

Correlation of cephalometric and anthropometric measures with obstructive sleep apnea severity

Paulo de Tarso M Borges¹, Edson Santos Ferreira Filho², Telma Maria Evangelista de Araujo³, Jose Machado Moita Neto⁴, Nubia Evangelista de Sa Borges⁵, Baltasar Melo Neto⁶, Viriato Campelo⁷, Jorge Rizzato Paschoal⁸, Li M Li⁹.

- 1) Master's degree completed. Doctorate in progress. Adjunct Professor of Otolaryngology (Federal University of Piauí).
- 2) Physician (Federal University of Piauí).
- 3) Doctoral degree completed. Adjunct Professor of Nursing (Federal University of Piauí).
- 4) Doctoral degree completed. Associate Professor of Chemistry (Federal University of Piauí).
- 5) Phonoaudiologist (Paulo Borges Clinic).
- 6) Physician (Federal University of Piauí).
- 7) Doctoral degree completed. Associate Professor (Department of Parasitology and Microbiology, Federal University of Piauí).
- 8) Doctoral degree completed. Associate Professor (Campinas State University (UNICAMP) School of Medicine).
- 9) Doctoral degree completed. Full Professor (Campinas State University (UNICAMP) School of Medicine).

Institution: Federal University of Piauí.
Teresina / PI – Brazil.

Mailing address: Paulo de Tarso Moura Borges - Av. Elias João Tajira, 1260 - Apto. 300 - Bairro: Jóquei Clube - Teresina / PI - Brazil - Zip Code: 64049-300 - Telephone: (+55 86) 3230-9797 / 3232-4306; E-mail: ptborges@gmail.com

Article received on March 7th, 2013. Article accepted on April 7th, 2013.

SUMMARY

Introduction: Patients with obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome (OSAHS) often have associated changes in craniofacial morphology and distribution of body fat, either alone or in combination.

Aim: To correlate cephalometric and anthropometric measures with OSAHS severity by using the apnea-hypopnea index (AHI).

Method: A retrospective cephalometry study of 93 patients with OSAHS was conducted from July 2010 to July 2012. The following measurements were evaluated: body mass index (BMI), neck circumference (NC), waist circumference (WC), hip circumference (HC), the angles formed by the cranial base and the maxilla (SNA) and the mandible (SNB), the difference between SNA and SNB (ANB), the distance from the mandibular plane to the hyoid bone (MP-H), the space between the base of the tongue and the posterior pharyngeal wall (PAS), and the distance between the posterior nasal spine and the tip of the uvula (PNS-P). Means, standard deviations, and Pearson's correlation coefficients were calculated and analyzed.

Results: AHI correlated significantly with BMI ($r = 0.207$, $p = 0.047$), NC ($r = 0.365$, $p = 0.000$), WC ($r = 0.337$, $p = 0.001$), PNS-P ($r = 0.282$, $p = 0.006$), and MP-H ($r = 0.235$, $p = 0.023$).

Conclusion: Anthropometric measurements (BMI, NC, and WC) and cephalometric measurements (MP-H and PNS-P) can be used as predictors of OSAHS severity.

Keywords: Cephalometry; Sleep Apnea, Obstructive; Anthropometry; Body Mass Index; Abdominal Circumference; Waist Circumference.

INTRODUCTION

Obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome (OSAHS) is a disorder characterized by recurrent episodes of partial or total upper airway obstruction during sleep. The apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) refers to the number of episodes of apnea and hypopnea that occur per hour of sleep (1).

OSAHS affects 4–7% of the general adult population (2). Owing to its current prevalence, it is considered a major public health concern, which can manifest serious physical and social consequences if not managed properly (2,3). This disorder mainly affects middle-aged economically active patients, resulting in high costs and lost workdays (4). Medical costs can be significantly reduced when

effective diagnosis and treatment are performed early (5). The diagnosis of OSAHS is based on a combination of the laboratory findings of apnea and hypopnea with clinical symptoms (6).

Radiographic imaging of the upper airways allows for the study of bone and soft tissue anatomy, in addition to the determination of the site of obstruction and the choice of appropriate treatment for OSAHS patients (7). Cephalometry has been used since 1983 and was initially applied in patients with sleep-related breathing disorders. It consists of cephalometric tracings obtained by telerradiography of the facial profile. The aim of the test is to study the facial, maxillary, and mandibular skeleton and any relationships with the soft tissues that may cause pharyngeal obstruction (8). Cephalometry is an easy, low-cost, non-invasive, and widely available modality in the

majority of hospitals. Radiation use is minimal, readily accessible to clinicians, and not uncomfortable for the patient. It has proved to be useful for the evaluation of the upper airways and bone structure in normal subjects and OSAHS patients (9-11).

A complete cephalometric analysis should be performed in patients with OSAHS to identify associated maxillofacial abnormalities (12). From this perspective, it has been used in several sleep disorder centers, with the purpose of diagnosing the site of obstruction in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. In some centers, this test is part of a service protocol that is important for the decision as to which type of surgery should be performed. Therefore, it is recommended in all patients with OSAHS undergoing surgery (8,9,12-15). It is also used with mandibular advancement devices to assess therapeutic efficacy in patients with mild to moderate OSAHS (15,16).

Obesity, in particular the presence of visceral fat, is considered a predictive factor for OSAHS (17). Several studies have been performed using anthropometric measurements of obesity in patients with OSAHS, e.g., body mass index (BMI), neck circumference (NC), waist circumference (WC), and hip circumference (HC) (18-21). These measurements may be used both to assess the need for patient referral to polysomnographic evaluation and to anticipate treatment in high-risk patients, since this disorder may cause severe consequences in untreated patients (2,21). Polysomnography is not an accessible test for most individuals. It is expensive and easier, more affordable tests with less technological density, such as cephalometry (9-11), are required (17,19,22,23) to screen for OSAHS. Anthropometric measurements are easily obtained and fundamental for the preparatory study of a patient with suspected OSAHS. Therefore, the number of patients referred for polysomnography could be greatly reduced, lowering healthcare expenses, with simple measurement of cervical, waist, and hip circumferences. The use of these measurements in patients with snoring and those clinically suspected of having OSAHS would thus prioritize complementary polysomnography testing in patients with higher suspicion of disease (24).

The aim of this study was to correlate cephalometric and anthropometric measurements with the AHI, in order to assess if these measurements can be used as predictors of OSAHS severity.

METHOD

Data obtained from the medical charts of 93 male and female patients with OSAHS, ranging in age from 19 to 80 years, were studied. These patients had been examined

from July 2010 to July 2012 in a specialist private clinic in Teresina. The medical charts of patients who had undergone previous surgical treatment for OSAHS, including use of continuous positive airway pressure devices or intraoral devices, in addition to patients with craniofacial deformities and upper airway tumors, were excluded from the study.

Overnight polysomnography was analyzed by a single professional, who specialized in sleep disorders. Patients were considered to have OSAHS if, in addition to clinical complaints, they had an AHI ≥ 5 upon overnight polysomnography testing (1). Severity was measured by the AHI. Cephalometry was also performed by a single radiologist, who specialized in orthodontic radiographs. Each examiner was blinded to the test results obtained by the other examiner.

The following cephalometric traces were considered, since they are the most commonly used cephalometric measures: retroglossal posterior airway space (PAS), defined as the space between the base of the tongue and the posterior pharyngeal wall; the distance between the mandibular plane and the hyoid bone (MP-H); the SNA angle, formed by the junction between the sellar point (S, midpoint of the sella turcica), nasion (N, junction between the frontal and nasal bones), and point A (deepest concavity on the anterior profile of the maxilla); the SNB angle, formed by the junction between the sellar point (S), nasion (N), and point B (deepest concavity on the anterior profile of the mandibular symphysis); the distance between the posterior nasal spine and the tip of the soft palate (PNS-P); and the difference between SNA and SNB (ANB) (Figure 1) (8,9,12,15).

Concerning anthropometric measurements, BMI, NC, WC, and HC were evaluated. BMI was calculated as the weight of the subject (in kilograms) divided by their height (in meters) squared. Obesity is defined as a BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² (25). NC was measured at the level of the cricothyroid cartilage. WC was measured between the last rib and the iliac crest and HC was measured as the maximum circumference at the level of the border of the trochanter. Anthropometric measurements were based on the World Health Organization manual (26).

Data was entered into the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16.0 program for calculation of simple descriptive statistics, e.g., percentage distribution, mean, and standard deviation. A normality test (Kolmogorov-Smirnov) was applied and the adequate statistical test was chosen for each type of variable (parametric or nonparametric). Pearson's correlation coefficients were examined between AHI and the following variables: age, BMI, NC, WC, HC, and cephalometric measurements (SNA, SNB, ANB, MP-H, PAS, and PNS-P). Sex differences were




Figure 1. Description of cephalometric parameters (Source: the author).

compared by the Student's *t* test. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

The project was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the *Universidade Federal do Piauí*, under CAAE number 0047.0.045.000-10.

RESULTS

Of the 93 patients studied, 54 (58.1%) were male. The mean BMI was 27.68 ± 3.83 kg/m² (women, 27.41 ± 4.50 kg/m²; men, 27.88 ± 3.30 kg/m²). There were 69 (74.19%) nonobese (BMI, 25.90 ± 2.29 kg/m²) and 24 (25.81%) obese (BMI, 32.81 ± 2.50 kg/m²) patients (Table 1).

The mean age of the patients was 46.70 ± 15.46 years (range, 19 to 80 years). Table 2 describes the sample characteristics and variables studied, including their variations and means.

Table 3 shows an analysis of the Pearson correlation coefficients used to evaluate the relationship between AHI, age, and anthropometric/cephalometric measurements. Analyzing the relationship between age and OSAHS severity by AHI showed a positive correlation between these 2 data series, indicating that OSAHS was more severe in older patients. Similarly, there was also a

Table 1. Sample distribution according to gender and obesity.

		n	%	BMI, kg/m ² (mean \pm SD)
Gender	Male	54	58.06	27.88 ± 3.30
	Female	39	41.94	27.41 ± 4.50
	Total	93	100.00	27.68 ± 3.83
Obesity	Nonobese	69	74.19	25.90 ± 2.29
	Obese	24	25.81	32.81 ± 2.50
	Total	93	100.00	27.68 ± 3.83

Note: BMI = body mass index.

Table 2. Characteristics of the sample including age, anthropometric parameters, cephalometric parameters, and AHI (n = 93).

Variable	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard deviation
Age, years	19.0	80.0	46.70	15.46
BMI, kg/m ²	19.72	40.18	27.68	3.83
NC, cm	30.0	47.0	38.56	3.92
WC, cm	73.0	125.0	97.59	10.10
HC, cm	87.0	131.0	104.09	7.39
SNA ^o	72.0	92.0	82.77	4.08
SNB ^o	69.0	92.0	80.96	4.41
ANB ^o	-13.0	10.0	1.82	3.90
MP-H, mm	4.0	45.0	19.21	8.22
PAS, mm	3.0	20.0	10.04	3.80
PNS-P, mm	20.0	52.0	39.84	5.37
AHI, events/h	5.00	83.40	34.67	17.41

Note: AHI = apnea-hypopnea index; BMI = body mass index; NC = neck circumference; WC = waist circumference; HC = hip circumference; SNA = angle formed by the junction of the sella (S), nasion (N), and point A; SNB = angle formed by the junction of the sella (S), nasion (N), and point B; ANB = difference between SNA and SNB; MP-H = distance between the mandibular plane and the hyoid bone; PAS = space between the base of the tongue and the posterior pharyngeal wall; PNS-P = distance between the posterior nasal spine and the tip of the soft palate.

positive correlation between BMI and AHI, which allowed us to infer that the higher the BMI, the greater the AHI. Regarding body circumference measurements, both NC and WC showed a statistically significant positive correlation with OSAHS severity. Concerning the relationship between cephalometric data and AHI, a statistically significant positive correlation for MP-H and PNS-P was observed (Table 3).

Comparing anthropometric parameters between men and women indicated a statistically significant difference for NC and WC, but not for HC. Regarding cephalometry, MP-H and PNS-P were significantly different between men and women (Table 4).

Table 3. Correlation of age and anthropometric/cephalometric data with AHI (n = 93).

	Age	BMI	NC	WC	HC	SNA	SNB	ANB	MP-H	PAS	PNS-P
Pearson correlation	0.241	0.207	0.365	0.337	0.201	-0.044	0.031	-0.081	0.235	-0.102	0.282
p-value (two-tailed)	0.020	0.047	0.000	0.001	0.053	0.676	0.769	0.441	0.023	0.329	0.006

Note: AHI = apnea-hypopnea index; BMI = body mass index; NC = neck circumference; WC = waist circumference; HC = hip circumference; SNA = angle formed by the junction of the sella (S), nasion (N), and point A; SNB = angle formed by the junction of the sella (S), nasion (N), and point B; ANB = difference between SNA and SNB; MP-H = distance between the mandibular plane and the hyoid bone; PAS = space between the base of the tongue and the posterior pharyngeal wall; PNS-P = distance between the posterior nasal spine and the tip of the soft palate

Tables 5 and 6 show an analysis of the Pearson correlation coefficients used to assess the relationship between AHI and age as well as anthropometric/cephalometric measurements for males and females.

For anthropometric measurements, AHI showed a statistically significant correlation with age, BMI, NC, and WC in males. Only NC showed a significant correlation in females. In both genders, the most significant correlation was with NC (Table 5). Regarding cephalometric measurements, the only correlation found was that of AHI with PNS-P measurement in males (Table 6).

DISCUSSION

Obesity may increase susceptibility to OSAHS by causing fat deposition in the upper airway tissues, narrowing the nasopharyngeal caliber and/or leading to hypoventilation in association with reduced wall complacency (27). Assessment of craniofacial morphology in OSAHS patients not only aids specialists concerned with recognizing morphologic changes induced by altered sleep patterns, but also provides the patient with adequate treatment (11).

There is a vast amount of scientific literature on cephalometric and anthropometric measures, which compares control groups and snorers to OSAHS patients and aims at using these measurements as predictors of this condition (7,9-11,13,15,18,20,22,28-32). Some studies have been performed to assess appropriate treatments, surgical treatment plans, and the indications of intraoral devices (11,16,27,33-40), while others were performed to evaluate the relationship between these measurements and OSAHS severity (17-20,22-24,32,40-45).

In the current study, there was a significant correlation between age and AHI (Table 3), indicating that OSAHS is more severe in older patients. This is in agreement with the majority of previous studies (17,22-24,45,46), with the exception of those by Mayer et al (42) and Schellenberg, Maisline, and Schwab (37).

Table 4. Comparison between males and females for anthropometric/cephalometric data and AHI.

	Females (n = 39)	Males (n = 54)	p-value
Age, years	49.77 ± 14.32	44.48 ± 15.99	p > 0.05
BMI, kg/m ²	27.41 ± 4.50	27.88 ± 3.30	p > 0.05
NC, cm	35.31 ± 2.27	40.91 ± 3.09	**p < 0.01
WC, cm	94.41 ± 10.79	99.89 ± 8.99	**p < 0.01
HC, cm	102.56 ± 8.65	105.19 ± 6.18	p > 0.05
SNA°	82.74 ± 3.38	82.80 ± 4.56	p > 0.05
SNB°	80.41 ± 4.35	81.35 ± 4.44	p > 0.05
ANB°	2.33 ± 3.98	1.44 ± 3.83	p > 0.05
MP-H, mm	15.04 ± 6.62	22.22 ± 8.00	**p < 0.01
PAS, mm	9.28 ± 3.44	10.59 ± 3.98	p > 0.05
PNS-P, mm	37.82 ± 5.10	41.30 ± 5.12	**p < 0.01
AHI, events/h	31.02 ± 15.20	37.30 ± 18.53	p > 0.05

Note: AHI = apnea-hypopnea index; BMI = body mass index; NC = neck circumference; WC = waist circumference; HC = hip circumference; SNA = angle formed by the junction of the sella (S), nasion (N), and point A; SNB = angle formed by the junction of the sella (S), nasion (N), and point B; ANB = difference between SNA and SNB; MP-H = distance between the mandibular plane and the hyoid bone; PAS = space between the base of the tongue and the posterior pharyngeal wall; PNS-P = distance between the posterior nasal spine and the tip of the soft palate

It was observed that BMI was correlated with AHI, allowing us to infer that more severe OSAHS occurs in subjects with a higher BMI, which is concordant with most published studies (17,19,21-23,31,32,37,39,42,45-47). Nevertheless, this fact was not observed by Yucel et al (41) and Martinez-Rivera et al (24).

NC is a simple measurement that is easily performed. Findings in the present study point to a positive correlation between NC and AHI, which is in agreement with other studies (19,20,22,24,31,32,37-39,41,46). A correlation with BMI was also observed previously (17-19,23,32,44), suggesting that obesity affects patients with OSAHS through fat deposition in the neck (20,23,30). In contrast to the majority of studies, Ogretmenoglu et al (17) stated that NC was more weakly correlated with AHI than BMI.

Table 5. Pearson correlation of AHI with age and anthropometric data for males and females.

		Age	BMI	NC	WC	HC
M	Pearson correlation	0.358	0.273	0.349	0.395	0.156
	p-value (two-tailed)	0.008**	0.046*	0.010**	0.003**	0.259
	n	54	54	54	54	54
F	Pearson correlation	0.131	0.123	0.329	0.192	0.212
	p-value (two-tailed)	0.426	0.457	0.041*	0.242	0.195
	n	39	39	39	39	39

Note: AHI = apnea-hypopnea index; M = males; F = females; BMI = body mass index; NC = neck circumference; WC = waist circumference; HC = hip circumference; ** p < 0.01; * p < 0.05.

Table 6. Pearson correlation between AHI and cephalometric data for males and females.

		SNA	SNB	ANB	MP-H	PAS	PNS-P
M	Pearson correlation	-0.075	0.031	-0.125	0.229	-0.212	0.305
	p-value (two-tailed)	0.592	0.822	0.368	0.096	0.124	0.025*
	n	54	54	54	54	54	54
F	Pearson correlation	0.020	-0.021	0.040	0.073	0.010	0.135
	p-value (two-tailed)	0.904	0.898	0.809	0.660	0.951	0.412
	n	39	39	39	39	39	39

Note: AHI = apnea-hypopnea index; M = males; F = females; SNA = angle formed by the junction of the sella (S), nasion (N), and point A; SNB = angle formed by the junction of the sella (S), nasion (N), and point B; ANB = difference between SNA and SNB; MP-H = distance between the mandibular plane and the hyoid bone; PAS = space between the base of the tongue and the posterior pharyngeal wall; PNS-P = distance between the posterior nasal spine and the tip of the soft palate; * p < 0.05.

WC also showed a positive correlation with AHI, in agreement with other studies (19,23,24,32,38,39,46). This correlation was weaker than that observed with NC, supporting the existing literature (19,23,39). The present study is also consistent with others (19,32) showing that the correlation of AHI with WC is greater than that with BMI. However, Davidson and Patel (32) observed that WC was more predictive than BMI as well as NC.

Analyzing the relationship between cephalometric data and AHI showed a statistically significant correlation for MP-H and PNS-P, indicating an increased palatal length and an increased distance between the hyoid bone and the mandibular plane, which was consistent with other studies (29,42,43,46,48). According to Yucel et al (41), only MP-H measurement is related to AHI. The position of the hyoid bone, which has an impact on the shape and position of the tongue, affects hypopharyngeal airway patency (41).

A study by Bharadwaj, Ravikumar, and Krishnaswamy conducted in India compared 10 OSAHS patients to a control group of 10 healthy subjects (mean age, 34.9 years). These authors concluded that upright cephalometry demonstrated mandibular retrognathism, increased ANB

angle, increased PNS-P length and increased soft palate thickness, increased tongue length, and decreased PAS in the group of OSAHS patients when compared to the control group (11). Other studies have shown that PNS-P and MP-H measurements are increased, while PAS is decreased, in patients with OSAHS, and these measurements were considered predictive of OSAHS (7,9,15). PAS measurement was correlated with severity of OSAHS assessed using the AHI (7,9). A study conducted in Strasbourg (France) in 1990, comparing 43 OSAHS patients to a control group of 40 asymptomatic individuals, showed that PNS-P measurement was increased in patients with OSAHS, while SNA, SNB, and ANB measurements did not demonstrate any alterations in either group (28). Other studies have shown increased MP-H measurement and decreased PAS measurement in comparisons between OSAHS groups and control groups (13,40). However, Mayer et al (42) found no correlation between PAS measurement and AHI.

In a comparison between male and female patients in the present study, BMI and HC were similar. NC and WC were significantly higher in males than in females. These results are partially in agreement with findings by Millman

et al (49), who described a higher NC for males, but no difference between the genders for BMI and WC. Another study demonstrated a significantly increased BMI in females (41). This fact reflects well-known characteristics concerning body fat distribution in men and women (49).

In the current study, NC was more closely correlated with AHI than WC and BMI, both in the overall population and in male and female subjects, indicating that NC is the best anthropometric measurement for prediction of OSAHS severity, especially in males (18,19,23,39,41,44). Comparing cephalometry between male and female subjects showed a statistically significant difference in MP-H and PNS-P measurements, which were higher in male subjects. PNS-P measurement was correlated with AHI in males, while there were no significant correlations in females.

In conclusion, the results of this study show a correlation of anthropometric (BMI, NC, and WC) and cephalometric (MP-H and PNS-P) measurements with the AHI, reinforcing their preparatory role and use as predictors of OSAHS.

REFERENCES

1. Force AAsMT. Sleep-related breathing disorders in adults: recommendations for syndrome definition and measurement techniques in clinical research. *Sleep*. 1999;22(5):667-89.
2. Racionero Casero MA, Garcia Rio F, Pino Garcia JM, Prados Sanchez C, Diaz Lobato S, Villamor Leon J. [The sleep apnea syndrome as a health problem. An estimation of its prevalence and morbimortality]. *An Med Interna*. 1999;16(2):97-102.
3. Barthel SW, Strome M. Snoring, obstructive sleep apnea, and surgery. *Med Clin North Am*. 1999;83(1):85-96.
4. Pieters T, Rodenstein DO. Therapeutic options in obstructive sleep apnoea: have we made enough progress? *Sleep medicine reviews*. 2001;5(1):3-6.
5. Kapur V, Blough DK, Sandblom RE, Hert R, de Maine JB, Sullivan SD, et al. The medical cost of undiagnosed sleep apnea. *Sleep*. 1999;22(6):749-55.
6. Lindberg E, Gislason T. Epidemiology of sleep-related obstructive breathing. *Sleep medicine reviews*. 2000;4(5):411-33.
7. Powell NB, Riley RW, Robinson A. Surgical management of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Clin Chest Med*. 1998;19(1):77-86.
8. Riley R, Guilleminault C, Herran J, Powell N. Cephalometric analyses and flow-volume loops in obstructive sleep apnea patients. *Sleep*. 1983;6(4):303-11.
9. Olszewska E, Sieskiewicz A, Rozycki J, Rogalewski M, Tarasow E, Rogowski M, et al. A comparison of cephalometric analysis using radiographs and craniofacial computed tomography in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: preliminary report. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol*. 2009;266:535-42.
10. Julià-Serdà G, Pérez-Peñate G, Saavedra-Santana P, Ponce-González M, Valencia-Gallardo JM, Rodríguez-Delgado R, et al. Usefulness of cephalometry in sparing polysomnography of patients with suspected obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep Breath*. 2006;10(4):181-7.
11. Bharadwaj R, Ravikumar A, Krishnaswamy NR. Evaluation of craniofacial morphology in patients with obstructive sleep apnea using lateral cephalometry and dynamic MRI. *Indian J Dent Res*. 2011;22(6):739-48.
12. Alvarez CM, Lessin ME, Gross PD. Mandibular advancement combined with horizontal advancement genioplasty for the treatment of obstructive sleep apnea in an edentulous patient. A case report. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol*. 1987;64(4):402-6.
13. Guilleminault C, Riley R, Powell N. Obstructive sleep apnea and abnormal cephalometric measurements. Implications for treatment. *Chest*. 1984;86(5):793-4.
14. Safaya A, Suri JC. The protocol for the diagnosis of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *IJO & HNS*. 1998;50(1):46-51.
15. Akpınar ME, Celikoyar MM, Altundag A, Kocak I. The comparison of cephalometric characteristics in nonobese obstructive sleep apnea subjects and primary snorers cephalometric measures in nonobese OSA and primary snorers. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol*. 2011;268(7):1053-9.
16. Rose E, Lehner M, Staats R, Jonas IE. Cephalometric analysis in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. *J Orofac Orthop*. 2002;63(4):315-24.
17. Ogretmenoglu O, Suslu AE, Yucel OT, Onerci TM, Sahin A. Body fat composition: a predictive factor for obstructive sleep apnea. *Laryngoscope*. 2005;115(8):1493-8.
18. Davies RJ, Ali NJ, Stradling JR. Neck circumference and other clinical features in the diagnosis of the obstructive syndrome. *Thorax*. 1992;47:101-5.

19. Pinto JA, Godoy LBM, Marquis VWPB, Sonogo TB, Leal CFA, Ártico MS. Anthropometric data as predictors of obstructive sleep apnea severity. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngol*. 2011;77(4):516-21.
20. Ferguson KA, Ono T, Lowe AA, Ryan CF, Fleetham JA. The relationship between obesity and craniofacial structure in obstructive sleep apnea. *Chest*. 1995;108(2):375-81.
21. Schafer H, Pauleit D, Sudhop T, Gouni-Berthold I, Ewig S, Berthold HK. Body fat distribution, serum leptin, and cardiovascular risk factors in men with obstructive sleep apnea. *Chest*. 2002;122(3):829-39.
22. Montoya FS, Bedialauneta JRI, Larracochea UA, Ibarguen AM, Del Rey AS, Fernandez JMS. The predictive value of clinical and epidemiological parameters in the identification of patients with obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA): a clinical prediction algorithm in the evaluation of OSA. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol*. 2007;264(6):637-43.
23. Dixon JB, Schachter LM, O'Brien PE. Predicting sleep apnea and excessive day sleepiness in the severely obese: indicators for polysomnography. *Chest*. 2003;123:1134-41.
24. Martinez-Rivera C, Abad J, Fiz JA, Rios J, Morera J. Usefulness of truncal obesity indices as predictive factors for obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2008;16(1):113-8.
25. WHO. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO consultation. World Health Organization technical report series. 2000;894:i-xii, 1-253.
26. WHO. Physical status: the use and interpretation of anthropometry. Report of a WHO Expert Committee. World Health Organization technical report series. 1995;854:1-452.
27. Redline S, Tishler PV. The genetics of sleep apnea. *Sleep Med Rev*. 2000;4(6):583-602.
28. Bacon WH, Turlot JC, Krieger J, Stierle JL. Cephalometric evaluation of pharyngeal obstructive factors in patients with sleep apneas syndrome. *Angle Orthod*. 1990;60(2):115-22.
29. Maltais F, Carrier G, Cormier Y, Sériès F. Cephalometric measurements in snorers, non-snorers, and patients with sleep apnoea. *Thorax*. 1991;46:419-23.
30. Barvaux VA, Aubert G, Rodenstein DO. Weight loss as a treatment for obstructive sleep apnoea. *Sleep medicine reviews*. 2000;4(5):435-52.
31. Sharma SK, Malik V, Vasudev C, Banga A, Mohan A, Handa KK, et al. Prediction of obstructive sleep apnea in patients presenting to a tertiary care center. *Sleep Breath*. 2006;10(3):147-54.
32. Davidson TM, Patel MR. Waist circumference and sleep disordered breathing. *Laryngoscope*. 2008;118(2):339-47.
33. Doghramji K, Jabourian ZH, Pilla M, Farole A, Lindholm RN. Predictors of outcome for uvulopalatopharyngoplasty. *Laryngoscope*. 1995;105(3 Pt 1):311-4.
34. Series F, St Pierre S, Carrier G. Surgical correction of nasal obstruction in the treatment of mild sleep apnoea: importance of cephalometry in predicting outcome. *Thorax*. 1993;48(4):360-3.
35. Woodson BT. Structural effectiveness of pharyngeal sleep apnea surgery. *Sleep medicine reviews*. 2008;12(6):463-79.
36. Hora F, Napolis LM, Daltro C, Kodaira SK, Tufik S, Togeiro SM, et al. Clinical, anthropometric and upper airway anatomic characteristics of obese patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Respiration*. 2007;74(5):517-24.
37. Schellenberg JB, Maislin G, Schwab RJ. Physical findings and risk for obstructive sleep apnea. The importance of oropharyngeal structures. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2000;162:740-8.
38. Onat A, Hergenc G, Yuksel H, Can G, Ayhan E, Kaya Z, et al. Neck circumference as a measure of central obesity: associations with metabolic syndrome and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome beyond waist circumference. *Clin Nutr*. 2009;28(1):46-51. Epub 2008/11/18.
39. Hoffstein V, Mateika S. Differences in abdominal and neck circumferences in patients with and without obstructive sleep apnoea. *Eur Respir J*. 1992;5(4):377-81.
40. Partinen M, Guilleminault C, Quera-Salva M, Jamieson A. Obstructive sleep apnea and cephalometric roentgenograms. The role of anatomic upper airway abnormalities in the definition of abnormal breathing during sleep. *Chest*. 1988;93(6):1199-205.
41. Yucel A, Unlu M, Haktanir A, Acar M, Fidan F. Evaluation of the upper airway cross-sectional area changes in different degrees of severity of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: cephalometric and dynamic CT study. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2005;26(10):2624-9.
42. Mayer P, Pépin JL, Bettega G, Veale D, Ferretti G, Deschaux C, et al. Relationship between body mass index, age and upper airway measurements in snorers and sleep apnoea patients. *Eur Respir J*. 1996;9(9):1801-9.

43. Davies R, Stradling. The relationship between neck circumference, radiographic pharyngeal anatomy, and the obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome. *Eur Respir J*. 1990;3(5):509-14.
44. Katz I, Stradling J, Slutsky AS, Zamel N, Hoffstein V. Do patients with obstructive sleep apnea have thick necks? *Am Rev Respir Dis*. 1990;141(5 Pt 1):1228-31.
45. Young T, Peppard PE, Taheri S. Excess weight and sleep-disordered breathing. *J Appl Physiol*. 2005;99:1592-9.
46. Julia-Serda G, Perez-Penate G, Saavedra-Santana P, Ponce-Gonzalez M, Valencia-Gallardo JM, Rodriguez-Delgado R, et al. Usefulness of cephalometry in sparing polysomnography of patients with suspected obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep Breath*. 2006;10(4):181-7.
47. Katz I, Stradling J, Slutsky AS, Zamel N, Hoffstein V. Do patients with obstructive sleep apnea have thick necks? *The American review of respiratory disease*. 1990;141(5 Pt 1):1228-31.
48. de Tarso Moura Borges P, Paschoal JR. Initial indication of treatment in 60 patients with sleep obstructive ventilatory disturbance. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngol*. 2005;71(6):740-6.
49. Millman RP, Carlisle CC, Eveloff SE, McGarvey ST, Levinson PD. Body fat distribution and sleep apnea severity in women. *Chest*. 1995;107(2):362-6.