

## High consumption of primates by pumas and ocelots in a remnant of the Brazilian Atlantic Forest

Santos, JL.<sup>a\*</sup>, Paschoal, AMO.<sup>a,b</sup>, Massara, RL.<sup>a,b</sup> and Chiarello, AG.<sup>a,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Programa de Pós-graduação em Zoologia de Vertebrados, Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Minas Gerais – PUC Minas, Av. Dom José Gaspar, 500, Coração Eucarístico, CEP 30535-901, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

<sup>b</sup>Programa de Pós-graduação em Ecologia, Conservação e Manejo de Vida Silvestre, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas – ICB, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais – UFMG, Av. Antônio Carlos, 6627, Pampulha, CEP 31279-901, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

<sup>c</sup>Departamento de Biologia, Faculdade de Filosofia, Ciências e Letras de Ribeirão Preto, Universidade Federal de São Paulo – USP, Av. Bandeirantes, 3.900, CEP 14040-901, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil

\*e-mail: julianamasto@gmail.com

Received: January 21, 2013 – Accepted: April 15, 2013 – Distributed: August 31, 2014  
(With 1 figure)

### Abstract

We studied the diet of the ocelot and puma during the years 2007 and 2008 at the Feliciano Miguel Abdala Reserve, in Minas Gerais, south-eastern Brazil. We collected 49 faecal samples (scats) from cats, and identified the species of cat from 23 of them by the analysis of the microstructure patterns of hairs found in their faeces: 17 scats of the puma (*Puma concolor*) and six of the ocelot (*Leopardus pardalis*). In the puma scats, we identified three species of primates (*Brachyteles hypoxanthus*, *Alouatta guariba* and *Sapajus nigritus*), the remains of which were found in eight of 17 collected (47.1%), representing 26.7% of items consumed. For the ocelot, we detected capuchin monkey (*S. nigritus*) remains in three of the six scats (50%), accounting for 18.7% of items consumed by ocelot. We were unable to identify the cat species in the remaining 26 faecal samples, but we were able to analyse the food items present. Primates were found in five of these 26 faeces (19.2%) and represented 10.2% of the items found. Although the sample size is limited, our results indicate a relatively high consumption of primates by felines. We believe that this high predation may be the result of the high local density of primates as well as the greater exposure to the risks of predation in fragmented landscapes, which tends to increase the incidence of the primates using the ground.

**Keywords:** *Alouatta guariba*, *Brachyteles hypoxanthus*, Caratinga Biological Station, *Sapajus nigritus*, predation.

### Alto consumo de primatas por onças-pardas e jaguatiricas em um fragmento na Mata Atlântica no Brasil

#### Resumo

Nós estudamos a dieta de jaguatiricas e onças-pardas entre os anos de 2007 e 2008 na Reserva Feliciano Miguel Abdala, em Minas Gerais, sudeste do Brasil. Nós coletamos 49 amostras fecais de felinos, em 23 das quais foi possível a identificação do predador através da análise do padrão microestrutural dos seus pelos encontrados nas fezes, sendo 17 fezes de onça-parda (*Puma concolor*) e seis de jaguatirica (*Leopardus pardalis*). Nas amostras de onça-parda nós identificamos três espécies de primatas (*Brachyteles hypoxanthus*, *Alouatta guariba* e *Sapajus nigritus*), cujas partes não digeridas foram encontradas em oito das 17 fezes coletadas, representando 26,7% dos itens consumidos por onças-pardas. Para jaguatirica, nós detectamos macacos-prego (*S. nigritus*) em três de seis fezes, o que correspondeu a 18,7% dos itens consumidos. Para as 26 amostras fecais restantes, cuja identificação do predador não foi possível, nós analisamos os itens alimentares presentes. Restos de primatas foram identificados em cinco dessas fezes (19,3%), representando 10,2% dos itens encontrados. Apesar do tamanho da amostra ser limitado, nossos resultados indicam uma taxa relativamente alta de consumo de primatas por felinos. Nós acreditamos que essa alta taxa de predação pode ser resultado da grande densidade local de primatas, bem como do aumento do risco de predação em paisagens fragmentadas, o que tende a aumentar a incidência do uso do chão por parte dos primatas.

**Palavras-chave:** *Alouatta guariba*, *Brachyteles hypoxanthus*, Estação Biológica de Caratinga, *Sapajus nigritus*, predação.

## 1. Introduction

Predation of primates is difficult to observe directly (Isbell, 1990). In most cases it is confirmed only by indirect means, primarily through faecal analysis (Irwin et al., 2009). However, such indirect evidence is still important for understanding the role of predation in the ecology, behaviour and conservation of primates (Isbell, 1994; Arnold et al., 2008). The development of alarm vocalisations, defence mechanisms, use of refuges and even interspecific associations are, for example, considered evolutionary characteristics influenced by predation (Isbell, 1994; Bshary and Noë 1997; Cowlshaw, 1997; Day and Elwood, 1999; Treves, 1999; Zuberbühler and Jenny, 2002; Pruetz et al., 2008).

While terrestrial primates seem to be more heavily preyed upon by carnivorous mammals (e.g. Cowlshaw, 1997; Zuberbühler and Jenny, 2002; Pruetz et al., 2008), the main predators of arboreal primates appear to be birds of prey (Heymann, 1990; Sherman, 1991; Oversluijs Vasquez and Heymann, 2001; De Souza Martins et al., 2005; Miranda et al., 2006) and constrictor snakes (Tello et al., 2002). However, there are records of cats preying on Neotropical primates (e.g. Peetz et al., 1992; Olmos, 1994; Miranda et al., 2005; Ludwig et al., 2007; Matsuda and Izawa, 2008), of which all are mostly arboreal. This suggests that Neotropical felines can also play an important role in the predation of primates.

Available studies of cat diet so far indicate that the smaller cats, *Leopardus* spp. and *Puma yagouaroundi* É. Geoffroy, 1803, preferentially prey on small vertebrates such as marsupials, rodents, birds, reptiles and amphibians (e.g. Facure and Giaretta, 1996; Wang, 2002; Chinchilla, 1997; Tófoli et al., 2009; Silva-Pereira et al., 2011; Bianchi et al., 2011). On the other hand, the diets of the two largest species of Neotropical cats, the puma, *Puma concolor* (Linnaeus, 1771) and the jaguar, *Panthera onca* (Linnaeus, 1758), consist largely of artiodactyls, large rodents and armadillos (Iriarte et al., 1990; Chinchilla, 1997; Garla et al., 2001; De Azevedo, 2008; Martins et al., 2008). These studies seem to indicate that primates are not the principal prey for either of the two groups of cats (smaller and large).

Here we report evidence of the relatively high consumption of primates by the puma and ocelot, *Leopardus pardalis* (Linnaeus, 1758), in a fragment of Atlantic Forest in south-eastern Brazil, indicating the potential implications of such predation for primate populations in fragmented habitats.

## 2. Material and Methods

We collected faecal samples from cats in the Feliciano Miguel Abdala Reserve (FMAR) (19° 50'S and 41° 50'W), which belongs to Caratinga Biological Station, Minas Gerais, south-eastern Brazil, from March 2007 to May 2008. The FMAR has 957 hectares of forest, the vegetation being characterised as lower montane semi-deciduous forest (IBGE, 1995; Oliveira-Filho and Fontes, 2000). According to the Köppen classification of climate, FMAR

is AW (hot and humid subtropical), with a dry season (April-September) and a rainy season (October-March). The average annual rainfall is 1091 mm and the average minimum and maximum annual temperatures are 16.7 °C and 25.4 °C, respectively (Veado, 2002).

During the fieldwork we hiked, mainly on trails and roads, throughout the study area in search of faecal samples from wild carnivores. In the field, we differentiated the faecal samples of felines through the observation of some diagnostic features such as cylindrical shape with sub-divisions, relatively sharp, rounded or tapered ends and substantial presence of hair and bones. Some faeces had a whitish colour, due to the concentration of calcium from the bones of ingested prey (Chame, 2003). These features all agree with the descriptions in the literature (Chame, 2003; Borges and Thomás, 2004) and were used to differentiate feline faecal samples from other carnivores. We also confirmed the presence of ocelot, puma and jaguarundi (*P. yagouaroundi*) in the area with the use of camera traps installed at the same time as the collection of faecal samples (Paschoal et al., 2012).

In the laboratory, we processed the faeces and identified the predator species by analysing the microstructural pattern of the cuticle and medullar characteristic of their hairs found in faecal samples (from self-grooming) (Quadros, 2002). We also analysed the microstructural patterns of prey hair and other undigested remains such as teeth, claws, nails and bones, which we compared directly with material deposited in the zoological museum collections of the Pontificia Universidade Católica de Minas Gerais and Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais. From the number of prey items found, we calculated the frequency of occurrence (percentage of total faeces in which an item was found) and percentage occurrence (number of times a specific item was found as percentage of all items found) (Ackerman et al., 1984). Although the analysis of carnivore diets by frequency of occurrence is limited (Klare et al., 2011), this procedure was preferred because it is the most widely used in dietary studies of cats facilitating, therefore, the comparison of other results to the results presented here.

We considered each primate item found in a scat as representing one individual, provided that the number of teeth and/or claws (when present) did not indicate otherwise (i.e., one item/scat one prey individual). For *Puma concolor* we estimated the number of preyed primates also on the basis of corrected biomass. For this, the consumed biomass and number of preyed individuals were corrected according to Ackerman et al. (1984) equation ( $Y = 1.98 + 0.035 X$ , where  $Y =$  consumed biomass/scat and  $X =$  prey weight, both in kilograms). We used Paglia et al. (2012) as source for primate body weights. This correction factor produces more conservative values as it corrects for the fact that the remains of a single individual prey can be eliminated through more than one scat (Ackerman et al., 1984). For ocelot faeces and for faeces of unidentified felids, correction factors are not available and therefore were not used.

### 3. Results

We collected 49 faecal samples from cats. Through microstructural analysis of the pattern of hair, the identification of the originating predator was possible for only 23 faecal samples (17 of puma and 6 of ocelot). The faecal samples that could not be identified were grouped in a category of “unidentified cat” (Table 1).

Considering all faecal samples, identified and unidentified, Primates was the mammalian order with the second largest number of occurrences of remains in faeces, representing 16.8% of the total items found, second only to Rodentia (26.3% of items) (Table 1). The capuchin monkey, *Sapajus nigritus* (Goldfuss, 1809), was the primate with the highest number of occurrences (6.3% of the items), being detected in six of the faecal samples. The remains of mureiqui, *Brachyteles hypoxanthus* (Kuhl, 1820), (Figure 1) and brown howler monkey, *Alouatta guariba* (Humboldt, 1812) were each found in five faecal samples.

The order Rodentia was the order most consumed by ocelots, followed by Didelphimorphia and Primates. The capuchin monkey was the only primate found in faecal samples identified as belonging to the ocelot (Table 1). Primates, on the other hand, was the order of mammals most consumed by pumas, and among these the mureiqui was the most common species found: 16.6% of the items and in five of the 17 faecal samples of this cat.

Based on number of items, we estimate that 16 individuals of primates (six capuchins, five howlers and five mureiquis) were consumed by cats (Table 1). Considering the corrected biomass as a basis for the estimation, we estimate that a minimum one individual of each primate species (capuchin, howler monkey and mureiqui) was consumed by puma (Table 2).

### 4. Discussion

Predation on mureiqui (*B. hypoxanthus*), brown howler monkey (*A. guariba*) and capuchin monkey (*S. nigritus*) by ocelots has been reported in FMAR by Bianchi and

Mendes (2007). However, in the present study, we recorded the first instance of predation of *B. hypoxanthus* by puma. Although pumas and ocelots are known to prey on howler and capuchin monkeys in other areas (Brito, 2000; Ludwig et al., 2007; Miranda et al., 2005), this is the first record of puma predation on *Brachyteles*. Until now mureiqui has only been reported as prey of jaguar (Olmos, 1994) and ocelot (Bianchi and Mendes, 2007).

The most recent publication with data on the demography of Caratinga mureiquis (surveys in 2003 and 2004; Strier et al., 2006), detected an increase in the mortality of newborns and infants in comparison with previous periods (Strier, 1999). Larger infants and juvenile mureiquis may be more susceptible to predation than adults, because at this stage they spend a significant amount of time foraging independently (Printes et al., 1996). Lynch and Rimoli (2000) also found higher mortality rates for infant capuchin monkeys in FMAR than in other areas. Both sources (Strier et al., 2006; Lynch and Rimoli, 2000) mention predation among the potential causes of these deaths. In most cases the analysis of the faecal content does not allow accurate inferences about the age classes of the individuals (infants or adults) since relatively intact parts that could provide clues are normally not found in faeces. However, a higher proportion of underhairs in relation to guardhairs found in faeces suggest the presence of young prey (Quadros, 2002). On the basis of this, we infer that at least three infants or newborns (one each of the three primate species found in faeces) were predated in FMAR during the period of the study. Corroborating this, one of the scats had parts of a finger whose dimensions were of a young mureiqui (Figure 1).

Assuming that the mureiqui population in FMAR is around 300 individuals (Strier, 2010), and considering that our study spanned approximately one year, our conservative estimate of 1-5 mureiquis killed/year would indicate an annual predation rate, by felids alone, of 0.3-1.7% of the local mureiqui population. A similar rate would apply to capuchin monkeys if the current abundance of this primate is in fact similar to that of mureiquis, as is indicated by a previous study (Almeida-Silva et al., 2005a). For brown howlers this rate might be halved, as howlers seem to be roughly twice as abundant as mureiquis in FMAR (Almeida-Silva et al., 2005a). We stress, however, that these predation rates are likely underestimates not only because of the conservative nature of our estimates but also due to the fact that our sampling is far from exhaustive, both spatially and temporally. Further, other predator species, both native and domestic species, were not examined.

A study by Paschoal et al. (2012) using camera traps between 2007 and 2008 did not indicate that ocelots and pumas are present in the study area at particularly high densities. It is likely therefore that high consumption of primates in FMAR is a result of factors other than predator abundance. The abundance of primates may be one, since the local densities of mureiquis and brown howler monkeys are among the highest ever recorded for the Atlantic Forest (Hirsch, 1995; Strier and Fonseca, 1996). Furthermore, the



**Figure 1.** Parts of fingers (phalanges with nails) of a young mureiqui (*Brachyteles hypoxanthus*) found in a faecal sample from puma (*Puma concolor*) collected in FMAR, Caratinga, south-eastern Brazil. Scale: cm.

Table 1. Prey found in scats of ocelots (*Leopardus pardalis*), pumas (*Puma concolor*), and unidentified felids in FMAR, south-eastern Brazil. n = number of items.

	Leopardus pardalis			Puma concolor			Unidentified felids			Total		
	n	%items	%scats	n	%items	%scats	n	%items	%scats	n	%items	%scats
Mammalia	12	75.0	100.0	27	90.0	100.0	42	85.7	100.0	81	92.3	100.0
Didelphimorphia	3	18.8	50.0				12	24.5	42.3	15	15.8	30.6
<i>Monodelphis</i> sp.							1	2.0	3.8	1	1.1	2.0
<i>Didelphis aurita</i> (Wied-Neuwied, 1826)							2	4.1	7.7	2	2.1	4.1
<i>Marmosops incanus</i> (Lund, 1840)							1	2.0	3.8	1	1.1	2.0
<i>Metachirus nudicaudatus</i> (Desmarest, 1817)							2	4.1	7.7	2	2.1	4.1
Didelphidae unidentified	3	18.8	50.0				6	12.2	23.1	9	9.5	18.4
Cingulata				2	6.7	11.8	1	2.0	3.8	3	3.2	6.1
<i>Dasyus</i> sp. (Linnaeus, 1758)				2	6.7	11.8	1	2.0	3.8	3	3.2	6.1
Primates	3	18.8	50.0	8	26.7	47.1	5	10.2	19.2	16	16.8	32.7
<i>Alouatta guariba</i> (Humboldt, 1812)				2	6.7	11.8	3	6.1	11.5	5	5.3	10.2
<i>Brachyteles hypoxanthus</i> (Kuhl, 1820)				5	16.7	29.4				5	5.3	10.2
<i>Sapajus nigritus</i> (Goldfuss, 1809)				1	3.3	5.9	2	4.1	7.7	6	6.3	12.2
Lagomorpha				2	6.7	11.8	4	8.2	15.4	6	6.3	12.2
<i>Sylvilagus brasiliensis</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)				2	6.7	11.8	4	8.2	15.4	6	6.3	12.2
Carnivora				7	23.3	35.3	8	16.3	30.8	15	15.8	30.6
<i>Nasua nasua</i> (Linnaeus, 1766)				4	13.3	23.5	5	10.2	19.2	9	9.5	18.4
<i>Galeotis cuja</i> (Molina, 1782)				1	3.3	5.9	2	4.1	7.7	3	3.2	6.1
<i>Eira Barbara</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)				1	3.3	5.9	1	2.0	3.8	2	2.1	4.1
<i>Procyon cancrivorus</i> (G. Cuvier, 1798)				1	3.3	5.9				1	1.1	2.0
Artiodactyla				1	3.3	5.9				1	1.1	2.0
Artiodactyla unidentified				1	3.3	5.9				1	1.1	2.0
Rodentia	6	37.5	66.6	7	23.3	35.3	12	24.5	38.5	25	26.3	51.0
<i>Akodon cursor</i> (Winge, 1887)	1	6.3	16.7				1			1	1.1	2.0
<i>Akodon</i> sp.	1	6.3	16.7				1			1	1.1	2.0
<i>Calomys</i> sp.	1	6.3	16.7				1			1	1.1	2.0
<i>Oxymycterus</i> sp.	1	6.3	16.7							1	1.1	2.0
<i>Cuniculus paca</i> (Linnaeus, 1766)				3	10.0	17.6	5	10.2	19.2	8	8.4	16.3
<i>Dasyprocta leporina</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)				2	6.7	11.8	1	2.0	3.8	3	3.2	6.1
<i>Coendou</i> sp.							2	4.1	7.7	2	2.1	4.1
Echimyidae unidentified				2	6.7	11.8	2	4.1	7.7	4	4.2	8.2
Muridae unidentified	1	6.3	16.7							1	1.1	2.0

Table 1. Continued...

	Leopardus pardalis			Puma concolor			Unidentified felids			Total		
	n	%items	%scats	n	%items	%scats	n	%items	%scats	n	%items	%scats
Rodentia unidentified	1	6.3	16.7				2	4.1	7.7	3	3.2	6.1
Reptilia	2	12.5	33.3	2	6.7	11.8	1	2.0	3.8	5	5.3	10.2
Squamata	2	12.5	33.3	2	6.7	11.8	1	2.0	3.8	5	5.3	10.2
Lacertilia unidentified	1	6.3	16.7							1	1.1	2.0
Teiidae unidentified	1	6.3	16.7	1	3.3	5.9				1	1.1	2.0
Viperidae unidentified	1	6.3	16.7	1	3.3	5.9	1	2.0	3.8	3	3.2	6.1
Amphibia										1	1.1	2.0
Hylidae unidentified							1	2.0	3.8	1	1.1	2.0
Aves	2	12.5	33.3	1	3.3	5.9	4	8.2	15.4	7	7.4	14.3
Aves unidentified	2	12.5	33.3	1	3.3	5.9	4	8.2	15.4	4	4.2	8.2
Invertebrates							1	2.0	3.8	1	1.1	2.0
Gastropoda							1	2.0	3.8	1	1.1	2.0
Total	16	100	-	30	100	100	49	100	-	95	100	-
Number of scats		6			17		26			49		

**Table 2.** Corrected biomass and number of individuals of primates preyed upon by puma. The values were corrected using the Ackermanet al.(1984) equation (See methods for details).

Prey species	Parameter	Results
Alouatta guariba	Number of scats	2
	Body weight (kg)	5.625
	Correction factor (kg/scat)	2.176
	Corrected biomass (kg)	4.352
Brachyteles hypoxanthus	Number of individuals consumed	0.77
	Number of scats	5
	Body weight (kg)	13
	Correction factor (kg/scat)	2.435
Sapajus nigritus	Corrected biomass (kg)	12.175
	Number of individuals consumed	0.93
	Number of scats	1
	Body weight (kg)	3.5
	Correction factor (kg/scat)	2.102
	Corrected biomass (kg)	2.102
	Number of individuals consumed	0.6

**Table 3.** Importance of primates in the diets of ocelots (*Leopardus pardalis*) and pumas (*Puma concolor*) in Neotropical Forests

Study site	% primates in diet					
	Name	Area (ha)	Species	Items	Faeces	Source
	<b>FMAR</b>	<b>957</b>	<b>Puma</b>	<b>26.7</b>	<b>47.1</b>	<b>This study</b>
Sirena Biological Station/Corcovado National Park		47757	Puma	12.0	36.4	Chinchilla (1997)
Juréia-Itatins Ecological Station		80000	Puma	12.0	24.9	Martins et al. (2008)
Vale Nature Reserve/Sooretama Biological Reserve		28800	Puma	5.8	9.2	Brito (2000)
Maya Biosphere Reserve		288500	Puma		4.0-9.8	Novack et al. (2005)
Barro Colorado Island		1500	Puma	5.0	6.8	Moreno et al.(2006)
Iguaçu National Park		185200	Puma	1.6	1.8	De Azevedo (2008)
Salto Morato Natural Reserve		2340	Puma	-	3.3	Vidolin (2004)
Cockscomb Basin		42500	Puma	0	0	Foster et al (2010)
Vila Rica do Espírito Santo State Park/ Cagibi Farm/ Guajuvira Farm		703	Puma	0	0	Rocha-Mendes et al. (2010)
Cocha Cashu Biological Station		7501	Puma	0	0	Emmons (1987)
	<b>FMAR</b>	<b>957</b>	<b>Ocelot</b>	<b>18.8</b>	<b>50.0</b>	<b>This study</b>
Caratinga Reserve		957	Ocelot	13.0	26.7	Bianchi and Mendes (2007)
Balsa Nova		700	Ocelot	7.0	17.0	Abreu et al. (2008)
Barro Colorado Island		1500	Ocelot	5.0	6.8	Moreno et al. (2006)
Barro Colorado Island		1500	Ocelot	4.0	4.34	Moreno and Giacalone (2006)
Salto Morato Natural Reserve		2340	Ocelot	-	3.3	Vidolin (2004)
Cocha Cashu Biological Station		750*	Ocelot	1.7	-	Emmons (1987)
Cockscomb Basin Forest Reserve		25000	Ocelot	0	0	Konency (1989)
Vale Nature Reserve		22000	Ocelot	0	0	Facure and Giaretta (1996)
Sirena Biological Station/Corcovado national Park		47757	Ocelot	0	0	Chinchilla (1997)
Juréia-Itatins Ecological Station		80000	Ocelot	0	0	Martins et al. (2008)
Vila Rica do Espírito Santo State Park/ Cagibi Farm/ Guajuvira Farm		703	Ocelot	0	0	Rocha-Mendes et al. (2010)
Soberania National Park		22000	Ocelot	0	0	Moreno et al. (2006)
Parque Estadual Serra do Mar		5000	Ocelot	0	0	Wang (2002)
Bugre District, São Luis do Purunã District, Santa Rita Ranch		-	Ocelot	0	0	Silva-Pereira et al. (2011)
Vale Nature Reserve/Sooretama Biological Reserve		28800	Ocelot	0	0	Bianchi et al. (2010)

<sup>1</sup> Area of coverage of the study in the Cocha Cashu Biological Station.

high rates of predation of primates may also be a result of their atypical behaviour of often descending to the forest floor, which has been observed both in muriquis and brown howler monkeys at FMAR (Almeida-Silva et al., 2005b; Mourthé et al., 2007). Muriquis have been observed performing activities, such as resting, feeding, socialisation and locomotion, which are normally restricted to the arboreal stratum, on the forest floor of FMAR (Printes et al., 1996; Mourthé et al., 2007).

Our findings corroborate the study of Bianchi and Mendes (2007) and suggest a high proportion of primates in the diet of cats in FMAR in relation to other Atlantic Forest areas. Both ocelots and pumas are opportunistic predators (Emmons, 1987; Delibes et al., 2011) being able to feed on abundant or vulnerable species, even if they are not the most common prey. This might be further increased in fragmented landscapes, since primates living in forest remnants need to descend to ground level frequently to get around, hence exposing themselves to attacks from terrestrial predators. As shown in Table 3, the occurrence of primates in the diet of ocelots has been recorded mainly in smaller fragments (700 to 2500 ha), including FMAR, with 957 ha (Vidolin, 2004; Moreno et al., 2006; Moreno and Giacalone, 2006; Bianchi and Mendes, 2007; Abreu et al., 2008).

Although the ocelot feeds mainly on small vertebrates (e.g. Emmons, 1987; Ludlow and Sunquist, 1987; Konency, 1989; Wang, 2002), there may be an increase in the importance of larger prey in the diet of this cat in fragmented locations or on islands where larger cats are absent or are at low densities (Moreno et al., 2006). This feature, coupled with the ocelot's tolerance to fragmented and isolated environments, which appears to be greater than that of the larger cats, suggests that ocelots may be playing a significant role in population control of medium and large mammals, including arboreal primates, at sites such as this. The diet of pumas consists mainly of mammals of medium and large size (Ackerman et al., 1984; Iriarte et al., 1990; De Azevedo, 2008), but larger prey such as the tapir, *Tapirus terrestris* (Linnaeus, 1758) and peccaries, *Pecari tajacu* (Linnaeus, 1758) and *Tayassu pecari* (Link, 1795) are extinct in FMAR (Veado, 2002).

It would be worthwhile to investigate whether the rate of predation on primates in FMAR indicates a likely scenario for populations of endangered primates in other isolated Atlantic Forest fragments (Hatton et al., 1984; Mittermeier et al., 2006). More than 80% of the remaining fragments of this biome are less than 50 ha in size and almost 50% of their area is within 100 m of an edge as well as being isolated from each other (Ribeiro et al., 2009). Future studies should also verify whether predation is a leading cause in the decline in the population of primates in Atlantic Forest remnants or whether other factors inherent in isolated populations with high densities (inbreeding depression, increased susceptibility to disease, intra and interspecific competition) offer even greater risks to these populations.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the Fundo de Incentivo à Pesquisa da Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Minas Gerais (FIP, PUC Minas # 1523 – S1) for funding this study, FAPEMIG (Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa de Minas Gerais) for contributing funds during the analysis (CRA-APQ-01145-10), and IBAMA for granting permission to collect data (# 13153 – 1). We are also grateful to the employees of FMAR and local researchers (Karen Strier, Daniel Ferraz, Robson Hack, Samantha Rocha, Luisa Arnedo, Carla Possamai and Fernanda Tabacow) for their support and assistance during the project's execution, the researchers André Hirsch and Leandro Scoss for their contributions and suggestions and the researcher Sônia Talamoni, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais and PUC Minas Museum of Natural Sciences for making their material available during the identification process. Two anonymous referees made suggestions that greatly improved a previous version.

## References

- ABREU, KC., MORO-RIOS, RF., SILVA-PEREIRA, JE., MIRANDA, JMD., JABLONSKI, EF. and PASSOS, FC., 2008. Feeding habits of ocelot (*Leopardus pardalis*) in Southern Brazil. *Mammalian Biology*, vol. 73, no. 5, p. 407-411. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mambio.2007.07.004>.
- ACKERMAN, BB., LINDZEY, FG. and HEMKER, TP., 1984. Cougar food habits in southern Utah. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, vol. 48, no. 1, p. 147-155. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/3808462>.
- ALMEIDA-SILVA, B., CUNHA, AA., BOUBLI, JP., MENDES, SL. and STRIER, KB., 2005a. Population density and vertical stratification of four primate species at the Estação Biológica de Caratinga/RPPN-FMA, Minas Gerais, Brazil. *Neotropical Primates*, vol. 13, suplemento, p. 25-29.
- ALMEIDA-SILVA, B., GUEDES, PG., BOUBLI, JP. and STRIER, KB., 2005b. Deslocamento terrestre e o comportamento de beber em um grupo de barbados (*Alouatta guariba clamitans* Cabrera, 1940) em Minas Gerais, Brasil. *Neotropical Primates*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 1-3. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1896/1413-4705.13.1.1>.
- ARNOLD, K., POHLNER, Y. and ZUBERBÜHLER, K., 2008. A forest monkey's alarm call series to predator models. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, vol. 62, no. 4, p. 549-559. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00265-007-0479-y>.
- BIANCHI, RC. and MENDES, SL., 2007. Ocelot (*Leopardus pardalis*) predation on primates in Caratinga Biological Station, southeast Brazil. *American Journal of Primatology*, vol. 69, no. 10, p. 1173-1178. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajp.20415>. PMID:17330310
- BIANCHI, RC., MENDES, SL. and DE MARCO-JÚNIOR, P., 2010. Food habits of the ocelot, *Leopardus pardalis*, in two areas in southeast Brazil. *Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment*, vol. 45, no. 3, p. 111-119. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01650521.2010.514791>.
- BIANCHI, RC., ROSA, AF., GATTI, A. and MENDES, SL., 2011. Diet of margay, *Leopardus wiedii*, and jaguarundi, *Puma yagouaroundi*, (Carnivora: Felidae) in Atlantic Rainforest, Brazil. *Zoologia*, vol. 28, no. 1, p. 127-132. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1984-46702011000100018>.

- BORGES, PAL. and TOMÁS, WM., 2004. *Guia de rastros e outros vestígios de mamíferos do Pantanal*. Curumbá: Embrapa Pantanal. 148 p.
- BRITO, BFA., 2000. *Ecologia alimentar da onça-parda (Puma concolor), na Mata Atlântica de Linhares, Espírito Santo, Brasil*. Brasília: Universidade de Brasília. 78p. Tese.
- BSHARY, R. and NOË, R., 1997. Anti-predation behavior of red colobus monkeys in the presence of chimpanzees. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, vol. 41, no. 5, p. 321-333. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002650050392>.
- CHAME, M., 2003. Terrestrial mammal feces: a morphometric summary and description. *Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz*, vol. 98, no. 1, suplemento 1, p. 71-94. PMID:12687767.
- CHINCHILLA, FA., 1997. La dieta del jaguar (*Panthera onca*), el puma (*Felis concolor*) y el manigordo (*Felis pardalis*) en el Parque Nacional Corcovado, Costa Rica. *Revista de Biología Tropical*, vol. 45, p. 1223-1229.
- COWLISHAW, G., 1997. Refuge use and predation risk in a desert baboon population. *Animal Behaviour*, vol. 54, no. 2, p. 241-253. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/anbe.1996.0466>. PMID:9268454
- DAY, RT. and ELWOOD, RW., 1999. Sleeping site selection by the Golden-handed Tamarin *Saguinus midas midas*: The role of predation risk, proximity to feeding sites, and territorial defence. *Ethology*, vol. 12, no. 105, p.1035-1051.
- DE AZEVEDO, FCC., 2008. Food Habits and Livestock Depredation of Sympatric Jaguars and Pumas in the Iguaçú National Park Area, South Brazil. *Biotropica*, vol. 40, no. 4, p. 494-500. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2008.00404.x>.
- DE SOUZA MARTINS, S., DE LIMA, EM. and DE SOUSA E SILVA Jr., J., 2005. Predation of a Bearded Saki (*Chiropotes utahicki*) by a Harpy Eagle (*Harpia harpyja*). *Neotropical Primates*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 7-10. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1896/1413-4705.13.1.7>.
- DELIBES, M., CALZADA, J., CHÁVEZ, C., RIBEIRO, BA., PRADO, D., KELLER, C. and PALOMARES, F., 2011. Unusual observation of an ocelot (*Leopardus pardalis*) eating an adult Linnaeus's two-toed sloth (*Choloepus didactylus*). *Mammalian Biology*, vol. 76, no. 2, p. 240-241. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mambio.2010.08.006>.
- EMMONS, LH., 1987. Comparative feeding ecology of felids in a Neotropical Rainforest. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, vol. 20, no. 4, p. 271-283. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00292180>.
- FACURE, KG. and GIARETTA, AA., 1996. Food habits of carnivores in a coastal Atlantic Forest of southeastern Brazil. *Mamm*, vol. 60, no. 3, p. 499-502. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/mamm-1996-0319>.
- FOSTER, RJ., HARMSSEN, BJ., VALDES, B., POMILLA, C. and DONCASTER, CP., 2010. Food habits of sympatric jaguars and pumas across a gradient of human disturbance. *Journal of Zoology (London, England)*, vol. 280, no. 3, p. 309-318. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.2009.00663.x>.
- GARLA, RC., SETZ, EZ. and GOBBI, N., 2001. Jaguar (*Panthera onca*) Food Habits in Atlantic Rain Forest of Southeastern Brazil. *Biotropica*, vol. 33, no. 4, p. 691-696. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2001.tb00226.x>.
- HATTON, J., SMART, N. and THOMSON, K., 1984. In urgent need of protection-habitat for the woolly spider monkey. *Oryx*, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 24-29. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S003060530001855X>.
- HEYMANN, EW., 1990. Reactions of wild tamarins, *Saguinus mystax* and *Saguinus fuscicollis* to avian predators. *International Journal of Primatology*, vol. 11, no. 4, p. 327-337. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02193004>.
- HIRSCH, A., 1995. *Censo de Aouatta fusca Geoffroy, 1812 (Platyrrhini, Atelidae) e qualidade do habitat em dois remanescentes de Mata Atlântica em Minas Gerais*. Belo Horizonte: Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais. 149 p. Tese.
- INSTITUTO BRASILEIRO DE GEOGRAFIA E ESTATÍSTICA – IBGE, 1995. *Mapa de vegetação do Brasil. Escala 1:5.000.000, Projeção Policônica*. Digital format by UNEP, GRID – United Nations Environmental Program, Global. Resource Information Database, Sioux Falls. Available from: <<http://grid2.cr.usgs.gov/datasets/datalist.php3>>. Accessed in: 9 July, 2010.
- IRIARTE, JA., FRANKLIN, W.L., JOHNSON, WE. and REDFORD, KH., 1990. Biogeographic variation of food habits and body size of the American puma. *Oecologia*, vol. 85, no. 2, p. 185-190. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00319400>.
- IRWIN, MT., RAHARISON, JL. and WRIGHT, PC., 2009. Spatial and temporal variability in predation on rainforest primates: do forest fragmentation and predation act synergistically? *Animal Conservation*, vol. 12, no. 3, p. 220-230. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-1795.2009.00243.x>.
- ISBELL, LA., 1990. Sudden short-term increase in Mortality of Vervet Monkeys (*Cercopithecus aethiops*) due to leopard predation in Amboseli National Park, Kenya. *American Journal of Primatology*, vol. 21, no. 1, p. 41-52. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajp.1350210105>.
- ISBELL, LA., 1994. Predation on primates: ecological patterns and evolutionary consequences. *Evolutionary Anthropology*, vol. 3, no. 2, p. 61-71. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/evan.1360030207>.
- KLARE, U., KAMLER, JF. and MACDONALD, D.W., 2011. A comparison and critique of different scat-analysis methods for determining carnivore diet. *Mammal Review*, vol. 41, no. 4, p. 294-312. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2907.2011.00183.x>.
- KONENCY, MJ., 1989. Movement patterns and food habits of four sympatric carnivore species in Belize, Central America. In REDFORD, KH. and EISENBERG, JF. (Eds.), *Advances in Neotropical Mammalogy*. Florida: Sandhill Crane Press. p. 234-264.
- LUDWIG, G., AGUIAR, MIRANDA, JMD., TEIXEIRA, GM., SVOBODA, WK., MALANSKI, LS., SHIOZAWA, MM., HILST, CLS., NAVARRO, IT. and PASSOS, FC., 2007. Cougar predation on Black-and-Gold Howlers on Mutum Island, Southern, Brazil. *International Journal of Primatology*, vol. 28, no. 1, p. 39-46. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10764-006-9103-7>.
- LYNCH, JW. and RÍMOLI, J., 2000. Demography of a group of Tufted Capuchin Monkeys (*Cebus apella nigrurus*) at the Estação Biológica de Caratinga, Minas Gerais, Brazil. *Neotropical Primates*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 44-49.
- LUDLOW, ME. and SUNQUIST, ME., 1987. Ecology and behavior of ocelots in Venezuela. *National Geographic Research*, vol. 3, p. 447-461.
- MARTINS, R., QUADROS, J. and MAZZOLLI, M., 2008. Hábito alimentar e interferência antrópica na atividade de marcação territorial do Puma concolor e Leopardus pardalis (Carnivora: Felidae) e outros carnívoros na Estação Ecológica de Juréia-Itatins, São Paulo, Brasil. *Revista Brasileira de Zoologia*, vol. 25, no. 3, p. 427-435. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0101-81752008000300007>.



- MATSUDA, I. and IZAWA, K., 2008. Predation of wild spider monkeys at La Macarena, Colombia. *Primates*, vol. 49, no. 1, p. 65-68. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10329-007-0042-5>. PMID:17342352
- MIRANDA, JMD., BERNARDI, IP., ABREU, KC. and PASSOS, FC., 2005. Predation on *Alouatta guariba clamitans* (Cabrera) (Primates, Atelidae) by *Leopardus pardalis* (Linnaeus) (Carnivora, Felidae). *Revista Brasileira de Zoologia*, vol. 22, no. 3, p. 793-795. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0101-81752005000300043>.
- MIRANDA, JMD., BERNARDI, I.P., MORO-RIOS, RF. and PASSOS, FC., 2006. Antipredator behaviour of brown howlers attacked by black hawk-eagle in Southern Brazil. *International Journal of Primatology*, vol. 27, no. 4, p. 1097-1101. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10764-006-9062-z>.
- MITTERMEIER, RA., VALLADARES-PÁDUA, C., RYLANDS, AB., EUDEY, AA., BUTYNSKI, TM., GANZHORN, JU., KORMOS, R., AGUIAR, JM. and WALKER, S., 2006. Primates in Peril: The World's 25 Most Endangered Primates, 2004-2006. *Primate Conservation*, vol. 20, p. 1-28. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1896/0898-6207.20.1.1>.
- MORENO, RS. and GIACALONE, J., 2006. Ecological data obtained from latrine use by ocelots (*Leopardus pardalis*) on Barro Colorado Island, Panama. *Tecnociencia*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 7-21.
- MORENO, R.S., KAYS, RW. and SAMUDIO-Júnior, R., 2006. Competitive release in diets of Ocelot (*Leopardus pardalis*) and Puma (*Puma concolor*) after Jaguar (*Panthera onca*) decline. *Journal of Mammalogy*, vol. 87, no. 4, p. 808-816. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1644/05-MAMM-A-360R2.1>.
- MOURTHÉ, I.M.C., GUEDES, D., FIDELIS, J., BOUBLI, J.P., MENDES, SL. and STRIER, K.B., 2007. Ground use by northern muriquis (*Brachyteles hypoxanthus*). *American Journal of Primatology*, vol. 69, no. 6, p. 706-712. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajp.20405>. PMID:17253634
- NOVACK, A.J., MAIN, M.B., SUNQUIST, ME. and LABISKY, R.F., 2005. Foraging ecology of jaguar (*Panthera onca*) and puma (*Puma concolor*) in hunted and non-hunted sites within the Maya Biosphere Reserve, Guatemala. *Journal of Zoology (London, England)*, vol. 267, no. 02, p. 167-178. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0952836905007338>.
- OLIVEIRA-FILHO, AT. and FONTES, M.A.L., 2000. Patterns of floristic differentiation among Atlantic forests in southeastern Brazil and the influence of climate. *Biotropica*, vol. 32, no. 4b, p. 793-810. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2000.tb00619.x>.
- OLMOS, F., 1994. Jaguar predation on muriqui *Brachyteles arachnoides*. *Neotropical Primates*, vol. 2, no. 2, p. 16.
- PAGLIA, AP., FONSECA, GAB., RYLANDS, AB., HERRMANN, G., AGUIAR, LMS., CHIARELLO, AG., LEITE, YLR., COSTA, LP., SICILIANO, S., KIERULFF, MCM., MENDES, SL., TAVARES, VC., MITTERMEIER, RA. and PATTON, JL., 2012. *Annotated Checklist of Brazilian Mammals*. 2nd ed. Arlington: Conservation International. (Occasional Papers in Conservation Biology, no. 6)
- PASCHOAL, AMO., MASSARA, RM., SANTOS, JL. and CHIARELLO, A.G., 2012. Is the domestic dog becoming an abundant species in the Atlantic Forest? A study case in southeastern Brazil. *Mammalia*, vol. 76, no. 1, p. 67-76. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/mammalia-2012-0501>.
- PEETZ, A., NORCONK, MA. and KINZEY, WG., 1992. Predation by jaguar on howler monkeys (*Alouatta seniculus*) in Venezuela. *American Journal of Primatology*, vol. 28, no. 3, p. 223-228. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajp.1350280307>.
- PRINTES, RC., COSTA, CG. and STRIER, KB., 1996. Possible Predation on Two Infant Muriquis, *Brachyteles arachnoides*, at the Estação Biológica de Caratinga, Minas Gerais, Brasil. *Neotropical Primates*, vol. 4, no. 3, p. 85-86.
- PRUETZ, JD., FULTON, SJ., MARCHANT, LF., MCGREW, WC., SCHIEL, M. and WALLER, M., 2008. Arboreal nesting as anti-predator adaptation by savanna chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes verus*) in southeastern Senegal. *American Journal of Primatology*, vol. 70, no. 4, p. 393-401. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajp.20508>. PMID:18161774
- QUADROS, J., 2002. *Identificação microscópica de pêlos de mamíferos brasileiros e sua aplicação no estudo da dieta de carnívoros*. Curitiba: Universidade Federal do Paraná. 127 p. Tese.
- RIBEIRO, MC., METZGER, JP., MARTENSEN, AC., PONZONI, FJ. and HIROTA, MM., 2009. The Brazilian Atlantic Forest: How much is left, and how is the remaining forest distributed? Implications for conservation. *Biological Conservation*, vol. 142, no. 6, p. 1141-1153. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2009.02.021>.
- ROCHA-MENDES, F., MIKICH, SB., QUADROS, J. and PEDRO, A., 2010. Feeding ecology of carnivores (Mammalia, Carnivora) in Atlantic Forest remnants, Southern Brazil. *Biota Neotropica*, vol. 10, no. 4, p. 21-30. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1676-0632010000400001>.
- SHERMAN, PT., 1991. Harpy eagle predation on a red howler monkey. *Folia Primatologica*, vol. 56, no. 1, p. 53-56. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000156528>.
- SILVA-PEREIRA, JE., MORO-RIOS, RF., BILSKI, DR. and PASSOS, FC., 2011. Diets of three sympatric Neotropical small cats: Food niche overlap and interspecies differences in prey consumption. *Mammalian Biology*, vol. 76, no. 3, p. 308-312. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mambio.2010.09.001>.
- STRIER, KB., 1999. Predicting primate responses to "Stochastic" demographic events. *Primates*, vol. 40, no. 1, p. 131-142. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02557706>. PMID:23179536
- STRIER, KB., 2010. Long-term field studies: positive impacts and unintended consequences. *American Journal of Primatology*, vol. 72, no. 9, p. 772-778. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajp.20830>. PMID:20653002
- STRIER, KB., BOUBLI, JP., POSSAMAI, CB. and MENDES, SL., 2006. Population demography of Northern muriquis (*Brachyteles hypoxanthus*) at the Estação Biológica de Caratinga/Reserva particular do Patrimônio Natural-Feliciano Miguel Abdala, Minas Gerais, Brazil. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, vol. 130, no. 2, p. 227-237. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.20366>. PMID:16365854
- STRIER, KB. and FONSECA, GAB., 1996. The endangered muriquis in Brazil's Atlantic forest. *Primate Conservation*, vol. 17, p. 131-137.
- TELLO, NS., HUCK, M. and HEYMANN, EW., 2002. Boa constrictor attack and successful group defence in moustached tamarins, *Saguinus mystax*. *Folia Primatologica*, vol. 73, no. 2-3, p. 146-148. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000064795>. PMID:12207064
- TÓFOLI, CF., ROHE, F. and SETZ, EZF., 2009. Jaguarundi (*Puma yagouarundi*) (Geoffroy, 1803) (Carnivora, Felidae) food habits in a mosaic of Atlantic Rainforest and eucalypt plantations of southeastern Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*, vol. 69, no. 3, p.

871-877. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1519-69842009000400015>. PMID:19802447

TREVES, A., 1999. Has predation shaped the social systems of arboreal primates? *International Journal of Primatology*, vol. 20, no. 1, p. 35-67. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1020532216737>.

OVERSLUIJS VASQUEZ, MR. and HEYMANN, EW., 2001. Crested eagle (*Morphnus guianensis*) predation on infant tamarins (*Saguinus mystax* and *Saguinus fuscicollis*, Callitrichinae). *Folia Primatologica*, vol. 72, no. 5, p. 301-303. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000049952>. PMID:11805427

VEADO, EMV., 2002. *Caracterização da RPPN Feliciano Miguel Abdala*. Caratinga. Unpublished manuscript.

VIDOLIN, GP., 2004. *Aspectos bio-ecológicos de Puma concolor (Linnaeus, 1771), Leopardus pardalis (Linnaeus, 1758) e Leopardus tigrinus (Schreber, 1775) na Reserva Natural Salto Morato, Guaraqueçaba, Paraná, Brasil*. Curitiba: Universidade Federal do Paraná. Tese.

WANG, E., 2002. Diets of ocelots (*Leopardus pardalis*), margays (*L. wiedii*), and oncillas (*L. tigrinus*) in the Atlantic Rain Forest in Southeast Brazil. *Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment*, vol. 37, no. 3, p. 207-212. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1076/snfe.37.3.207.8564>.

ZUBERBÜHLER, K. and JENNY, D., 2002. Leopard predation and primate evolution. *Journal of Human Evolution*, vol. 43, no. 6, p. 873-886. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jhev.2002.0605>. PMID:12473487.