistant to methicillin. The main contributors of *S. aureus* isolates were Mexico ( $n=846$ ), Argentina ( $n=740$ ), and Colombia ( $n=445$ ). The methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* rate was greater than 50% in five countries, the highest reported in Puerto Rico (73.9%). Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* rates across Latin America ranged from 40.1% to 50.6% over the study period. All *S. aureus* isolates were susceptible to linezolid and vancomycin, while 100% of methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* isolates and 99.8% of methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* isolates were susceptible to tigecycline. Both methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* and methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* were highly susceptible to minocycline (99.2% and 97.0%, respectively). Latin American methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* were highly susceptible to levofloxacin (94.6%) while only 16.2% of methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* were levofloxacin-susceptible. This study shows that linezolid, vancomycin, and tigecycline are all highly active against *S. aureus* from Latin America, regardless of methicillin resistance.

© 2013 Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

## Introduction

*Staphylococcus aureus* is a common component of the human bacterial flora, and can exist both as a harmless colonizer and as an active infective pathogen. *S. aureus* may cause a number of infections, including skin and soft tissue infections, bone, joint and implant infections, pneumonia, septicemia, and toxic shock syndrome.<sup>1</sup> *S. aureus* is an important pathogen due in part to the spread of antimicrobial resistant strains, normally defined as methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA);

these strains are often multidrug-resistant (MDR) and are associated with increased morbidity, mortality, and treatment costs.<sup>2–4</sup>

MRSA is the leading cause of nosocomial infections in Latin America, and community-based infections associated with MRSA are increasing in frequency.<sup>5</sup> Several Latin American centers participate in long-term surveillance studies such as RESISTNET, SENTRY, T.E.S.T. [Tigecycline Evaluation and Surveillance Trial], and ZAAPS [Zyvox Annual Appraisal of Potency and Spectrum]. However, the real extent and importance of MRSA infections in the region are not fully

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +52 81 83 29 41 66.

E-mail address: elvira.garza.gzz@yahoo.com (E. Garza-González).

1413-8670/\$ – see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2012.08.017>

understood as a large proportion of the region's population receives medical care from small healthcare centers which do not have the resources to contribute data to surveillance studies.<sup>6</sup>

Surveillance studies such as T.E.S.T. are essential in monitoring changes in the distribution and prevalence of important pathogens such as MRSA. In an attempt to better understand susceptibility patterns among *S. aureus* collected across Latin America, we examine and describe here changes in *in vitro* susceptibility among isolates of *S. aureus* and MRSA collected in Latin America as part of T.E.S.T. between 2004 and 2010. Data are described for the region as well as for individual countries, both longitudinally and over the complete course of the study period. This report builds upon the summary of antimicrobial resistance among Gram-positive and Gram-negative isolates collected in Latin America between 2004 and 2007 published by Rossi et al.<sup>7</sup>

## Methods

### Bacterial isolates

Bacterial isolates were collected in 13 Latin American countries as a part of T.E.S.T. between 2004 and 2010 (2010 data are incomplete, as some centers had not yet provided isolates at the time of writing). In this manuscript, we examine isolates of *S. aureus* collected in Argentina (12 centers), Brazil (3 centers), Chile (5 centers), Colombia (14 centers), El Salvador (1 center), Guatemala (4 centers), Honduras (2 centers), Jamaica (1 center), Mexico (14 centers), Nicaragua (1 center), Panama (2 centers), Puerto Rico (1 center), and Venezuela (6 centers). The degree of participation among the 66 centers contributing isolates to this study was as follows: 1 center contributed in all 6 years (Mexico); 6 centers contributed in 5 years (Mexico and Argentina, 2 each; Brazil and Chile, 1 each); 6 centers contributed in 4 years (Argentina, 4; Guatemala and Chile, 1 each); 8 centers contributed in 3 years (Argentina, Mexico, and Venezuela, 2 each; Brazil and Panama, 1 each); 25 centers contributed in 2 years; and 20 centers contributed in a single year only.

Each participating center was required to collect 25 isolates of *S. aureus* determined by local criteria to be of clinical significance. Only a single isolate per patient was admitted into the study. Isolate inclusion was independent of age, sex, and/or previous medical history. Acceptable isolate sources included urine (limited to no more than 25% of all isolates), blood, respiratory tract, skin, wound, and fluids from originally sterile body sites.

### Antimicrobial susceptibility

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) for all isolates were determined locally using broth microdilution methodology (Sensititre<sup>®</sup> plates [TREK Diagnostic Systems, West Sussex, England] or MicroScan<sup>®</sup> panels [Siemens, Sacramento, CA, USA]) as described in the guidelines published by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI).<sup>8</sup> Antimicrobial susceptibility was determined according to CLSI interpretive

criteria.<sup>9</sup> *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 was used for quality control studies.

The T.E.S.T. antimicrobial panel for *S. aureus* included the following antimicrobial agents: amoxicillin-clavulanate, ampicillin, ceftriaxone, imipenem (MicroScan<sup>®</sup> only), levofloxacin, linezolid, meropenem (Sensititre<sup>®</sup> only), minocycline, penicillin, piperacillin-tazobactam, tigecycline, and vancomycin. Data for beta-lactam antimicrobials are not included in this manuscript due to their inactivity against MRSA.

Isolate collection and transport were coordinated by Laboratories International for Microbiology Studies, a division of International Health Management Associates, Inc. [IHMA, Schaumburg, IL, USA]. IHMA were also responsible for identity confirmation as well as the development and management of a centralized database for all isolates.

## Results

A total of 3126 isolates of *S. aureus* were collected across Latin America between 2004 and 2010; 1467 (46.9%) were identified as methicillin-resistant (Table 1). The greatest numbers of *S. aureus* isolates were contributed by Mexico ( $n = 846$ ), Argentina ( $n = 740$ ), and Colombia ( $n = 445$ ). MRSA prevalence reached 50% or more in five countries, with the highest rate reported in Puerto Rico (73.9%).

*S. aureus* numbers were lower in 2010 than in most preceding years as 2010 data were incomplete at the time of writing. Yearly MRSA rates ranged from 40.1% to 50.6% across Latin America during the study interval with no noticeable geographical trends seen (data not shown). Large variations in MRSA prevalence were noticed in several countries from year to year, most notably Mexico (24.1–80.0%) and Venezuela (19.0–69.8%).

All *S. aureus* isolates in this study were susceptible to linezolid and vancomycin. Susceptibility to tigecycline across Latin America was also very high: 100% of MSSA isolates were tigecycline susceptible while only 3 isolates of MRSA were non-susceptible. Of the three tigecycline non-susceptible isolates, two (collected in El Salvador in 2009) had a tigecycline MIC of 2 mg/L while the third (collected in Honduras in 2009) had a tigecycline MIC of 1 mg/L. All three isolates were also non-susceptible to levofloxacin. Data for linezolid, vancomycin, and tigecycline are not presented in table form here.

Longitudinal *in vitro* activity for levofloxacin and minocycline against MSSA and MRSA from Latin America between 2004 and 2010 are listed in Table 2. Although 94.6% of MSSA isolates were susceptible to levofloxacin, only 16.2% of MRSA isolates were levofloxacin-susceptible during this period. MSSA susceptibility to levofloxacin was high but decreased from 100% in 2004 to 91.2% in 2010. MRSA susceptibility to levofloxacin was notably lower, rarely exceeding 40% in any country in a single year; over the collection period, MRSA susceptibility was extremely low ( $\leq 6.4\%$ ) in Brazil, Chile, El Salvador, Guatemala Honduras, and Mexico. While levofloxacin susceptibility in Argentina decreased among MSSA isolates (from 100% in 2004 to 82.4% in 2010), it increased among MRSA isolates (from 3.6% in 2004 to 34.4% in 2009). MRSA susceptibility across Latin America increased from 3.4%

**Table 1 – Numbers of isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* and methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) across Latin America between 2004 and 2010.**

Country	Year	<i>S. aureus</i> (n)	MRSA	
			n	%
Argentina	2004	64	28	43.8
	2005	158	72	45.6
	2006	56	26	46.4
	2007	149	74	49.7
	2008	174	86	49.4
	2009	118	64	54.2
	2010	21	4	19.0
	Combined	740	354	47.8
Brazil	2005	49	30	61.2
	2006	25	15	60.0
	2007	25	10	40.0
	2008	61	35	57.4
	2009	80	36	45.0
	2010	1	1	–
	Combined	241	127	52.7
Chile	2005	38	15	39.5
	2006	40	25	62.5
	2007	72	57	79.2
	2008	45	21	46.7
	2009	48	32	66.7
	Combined	243	150	61.7
Colombia	2005	6	2	–
	2006	72	40	55.6
	2007	21	7	33.3
	2008	163	61	37.4
	2009	124	45	36.3
	2010	59	10	16.9
	Combined	445	165	37.1
El Salvador	2009	34	19	55.9
	Combined	34	19	55.9
Guatemala	2004	1	1	–
	2006	37	23	62.2
	2007	28	21	75.0
	2008	27	22	81.5
	2009	88	53	60.2
	Combined	181	120	66.3
Honduras	2006	9	4	–
	2007	13	2	15.4
	2009	39	23	59.0
	Combined	61	29	47.5
Jamaica	2006	25	4	16.0
	Combined	25	4	16.0
Mexico	2005	15	12	80.0
	2006	168	73	43.5
	2007	112	27	24.1
	2008	272	107	39.3
	2009	212	94	44.3
	2010	67	44	65.7
	Combined	846	357	42.2
Panama	2006	25	11	44.0
	2007	24	6	25.0
	2008	26	10	38.5
	2009	21	4	19.0
	Combined	96	31	32.3
Puerto Rico	2006	23	17	73.9

Table 1 (Continued)

Country	Year	S. aureus (n)	MRSA	
			n	%
Venezuela	Combined	23	17	73.9
	2006	21	4	19.0
	2007	47	11	23.4
	2008	29	15	51.7
	2009	86	60	69.8
	2010	4	2	–
	Combined	187	92	49.2
Latin America <sup>a</sup>	2004	65	29	44.6
	2005	266	131	49.2
	2006	505	244	48.3
	2007	491	215	43.8
	2008	797	357	44.8
	2009	850	430	50.6
	2010	152	61	40.1
	Combined	3126	1467	46.9

Years for which no isolates were provided are not listed; % values are not displayed where the numbers of isolates of *S. aureus* were <10.

<sup>a</sup> Includes Nicaragua (Nicaragua is not listed separately as only 4 isolates of *S. aureus* (2 of which were MRSA) were collected, all in 2006).

in 2004 to 20.7% in 2008 but then decreased to 8.2% in 2010 (Table 2).

MSSA susceptibility to minocycline was high across Latin America (99.2%), the lowest rate being reported in Brazil (95.6%). MRSA susceptibility to minocycline was only slightly lower (97.0%) than the MSSA susceptibility rate. Minocycline non-susceptible MRSA were reported among all Latin American countries with the exceptions of Chile, El Salvador, Honduras, Panama, and Puerto Rico. The lowest levels of minocycline-susceptible MRSA were reported in Brazil (88.2%) and Colombia (92.7%) (Table 2).

## Discussion

After a period of increase in the 1990s and 2000s, the prevalence of MRSA has declined in some regions in recent years.<sup>10</sup> Picao et al.<sup>11</sup> reported on the prevalence of MRSA in Latin America between 1997 and 2006 as part of the SENTRY study. They found that more than one-third of *S. aureus* isolates (37.3%) were methicillin-resistant, increasing significantly in prevalence from 33.8% in 1997 to 40.2% in 2006 ( $p=0.007$ ). Data from the current study suggest that this increase in MRSA levels in Latin America has halted: MRSA numbers remained relatively stable between 2004 (44.6%) and 2010 (40.1%).

Rossi et al.<sup>7</sup> previously reported on rates of antimicrobial resistance in Latin America among *S. aureus* (as well as other important pathogens) between 2004 and 2007 as part of T.E.S.T. There has been little change in MRSA prevalence since this previous study: Rossi et al. reported 48.3% MRSA in Latin America between 2004 and 2007, compared with 46.9% reported in the current study between 2004 and 2010. Two noteworthy changes were observed between Rossi et al.'s data and the current study: in Colombia, MRSA decreased from 53.8% to 37.1%, while MRSA increased in Venezuela from 19.0% to 49.2%. These changes could be due in part to relatively small

sample sizes collected in these countries during the early years of the T.E.S.T. study. Another important factor could be contributions from different centers over the course of the study period: 66 centers contributed data to the current study compared to 33 centers for the 2008 report by Rossi.

In a recent study on Latin American MRSA, Reyes et al.<sup>12</sup> reported on the prevalence of MRSA in four countries, including Colombia and Venezuela, between 2006 and 2008. Compared with the current study, the authors reported higher rates of MRSA in Colombia (45% compared with 37.1% reported here) but lower rates in Venezuela (26% compared with 49.2%). These differences are most likely due to real differences in local prevalence of MRSA: Sader et al.<sup>13</sup> showed that there is considerable variation in MRSA rates among hospitals in the same country as well as among countries in Latin America. These discrepancies could also be due in part to the collection of relatively low numbers of isolates in these two countries: only 69 and 318 MRSA isolates were identified from Venezuela and Colombia, respectively, by Reyes et al.<sup>12</sup> while only 92 and 165 isolates (respectively) were collected in T.E.S.T. between 2004 and 2010.

The good activity of tigecycline, linezolid, and vancomycin against MRSA reported here is supported by other research in Latin America. Gales et al.<sup>13</sup> reported 100% susceptibility to tigecycline, vancomycin, and linezolid among 217 oxacillin-resistant *S. aureus* isolates collected across Latin America as part of the SENTRY study during 2000–2002. In a subsequent SENTRY report, Sader et al. (2009)<sup>14</sup> showed that >99.9% of *S. aureus* isolates collected in Latin America between January 2003 and December 2008 were susceptible to linezolid and vancomycin. Fernández Canigia et al.<sup>15</sup> reported 100% tigecycline susceptibility among 878 clinical isolates of *S. aureus* from Argentina between November 2005 and October 2006 regardless of methicillin resistance. Casellas et al.<sup>16</sup> reported an MIC<sub>90</sub> of 0.25 mg/L for tigecycline against 223 isolates of *S. aureus* collected in nine centers across Argentina, while

**Table 2 – In vitro activity of tigecycline and comparators<sup>a</sup> (% susceptibility) against isolates of methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA) and methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) across Latin America<sup>b</sup> between 2004 and 2010.**

	Argentina		Brazil		Chile		Colombia		Guatemala		Mexico		Panama		Venezuela		Latin America		
	MSSA	MRSA	MSSA	MRSA	MSSA	MRSA	MSSA	MRSA	MSSA	MRSA	MSSA	MRSA	MSSA	MRSA	MSSA	MRSA	MSSA	MRSA	
<i>Levofloxacin</i>																			
2004	100	3.6	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	100	3.4
2005	95.3	15.3	100	10	100	0.0	–	–	–	–	–	0.0	–	–	–	–	–	97.0	10.7
2006	93.3	26.9	100	6.7	93.3	8.0	93.8	7.5	100	4.3	93.7	5.5	85.7	54.5	94.1	–	–	94.3	13.9
2007	96.0	36.5	93.3	10	93.3	0.0	92.9	–	–	0	95.3	7.4	100	–	97.2	36.4	–	96.0	19.1
2008	88.6	40.7	92.3	2.9	95.8	0.0	98.0	34.4	–	0	93.9	8.4	87.5	20.0	92.9	40.0	–	93.6	20.7
2009	94.4	34.4	95.5	2.8	93.8	3.1	100	31.1	100	0	87.3	6.4	88.2	–	100	40.0	–	94.3	15.8
2010	82.4	–	–	–	–	–	100	10.0	–	–	78.3	4.5	–	–	–	–	–	91.2	8.2
2004–2010	93.5	29.7	95.6	5.5	95.7	2.0	98.2	25.5	100	0.8	91.8	6.4	90.8	35.5	96.8	38.0	–	94.6	16.2
<i>Minocycline</i>																			
2004	100	96.4	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	100	96.6
2005	100	100	94.7	96.7	100	100	–	–	–	–	–	100	–	–	–	–	–	99.3	99.2
2006	100	100	90.0	80.0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	–	–	99.6	98.4
2007	100	97.3	100	100	100	100	100	–	–	100	98.8	100	100	–	100	100	–	99.6	99.1
2008	100	98.8	96.2	71.4	100	100	99	85.2	–	95.5	99.4	94.4	100	100	100	100	–	99.3	92.4
2009	100	96.9	95.5	97.2	100	100	98.7	93.3	100	98.1	99.2	100	94.1	–	96.2	98.3	–	98.6	98.1
2010	100	–	–	–	–	–	95.9	100	–	–	100	97.7	–	–	–	–	–	97.8	98.4
2004–2010	100	98.3	95.6	88.2	100	100	98.6	92.7	100	98.3	99.4	98.0	98.5	100	98.9	98.9	–	99.2	97.0

<sup>a</sup> All isolates of *S. aureus* were susceptible to linezolid and vancomycin, so data for these antimicrobials are not listed here. Only 3 (0.1%) tigecycline non-susceptible isolates were collected (1 in Honduras and 2 in El Salvador, all in 2009), so tigecycline data are not listed here.

<sup>b</sup> Data were only contributed in a single year for El Salvador (2009: *S. aureus*, n = 34; MRSA, n = 19), Jamaica (2006: *S. aureus*, n = 25; MRSA, n = 4), Nicaragua (2006: *S. aureus*, n = 4; MRSA, n = 2) and Puerto Rico (2006: *S. aureus*, n = 23; MRSA, n = 17) so are not listed here.

Silva-Sanchez et al.<sup>17</sup> recounted an MIC<sub>90</sub> of 0.125 mg/L for tigecycline against 482 MRSA isolates from Mexico. In a global review of Gram-positive pathogens (including Latin America) as part of the ZAAPS program, Jones et al.<sup>18</sup> did not report any linezolid resistance among 3240 *S. aureus* isolates in 2008. Minocycline also performed well against MRSA in the current study, a result supported by Reyes et al.<sup>12</sup> who reported low-level minocycline resistance (1%; 8/651) among MRSA isolates collected in Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, and Venezuela between 2006 and 2008.

Vancomycin is widely used in Latin America for the treatment of MRSA infections.<sup>19</sup> While no VRSA isolates (or vancomycin-intermediate MRSA [VISA] isolates) were identified in the current study, both MRSA and MSSA isolates with vancomycin MIC<sub>90</sub>s of 2 mg/L (the upper limit of vancomycin susceptibility) were observed. Isolates of MRSA with reduced susceptibility to vancomycin have been identified previously across Latin America.<sup>20-24</sup> A decrease in the activity of vancomycin in clinical settings is seen by some as a cause for concern Stefani and Goglio,<sup>2</sup> and alternative approaches to MRSA treatment must be made available if VISA or VRSA frequency increases in the region.

One potential source for error in any surveillance study is inconsistent methodologies being used in different centers. All MICs in T.E.S.T. were determined locally using CLSI-defined broth microdilution methodology (Sensititre<sup>®</sup> plates [TREK Diagnostic Systems, West Sussex, England] or MicroScan<sup>®</sup> panels [Siemens, Sacramento, CA, USA]).<sup>8</sup> Antimicrobial susceptibility was determined using CLSI interpretive criteria.<sup>9</sup> In order to ensure consistent results between centers and reduce the potential for experimental error, standardized procedures were utilized at all participating centers. These include the use of the same materials (MIC panels, microdilution broth, inoculators) as well as procedures (quality control [QC] procedures, QC ranges) as the central lab, as detailed by CLSI.<sup>8,9</sup> QC strain *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 was cultured on each day of MIC testing to ensure that collected MIC values fell into acceptable QC ranges.

Surveillance studies are often influenced by study biases Bax et al.<sup>25</sup> Although 66 centers across Latin America participated in this study, only one center contributed isolates in all six years of the study. These inconsistencies in center participation will most likely have influenced the longitudinal susceptibilities reported here.

## Conclusions

Surveillance studies such as T.E.S.T. are essential tools in our efforts to monitor the spread of problematic pathogens such as MRSA, both regionally and globally. Surveillance provides information critical to healthcare professionals for making informed decisions regarding appropriate antimicrobial therapy to prevent and treat infection, described succinctly by Johnson<sup>10</sup> as 'information for action'. Results from the Tigecycline Evaluation and Surveillance Trial, which has been active since 2004, indicate that linezolid, vancomycin and tigecycline are all highly active against methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* isolates from the Latin America region.

## Conflict of interest

Michael J Dowzicky is an employee of Pfizer Inc.

## Acknowledgements

This study was sponsored by Pfizer Inc.

Medical writing support was provided by Rod Taylor PhD at Micron Research Ltd, Chatteris, UK and was funded by Pfizer Inc. Micron Research Ltd also provided data management services which were funded by Pfizer Inc.

Elvira Garza-Gonzalez is an investigator in the T.E.S.T. program. No authors were paid for their contributions to this manuscript.

## REFERENCES

1. Monecke S, Coombs G, Shore AC, et al. A field guide to pandemic, epidemic and sporadic clones of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *PLoS ONE*. 2011;6:e17936.
2. Stefani S, Goglio A. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: related infections and antibiotic resistance. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2010;14 Suppl. 4:S19-22.
3. de Kraker ME, Wolkewitz M, Davey PG, Grundmann H, BURDEN Study Group. Clinical impact of antimicrobial resistance in European hospitals: excess mortality and length of hospital stay related to methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* bloodstream infections. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55:1598-605.
4. McKinnon PS, Boening AJ, Amin AN. Optimizing delivery of care for patients with MRSA infection: focus on transitions of care. *Hosp Pract (Minneap)*. 2011;39:18-31.
5. Guzmán-Blanco M, Mejía C, Isturiz R, et al. Epidemiology of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in Latin America. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2009;34:304-8.
6. Mejía C, Zurita J, Guzmán-Blanco M. Epidemiology and surveillance of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Latin America. *Braz J Infect Dis*. 2010;14 Suppl. 2:S79-86.
7. Rossi F, García P, Ronzon B, Curcio D, Dowzicky MJ. Rates of antimicrobial resistance in Latin America (2004-2007) and in vitro activity of the glycolcycline tigecycline and of other antibiotics. *Braz J Infect Dis*. 2008;12:405-15.
8. Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically. Approved standard - 8th edition. Document M7-A8. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2009.
9. Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Twenty-First Informational Supplement. CLSI Document M100-S21. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2011.
10. Johnson AP. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: the European landscape. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2011;66 Suppl. 4:iv43-8.
11. Picao R, Sader H, Jones R, Andrade S, Gales A. Analysis of resistance and vancomycin "reverse creep" in Latin American *Staphylococcus aureus*: ten-year report of the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (1997-2006). *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2008;14:S173.
12. Reyes J, Rincón S, Díaz L, et al. Dissemination of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* USA300 sequence type 8 lineage in Latin America. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2009;49:1861-7.

13. Gales AC, Jones RN, Andrade SS, Pereira AS, Sader HS. In vitro activity of tigecycline, a new glycylcycline, tested against 1,326 clinical bacterial strains isolated from Latin America. *Braz J Infect Dis.* 2005;9:348-56.
14. Sader HS, Jones RN, Gales AC, et al. SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance program report: Latin American and Brazilian results for 1997 through 2001. *Braz J Infect Dis.* 2004;8: 25-79.
15. Fernández Canigia L, Kaufman S, Lanata L, et al. Multicenter study to assess the in vitro activity of tigecycline by disk diffusion test against clinical isolates from Argentina. *Chemotherapy.* 2009;55:20-7.
16. Casellas JM, Bantar C, Duret F, Argentinean Collaborative Test Group. Comparative in vitro activity of tigecycline against aerobic and facultative isolates recovered from hospitalized patients: an Argentinean multicenter study. *J Chemother.* 2007;19:482-7.
17. Silva-Sanchez J, Reyna-Flores F, Velazquez-Meza ME, et al. In vitro activity of tigecycline against extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae and MRSA clinical isolates from Mexico: a multicentric study. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2011;70:270-3.
18. Jones RN, Ross JE, Bell JM, et al. Zyvox Annual Appraisal of Potency and Spectrum program: linezolid surveillance program results for 2008. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2009;65:404-13.
19. Luna CM, Rodríguez-Noriega E, Bavestrello L, Gotuzzo E. Treatment of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Latin America. *Braz J Infect Dis.* 2010;14 Suppl. 2:S119-27.
20. Oliveira GA, Dell'Aquila AM, Masiero RL, et al. Isolation in Brazil of nosocomial *Staphylococcus aureus* with reduced susceptibility to vancomycin. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2001;22:443-8.
21. Palazzo IC, Araujo ML, Darini AL. First report of vancomycin-resistant staphylococci isolated from healthy carriers in Brazil. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2005;43:179-85.
22. Delgado A, Riordan JT, Lamichhane-Khadka R, et al. Hetero-vancomycin-intermediate methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolate from a medical center in Las Cruces, New Mexico. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2007;45:1325-9.
23. Otth RL, Wilson SM, Bustamante HN, Fernández JH, Otth LC. Antimicrobial susceptibility and resistance patterns of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from patients and carriers in Valdivia city, Chile. *Rev Chilena Infectol.* 2008;25:175-8.
24. Sola C, Lamberghini RO, Ciarlantini M, et al. Heterogeneous vancomycin-intermediate susceptibility in a community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* epidemic clone, in a case of Infective Endocarditis in Argentina. *Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob.* 2011;10:15.
25. Bax R, Bywater R, Cornaglia G, et al. Surveillance of antimicrobial resistance—what, how and whither? *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2001;7:316-25.