

An Acad Bras Cienc (2024) 96(Suppl. 1): e20240350 DOI 10.1590/0001-3765202420240350 Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências | *Annals of the Brazilian Academy of Sciences* Printed ISSN 0001-3765 | Online ISSN 1678-2690 www.scielo.br/aabc | www.fb.com/aabcjournal

ANIMAL SCIENCE

Using decapsulated local brine shrimp cysts on feeding common carp larvae in hatcheries

TAGHREED S. ALUBAYDI & MUHAMMAD I. GHAZWAN

Abstract: The current study aims at using non-hatchable artemia eggs of local origin and making use of these eggs by decapsulating and presenting them as food for the larvae of the *Cyprinus carpio* as a source of animal protein with high nutritional value instead of throwing them away. The results showed that the second parameter (A2) was highly significant at the level ($P \le 0.05$) in the growth rates of the larvae that were fed on decapsulated artemia eggs alone, and it was better than the two control parameters (A1), in which the larvae were fed with feed designated for *Cyprinus carpio* fish. It also outperformed the third parameter (A3), in which the feed was mixed with artemia eggs with 50% decapsulation, which also outperformed the control parameter with high significance at the same level ($P \le 0.05$).

Key words: Animal protein source, crustaceans, decapsulated eggs, growth rate, live food.

INTRODUCTION

Artemia is a crustacean specimen with high economic importance, particularly for fish breeders, as a source of animal protein (Watanabe et al. 1980). It can be used as a natural food for various types of fish, whether wet or dry (Stappen 1996). After rearing artemia larvae in controlled conditions, especially salinity, temperature, and nutrition, they are also used in their complete form after hatching or as adults in the nutrition of several species of fresh and saltwater fish, table fish, as well as ornamental fish. (Granvil 2000, Lim et al. 2002, Marc et al. 2015, Nemat et al. 2022).

Artemia has been used in most aquaculture facilities as food for many aquatic organisms such as shrimp, lobster, and other economic crustaceans (Laviña & Figueroa 1978, Gonzalez et al. 2008, Kouba et al. 2011). The production and farming of artemia were becoming common in some Arab countries that were interested in the breeding and production of artemia, such as Egypt, Tunisia, Libya, and the UAE (El-Bermawi 2003, El-Magsodi et al. 2005, Hachem et al. 2008, Al Dhaheri & Saji 2013, Hasan 2016). Artemia is a type of crustacean that can survive in harsh and changing environmental conditions, like temperature changes and drought. Moreover, it also has the ability to reproduce and survive, even though it has a relatively short lifespan. (Camara 2020).

The species was first documented in 1921 in the Karmat Ali area in Basra Governorate, southern Iraq. It is commonly found in highly saline inland water bodies (Gurney 1921). Nonetheless, it attracted the attention of Iraqi researchers who were interested in rearing and developing breeding systems for the local artemia, which is widespread in several Iraqi regions, particularly the center and the south. Moreover, the various life stages of artemia were adopted to feed the larvae of fish farming in Iraq, especially *Cyprinus carpio* and grass carp (Alubaydi 2005, 2012, Alubaydi et al. 2013). The aim of the current study is to exploit neglected local natural resources into feed materials with high nutritional value for fish in Iraq under local conditions. Hence, the idea of using artemia eggs, which are non-hatching, has emerged provided that they are free of mold and fungi, and exploiting them after decapsulating with simple parameters to feed local fish, especially *Cyprinus carpio*, specifically in hatcheries to meet the larvae's need for live food. artemia eggs are a type of live food that is important for the early growth stages of fish and crustacean larvae in hatcheries. The decapsulated eggs have high nutritional values, particularly as a source of animal protein.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Experiment

Three hundred Cyprinus carpio larvae were used and distributed randomly into three parameters, with 100 larvae per parameter: The first parameter was a control parameter (A1), in which the larvae were fed on commercial fish feed; the second parameter (A2) was represented by decapsulated local Artemia eggs; and the third parameter (A3) was a mixture of commercial feed with 50% decapsulated local artemia eggs. The average weight of the larvae at the beginning of this study was 0.75 ± 0.4 g, and the average length of the primary larvae was 1.17 ± 0.1 cm. The fish were fed 5% of their body weight for the duration of the experiment, which lasted 45 days immediately after the acclimation period, which lasted three weeks. These larvae were reared in circular plastic basins with a capacity of 150 liters, equipped with a highly efficient filtration system, in addition to using an automatic heater to set the temperature to 24 ± 0.5 degrees Celsius, which is the optimal temperature for the growth of Cyprinus carpio fish. The water temperature was measured using

a regular mercury thermometer, and the pH was measured using a Hanna pH meter, in addition to measuring the percentage of dissolved solids in the water and the degree and concentration of ammonia. Furthermore, these measurements were taken every 15 days. A home air compressor was also used to distribute oxygen equally to all three experimental basins: A1, A2, and A3. The larvae were weighed every 15 days, and the total weight gain was calculated according to the equation mentioned by Alubaydi (2005).

Decapsulating and preserving Artemia eggs

The non-hatched eggs were soaked for one hour in order to hydrate and soften to get rid of floating impurities stuck to the eggs. The eggs were placed in 2-liter plastic conical bottles equipped with an air chamber to create internal currents that stirred the eggs in order to facilitate the decapsulation process. 50g of unhatched artemia eggs and 150 ml of sweetener (sodium hypochlorate) were added at a concentration between 5.25% and 3 ml of sodium hydroxide (NaOH). Moreover, the parameter took into consideration controlling the temperature, monitoring proper ventilation for turning, and noting the change in color of the decapsulated eggs, turning them from brown and dark brown to light orange (Alubaydi 2005).

The air was turned off and the eggs were left for 5 minutes to settle, whereas the decapsulated eggs were removed with a siphon and washed well with running water in a fine-mesh cloth (150 microns) until the strong chlorine smell was eliminated from the minor substance (sodium hypochlorite). A saturated salt solution was prepared to preserve the decapsulated eggs until using and presenting them to *Cyprinus carpio* larvae after washing them well with running water to get rid of the salts attached to these eggs as a result of preservation with the saturated salt solution (Campton & Busack 1989).

Statistical analysis

The statistical program Statistical Analysis System (SAS) (2018) was used to analyze the data to study the effect of various parameters on the studied characteristics according to a complete random design (CRD). Moreover, the significant differences between the means were compared with the Duncan (1955) multinomial test.

Mathematical Model:

Yij = μ + Ti + eij Since:

Yij: the value of the j view of transaction i.μ: the general average of the studied trait.Ti: effect of fish type i.

eij: Random error that is normally distributed with a mean equal to zero and a variance of o2e.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the water assays, which included temperature, pH, carbon hardness (KH), and ammonia concentration (NH3), and dissolved calcium (GH) in addition to the total dissolved solids (TDS) of fish farming water, were illustrated in Table I.

The water assays, especially the pH, were appropriate for the growing conditions throughout the experiment Table I. pH values were changing within degrees suitable for the livelihood of *Cyprinus carpio*, as illustrated by

······································	Table I.	Analysis o	f the	experimental	rearing	water
--	----------	------------	-------	--------------	---------	-------

Hapher (1988). It indicated that the appropriate pH for *Cyprinus carpio* growth was between 6.7 and 8.2. As for carbon hardness (KH), it was also suitable for rearing *Cyprinus carpio* fish, as explained by Al-Salman (1990) and FAO (1981).

It was also noted that the total dissolved solids (TDS) and ammonia concentration (NH3) and dissolved calcium (GH) from the same table above, which was represented by the percentage, was suitable for rearing (FAO 1984).

Table II shows the analysis of the nutritional elements of decapsulated Artemia eggs after keeping them in a salt solution and presenting them to fish larvae.

The control parameter (A1), represented by egg yolk emulsion, was superior to parameters A2 and A3 in terms of the increase in larval weight after 15 days of the experiment. The second parameter (A2), which consisted of an equal mixture of egg yolk emulsion and decapsulated Artemia eggs, was secondly ranked, followed by the parameter of decapsulated Artemia eggs alone (the third parameter, A3) Table III.

The discrepancy between these parameters can be attributed to the higher consumption and rapid digestion of the egg yolk emulsion, as well as the feeding of the carp larvae for a longer period of acclimation to it compared to the capsulated artemia eggs. This may be due to the weight increases that began to decrease

Water analysis	Measurements every 15 days until the end of the experiment				
Temperature Celsius	30	29	25	26	
рН	8.5	7.8	7.9	8.2	
KH ppm	143.2				
GH ppm.	322.2	340.2	451	451	
NH3 mg / L	0.25				
TDS ppm.	348	347	406	416	

in the control parameter as the experiment progressed, compared to the second and third parameters, in which decapsulated artemia eggs were used mixed with egg yolk. The reason for the decrease in growth in the control parameter may be attributed to the differences appearing after 15 days of rearing. Furthermore, using decapsulated artemia eggs alone or mixed with egg yolk increases the energy content due to their high protein content. Fish larvae use protein as a source of energy by using available free amino acids during the first two weeks of hatching (Stappen 1996). Correct water characteristics and standards were provided, especially the optimum temperature for the growth of Cyprinus carpio larvae. These inferences are consistent with Alubaydi et al. (2013), Alubaydi (2005) and Francis et al. (2002). This diversity of feed materials gives a high readiness for protein deposition and good growth of fish larvae. This is consistent with the opinion of Atack et al. (1979), who explained that diets with a diverse protein composition of animal origin meet the needs of Cyprinus carpio fish more than diets with a single specialty protein of animal origin. The results of this study are consistent with those reported by Yagub et al. (1997). Live food is also important in feeding Cyprinus carpio larvae at the beginning of their lives because of their high readiness and ease of digestion (Alubaydi 2005).

However, the results were completely different after 30 days of the start of experiment, as it was noted that the third parameter (A3) significantly outperformed the rest of the

		~ .			
Table II Chemical	analycic	of doca	nculatod	artomia	odde
I able II. Chemica	allalvala	UI UELA	usulateu	artenna	EPPS
					-33-

Nutrients	%	
Crude protein	50.45	
Fats	10.38	
Ashes	10	
Humidity	2.34	
Total energy	1650	

Source: Central Laboratory/College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences.

Energy was calculated on the basis of 24 x the percentage of protein and 38 x the percentage of ether extract (kJ/g).

parameters, followed by the second parameter (A2) in the increase in growth of the experimental larvae. The control parameter (A1) declined clearly at the 30th day of the experiment, while the third parameter (A3) gave significant superiority at the level (P≤0.05). This gives evidence of the importance of live food, which is represented by decapsulated artemia eggs, as artemia eggs, their larvae, and even adults are excellent live food that can meet all the nutritional needs of fish larvae in the early stages of their lives. This is consistent with what was reported by Khaled et al. (2023): when mixing decapsulated artemia eggs or larvae with traditional feeds and presenting them to zebrafish, the results were good, and there was a clear increase in growth, even when they were fed with this mixture for a long period, as explained by Marc et al. (2015).

This result is consistent with the findings of the current study, as the second parameter (A2), which consisted of egg yolk emulsion

Transactions	Initial weight	Day 15	Day 30	Day 45
A1	a 4.65 ± 0.00	a 5.13 ± 0.01	a 5.47 ± 0.01	a 5.83 ±0.01
A2	a 4.77 ± 0.00	a 4.89 ± 0.01	a 5.62 ± 0.01	a 6.32 ± 0.01
A3	a 5.13 ± 0.01	b 5.63 ± 0.01	b 6.58 ± 0.00	b 7.15 ± 0.00

Table III. Average weight (g/live mass) of common carp larvae fed according to the experimental treatments.

Different letters horizontally indicate significant differences (P≤0.05).

and decapsulated artemia eggs, achieved good progress in the growth rate of Cyprinus carpio larvae. However, it did not overcome the growth rate in the third parameter (A3) when decapsulated artemia eggs were provided alone as live food for the larvae. Falahatkar et al. (2012) stated that egg volk is also a good food that fish larvae could benefit from, whether on its own and for a limited time or when mixed with other live feed ingredients to give better results. Thus. the process of mixing decapsulated artemia eggs with traditional feed ingredients, such as emulsifiers, gives better results in improving the nutritional value of these feeds and improving growth (Alubaydi et al. 2013, Kamarudin et al. 2011) Table IV.

The growth rate values for *Cyprinus carpio* larvae were significantly higher ($P \le 0.05$) for the third parameter (A3) from day 45 until the end of the experiment compared to both parameters A1 and A2. The latter, in turn, outperformed the control parameter also to a significant degree at the same level ($P \le 0.05$), as shown in Table V, knowing that the weight increases were practically clear but did not appear when conducting the statistical analysis. In the third parameter (A3), decapsulated artemia eggs

were relied entirely on to feed the Cyprinus carpio larvae, which gave clear evidence of the importance of animal protein sources in feeding the fish larvae, especially proteins that have a low percentage of fiber. This could provide high digestibility and a good representation of the nutrients provided to the fish larvae. Therefore, adult artemia, their larvae, and their capsulated eggs are almost completely lacking in complex fibers that require strong digestion. Decapsulated artemia eggs have high levels of protein, digestible energy, and large stores of fatty acids with high nutritional value, as indicated by Stappen (1996). This is clearly illustrated in Table II, which shows the analysis of the important nutritional components in decapsulated artemia eggs.

The values of the specific growth rate (SGR) and relative growth rate (RGR) did not change over the duration of the experiment Tables VI and VII, respectively, and no significant differences appeared between them in this study. It is possible that the stability of the specific growth rate (SGR) values or their decrease is due to the variation in the total weight. The specific growth rate (SGR) and relative growth rate (RGR) are also affected by the type of farming and the

Transactions	Day 0	Day 15	Day 30	Day 45
A1	a 0.1860 ± 0.0085	a 0.2052 ± 0.0123	b 0.2188 ± 0.0115	b 0.2332 ± 0.0122
A2	a 0.1908 ± 0.0091	a 0.1956 ± 0.0110	b 0.2248 ± 0.0125	b 0.2528 ± 0.0129
A3	a 0.2052 ± 0.0130	a 0.2252 ± 0.0140	a 0.2632 ± 0.0080	a 0.2860 ± 0.0069

Table IV. Average weight (g/fish) of common carp larvae fed according to the experimental treatments.

Different letters horizontally indicate significant differences (P≤0.05).

Table V. Weight gain of common carp larvae fed according to the experimental treatments.

Transactions	Day 15	Day 30	Day 45
A1	a 0.0192 ± 0.0150	a 0.0136 ± 0.0164	a 0.0144 ± 0.0078
A2	a 0.0048 ± 0.0153	a 0.0292 ± 0.0161	a 0.0280 ± 0.0170
A3	a 0.0200 ± 0.0204	a 0.0380 ± 0.0183	a 0.0228 ± 0.0092

Horizontally similar letters indicate no significant differences.

breeding method, as their rates increase in good breeding systems, especially those that are traditional and properly controlled. The values of the specific growth rate (SGR) and relative growth rate (RGR) decrease or are constant in uncontrolled rearing, despite the increase and development in fish growth (Kunindar et al. 2018, Halla et al. 2023).

Decapsulated artemia eggs or newly hatched artemia larvae are a valuable live food. especially for small fish larvae, whether these larvae are given decapsulated artemia eggs or fed with newly hatched Artemia larvae alike. If it was provided directly or mixed with egg yolk emulsion, it was evident in the second parameter (A2) of this study, which agrees with what was reported by (Nemat et al. 2022, Meshkini et al. 2010, Meshkini 2003). Decapsulated artemia eggs are also considered easily digestible food for fish larvae due to the presence of digestive enzymes. It is an important food source in hatcheries that are concerned with fish production and farming or other economic crustaceans such as shrimp and lobster. Although it is economically expensive, it provides high-quality food and results in high growth and food conversion for all aquatic organisms that are reared and produced

in hatcheries. Furthermore, it is a crucial source of raw animal protein and essential fats for the growth of young fish and economically valuable crustaceans (Granvil 2000).

CONCLUSIONS

Artemia eggs are a valuable source of highprotein food for fish, whether they are used as decapsulated eggs, newly hatched larvae, or adults. They have a high nutritional value, particularly as a source of high-quality animal protein that is easy to digest because their bodies lack fiber. Furthermore, Artemia is able to survive in harsh environments and can reproduce even when its eggs are dried out and preserved for many years. However, it is expensive to obtain from high-quality sources, which is a challenge for fish breeders worldwide. There is ongoing research to find artemia strains that meet the needs of fish breeders at a lower cost. Thus, the highest levels of production of economical fish and crustaceans such as shrimp, oysters, and lobster are obtained, which feed on artemia larvae or eggs and even adults because they provide protein, beneficial fatty acids, and high-value digestive enzymes that give

Transactions	Day 15	Day 30	Day 45
A1	a 9.990 ± 17.466	a 7.943 ± 14.904	a 10.037 ± 5.652
A2	a 9.638 ± 10.179	a 9.927 ± 22.437	a 10.448 ± 14.721
A3	a 9.376 ± 19.630	a 10.304 ± 30.518	a 5.868 ± 11.905

Table VI. Relative growth rate % of common carp larvae fed according to the experimental treatments.

Horizontally similar letters indicate no significant differences.

Table VII. Spec	fic growth rate	(%/day) o	f common carp	o larvae fed accordin	g to the ex	perimental treatments.
-----------------	-----------------	-----------	---------------	-----------------------	-------------	------------------------

Transactions	Day 15	Day 30	Day 45
A1	a 0.235 ± 0.269	a 0.218 ± 0.218	a 0.111 ± 0.185
A2	a 0.221 ± 0.070	a 0.221 ± 0.389	a 0.221 ± 0.360
A3	a 0.275 ± 0.250	a 0.227 ± 0.558	a 0.095 ± 0.253

Horizontally similar letters indicate no significant differences.

high-quality growth. Therefore, using damaged and non-hatching eggs after decapsulating and preserving them instead of producing artemia as larvae offers a more economical option with lower costs compared to high-quality hatchable artemia eggs, which are somewhat expensive.

Acknowledgments

We appreciate the assistance of Dr. Ahmed from Kirkuk gate consultancy for English correction of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

AL DHAHERI S & SAJI A. 2013. Water quality and brine shrimp (*Artemia* sp.) population in Al Wathba Lake, Al Wathba wetland reserve, Abu Dhabi emirate, UAE. Int J Biodivers Conserv 5: 281-288. DOI: https://doi.org/10.22034/ijab. v4i2.157.

AL-SALMAN MH. 1990. The basics of breeding and fish production. Dar Al-Hekma, Mosul, 392 p.

ALUBAYDI TS. 2005. Use of Decapsulated Cysts and Nauplii of *Artemia parthenogentica* as Larval Food of Common Carp *Cyprinus carpio* and Grass Carp *Ctenopharyngodon idella*. Ph.D. thesis, Coll. of Agric, Univ of Baghdad, 184 p.

ALUBAYDI TS. 2012. Decapsulation Local Artemia Cysts by Using Commercial Bleach for Fish Fry Nutrition. 2nd Sientific Conf of C.R.A.S.H.

ALUBAYDI TS, ALI A & SALMAN AA. 2013. The use of nauplii and decapsulted cysts of *Artemia parthenogentica* in common carp *Cyprinus carpio* in comparable with liqure artificial diet. The Iraqi J Vet Med 37(2): 45-50. https:// www.iasj.net/iasj/article/8485.

ATACK TH, JAUNCY K & MATTY AJ. 1979. The utilization of some single – cell protein by fingerling mirror carp. Aquac 18: 337-348. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/0044-8486(79)90037-1.

CAMARA MR. 2020. After the gold rush: A review of Artemia cyst production in northeastern Brazil. Aquac Rep 17: 100359-100359. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. aqrep.2020.100359.

CAMPTON DE & BUSACK CA. 1989. Simple Procedure for Decapsulating and Hatching Cysts of Brine Shrimp (*Artemia* spp.). Prog Fish Cult 51(3): 176-179. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1577/1548-8640(1989)051<0176:SPFDAH>2.3. CO;2. DUNCAN DB. 1955. Multiple Rang and Multiple F-test. Biometrics 11: 4-42.

EL-BERMAWI N. 2003. Determination and identification of biological characteristics of Artemia populations from the Egyptian Nile delta for application in aquaculture. Ph. D. Thesis, Faculteit Landbouwkundige En Topegepaste Biologische Wetanschappen. (Unpublished).

EL-MAGSODI MO, EL-GHEBLI HM, HAMZA M, VAN STAPPEN G & SORGELOOS P. 2005. Characterization of Libyan Artemia from Abu Camash Sabkha. Libyan J Mar Sci 10: 19-30. https://search.emarefa.net/en/detail/BIM-40460-characterization-of-libyan-artemia-from-abukammash-sabkha.

FALAHATKAR B, MOHAMMADI H & NOVEIRIAN H. 2012. Effects of different starter diets on growth indices of Caspian Kutum, Rutilus frisii kutum larvae. Iran J Fish Sci 11(1): 28-36. http://hdl.handle.net/1834/11454.

FAO. 1981. Food and Agriculture Organization Report of the symposium on new developments in the utilization of heated effluents and recirculation system for intensive aquaculture Stavanger. May 1980. Rome, EIFAC/T 39, p. 29-30. https://books.google.iq/books/ about/Report_of_the_Symposium_on_New_Developme. html?id=Ow0gPiobXO4C&redir_esc=y.

FAO. 1984. Water quality criteria for Europe freshwater fish. EIFAC/T 46: 19. http://www.fao.org/docrep/017/80780b/80780b.pdf.

FRANCIS G, KEREM Z, MAKKAR HPS & BECKER K. 2002. The biological action of saponins in animal systems: a review. Br J Nutr 88: 587-605. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1079/BJN2002725.

GONZALEZ A, CELADA JD, GONZALEZ R, GARCIA V, CARRAL JM & SAEZAEA-ROYUELA M. 2008. Artemia nauplii and two commercial replacements as dietary supplement for juvenile signal crayfish, *Pacifastacus leniusculus* (Astacidae), from the onset of exogenous feeding under controlled conditions. Aquac 281: 83-86. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2008.06.015.

GRANVIL DT. 2000. Artemia production for marine larval fish culture. SRAC 702. https:// hatcheryfm.com/resources-links/manuals/ artemia-production-for-marine-larval-fish-culture/.

GURNEY RMA. 1921. Fresh water crustacean collected by Dr. P.A. Buxton in Mesopotamia and Persia. J Bom Nat Hist Soc 27(4): 835-358. https://biostor.org/reference/102186.

HACHEM BN, AMEL BRJ, ELCAFSI M & MOHAMED SR. 2008. Determination of biological characteristics of *Artemia salina* (crustacea: anostraca) population from Sabkhet

TAGHREED S. ALUBAYDI & MUHAMMAD I. GHAZWAN

LOCAL BRINE SHRIMP CYSTS AS A FEEDING RESOURCE FOR CARP LARVAE

Sijoumi (NE Tunisia), TWB, Transit. Waters Bull 3: 65-74. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1285/i1825229Xv2n3p6.

HALLA PT, LALEL AH & SANTOSO AP. 2023. Short Communication: Comparison of the water environment aspects and production of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) between biofloc and conventional aquaculture systems in tropical dryland region. Intl J Trop Drylands 7(1): 12-15. DOI: https://doi.org/10.13057/tropdrylands/ t070102.

HAPHER B. 1988. Nutrition of pond fish. London, Cambirdge University press, 237 p. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/ CBO9780511735455.

HASAN MR. 2016. FAO Expert workshop on sustainable use and management of Artemia resources in Asia. Retrieved from https:// www.fao.org/fileadmin/user_upload/ affris/docs/PowerPoint_Presentations.

KAMARUDIN MS, OTOI S & SAAD CR. 2011. Changes in growth, survival and digestive enzyme activities of Asian Redtail Catfish, *Mystus nemurus*, larvae fed on different diets. Afr J Biotech 21: 4484-4493. https://www.ajol.info/index. php/ajb/article/view/93654.

KHALED M, MAHMOUD AOD & HANI S. 2023. The use of artemia for aquaculture industry: an updated overview. Ann Anim Sci 23(1): 3-10. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2478/aoas-2022-0041.

KOUBA A, HAMACKOVA J, BURIC M, POLICART & KOZAK P. 2011. Use of three forms of decapsulated Artemia cysts as food for juvenile noble crayfish (*Astacus astacus*). Czech J Anim Sci 56(3): 114-118. DOI: http://doi.org/10.17221/1301-CJAS.

KUNINDAR S, EFENDI E & SUPONO S. 2018. Utilization of tofu and tapioca industrial liquid waste for Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) culture within different biofloc systems. e-JRTBP 7(1): 763-774. DOI: https://dx.doi. org/10.23960/jrtbp.v7i1.p763-774.

LAVIÑA E & FIGUEROA R. 1978. The use of decapsulated brine shrimp eggs as food for shrimp larvae. SEAFDEC Q Res Rep 2(4): 11-14. http://hdl.handle.net/10862/2334.

LIM L, CHO Y, DHERT P, WONG C, NELIS H & SORGELOOS P. 2002. Use of decapsulated Artemia cysts in ornamental fish culture. Aquac Res 33: 575-589. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1365-2109.2002.00687.x.

MESHKINI S. 2003. Effects of vitamin C enriched Artemia on the growth, survival and stress resistance for Rainbow

trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) larvae at start feeding. A thesis submitted to the graduate studies office in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Ph. D. in aquatic animals' health and diseases. Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tehran, p. 2-7.

MESHKINI S, MANAFFAR R, SALIMI B & AALAMIFAR H. 2010. A comparative study on the effects of feeding Artemia decapsulated cysts and nauplii and concentrated feed on growth and survival rates of Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) larvae. J Fish 63(2): 137-146. DOI: http://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.30937.01120.

NEMAT PM, AUOB YJ, MAHMOUD M, JALIL J, SEYED ALI M & HAMAD Y. 2022. The effect of replacing Decapsulated artemia cysts instead of live foods on increasing the growth and survival of great sturgeon fish (*Huso huso*) larvae. Sturgeon Sci Ext J 5(8): 13-20. https://sej.areeo.ac.ir/ article_128004.html?lang=en.

SAS. 2018. Statistical Analysis System, User's Guide. Statistical. Version 9.6th ed. SAS. Inst. Inc. Cary. N.C. USA.

STAPPEN GV. 1996. Use of cysts. In: Lavens P & Sorgeloos P (Eds). Manual of The Production and Use of Live Food for Aquaculture. FAO, Fish Tech Paper n. 361, Rome, p. 295. https://www.fao.org/documents/card/en?details=6b3084a2-adf0-5e1b-bc09-08fe8030a399.

TYE M, RIDER D, DUFFY EA, SEUBERT A, LOTHERT B & SCHIMMENTI LA. 2015. Nonhatching Decapsulated Artemia Cysts as a Replacement to Artemia Nauplii in Juvenile and Adult Zebrafish Culture. Zebrafish 12(6): 457-461. DOI: http:// doi.org/10.1089/zeb.2014.1031.

WATANABE T, OOWA F, KITAJIMA C & FUJITA S. 1980. Relationship between dietary value of brine shrimp *Artemia salina* and their content of omega 3 highly unsaturated fatty acids. Bull Jap Soc Sci Fish 46: 35-41. https://api. semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:81687968.

YAQUB HB. 1997. Earthworm and Maggot meals as a potential fish meal replacement. http://hdl.handle. net/1834/1268.

How to cite

ALUBAYDI TS & GHAZWAN MI. 2024. Using decapsulated local brine shrimp cysts on feeding common carp larvae in hatcheries. An Acad Bras Cienc 96: e20240350. DOI 10.1590/0001-3765202420240350.

Manuscript received on April 4, 2024; accepted for publication on May 4, 2024

TAGHREED S. ALUBAYDI¹

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5021-6833

MUHAMMAD I. GHAZWAN²

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2057-5496

¹University of Baghdad, College of Agriculture, Department of Animal Production, 10071, Jadirya, Karrada, Baghdad, Iraq

²University of Baghdad, Iraqi Natural History Museum and Research Center, 10071, Bab Al-Muadham, Baghdad, Iraq

Correspondence to: **Muhammad I. Ghazwan** *E-mail: inad@nhm.uobaghdad.edu.iq*

Author contributions

TAGHREED S. ALUBAYDI: responsible for raising and producing Artemia in the laboratory, provided many sources and references related to this subject, prepared special emulsions for feeding Artemia in this article. MUHAMMAD I. GHAZWAN: worked on feeding Artemia in cooperation with Dr. Taghrid and collected many references that support this article, in addition to writing the texts of this article and continuous review.

