

An Acad Bras Cienc (2022) 94(Suppl. 4): e20220467 DOI 10.1590/0001-376520222020467 Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências | *Annals of the Brazilian Academy of Sciences* Printed ISSN 0001-3765 I Online ISSN 1678-2690 www.scielo.br/aabc | www.fb.com/aabcjournal

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Nanotechnology in dental implants of medically compromised patients: is this the right way forward?

GERALDO R.M. MATOS

Nanotechnology has achieved wide attention in scientific media and can be defined as science engaged in design, synthesis, characterization and application of materials and devices whose smallest functional organization at the nanometer scale (Siegel & Fougere 1995). Innovative findings on nanoroughness are valuable in the fields of dental implantology, maxillofacial or orthopedic implant surfaces and on cardiovascular implants.

Some advantages of nanoroughness on titanium dental implants include increasing of surface area, improvement of cell attachment and biomechanical interaction of implant with bone (Pachauri et al. 2014). Nanoparticles used in the coating of dental implants present osteointegrative (Al₂O₃, hydroxyapatite, calcium phosphate), antimicrobial (silver, zinc, cooper, quercitrin, chlorhexidine), and osteointegrative and antimicrobial (TiO₂, nano-crystalline diamond) activities (Parnia et al. 2017). Nanohydroxyapatites have nanostructured surface with higher surface area and higher reactivity, letting them to bind to bone creating a biomimetic coating on implants (Yazdani et al. 2018). However, more investigations are needed to develop an effective implant due to the interaction of cells and biomaterial surface after implantation. Despite these advances, the clinical relevance of surface nanoroughness of dental implant in clinically healthy patients is poorly known (Matos 2021, Zhang et al. 2021).

On the other hand, in medically compromised patients with advanced age, diabetes mellitus, smokers, using bisphosphonates due to osteoporosis or in head and neck radiotherapy, the osseointegration is still a challenge for dental implant therapy (Gómez-de Diego et al. 2014, Turri et al. 2016, Zhang et al. 2021). In these cases, the clinical and radiographic follow up must emphasize criteria such as pain, mobility, bone crest loss, probing depth, and peri-implantitis. Genetic factors, such as polymorphisms in interleukin 1 (IL-1) genes, can be utilized to reduce the chances of failure (Dirschnabel et al. 2011). It is also worth mentioning that the incorporation of nanoparticles in implant coatings must be properly fixated to the surface due to the cytotoxicity and potential hazard (Mao et al. 2018), specially in compromised patients.

Therefore, nanotechnologies in the dental implant surface should be developed aiming to improve the prognosis the medically compromised patients based on the specific features of diseases or clinical conditions (e.g. diabetes mellitus), forming a barrier to the ingress of oral pathogens. In this context, would be this the right way forward?

REFERENCES

DIRSCHNABEL AJ, ALVIM-PEREIRA F, ALVIM-PEREIRA CC, BERNARDINO JF, ROSA EAR & TREVILATTO PC. 2011. Analysis of the association of IL1B(C-511T) polymorphism with dental implant loss and the clusterization phenomenon. Clin Oral Implant Res 22: 1235-1241. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0501.2010.02080.x.

GÓMEZ-DE DIEGO R, MANG-DE LA ROSA M, ROMERO-PÉREZ MJ, CUTANDO-SORIANO A & LÓPEZ-VALVERDE-CENTENO A. 2014. Indications and contraindications of dental implants in medically compromised patients: update. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 19: 483-489. https://doi.org/10.4317/medoral.19565.

MAO BH, CHEN ZY, WANG YJ & YAN SJ. 2018. Silver nanoparticles have lethal and sublethal adverse effects on development and longevity by inducing ROS-mediated stress responses. Sci Rep 8: 2445. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-20728-z.

MATOS GRM. 2021. Surface roughness of dental implant and osseointegration. J Maxillofac Oral Surg 20: 1-4. https://doi. org/10.1007/s12663-020-01437-5.

PACHAURI P, BATHALA LR & SANGUR R. 2014. Techniques for dental implant nanosurface modifications. J Adv Prosthodont 6: 498-504. https://doi.org/10.4047/jap.2014.6.6.498.

PARNIA F, YAZDANI J, JAVAHERZADEH V & MALEKI DIZAJ S. 2017. Overview of nanoparticle coating of dental implants for enhanced osseointegration and antimicrobial purposes. J Pharm Pharm Sci 20: 148-160. https://doi.org/10.18433/J3GP6G.

SIEGEL RW & FOUGERE GE. 1995. Mechanical properties of nano-phase metals. Nanostruct Mater 6: 205-216. https://doi. org/10.1016/0965-9773(95)00044-5.

TURRI A, ROSSETTI PH, CANULLO L, GRUSOVIN MG & DAHLIN C. 2016. Prevalence of peri-implantitis in medically compromised patients and smokers: a systematic review. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 31: 111-118. https://doi.org/10.11607/jomi.4149.

YAZDANI J, AHMADIAN E, SHARIFI S, SHAHI S & MALEKI DIZAJ S. 2018. A short view on nanohydroxyapatite as coating of dental implants. Biomed Pharmacother 105: 553-557. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.06.013.

ZHANG Y, GULATI K, LI Z, DI P & LIU Y. 2021. Dental implant nano-engineering: advances, limitations and future directions. Nanomaterials 11: 2489. https://doi.org/10.3390/nano11102489.

How to cite

MATOS GRM. 2022. Nanotechnology in dental implants of medically compromised patients: is this the right way forward? An Acad Bras Cienc 94: e20220467. DOI 10.1590/0001-376520222020467.

Manuscript received on May 22, 2022; accepted for publication on August 14, 2022

GERALDO R.M. MATOS

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5975-4654

Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências da Saúde, Faculdade de Medicina de São José do Rio Preto, Av. Brigadeiro Faria Lima, 5416, Vila São Pedro, 15090-000 São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil

Correspondence to: Geraldo Roberto Martins Matos

E-mail: geraldo.roberto@terra.com.br

