

Species richness and distribution of bryophytes within different phytogeographies in the Chapada Diamantina region of Brazil¹

Emilia de Brito Valente^{2,5}, Kátia Cavalcanti Pôrto³ and Cid José Passos Bastos⁴

Submitted: 20 August, 2012. Accepted: 17 December, 2012

ABSTRACT

The Chapada Diamantina ecoregion is within the *caatinga* (shrublands) biome of Brazil. Environmental factors determine the phytogeographies that distinguish the ecoregion from the surrounding areas. This study aimed to investigate the distribution of bryophyte flora in this ecoregion, by phytogeography and elevational zone. Analyzing specimens we collected from five municipalities in the region, together with specimens (previously collected from the region) in herbaria, we identified 400 taxa. The phytogeographies that presented the highest species richness and the greatest numbers of exclusive taxa were forests and *campos rupestres* (dry, rocky grasslands), which respectively accounted for 51% and 40% of the taxa, compared with only 5% and 4%, respectively, for the *caatinga* and *cerrado* (savanna). Species richness and the numbers of exclusive taxa were highest in the lower and upper montane zones. There was a predominance of neotropical taxa and a significant number of disjunct species found in Brazil and in the Andes region. We conclude that the Chapada Diamantina region is an important center of bryophyte diversity, harboring not only a great number of species overall but also a considerable number of species exclusive to the region, primarily in forests and *campos rupestres* at elevations above 800 m.

Key words: Mosses, liverworts, forests, *campos rupestres*, elevational zonation

Introduction

The ecoregion of Chapada Diamantina, in the state of Bahia, Brazil, is one of the centers of plant diversity of the Americas (Giulietti *et al.* 1997). It is located within the *caatinga* (shrublands) biome (IBGE 2004), constituting one of its eight ecoregions (Velloso *et al.* 2002), and is entirely surrounded by the ecoregion of the Sertaneja Meridional Depression, the borders between the two being defined primarily by changes in physical aspects such as elevation, geological formation, climate, rainfall, topography and soil type (Rocha *et al.* 2005), which result in a mosaic of vegetation in the former (Giulietti & Pirani 1988). According to the Brazilian Ministry of the Environment (MMA 2005), the vegetation in the Chapada Diamantina ecoregion comprises *campos rupestres* (dry, rocky grasslands), semi-deciduous montane forests, montane rain forests, *cerrado* (savanna) and *caatinga*.

Bryophytes, which represent the object of this study, are strongly influenced by external factors, particularly

water and light, and differences in their physiognomy, composition, richness and abundance are evident among different vegetation formations and habitats (Mägdefrau, 1982). Numerous studies have indicated that bryophyte composition varies along an elevational gradient, showing greater diversity with increasing elevation (Van Reenen & Gradstein, 1983, 1984; Frahm, 1990; Frahm & Gradstein, 1991; Gradstein 1995; Kessler, 2000; Andrew *et al.*, 2003; Ah-peng, 2007), this feature is also a consequence of high bryophyte sensitivity to climatic conditions.

In Brazil, the elevational zonation of bryophytes has been examined in two separate studies, both conducted in the Atlantic Forest within the state of Rio de Janeiro (Costa & Lima, 2005; Santos & Costa, 2010a). In both studies, the authors found that there is a variation in bryophyte flora composition along an elevational gradient, and that species richness, the number of exclusive taxa and the number of endemic taxa are highest in montane forests (*sensu* Veloso *et al.* 1991), followed by upper montane formations, lower montane formations and lowlands (*sensu* Veloso *et al.* 1991).

¹ Based on the Doctoral thesis of the first Author

² Universidade Estadual do Sudoeste da Bahia, Departamento de Ciências Naturais, Vitória da Conquista, BA, Brazil

³ Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Departamento de Botânica, Centro de Ciências Biológicas, Programa de Pós Graduação em Biologia Vegetal, Recife, PE, Brazil

⁴ Universidade Federal da Bahia, Instituto de Biologia, Departamento de Botânica, Campus de Ondina, Salvador, BA, Brazil

⁵ Author for correspondence: ebalente@gmail.com

As previously mentioned, the vegetation formations found in the Chapada Diamantina ecoregion include forests, *campos rupestres*, *cerrado* and *caatinga*. Among those, the highest bryophyte species richness and abundance is found in the forests. Therefore, in Brazil as a whole, systematic floristic studies of bryophytes have most often been conducted in forests, including those in the states of Rio de Janeiro (Costa 1999; Costa & Lima 2005; Molinaro & Costa 2001; Santos & Costa 2010a, 2010b), Espírito Santo (Costa & Silva 2003; Yano 2005), São Paulo (Visnadi 2005; Visnadi & Vital 2000; Peralta & Yano 2005), Pernambuco (Pôrto 1990, 1992; Germano & Pôrto 1996; Campelo & Pôrto 2007; Alvarenga & Pôrto 2007), Alagoas (Silva & Pôrto 2009) and Bahia (Valente & Pôrto 2006; Bastos & Valente 2008; Bastos & Vilas Bôas-Bastos 2008; Vilas Bôas-Bastos & Bastos 2008; Valente *et al.* 2009). Studies of bryophytes in the *cerrado* have been conducted in the states of São Paulo (Egunyomi & Vital 1984; Visnadi 2004), Bahia (Vilas Bôas-Bastos & Bastos 1998) and Piauí (Castro *et al.* 2002), as well as in the Federal District of Brasília (Câmara & Costa 2006; Câmara 2008a, 2008b). In the *campos rupestres*, bryophytes have been studied in the state of Minas Gerais—in the Serra do Cipó (Yano 1987), Serra da Piedade (Yano & Carvalho 1995) and Grão Mogol (Yano & Peralta 2009)—and in the state of Bahia, specifically within the Chapada Diamantina ecoregion (Harley 1995; Bastos *et al.* 1998a, 2000). Studies of bryophytes in the *caatinga* have been conducted in the states of Pernambuco (Pôrto *et al.* 1994) and Bahia (Bastos *et al.* 1998b).

The fact that there have been few studies of bryophytes in the Chapada Diamantina ecoregion is most likely attributable to the small number of researchers in this field of botany and the enormity of the region. The first contribution to knowledge of the bryophyte flora of this region was a species list compiled by Harley (1995), which included 28 species of liverworts and 37 species of mosses identified in the Pico das Almas region, near the municipality of Rio de Contas. Later, Bastos *et al.* (1998a) reported 27 species of mosses, collected in *campos rupestres* and in gallery forests near the town of Lençóis. In addition, Bastos *et al.* (2000) identified 65 species belonging to the divisions Bryophyta ($n = 41$) and Marchantiophyta ($n = 24$) in *campos rupestres*. Those authors stated that the majority of the bryophyte taxa surveyed in the Chapada Diamantina ecoregion have not been found elsewhere in the state of Bahia. A recently published Checklist of Bryophytes in the Chapada Diamantina region (Valente *et al.* 2011) lists 414 taxa, including various new records for the state of Bahia, for northeastern Brazil and for Brazil as a whole.

Considering the physical and climatic conditions, the phytophysionomies within the Chapada Diamantina ecoregion and the bryophyte response to these conditions, this study aimed to investigate the distribution of the bryophyte flora in the phytophysionomies and elevational zones in this ecoregion within the *caatinga* biome of Brazil.

Material and methods

Study area

The Chapada Diamantina ecoregion is 400 km in length and covers an area of 50,000 km², representing approximately 9% of the State of Bahia. Elevations in the region range from 400 m to 2033 m, making it the highest point in northeastern Brazil (MMA 2005). According to Nolasco *et al.* (2008), the average monthly temperature can be as low as 0°C in the winter (June through August) or as high as 30°C in the summer (in December and January). The rainy season is from November to April, with maximum rainfall in December (139 mm), and the dry season is from May to October, with minimum rainfall in August (20 mm). The average monthly rainfall exceeds 100 mm during the rainy season, compared with approximately 35 mm during the dry season. The average annual rainfall ranges from 600 mm to 1100 mm (Agritempo 2010). Geologically, the Chapada Diamantina ecoregion consists of quartzite and sandstone outcrops, and there are a number of rivers in the region (Rocha *et al.* 2005).

The type of phytophysionomy that is the most characteristic of the Chapada Diamantina ecoregion is *campos rupestres*, which typically occur at elevations above 900 m and are characterized mainly by rocky outcrops associated with herb-shrub areas, typically on quartzite soils, the predominant species belonging to the families Velloziaceae, Melastomataceae, Eriocaulaceae, Xyridaceae and Orchidaceae (Conceição *et al.* 2005). Forest types, including semideciduous forest, premontane rain forest and montane rain forest, typically to the east of the main mountain range, also occur on plateaus (plateau forests), along the banks of rivers (riparian forests) and between large rocky cliffs (cove forests) (Funch *et al.* 2005; Funch 2008; Queiroz *et al.* 2008). Throughout the region, *cerrado* occurs at elevations of 900–1200 m and is interspersed with *campos rupestres*, where rocky outcrops and shallow soils appear more frequently. At lower elevations, the *cerrado* is replaced by various forms of dry forest or *caatinga* (Harley *et al.* 2005). *Caatinga*, or phytophysionomies associated with it, cover the greatest area in the region, albeit quite diverse in its physiognomy, floristic composition and community structure, and is found mainly to the west of the main mountain range (Queiroz *et al.* 2005).

Sampling

Specimens were collected during 11 field campaigns conducted between 2007 and 2009 in the Chapada Diamantina ecoregion, in the municipalities of Morro do Chapéu and Miguel Calmon (located in the north of the region) Lençóis and Palmeiras (in the center); and Piatã and Abaíra (in the south). The municipalities were selected considering the preexisting knowledge about the diversity of other plant groups (MMA 2005) and the presence of the target phytophysionomies and elevational zones. In each municipality,

three to six sites were surveyed. The areas were walked for approximately seven hours, and the sampling effort was given by stabilization of the species accumulation curve. The samples collected were deposited in the Herbarium of the Estate University of Feira de Santana (code, HUEFS), with duplicates in the Herbarium of the Federal University of Pernambuco (code, UFP). We employed traditional methods of collection and preservation, as described by Yano (1989b).

The sampling sites were located across five ecologically protected areas (Chapada Diamantina National Park; the Marimbus/Iraquara Environmentally Protected Area; the Serra do Barbado Environmentally Protected Area, in the municipality of Abaíra; Sete Passagens State Park, in the municipality of Miguel Calmon; and the Cachoeira do Ferro Doido Monument), as well as in areas external to conservation units. National herbaria with representative collections of bryophyte of this region were consulted: the Alexandre Leal Costa Herbarium (code, ALCB), affiliated with the Federal University of Bahia Institute of Biology; the Herbarium of the Estate University of Feira de Santana (HUEFS), in the state of Bahia; the Herbarium of the Center for Cacao Research (CEPEC), in the state of Bahia; the Herbarium of the Botanical Institute of São Paulo (SP); and the University of São Paulo Herbarium of Phanerogamae (acronym, SPF). In addition, the species list was supplemented with data from the literature (Yano 1981, 1984, 1989a, 1995, 1996a, 2006, 2008; Yano & Bastos 1994; Harley 1995; Bastos *et al.* 1998a, 2000; Yano & Peralta 2006; Peralta & Vital 2006; Ballejos & Bastos 2009a, 2009b). Regardless of the source (herbaria or literature), we analyzed only those data related to samples for which there was information about the vegetation formation of origin or elevation. Overall, approximately 2300 samples were analyzed, covering nine cities within the Chapada Diamantina ecoregion: in the north (Morro do Chapéu, Miguel Calmon and Jacobina); in the south (Abaíra, Rio de Contas and Piatã); and in the center (Lençóis, Palmeiras and Mucugê).

Study material and data analysis

The taxa identification was based mainly on Crum (1984), Yano *et al.* (1985), Frahm (1991), Reese (1993), Zander (1993), Sharp *et al.* (1994), Buck (1998), Gradstein *et al.* (2001), Gradstein & Costa (2003) and Pursell (2007). We adopted the classification systems presented by Goffinet *et al.* (2009) for mosses and by Crandall-Stotler *et al.* (2009) for liverworts. The taxa authority name abbreviations were based on Brummitt & Powell (1992). The Brazilian state abbreviations are in accordance with the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics guidelines. The geographic distribution of species was based on Yano (1981; 1984; 1989; 1995; 1996; 2006; 2008), Bastos & Yano (2009), Ballejos & Bastos (2009a; 2009b), and Forzza *et al.* (2012).

We performed a comparative analysis between the different bryophyte flora, based on the presence or absence

of species, using the Bray-Curtis method and the software PRIMER 5.1 (Clarke & Warwick 1994). To analyze the geographic distribution of the taxa in Brazil, we defined the following categories of species distribution: restricted, occurring in four or fewer states; moderate, occurring in five to nine states; and broad, occurring in ten or more states (Valente & Pôrto 2006). For the analysis of the elevational distribution of the bryophyte flora from the Chapada Diamantina ecoregion, we used the elevational zones established by Oliveira-Filho *et al.* (2006) for latitudes < 16°S, in which lowland formations are defined as occurring at elevations ≤ 400 m; premontane formations are defined as occurring at elevations of 400-800 m; lower montane formations are defined as occurring at elevations of 800-1200 m; and upper montane formations are defined as occurring at elevations > 1200 m. However, we evaluated only the last three of those zones.

Results and discussion

We identified a total of 400 taxa (Tab. 1). Moss species predominated over liverworts in all phytophysiognomies, except in the forest. Species richness and the number of exclusive taxa were greatest in the forests (272 species, 157 exclusive), followed by *campos rupestres* (212 species, 93 exclusive), *caatinga* (29 species, 18 exclusive) and Cerrado (20 species, three exclusive). This result was predictable, because the bryophyte composition and richness reflect the environmental conditions in each phytophysiognomy. We should point out that the *caatinga* and the *cerrado*, when compared with the forest and the *campos rupestres*, present conditions that are much more restrictive for the development and establishment of most bryophyte species, mainly due to low humidity and high light intensity. Although the *campos rupestres* could also be considered restrictive, humidity from the frequent occurrence of fog and from localized accumulations of water, as well as the quite rugged topography, in which there are numerous rock clefts, provides a myriad of microhabitats that are favorable to the growth of the bryophyte flora adapted to those conditions.

As a result of the analysis of bryophyte flora similarity among the phytophysiognomies, we identified clusters in which the forests and *campos rupestres* shared 46% of the species, compared with only 15% for the *cerrado* and *caatinga*. This might be explained by the previously mentioned factors (higher humidity presence and shaded microhabitats) in forests and *campos rupestres*.

In the forests, Lejeuneaceae, Plagiochilaceae, Radulaceae, Leucobryaceae, Sematophyllaceae and Orthotrichaceae were the most representative families in terms of the number of species. These families are typical of tropical rain forests (Pócs 1982; Richards 1984; Gradstein & Pócs 1989; Gradstein 1995; Gradstein *et al.* 2001). The majority of their constituents are adapted to the low-light conditions, like most bryophytes, with leaves consisting of a single layer of

Table 1. Distribution of Bryophyte species in the Chapada Diamantina ecoregion, in the state of Bahia, Brazil, by elevational zone and phytophysiognomy.

Species	Elevational zone/phytophysiognomy
<i>Acrolejeunea emergens</i> (Mitt.) Steph.	LM/cr, ce
<i>Acrolejeunea torulosa</i> (Lehm. & Lindenb.) Schiffn.	LM/cr, ce
<i>Acroporium caespitosum</i> (Hedw.) W.R. Buck	LM/cr, f
<i>Acroporium estrellae</i> (Müll. Hal.) W.R. Buck & Schäf.-Verw.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Acroporium pungens</i> (Hedw.) Broth.	-/cr, f
<i>Adelanthus decipiens</i> (Hook.) Mitt.	-/cr
<i>Adelothecium bogotense</i> (Hampe) Mitt.	UM/cr, f
<i>Anastrophyllum piligerum</i> (Nees) Steph.	UM/cr
<i>Anopolejeunea conferta</i> (Meissn.) A. Evans	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Aphanolejeunea asperrima</i> (Stephani) Steph.	UM/
<i>Aphanolejeunea cornutissima</i> R.M. Schust.	UM/f
<i>Aptychopsis pyrrophylla</i> (Müll. Hal.) Wijk & Marg.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Archidium clavatum</i> I.G. Stone	LM/ca
<i>Archidium donnellii</i> Aust.	LM/ca
<i>Archidium ohioense</i> Schimp. ex Müll. Hal.	LM/ca
<i>Atractylocarpus brasiliensis</i> (Müll. Hal.) R.S. Williams	LM/f
<i>Bazzania aurescens</i> Spruce	LM/f
<i>Bazzania falcata</i> (Lindenb.) Trevis.	UM/cr
<i>Bazzania heterostipa</i> (Steph.) Fulford	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Bazzania hookeri</i> (Lindenb.) Trevis.	UM/f
<i>Bazzania nitida</i> (Web.) Grolle	UM/cr
<i>Bazzania stolonifera</i> (Sw.) Trevis.	UM/cr
<i>Brachiolejeunea leiboldiana</i> (Gott. & Lindenb.) Schiffn.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Brachymenium systylium</i> (Müll. Hal.) A. Jaeger	LM/ca
<i>Breutelia tomentosa</i> (Sw. ex Brid.) A. Jaeger	LM/cr
<i>Bryopteris diffusa</i> (Sw.) Nees	LM/cr, f
<i>Bryum argenteum</i> Hedw.	UM, LM, PM/cr
<i>Bryum limbatum</i> Müll. Hal.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Bryum paradoxum</i> Schwägr.	UM/cr
<i>Callicostella merkelii</i> (Hornsch.) A. Jaeger	LM/cr
<i>Callicostella pallida</i> (Hornsch.) Ångstr.	LM/cr
<i>Callicostella rufescens</i> (Mitt.) A. Jaeger	LM/f
<i>Calymperes palisotii</i> Schwägr.	LM/cr
<i>Calypogeia andicola</i> Bischl.	LM
<i>Calypogeia laxa</i> Lindenb. & Gottsche	UM/cr, f
<i>Calypogeia peruviana</i> Nees	UM/f
<i>Campylopus arctocarpus</i> (Hornsch.) Mitt.	UM, LM/cr
<i>Campylopus arctocarpus</i> var. <i>caldense</i> (Angström) J.-P. Frahm	UM/cr
<i>Campylopus</i> cf. <i>subcuspidatus</i> (Hampe) A. Jaeger	UM/cr
<i>Campylopus controversus</i> (Hampe) A. Jaeger	-/cr
<i>Campylopus cuspidatus</i> (Hornsch.) Mitt.	UM/cr
<i>Campylopus dichrotis</i> Paris	LM/cr
<i>Campylopus filifolius</i> (Hornsch.) Mitt.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Campylopus filifolius</i> var. <i>humilis</i> (Mont.) J.-P. Frahm	UM/cr, f

Continues

Table 1. Continuation.

Species	Elevational zone/phytophysionomy
<i>Campylopus filifolius</i> var. <i>longifolius</i> (E.B. Bartram) E.B. Bartram	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Campylopus fragilis</i> (Brid.) Bruch & Schimp.	UM, LM, PM/cr
<i>Campylopus heterostachys</i> (Hampe) A. Jaeger	UM, LM/cr
<i>Campylopus introflexus</i> (Hedw.) Brid.	LM/cr
<i>Campylopus julaceus</i> A. Jaeger	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Campylopus julicaulis</i> Broth.	LM/-
<i>Campylopus lamellinervis</i> (Müll. Hal.) Mitt.	UM/cr, f
<i>Campylopus lamellinervis</i> var. <i>exaltatus</i> (Müll. Hal.) J.-P. Frahm	-/F
<i>Campylopus occultus</i> Mitt.	UM, LM, PM/cr
<i>Campylopus pilifer</i> Brid.	UM, LM, PM/cr
<i>Campylopus richardii</i> Brid.	LM/-
<i>Campylopus savannarum</i> (Müll. Hal.) Mitt.	UM, LM, PM/cr, f, ce, ca
<i>Campylopus surinamensis</i> Müll. Hal.	UM/cr
<i>Campylopus trachyblepharon</i> (Müll. Hal.) Mitt.	LM/cr, f, ca
<i>Campylopus uleanus</i> (Müll. Hal.) Broth.	-/cr
<i>Campylopus viridatus</i> (Müll. Hal.) Broth.	-/cr
<i>Campylopus widgrenii</i> (Müll. Hal.) Mitt.	UM, LM/cr
<i>Cardotiella quinquefaria</i> (Hornsch.) Vitt	UM/-
<i>Cephaloziella</i> cf. <i>granatensis</i> (J.B. Jack) Fulford	LM/f
<i>Cephaloziopsis intertexta</i> (Gottsche) R.M. Schust.	LM, PM/-
<i>Ceratolejeunea guianensis</i> (Nees & Mont.) Steph.	LM/cr
<i>Ceratolejeunea laetefusca</i> (Austin) R.M. Schust.	UM/f
<i>Cheilolejeunea acutangula</i> (Nees) Grolle	UM/f
<i>Cheilolejeunea discoidea</i> (Lenm & Lindenb.) Kachroo & R.M. Schust.	LM/ce
<i>Cheilolejeunea holostipa</i> (Spruce) Grolle & R.L. Zhu	UM/f
<i>Cheilolejeunea oncophylla</i> (Ångstr.) Grolle & M.E. Reiner	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Cheilolejeunea rigidula</i> (Mont.) R.M. Schust.	UM, LM/f, ca
<i>Cheilolejeunea trifaria</i> (Reinw., Blume & Nees) Mizut.	LM/cr, f
<i>Cheilolejeunea uncioloba</i> (Lindenb.) Malombe	UM, LM/f
<i>Cheilolejeunea xanthocarpa</i> (Lehm. & Lindenb.) Malombe	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Chiloscyphus bidentatus</i> Steph.	UM/f
<i>Chiloscyphus latifolius</i> (Nees) J.J. Engel & R.M. Schust.	UM/cr
<i>Chiloscyphus martianus</i> (Nees) J.J. Engel & R.M. Schust.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Chiloscyphus martianus</i> subsp. <i>bidentulus</i> Nees	UM/cr, f
<i>Colobodontium vulpinum</i> (Mont.) S.P. Churchill & W.R. Buck	UM, LM/cr
<i>Cololejeunea</i> cf. <i>hildebrandii</i> (Austin) Steph.	UM/f
<i>Cololejeunea minutissima</i> (Sm.) Schiffin.	LM/ce
<i>Cololejeunea subcardiocarpa</i> Tixier	UM/f
<i>Colura tenuicornis</i> (A. Evans) Steph.	UM/f
<i>Cronisia weddellii</i> (Mont.) Grolle	LM/ca
<i>Ctenidium malacodes</i> Mitt.	-/f
<i>Cyclolejeunea convexistipa</i> (Lehm. ex. Lindenb.) A. Evans	LM/f
<i>Cyclolejeunea luteola</i> (Spruce) Grolle	LM/f
<i>Cylindrocolea planifolia</i> (Steph.) R.M. Schust.	UM/f

Continues

Table 1. Continuation.

Species	Elevational zone/phytophysiognomy
<i>Cylindrocolea rhizantha</i> (Mont.) R.M. Schust.	LM/f
<i>Daltonia gracilis</i> Mitt.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Daltonia longifolia</i> Taylor	UM/f
<i>Dicranella</i> cf. <i>harrisii</i> (Müll. Hal.) Broth.	UM/f
<i>Dicranodontium pulchroalare</i> subsp. <i>brasiliense</i> (Herzog) J.-P. Frahm	UM/f
<i>Diplasiolejeunea latipuense</i> Tixier	LM/f
<i>Diplasiolejeunea pellucida</i> (C.F.W. Meissn. ex Spreng.) Schiffn.	LM/f
<i>Diplasiolejeunea rudolphiana</i> Steph.	PM/f
<i>Diplasiolejeunea unidentata</i> (Lehm. & Lindenb.) Steph.	UM/f
<i>Donnellia commutata</i> (Müll. Hal.) W.R. Buck	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Drepanolejeunea anoplantha</i> (Spruce) Steph.	UM/cr, f
<i>Drepanolejeunea araucariae</i> Steph.	UM, LM/f
<i>Drepanolejeunea campanulata</i> (Spruce) Steph.	UM/cr
<i>Drepanolejeunea fragilis</i> Bischl.	UM, LM, PM/f
<i>Drepanolejeunea mosenii</i> (Steph.) Bischl.	LM/f
<i>Drepanolejeunea orthophylla</i> Bischl.	UM/f
<i>Eccremidium floridanum</i> H.A. Crum	LM, PM/-
<i>Ectropothecium leptochaeton</i> (Schwäegr.) W.R. Buck	LM/f
<i>Entodon macropodus</i> (Hedw.) Müll. Hal.	LM, PM/cr, f
<i>Entodontopsis leucostega</i> (Brid.) W.R. Buck & Ireland	LM/cr, ce
<i>Erpodium biseriatum</i> (Austin) Austin	-/f
<i>Erythrodontium squarrosum</i> (Hampe) Paris	-/f
<i>Fabronia ciliaris</i> (Brid.) Brid.	PM/cr, ce
<i>Fabronia ciliaris</i> var. <i>polycarpa</i> (Hook.) W.R. Buck	PM/ce
<i>Fabronia macroblepharis</i> Schwägr.	UM, LM/cr, ce
<i>Fissidens elegans</i> Brid.	-/cr
<i>Fissidens pellucidus</i> Hornsch.	UM, LM/f
<i>Fissidens ramicola</i> Broth.	LM/ca
<i>Fissidens serratus</i> Müll. Hal.	-/f
<i>Fissidens termitarum</i> (Herzog) Pursell	LM/ca
<i>Fissidens weirii</i> var. <i>hemicraspedophyllus</i> (Cardot) Pursell	UM/f
<i>Floribundaria flaccida</i> (Mitt.) Broth.	-/cr
<i>Fossombronia porphyrorhiza</i> (Nees) Prosk.	UM, LM/cr, ca
<i>Frullania arecae</i> (Spreng.) Gottsche	UM/f
<i>Frullania atrata</i> (Sw.) Dumort.	UM, LM/f
<i>Frullania beyrichiana</i> (Lehm. & Lindenb.) Lehm. & Lindenb.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Frullania brasiliensis</i> Raddi	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Frullania breuteliana</i> Gottsche	UM/f
<i>Frullania caulisequa</i> (Nees) Nees	UM/f, ce
<i>Frullania ericoides</i> (Nees ex Mart.) Mont.	LM/ce, ca
<i>Frullania gibbosa</i> Nees	LM/cr, ca
<i>Frullania glomerata</i> (Lehm. & Lindenb.) Nees & Mont.	LM/ca
<i>Frullania griffithsiana</i> Gottsche	UM/cr, f
<i>Frullania kunzei</i> Lehm. & Lindenb.	UM, LM/cr, f, ce

Continues

Table 1. Continuation.

Species	Elevational zone/phytophysiognomy
<i>Frullania lindenberghii</i> Lehm.	UM/f
<i>Frullania mucronata</i> (Lehm. & Lindenb.) Lehm. & Lindenb.	UM, LM/f
<i>Frullania riojaneirensis</i> (Raddi) Spruce	LM/cr
<i>Frullania setigera</i> Steph.	UM/cr, f
<i>Frullanoides densifolia</i> Raddi	UM/f
<i>Funaria hygrometrica</i> Hedw.	UM/cr
<i>Funaria hygrometrica</i> var. <i>calvescens</i> (Schwägr.) Mont.	UM/cr
<i>Gemmabryum coronatum</i> Schwägr.	UM, LM, PM/cr
<i>Gemmabryum exile</i> (Dozy & Molk.) J.R. Spence & H.P. Ramsay	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Gemmabryum radiculosum</i> (Brid.) J.R. Spence & H.P. Ramsay	UM, LM/cr
<i>Groutiella apiculata</i> (Hook.) H. A. Crum & Steere	-/f
<i>Groutiella tomentosa</i> (Hornsch.) Wijk & Margad.	LM/cr
<i>Groutiella tumidula</i> (Mitt.) Vitt	LM/-
<i>Harpalejeunea schiffneri</i> S. Arnell	UM/f
<i>Harpalejeunea stricta</i> Schiffn.	UM/f
<i>Harpalejeunea subacuta</i> A. Evans	UM/f
<i>Helicophyllum torquatum</i> (Hook.) Brid.	-/ca
<i>Herbertus juniperoideus</i> (Swartz) Grolle	-/cr
<i>Herbertus juniperoideus</i> subsp. <i>bivittatus</i> (Spruce) Feldberg & J. Heinrichs	UM, LM/f
<i>Holomitrium arboreum</i> Mitt.	UM, LM/cr
<i>Holomitrium crispulum</i> Mart.	UM, LM/cr
<i>Holomitrium olfersianum</i> Hornsch.	UM, LM/cr
<i>Hyophila involuta</i> (Hook.) A. Jaeger	LM, PM/cr, f
<i>Hyophiladelphus agrarius</i> (Hedw.) R.H. Zander	LM, PM/ca
<i>Hypopterygium tamarisci</i> (Sw.) Brid. ex Müll. Hal.	LM
<i>Isopterygium byssobolax</i> (Müll. Hal.) Paris	UM/f
<i>Isopterygium jamaicense</i> (E.B. Bartram) W.R. Buck	UM, LM, PM/cr, f
<i>Isopterygium subbrevisetum</i> (Hampe) Broth.	LM/-
<i>Isopterygium tenerifolium</i> Mitt.	UM, LM, PM/cr, f
<i>Isopterygium tenerum</i> (Sw.) Mitt.	UM, LM, PM/cr, f
<i>Jaegerina scariosa</i> (Lorentz) Arz.	LM/f
<i>Jamesoniella rubricaulis</i> (Nees) Grolle	UM/cr
<i>Jungermannia sphaerocarpa</i> Hook.	UM/cr, f
<i>Kurzia brasiliensis</i> (Steph.) Grolle	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Kurzia capillaris</i> (Sw.) Grolle	UM, LM, PM/cr, f
<i>Lejeunea caespitosa</i> Lindenb. & G.L.Nees	LM/f
<i>Lejeunea cerina</i> (Lehm. & Lindenb.) Gottsche, Lindenb. & Nees	UM/f
<i>Lejeunea cochleata</i> Spruce	UM, LM/f
<i>Lejeunea flava</i> (Sw.) Nees	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Lejeunea grossitexta</i> (Steph.) E. Reiner & Goda	UM/f
<i>Lejeunea immersa</i> Spruce	UM/f
<i>Lejeunea laetevirens</i> Nees & Mont.	UM, LM/f
<i>Lejeunea maxonii</i> (Evans) X.-L. He	LM, PM/f
<i>Lejeunea oligoclada</i> Spruce	-/f

Continues

Table 1. Continuation.

Species	Elevational zone/phytophysiognomy
<i>Lejeunea phyllobola</i> Nees & Mont. ex Mont.	UM/f
<i>Lejeunea raddiana</i> Lindenb.	UM/f
<i>Lepidolejeunea involuta</i> (Gottsche) Grolle	LM/f
<i>Lepidopilidium portoricense</i> (Müll. Hal.) H.A. Crum	LM/f
<i>Lepidopilum scabrisetum</i> (Schwägr.) Steere	LM/f
<i>Lepidozia coilophylla</i> Taylor	UM/f
<i>Lepidozia cupressina</i> (Sw.) Lindenb.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Lepidozia inaequalis</i> (Lehm. & Lindenb.) Gott. et. al.	UM/cr, f
<i>Leptodontium viticulosoides</i> var. <i>sulphureum</i> (Müll. Hal.) R.H. Zander	UM/cr, f
<i>Leptoscyphus amphibolius</i> (Nees) Grolle	UM/cr
<i>Leucobryum albicans</i> (Schwägr.) Lindb.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Leucobryum albidum</i> (Brid. ex P. Beauv.) Lindb.	UM/cr
<i>Leucobryum clavatum</i> Hampe	UM/f
<i>Leucobryum clavatum</i> var. <i>brevifolium</i> Broth.	UM/cr
<i>Leucobryum crispum</i> Müll. Hal.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Leucobryum giganteum</i> Müll. Hal.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Leucobryum martianum</i> (Hornsch.) Hampe ex Müll. Hal.	UM, LM, PM/cr, f
<i>Leucobryum sordidum</i> Ångstr.	LM/cr
<i>Leucolejeunea caducifolia</i> Gradst. & Schaeff.-Verwimp	LM/f
<i>Leucolejeunea conchifolia</i> (Evans) Evans	LM/f
<i>Leucoloma cruegerianum</i> (Müll. Hal.) A. Jaeger	UM, LM/f
<i>Leucoloma serrulatum</i> Brid.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Macrocoma brasiliensis</i> (Mitt.) Vitt	LM/cr
<i>Macrocoma</i> cf. <i>gastonyi</i> D.H. Norris & Vitt	UM/f
<i>Macrocoma orthotrichoides</i> (Raddi) Wijk & Margad.	LM, PM/f
<i>Macrocoma tenuis</i> subsp. <i>sulivantii</i> (Müll. Hal.) Vitt.	LM/f, ce
<i>Macromitrium</i> cf. <i>longifolium</i> (Hook.) Brid.	UM/f
<i>Macromitrium cirrosum</i> (Hedw.) Brid.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Macromitrium frustratum</i> B.H. Allen	UM/f
<i>Macromitrium microstomum</i> (Hook. & Grev.) Schwägr.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Macromitrium podocarpī</i> Müll. Hal.	UM/cr, f
<i>Macromitrium punctatum</i> (Hook. & Grev.) Brid.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Macromitrium richardii</i> Schwägr.	LM/cr, f
<i>Macromitrium sejunctum</i> B.H. Allen	UM/f
<i>Marchesinia brachiata</i> (Sw.) Schiffn.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Mastigolejeunea auriculata</i> (Wilson & Hook.) Schiffn.	LM/f
<i>Mastigolejeunea plicatiflora</i> (Spruce) Steph.	LM/f
<i>Metalejeunea cucullata</i> (Reinw., Blume & Nees) Grolle	UM/f
<i>Meteoridium remotifolium</i> (Müll. Hal.) Manuel	LM, UM/f
<i>Meteorium nigrescens</i> (Sw. ex Hedw.) Dozy & Molke	LM/f
<i>Metzgeria brasiliensis</i> Schiffn.	LM/f
<i>Metzgeria</i> cf. <i>liebmanniana</i> Lindenb. & Gottsche	UM/f
<i>Metzgeria decipiens</i> (C. Massal.) Schiffn.	UM/f
<i>Metzgeria furcata</i> (L.) Dum	LM/f

Continues

Table 1. Continuation.

Species	Elevational zone/phytophysiognomy
<i>Metzgeria hegewaldii</i> Kuwah.	UM, LM/f
<i>Metzgeria myriopoda</i> Lindb.	UM/f
<i>Metzgeria scyphigera</i> A. Evans	LM/f
<i>Microlejeunea bullata</i> (Taylor) Steph.	UM/f
<i>Microlejeunea cystifera</i> Herzog	LM/f
<i>Microlejeunea epiphylla</i> Bischl.	UM, LM/f
<i>Micropterygium campanense</i> Spruce ex Reimers	LM/f
<i>Micropterygium reimersianum</i> Herzog	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Micropterygium trachyphyllum</i> Reimers	UM/cr
<i>Mittenothamnium reptans</i> (Hedw.) Cardot	UM, LM/f
<i>Mittenothamnium substriatum</i> (Mitt.) Cardot	UM/f
<i>Neckeropsis undulata</i> (Hedw.) Reichardt	LM/f
<i>Neesioscyphus homophyllus</i> (Nees) Grolle	UM/f
<i>Neesioscyphus</i> sp.	UM
<i>Neurolejeunea breutelii</i> (Gott.) A. Evans	UM/cr, f
<i>Nowellia curvifolia</i> (Dicks.) Mitt.	UM/cr
<i>Ochrobryum gardneri</i> (Müll. Hal.) Mitt.	LM/cr, f
<i>Octoblepharum albidum</i> Hedw.	UM, LM, PM/cr, f, ce, ca
<i>Octoblepharum cocuiense</i> Mitt.	UM, LM, PM/cr
<i>Octoblepharum cylindricum</i> Schimp. ex Mont.	LM/cr
<i>Octoblepharum erectifolium</i> Mitt. ex R.S. Williams	LM/cr, f
<i>Octoblepharum pulvinatum</i> (Dozy & Molk.) Mitt.	-/cr
<i>Odontolejeunea lunulata</i> (F. Weber) Schiffn.	-/f
<i>Odontoschisma brasiliense</i> Steph.	UM/cr
<i>Odontoschisma denudatum</i> (Nees) Dumort.	UM, LM/cr
<i>Odontoschisma falcifolium</i> Steph.	UM/cr
<i>Odontoschisma longiflorum</i> Steph.	LM/cr
<i>Omphalanthus filiformis</i> (Sw.) Nees	UM/cr, f
<i>Orthodontium gracile</i> (Wilson) Schwägr. ex B.S.G.	LM/f
<i>Orthostichella versicolor</i> (Müll. Hal.) B.H. Allen & W.R. Buck	LM/cr
<i>Orthostichopsis crinita</i> (Sull.) Broth.	UM/-
<i>Orthostichopsis praetermissa</i> W.R. Buck	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Orthostichopsis tetragona</i> (Sw. ex Hedw.) Broth.	LM/f
<i>Orthostichopsis tortipilis</i> (Müll. Hal.) Broth.	LM/cr, f
<i>Oryzolejeunea saccatiloba</i> (Steph.) Gradst.	-/f
<i>Pallavicinia lyellii</i> (Hook.) Gray	UM, LM, PM/cr, f
<i>Philonotis cernua</i> (Wilson) D.G. Griffin & W.R. Buck	UM/cr, f
<i>Philonotis elongata</i> (Dism.) H.A. Crum & Steere	LM/f
<i>Philonotis hastata</i> (Duby) Wijk & Margad.	LM, UM/cr, f
<i>Philonotis sphaerocarpa</i> (Hedw.) Brid.	-/cr
<i>Philonotis uncinata</i> (Schwägr.) Brid.	PM/cr
<i>Phyllocladon truncatulus</i> (Müll. Hal.) W.R. Buck	LM/cr
<i>Phyllogonium fulgens</i> (Hedw.) Brid.	LM/f
<i>Phyllogonium viride</i> Brid.	UM, LM/cr, f

Continues

Table 1. Continuation.

Species	Elevational zone/phytophysiognomy
<i>Pilopogon guadalupensis</i> (Brid.) J.-P. Frahm	LM
<i>Pilotrichella flexilis</i> (Hedw.) Ångstr.	UM/f
<i>Plagiochila aerea</i> Taylor	LM/f
<i>Plagiochila bifaria</i> (Sw.) Lindenb.	UM/f
<i>Plagiochila bryopteroides</i> Spruce	UM/f
<i>Plagiochila compressula</i> (Nees) Lindenb.	LM/f
<i>Plagiochila corrugata</i> (Nees) Nees & Mont.	UM, LM, PM/cr, f
<i>Plagiochila cristata</i> (Sw.) Dumort.	UM/f
<i>Plagiochila disticha</i> (Lehm. & Lindenb.) Mont.	UM, LM/f
<i>Plagiochila exigua</i> (Taylor) Taylor	UM/f
<i>Plagiochila fragilis</i> Taylor	UM/cr, f
<i>Plagiochila gymnocalycina</i> Lindenb.	UM, LM/f
<i>Plagiochila patentissima</i> Steph.	LM/f
<i>Plagiochila patula</i> (Sw.) Lindenb.	UM, LM/f
<i>Plagiochila raddiana</i> Lindenb.	LM/f
<i>Plagiochila rutilans</i> Lindenb.	UM/cr, f
<i>Plagiochila simplex</i> (Sw.) Lindenb.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Plagiochila subplana</i> Lindenb.	UM/f
<i>Plaubelia sprengelii</i> (Schwägr.) R.H. Zander	LM, PM/ca
<i>Pogonatum pensilvanicum</i> (Hedw.) P. Beauv.	UM/f
<i>Pohlia papillosa</i> (Müll. Hal. ex A. Jaeger) Broth.	LM/-
<i>Polytrichum angustifolium</i> Mitt.	UM/cr, f
<i>Polytrichum commune</i> Hedw.	-/cr
<i>Polytrichum juniperinum</i> Hedw.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Porella</i> cf. <i>reflexa</i> (Lehm. & Lindenb.) Trevis.	LM/f
<i>Porella brasiliensis</i> (Raddi) Schiffn.	UM, LM/f
<i>Porella swartziana</i> (Weber) Trevis.	LM/f
<i>Porothamnium leucocaulon</i> (Müll. Hal.) M. Fleisch.	UM/-
<i>Pterogonidium pulchellum</i> (Hook.) Müll. Hal.	UM, LM/f
<i>Pyrrhobryum spiniforme</i> (Hedw.) Mitt.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Racopilum tomentosum</i> (Hedw.) Brid.	LM/cr, f
<i>Radula</i> aff. <i>conferta</i> Lindenb. & Gottsche	UM/f
<i>Radula cubensis</i> K. Yamada	UM/f
<i>Radula fendleri</i> Gottsche ex Steph.	UM/f
<i>Radula inflexa</i> Gottsche ex Steph.	UM/f
<i>Radula javanica</i> Gottsche	UM/cr, f
<i>Radula kegelii</i> Gottsche	UM, LM/f
<i>Radula mexicana</i> Steph.	UM/cr, f
<i>Radula pseudostachya</i> Spruce	UM/f
<i>Radula recubans</i> Taylor	UM/f
<i>Radula sinuata</i> Steph.	UM/f
<i>Radula tenera</i> Mitt. ex Steph.	LM/f
<i>Radula wrightii</i> Castle	UM/f
<i>Rhacopilopsis trinitensis</i> (Müll. Hal.) E. Britton & Dixon	UM, LM/cr

Continues

Table 1. Continuation.

Species	Elevational zone/phytophysionomy
<i>Rhacorcarpus purpurascens</i> (Brid.) Par.	UM/cr
<i>Rhodobryum aubertii</i> (Schwägr.) Thér.	LM/f
<i>Rhodobryum beyrichianum</i> (Hornsch.) Müll. Hal.	LM/cr, f
<i>Rhodobryum grandifolium</i> (Taylor) Schimp.	-/cr, f
<i>Rhodobryum roseum</i> (Hedw.) Limpr.	LM/f
<i>Riccardia cataractarum</i> (Spruce) Schiffn.	PM/cr
<i>Riccardia chamedryfolia</i> (With.) Grolle	LM/-
<i>Riccardia digitiloba</i> (Spruce ex Steph.) Pagán	-/ca
<i>Riccia erythrocarpa</i> Jovet-Ast.	-/ca
<i>Riccia lindmanii</i> Steph.	LM/ca
<i>Riccia squamata</i> Nees	LM/ca
<i>Riccia vitalii</i> Jovet-Ast.	LM/ca
<i>Riccia weinionis</i> Steph.	LM/ca
<i>Rosulabryum billarderi</i> (Schwägr.) J.R. Spence	-/cr
<i>Rosulabryum capillare</i> (Hedw.) J.R. Spence	LM/ce
<i>Rosulabryum densifolium</i> (Brid.) Ochyra	UM, LM/f
<i>Rosulabryum huillense</i> (Welw. & Duby) Ochyra	LM/f
<i>Saccogynidium caldense</i> (Ångstr.) Grolle	UM/f
<i>Schiffneriolejeunea polycarpa</i> (Nees) Gradst	LM/f
<i>Schlotheimia jamesonii</i> (Arn.) Brid.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Schlotheimia rugifolia</i> (Hook.) Schwägr.	UM, LM/cr, f, ce
<i>Schlotheimia tecta</i> Hook. f. & Wilson	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Schlotheimia torquata</i> (Sw. ex Hedw.) Brid.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Schlotheimia trichomitria</i> Schwägr.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Sematophyllum adnatum</i> (Michx.) E. Britton	UM, LM/cr, f, ce, ca
<i>Sematophyllum galipense</i> (Müll. Hal.) Mitt.	UM, LM/cr, f, ce
<i>Sematophyllum</i> sp.	UM, LM
<i>Sematophyllum subpinnatum</i> (Brid.) E. Britton	UM, LM, PM/cr, f, ce
<i>Sematophyllum subsimplex</i> (Hedw.) Mitt.	LM/cr, f
<i>Sematophyllum swartzii</i> (Schwägr.) W.H. Welch & H.A. Crum	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Sematophyllum tequendamense</i> (Hampe) Mitt.	LM/cr, f, ce
<i>Sphagnum aciphyllum</i> Müll. Hal.	UM, LM/-
<i>Sphagnum alegrense</i> Warnst.	UM/f
<i>Sphagnum brevirameum</i> Hampe	UM/cr
<i>Sphagnum capillifolium</i> (Ehrh.) Hedw.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Sphagnum chi-chiense</i> H.A. Crum	UM/cr
<i>Sphagnum contortulum</i> H.A. Crum	LM, PM/cr
<i>Sphagnum harleyi</i> H.A. Crum	UM, LM/cr
<i>Sphagnum longistolo</i> Müll. Hal.	UM, LM, PM/cr
<i>Sphagnum magellanicum</i> Brid.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Sphagnum oxyphyllum</i> Warnst.	UM, LM/cr
<i>Sphagnum palustre</i> L.	UM, LM, PM/cr, f
<i>Sphagnum papillosum</i> Lindb.	-/cr

Continues

Table 1. Continuation.

Species	Elevational zone/phytophysiognomy
<i>Sphagnum perichaetiale</i> Hampe	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Sphagnum recurvum</i> P. Beauv.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Sphagnum sparsum</i> Hampe	LM/cr
<i>Sphagnum strictum</i> Sull.	-/cr
<i>Sphagnum subsecundum</i> Nees	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Sphagnum vitalii</i> H.A. Crum	UM, LM/f
<i>Squamidium brasiliense</i> (Hornsch.) Broth.	UM, LM/f
<i>Squamidium leucotrichum</i> (Taylor) Broth.	LM/f
<i>Squamidium nigricans</i> (Hook.) Broth.	LM/f
<i>Symphyogyna aspera</i> Steph. ex MacCormick	LM/cr
<i>Symphyogyna brasiliensis</i> Nees	LM/cr
<i>Symphyogyna leptothelia</i> Taylor	PM/cr
<i>Symphyogyna podophylla</i> (Thunb.) Mont. & Nees	UM/cr
<i>Syrrhopodon elongatus</i> Sull.	UM/f
<i>Syrrhopodon elongatus</i> var. <i>glaziovii</i> (Hampe) W.D. Reese	LM/cr, f
<i>Syrrhopodon gardneri</i> (Hook.) Schwägr.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Syrrhopodon gaudichaudii</i> Mont.	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Syrrhopodon incompletus</i> Schwägr.	LM/-
<i>Syrrhopodon leprieurii</i> Mont.	LM/cr
<i>Syrrhopodon ligulatus</i> Mont.	LM/cr, f
<i>Syrrhopodon lycopodioides</i> (Sw. ex Brid.) Müll. Hal.	-/cr
<i>Syrrhopodon parasiticus</i> (Sw. ex Brid.) Paris	UM, LM, PM/f
<i>Syrrhopodon prolifer</i> Schwägr.	UM, LM, PM/cr, f
<i>Syrrhopodon prolifer</i> var. <i>acanthoneuros</i> (Müll. Hal.) Müll. Hal.	LM/-
<i>Syrrhopodon prolifer</i> var. <i>scaber</i> (Mitt.) W.D. Reese	LM/cr, f
<i>Syrrhopodon prolifer</i> var. <i>tenuifolius</i> (Sull.) W.D. Reese	UM/cr
<i>Syzygiella</i> aff. <i>integerrima</i> Steph.	UM/f
<i>Syzygiella liberata</i> Inoue	UM/cr, f
<i>Taxiphyllum taxirameum</i> (Mitt.) M. Fleisch.	LM/-
<i>Taxithelium planum</i> (Brid.) Mitt.	-/f
<i>Telaranea diacantha</i> (Mont.) J.J. Engel & G.L. Merr.	UM, LM/f
<i>Telaranea nematodes</i> (Gott. ex Aust.) Howe	UM, LM, PM/cr, f
<i>Thamniopsis undata</i> (Hedw.) W.R. Buck	LM/cr
<i>Thuidium delicatulum</i> (Hedw.) Schimp.	UM/f
<i>Thuidium subtamariscinum</i> (Hampe) Broth.	-/cr
<i>Thuidium tomentosum</i> Schimp.	LM/f
<i>Thuidium urceolatum</i> Lorentz	LM/f
<i>Tortella humilis</i> (Hedw.) Jenn.	UM, LM, PM/cr, f
<i>Tortella tortuosa</i> (Hedw.) Limpr.	UM/f
<i>Trichocolea brevifissa</i> Steph.	UM/f
<i>Trichocolea flaccida</i> (Spruce) Jack & Steph.	UM/cr
<i>Trichosteleum microstegium</i> (Besch.) A. Jaeger	LM/cr, f

Continues

Table 1. Continuation.

Species	Elevational zone/phytophysionomy
<i>Trichosteleum sentosum</i> (Sull.) A. Jaeger	LM/cr, f
<i>Trichosteleum subdemissum</i> (Schimp. ex Besch.) A. Jaeger	LM/cr
<i>Trichostomum tenuirostre</i> (Hook. & Tayl.) Lindb.	UM, PM/f
<i>Wijkia flagellifera</i> (Broth.) H.A. Crum	UM, LM/cr, f
<i>Wijkia submitida</i> (Hampe) H.A. Crum	UM/f
<i>Zelometeorium patulum</i> (Hedw.) Manuel	LM/f
<i>Zoopsideella integrifolia</i> (Spruce) R. M. Schust.	LM/f

ca – *caatinga*; c – *cerrado*; cr – *campos rupestres*; f – forest; - - not determined; UM – upper montane; LM – lower montane; PM – premontane.

cells and chlorophyll-containing cells directly exposed to the light. However, such species have a low chlorophyll *a/b* ratio, are capable of adjusting their number of chloroplasts according to the levels of light and are poikilohydric, having the ability to rehydrate rapidly (Glime 2007).

In *campos rupestres*, the most representative families were Lepidoziaceae, Pallaviciniaceae, Jungermanniaceae, which also include members with high demand for shaded areas and humidity, as well as Sphagnaceae, Bartramiaceae, Calymperaceae and Leucobryaceae. The latter group includes species with morphological and physiological adaptations to high light intensity and desiccation and therefore have a better chance of enduring prolonged exposure to sunlight, such adaptations including the presence of hyaline cells, which filter the light reaching the photosynthesis cells; cancellinae, for water storage; and hydroids, cells that constitute the water conduction and support tissues (Glime 2007; Proctor 2007).

The particularities of the environmental conditions of the *caatinga* allow the occurrence of families such as Ricciaceae, which are adapted to the typical arid conditions of the region (Jovet-Ast 1991). Species of the genus *Riccia*, which are common residents of ephemeral habitats, absorb water by capillarity among the rhizoids on the inferior surface of the thallus. Under drought conditions, the gametophyte furls itself, exposing the rhizoids, which serve to absorb water and provide a reflective surface that protects the chlorophyll-containing cells of the thallus. Some species are capable of surviving for seven years in this desiccated state, and even if the vegetative part dies, the spores can persist because of the great quantity of nutrients they store. The annual species compensate for this water loss by producing a large number of spores and using their ornamentation to attract animals for their dispersion (Glime 2007; Vanderpoorten & Goffinet 2009). As in the present study, other floristic studies of bryophytes in the *caatinga* (Pôrto *et al.* 1994; Bastos *et al.* 1998b) have shown low species richness, with representative species typically being tolerant of exposure to intense light and heat, such as those of the families Pottiaceae and Ricciaceae. A little over one third of the species recorded in the present study were also included in the flora listed in the literature cited above.

We found no families that were exclusive to the *cerrado*, and the flora of our study sites had low floristic affinity with those described in other studies of the *cerrado* in northeastern Brazil (Vilas Bôas-Bastos & Bastos 1998; Castro *et al.* 2002). It is noteworthy that the areas of *cerrado* investigated in our study were quite dry, and some bore evidence of recent fires.

Among the bryophytes we identified in the Chapada Diamantina ecoregion, the geographic distribution in Brazil was categorized as restricted for 30% of the taxa, moderate for 32% and broad for 38%. Many of the taxa classified as having a restricted or moderate distribution had previously been recorded only for the southeastern region of Brazil, particularly in mountainous areas, where environmental conditions, such as the mild temperatures and cloud cover associated with the upper elevations, enable the survival of species less tolerant to heat and desiccation. This corroborates the findings of Nascimento *et al.* (2010) regarding the floristic and biogeographical relationships between the arboreal flora of the upper montane forests in the south of the Chapada Diamantina ecoregion and those of the seasonal forest and rain forest formations in the Atlantic Forest of southeastern and southern Brazil, those authors having identified great floristic affinity between the two regions. In that same study, the authors point out a floristic continuation between the Serra do Espinhaço, to the south, and the central-south portion of the Chapada Diamantina ecoregion, as well as the occurrence of an extensive biogeographical province that connects the Chapada Diamantina ecoregion with the Serra da Mantiqueira, forming a corridor composed of *campos rupestres* and shrub-tree vegetation. That corridor would allow the transit of plant and animal species adapted to grassland and forest environments, where the humidity is higher and the temperatures are milder.

Our analysis of the worldwide geographical distribution of the bryophyte species identified showed a predominance of neotropical species (42%), followed by disjunct species (12%); cosmopolitan species (10%); pantropical species (9%); and species endemic to Brazil (4%), to the American tropics (3%) and to the American subtropics America (8%). In the upper elevations of the Chapada Diamantina ecoregion, there was a significant number of disjunct species with the distribution pattern eastern Brazil-Andes region (14 species), as previously observed for mountainous regions

in southeastern Brazil (Santos & Costa 2010b), which can be explained by the similarities in climatic conditions between eastern Brazil and the Andes region. Such species, all of which were sampled in *campos rupestres* or forests, included *Chiloscyphus latifolius* (found in Brazil and Bolivia); *Radula tenera*, *Sematophyllum tequendamense*, *Syrrophodon helicophyllus* and *Syzygiella liberata* (found in Brazil and Colombia); *Radula sinuata* (found in Brazil, Bolivia and Colombia); *Metzgeria hegewaldii* (found in Brazil and Peru); *Micropterygium reimersianum* (found in Brazil and Venezuela); *Micropterygium campanense* (found in Brazil, Venezuela and Peru); *Lepidozia brasiliensis* (found in Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador and Peru); *Calypogeia andicola* (found in Brazil, Colombia and Ecuador); *Lepidozia inaequalis* (found in Brazil, Ecuador, Bolivia and Peru); *Plagiochila fragilis* - (found in Brazil and Ecuador); and *Drepanolejeunea campanulata* (found in Brazil and the northern Andes). Other disjunctions were represented by *Adelothecium bogotense* (found throughout the neotropics, as well as in Madagascar and Tanzania); *Aphanolejeunea asperrima* (found in Brazil and Patagonia); *Archidium clavatum* (found in Brazil and Australia); *Riccia vitalii* (found in Brazil and Costa Rica); *Radula cubensis* and *R. wrightii* (found in Brazil and Cuba); *Diplasiolejeunea latipuense* (found in Brazil and Guyana); *Microlejeunea cystifera* (found in Brazil and French Guyana); *Acroporium caespitosum* and *Wijkia flagellifera* (found in Brazil and the West Indies); *Neesioscyphus homophyllus* (found in southeastern Brazil and northern Argentina); *Eccremidium floridanum* (found in Brazil and the United States); *Daltonia pulvinata* (found throughout the neotropics and on Reunion Island); *Schlotheimia tecta* (found throughout the neotropics and in India); *Jamesoniella rubricaulis* (found throughout the neotropics and in the Azores); and *Bryum paradoxum* (found throughout the neotropics and in Asia).

Four of the taxa identified are endemic to the Chapada Diamantina ecoregion, all of them belonging to the family Sphagnaceae and sampled in *campos rupestres*: *Sphagnum chichiense* var. *uvidulum*; *S. harleyi*; *S. contortulum*; and *S. vitalii*.

Bryophyte composition differed among the three elevational zones studied, species richness and the numbers of exclusive taxa being highest in the lower and upper montane formations: premontane (40 species, seven exclusive); lower montane (246 species, 121 exclusive), upper montane (241 species, 96 exclusive). Only eight taxa were sampled in all three zones; the premontane and lower montane zones had 33 taxa in common; and the lower and upper montane zones had 51 taxa in common.

The bryophyte flora found in the upper montane elevational zone of the Chapada Diamantina ecoregion comprise taxa previously identified as typical of mountainous regions in Brazil (Gradstein & Costa 2003; Costa & Lima 2005; Santos & Costa 2010a): *Adelanthus decipiens*, *Aptychopsis pyrrophylla*, *Atractylolejeunea brasiliensis*, *Campylopus dichrostis*, *C. julicaulis*, *Drepanolejeunea araucariae*, *Frullania arecae*, *Herbertus juniperoides* ssp. *bivittatus*, *Harpale-*

jeunea subacuta, *Jamesoniella rubricaulis*, *Jungermannia sphaerocarpa*, *Microlejeunea cystifera*, *Neesioscyphus homophyllus*, *Odontoschisma denudatum*, *Plagiochila cristata*, *Polytrichum brasiliense*, *Rhacocarpus purpurascens*, *Radula fendleri*, *Saccogynidium caldense*, *Schlotheimia trichomitria*, *Sphagnum aleggense*, *Syzygiella* aff. *integerrima*, *S. liberata* and *Trichocolea flaccida*.

Among the species that occurred in the lower and upper montane formations and those of restricted distribution in Brazil, some are distinctive for their rarity within the Chapada Diamantina ecoregion, having been collected in forests or *campos rupestres* areas, with only one to five occurrences: *Adelothecium bogotense*, *Anastrophyllum piligerum*, *Harpalejeunea subacuta*, *Jungermannia sphaerocarpa*, *Lophocolea mandonii*, *Micropterygium campanense*, *Neesioscyphus homophyllus*, *Odontoschisma brasiliense*, *Sematophyllum swartzii*, *Sphagnum aleggense* and *Syzygiella liberata*. Some of those species have been listed as threatened in other Brazilian states.

Based on the results of this study, we conclude that the Chapada Diamantina ecoregion is an important center of bryophyte diversity, with high species richness, representing approximately 63% of the bryophyte flora of Bahia. A considerable proportion (83%) of the species identified were exclusive to montane zones (lower and upper), 30% being exclusive to the upper montane zone, mainly distributed in montane forests and *campos rupestres*. Despite its geological, ecological and biological importance, as well as its considerable size, to date only nine conservation units have been established in the region, representing only 8.1% of its area, and only three of those are totally protected areas, corresponding to a mere 3.9% of its total area (MMA 2005). Therefore, we can highlight the importance of preserving this region and of reducing the destructive impact of human activity, not only in the three areas already recognized for their high levels of diversity (the Serra do Barbado Environmentally Protected Area; Sete Passagens State Park; and Chapada Diamantina National Park) but also in the *campos rupestres*, seasonal forests and rain forests of the surrounding areas such as the municipalities of Piatã and Morro do Chapéu.

Acknowledgments

This study received financial support from the Brazilian *Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico* (CNPq, National Council for Scientific and Technological Development; Doctoral Scholarship Grant to E.B.V.) and from the *Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado da Bahia* (FAPESB, Foundation for the Support of Research in the State of Bahia; Grant no. APR 0066/2007). The authors are grateful to the Federal University of Feira de Santana Department of Biological Sciences for providing access to the infrastructure necessary for the execution of the study (Mycology Laboratory and Herbarium).

References

- Agritempo. 2010. Agritempo site. URL: <http://www.agritempo.gov.br> (Acesso em 05/2010).
- Ah-Peng, C.; Chualh-Petiot, M.; Descamps-Julien, B.; Bardat, J.; Stamenoff, P. & Strasberg, D. 2007. Bryophyte diversity and distribution along an altitudinal gradient on a lava flow in La reunion. **Diversity and Distributions** 13: 654-662.
- Alvarenga, L.D.P. & Pôrto, K.P. 2007. Patch size and isolation effects on epiphytic and epiphyllous bryophytes in the fragmented Brazilian Atlantic forest. **Biological Conservation** 134: 415-427.
- Andrew, N.R.; Rodgerson, L. & Dunlop, M. 2003. Variation in invertebrate-bryophyte community structure at different spatial scales along altitudinal gradients. **Journal of Biogeography** 30: 731-746.
- Ballejos, J. & Bastos, C.J.P. 2009a. Musgos Pleurocárpicos do Parque Estadual das Sete Passagens, Miguel Calmon, Bahia, Brasil. **Hoehnea** 36: 479-495.
- Ballejos, J. & Bastos, C.J.P. 2009b. Orthotrichaceae e Rhizogoniaceae (Bryophyta - Bryopsida) do Parque Estadual das Sete Passagens, Bahia, Brasil. **Rodriguésia** 60: 723-733.
- Bastos C.J.P. & Valente, E.B. 2008. Hepáticas (Marchantiophyta) da Reserva Ecológica da Michelin, Igrapiúna, Bahia, Brasil. **Sitientibus - Série Ciências Biológicas** 8: 280-293.
- Bastos C.J.P. & Vilas Bôas-Bastos, S.B. 2008. Musgos acrocárpicos e cladocárpicos (Bryophyta) da Reserva Ecológica da Michelin, Igrapiúna, Bahia, Brasil. **Sitientibus - Série Ciências Biológicas** 8: 275-279.
- Bastos, C.J.P. & Yano, O. 2009. O gênero *Lejeunea* Libert (Lejeuneaceae) no Estado da Bahia, Brasil. **Hoehnea** 36: 303-320.
- Bastos C.J.P.; Stradmann, M.T. & Vilas Bôas-Bastos, S.B. 1998a. Additional contribution to the bryophyte flora from Chapada Diamantina National Park, State of Bahia, Brazil. **Tropical Bryology** 15: 15-20.
- Bastos, C.J.P.; Albertos, B. & Vilas-Bôas, S.B. 1998b. Bryophytes from some 'caatinga' areas in the state of Bahia (Brazil). **Tropical Bryology** 14: 69-75.
- Bastos, C.J.P.; Yano, O & Vilas Bôas-Bastos, S.B. 2000. Briófitas de campos rupestres da Chapada Diamantina, Estado da Bahia, Brasil. **Revista Brasileira de Botânica** 23: 357-368.
- Brummitt, R.K. & Powell, C.E. 1992. **Authors of plant names**. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew.
- Buck, W.R. 1998. Pleurocarpus Mosses of the West Indies. **Memoirs of The New York Botanical Garden** 1: 1-401.
- Câmara, P.E.A.S. 2008a. Musgos Acrocárpicos da reserva Ecológica do IBGE, DF. Brasil. **Acta Botanica Brasileira** 22(4): 1027-1035.
- Câmara, P.E.A.S. 2008b. Musgos pleurocárpicos das matas de galeria da Reserva Ecológica do IBGE, RECOR, Distrito Federal, Brasil. **Acta Botanica Brasileira** 22(2): 573-581.
- Câmara, P.E.A.S. & Costa, D.P. 2006. Hepáticas e antóceros das matas de galeria da Reserva Ecológica do IBGE, RECOR, Distrito Federal, Brasil. **Hoehnea** 33(1): 41-49.
- Campelo, M.J.A. & Pôrto, K.C. 2007. Brioflora epífita e epífila da RPPN Frei Caneca, Jaqueira, PE, Brasil. **Acta Botanica Brasileira** 21(1): 185-192.
- Castro, N.M.C.F.; Porto, K.C.; Yano, O. & Castro, A.A.J.F. 2002. Levantamento florístico de Bryopsida de cerrado e mata ripícola do Parque Nacional de Sete Cidades, Piauí, Brasil. **Acta Botanica Brasileira** 16(1): 61-76.
- Clarke, K.R. & Warwick, R.M., 1994. **Change in Marine Communities: An Approach to Statistical Analysis and Interpretation**. Natural Environment Research Council, UK.
- Conceição, A.A.; Rapini, A.; Pirani, J.R.; Giulietti, A.M.; Harley, R.M.; Silva, T.R.; Santos, A.K.; Correia, C.; Andrade, I.M.; Costa, J.A.S.; Souza, L.R.S.; Andrade, M.J.G.; Funch, R.R.; Freitas, T.A.; Freitas, A.M.M. & Oliveira, A.A. 2005. Campos Rupestres. Pp. 153-180. In: Juncá, F.A.; Funch, L. & Rocha, W. (Eds.). **Biodiversidade e Conservação da Chapada Diamantina**. Brasília, Ministério do Meio Ambiente.
- Costa D.P. 1999. Epiphytic Bryophyte Diversity in Primary and Secondary Lowland Rainforest in Southeastern Brazil. **The Bryologist** 102: 320-326.
- Costa, D.P. & Lima, F.M. 2005. Moss diversity in the tropical rainforest of Rio de Janeiro, Southeastern Brazil. **Revista Brasileira de Botânica** 28(4): 671-685.
- Costa, D.P. & Silva, A.G. 2003. Briófitas da reserva Natural da Vale do Rio Doce, Linhares, Espírito Santo, Brasil. **Boletim do Museu de Biologia Mello Leitão** 16: 21-38.
- Crandall-Stotler, B.; Stotler R.E. & Long, D.G. 2009. Morphology and classification of the Marchantiophyta. Pp. 1-54. In: Goffinet, B. & Shaw, A.J. (Eds.). **Bryophyte Biology**. Second edition.
- Crum, H. 1984. North American Flora, Series II. Sphagnopsida. Sphagnaceae. **The New York Botanical Garden** 11: 1-180.
- Egunyomi, A. & Vital, D.M. 1984. Comparative studies on the bryofloras of the nigerian savanna and the brazilian cerrado. **Revista Brasileira de Botânica** 7: 129-136.
- Forzza, R.C.; Leitman, P.M.; Costa, A.F.; Carvalho Jr., A.A.; Peixoto, A.L.; Walter, B.M.T.; Bicudo, C.; Zappi, D.; Costa, D.P.; Lleras, E.; Martinelli, G.; Lima, H.C.; Prado, J.; Stehmann, J.R.; Baumgratz, J.F.A.; Pirani, J.R.; Sylvestre, L.; Maia, L.C.; Lohmann, L.G.; Queiroz, L.P.; Silveira, M.; Coelho, M.N.; Mamede, M.C.; Bastos, M.N.C.; Morim, M.P.; Barbosa, M.; Menezes, M.; Hopkins, M.; Secco, R.; Cavalcanti, T. B. & Souza, V.C. 2012. Introdução. In: Lista de Espécies da Flora do Brasil. Jardim Botânico do Rio de Janeiro. Disponível em <http://floradobrasil.jbrj.gov.br/2012/> (Acesso em 24/11/2012). Frahm, J.-P. 1990. The ecology of epiphytic bryophytes on Mt. Kinabalu. Sabah (Malaysia). **Nova Hedwigia** 51: 121-132.
- Frahm, J.-P. 1991. Dicranaceae: Campylopodioideae, Paraleucobryoideae. **Flora Neotropica, monograph** 54: 1-237.
- Frahm, J.-P. 1994. The ecology of epiphytic bryophytes on Mt. Kahuzi (Zaire). **Tropical Bryology** 9: 137-151.
- Frahm, J.-P. & Gradstein, S.R. 1991. An altitudinal zonation of tropical rain forests using bryophytes. **Journal of Biogeography** 18: 669-678.
- Funch, L.S. 2008. Florestas do Parque Nacional da Chapada Diamantina e seu entorno. Pp. 63-77. In: Funch, L.S., Funch, R.R. & Queiroz, L.P. (Orgs.) **Serra do Sincorá: Parque Nacional da Chapada Diamantina**. Radami Editora Gráfica, Feira de Santana.
- Funch, L.S.; Funch, R.R.; Harley, R.; Giulietti, A.M.; Queiroz, L.P.; França, F.; Melo, E.; Gonçalves, C.N. & Santos, T. 2005. Florestas Estacionais Semidecíduais. Pp. 181-193. In: Juncá, F.A.; Funch, L. & Rocha, W. (Eds.). **Biodiversidade e Conservação da Chapada Diamantina**. Brasília, Ministério do Meio Ambiente.
- Germano S.R. & Pôrto K.C. 1996. Floristic survey of epixylic bryophytes of an area remnant of the Atlantic Forest (Timbaúba, PE, Brazil) 1. Hepaticopsida (except Lejeuneaceae) and Bryopsida. **Tropical Bryology** 12: 21-28.
- Giulietti, A.M. & Pirani, J.R. 1988. Patterns of geographic distribution of some plant species from the Espinhaço Range, Minas Gerais and Bahia, Brazil. Pp. 39-69. In: Vanzolini, P.E. & Heyer, W.R. (Eds.). **Proceedings of a workshop on Neotropical Distribution Patterns**. Rio de Janeiro, Academia Brasileira de Ciências.
- Giulietti, A.M.; Pirani, J.R. & Harley, R.M. 1997. Espinhaço Range Region, Eastern Brazil. Pp. 397-404. In: S.D. Davis, V.H. Heywood, O. Herrera-Macbride, J. Villa-Lobos & A.C. Hamilton (Eds.). **Centres of plant diversity. A guide and strategy for their conservation**. v.3. The Americas. Cambridge, IUCN Publication Unity.
- Glime, Janice M. 2007. **Bryophyte Ecology**. Volume 1. Physiological Ecology. Ebook sponsored by Michigan Technological University and the International Association of Bryologists. <http://www.bryocol.mtu.edu/>. (Acesso em 01/2010).
- Goffinet, B.; Buck, W.R. & Shaw, A. J. 2009. Morphology, anatomy, and classification of the Bryophyta. Pp. 55-138. In: B. Goffinet & A.J. Shaw (Eds.). **Bryophyte Biology**. Second edition. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Gradstein, S.R. 1995. Bryophyte diversity of the tropical rainforest. **Archives des Sciences [Société de physique et d'histoire naturelle de Genève]** 48: 91-96.
- Gradstein & Costa, D.P. 2003. The Hepaticae and Anthocerotae of Brazil. **Memoirs of The New York Botanical Garden** 87: 1-336.
- Gradstein, S.R. & Pócs, T. 1989. Bryophytes. Pp. 311-325. In: H. Lieth & M.J.A. Werger (Eds.). **Tropical Rain Forest Ecosystems**. Amsterdam, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V.
- Gradstein, S.R.; Churchil, S.P. & Salazar-Allen, N. 2001. Guide to the Bryophytes of Tropical America. **Memoirs of The New York Botanical Garden** 86: 1-577.

- Harley, R.M. 1995. Bryophyta. Pp. 803-812. In: Stannard, B.L. (Ed.). **Flora of the Pico das Almas. Chapada Diamantina – Bahia, Brazil**. Kew, Royal Botanic Garden.
- Harley, R.M.; Giulietti, A.M.; Grilo, A.; Silva, T.S.; Funch, L.; Funch, R.R.; Queiroz, L.P.; França, F.; Melo, E.; Gonçalves, C.N. & Nascimento, F.H.F. 2005. Cerrado. Pp. 121-152. In: Juncá, F.A.; Funch, L. & Rocha, W. (Org.). **Biodiversidade e Conservação da Chapada Diamantina**. Brasília, Ministério do Meio Ambiente.
- IBGE. 2004. **Mapa de Biomas do Brasil**. Ministério do Planejamento, Orçamento e Gestão.
- Instituto de Pesquisas Jardim Botânico do Rio de Janeiro (Briófitas). 2008. http://www.jbrj.gov.br/pesquisa/div_tax/briofitas (Acesso em 01/2010).
- Jovet-Ast, S. 1991. *Riccia* (Hépatique, Marchantiales) d'Amérique Latine, Taxons du sous-genre *Riccia*. **Cryptogamie, Bryologie et Lichénologie** 12: 189-370.
- Kessler, M. 2000. Altitudinal zonation of Andean cryptogam communities. **Journal of Biogeography** 27: 275-282.
- Mägdefrau, K. 1982. Life-forms of bryophytes. Pp. 45-58. In: Smith, A.J.E. (Ed.). **Bryophyte Ecology**. Chapman and Hall, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- MMA - Ministério do meio ambiente. 2005. **Biodiversidade e conservação da Chapada Diamantina**. Brasília: Ministério do Meio Ambiente.
- Molinari, L.C. & Costa, D.P. 2001. Briófitas do arboreto do Jardim Botânico do Rio de Janeiro. **Rodriguésia** 81: 107-124.
- Nascimento, F.H.F., Giulietti, A.M. & Queiroz, L.P. 2010. Diversidade arbórea das florestas alto montanas no Sul da Chapada Diamantina, Bahia, Brasil. **Acta Botanica Brasílica** 24(3): 674-685.
- Nolasco, M.C., Lima, C.C.U., Rocha, W.F. & Régo, M.J.M. 2008. Aspectos físicos da Serra do Sincorá, Chapada Diamantina, Bahia. Pp. 17-33. In: Funch, L.S.; Funch, R.R. & Queiroz, L.P. (Orgs.) **Serra do Sincorá: Parque Nacional da Chapada Diamantina**.
- Oliveira-Filho, A.T.; Jarenkow, J.A. & Rodal, M.J.N. 2006. Floristic relationships of seasonally dry forests of eastern South America based on tree species distribution patterns. Pp. 159-192. In: Pennington, R.T.; Ratter, J.A. & Lewis, G.P. (Eds.) *Neotropical savannas and dry forests: Plant diversity, biogeography and conservation*. The Systematics Association Special volume Series 69, Florida, Boca Raton, CRC Press – Taylor and Francis Group.
- Peralta, D.F. & Vital, D.M. 2006. Archidiaceae (Archidiales, Bryophyta) do Brasil. **Boletim do Instituto de Botânica** 18: 17-32.
- Peralta, D.F. & Yano, O. 2005. Briófitas de mata paludosa, município de Zacarias, noroeste do Estado de São Paulo, Brasil. **Acta Botanica Brasílica** 19(4): 963-977.
- Pócs, T. 1982. Tropical forest bryophytes. Pp. 59-104. In: Smith, A.J.E. (Ed.). **Bryophyte Ecology**. London, Chapman and Hall.
- Pôrto K.C. 1990. Bryoflores d'une forêt de plaine et d'une forêt d'altitude moyenne dans l'État de Pernambuco (Brésil); Analyse floristique. **Cryptogamie, Bryologie et Lichénologie** 11: 109-161.
- Pôrto K.C. 1992. Bryoflores d'une forêt de plaine et d'une forêt d'altitude moyenne dans l'État de Pernambuco (Brésil); Analyse écologique comparative des forêts. **Cryptogamie, Bryologie et Lichénologie** 13: 187-219.
- Pôrto, K.C.; Silveira M.F.G.; & Sá, P.S. A. 1994. Briófitas da 'caatinga' 1. Estação experimental do IPA, Caruaru, PE. **Acta Botanica Brasílica** 8: 77-85.
- Proctor, M.C.F.; Oliver, M. J.; Wood, A. J.; Alpert, P.; Stark, L.R.; Cleavitt, N. L. & Mishler, B. D. 2007. Desiccation-tolerance in bryophytes: a review. **The Bryologist** 110: 595-621.
- Pursell, R. A. 2007. Fissidentaceae. **Flora Neotropica, Monograph** 101: 1-279.
- Queiroz, L.P.; França, F.; Giulietti, A.M.; Melo, E.; Gonçalves, C.N.; Funch, L.S.; Harley, R.M.; Funch, R.R. & Silva, T.S. 2005. Caatinga. Pp. 95-120. In: Juncá, F.A., Funch, L. & Rocha, W. (Orgs.). **Biodiversidade e Conservação da Chapada Diamantina**. Ministério do Meio Ambiente. Queiroz, L.P.;
- Funch, L.S. & Funch, R.R. 2008. Vegetação da Chapada Diamantina-Ênfase no Parque Nacional da Chapada Diamantina. Pp. 35-42. In: Funch, L.S., Funch, R.R. & Queiroz, L.P. (Orgs.) **Serra do Sincorá: Parque Nacional da Chapada Diamantina**.
- Reese, W.D. 1993. Calymperaceae. **Flora Neotropica Monograph** 58: 1-102.
- Richards, W.P. 1984. The ecology of tropical forest bryophytes. Pp. 1233-1270. In: Schuster, R.M. (Ed.). v.2. **New Manual of Bryology**. Japan, Hattori Botanical Laboratory.
- Rocha, W.J.S.F.; Chaves, J.M.; Rocha, C.C.; Funch, L. & Juncá, F.A. 2005. Avaliação Ecológica Rápida da Chapada Diamantina. Pp. 29-45. In: Juncá, F.A., Funch, L. & Rocha, W. (Orgs.). **Biodiversidade e Conservação da Chapada Diamantina**. Ministério do Meio Ambiente.
- Santos, N.D. & Costa, D.P. 2010a. Altitudinal zonation of liverworts in the Atlantic Forest, Southeastern Brazil. **The Bryologist** 113(3): 631-645.
- Santos, N. D. & Costa, D.P. 2010b. Phytogeography of the liverwort flora of the Atlantic Forest of south-eastern Brazil. **Journal of Bryology** 32: 9-22.
- Sharp, A.J.; Crum, H. & Eckel, P. 1994. The moss flora of Mexico. **Memoirs of The New York Botanical Garden** 69: 1-1113.
- Silva, M.P.P. & Pôrto, K.C. 2009. Effect of fragmentation on the community structure of epixylic bryophytes in Atlantic Forest remnants in the Northeast of Brazil. **Biodiversity and Conservation** 18: 317-337.
- Valente, E.B. & Pôrto, K.C. 2006. Hepáticas (Marchantiophyta) de um fragmento de Mata Atlântica na Serra da Jibóia, município de Santa Terezinha, BA, Brasil. **Acta Botanica Brasílica** 20: 433-441.
- Valente, E. B.; Pôrto, K. C. & Bastos, C. J. P. 2011. Checklist of Bryophytes of Chapada Diamantina, Bahia, Brazil. **Boletim do Instituto de Botânica** 21: 111-124.
- Valente, E.B.; Pôrto, K.C.; Bôas-Bastos, S.B.V. & Bastos, C.J.P. 2009. Musgos (Bryophyta) de um fragmento de Mata Atlântica na Serra da Jibóia, município de Santa Terezinha, BA, Brasil. **Acta Botanica Brasílica** 23: 369-375.
- Van Reenen, G.B.A. & Gradstein, S.R. 1983. A transect analysis of the bryophyte vegetation along an altitudinal gradient on he Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta, Colombia. **Acta Botanica Neerlandica** 32: 163-175.
- Van Reenen, G.B.A. & Gradstein, S.R. 1984. An investigation of bryophyte distribution and ecology along an altitudinal gradient in the Andes of Colombia. **Journal of the Hattori Botanical Laboratory** 56: 79-84.
- Vanderpoorten, A. & Goffinet, B. 2009. **Introduction to Bryophytes**. Cambridge University Press.
- Veloso, H.P.; Rangel Filho, A.L.R. & Lima, J.C. 1991. Classificação da vegetação brasileira, adaptada a um sistema universal. Rio de Janeiro: IBGE.
- Velloso, A.L.; Sampaio, E.V.S.B. & Pareyn, F.G.C. 2002. **Ecorregiões propostas para o Bioma Caatinga**. Recife, Associação Plantas do Nordeste, Instituto de Conservação Ambiental, The Nature Conservancy do Brasil.
- Vilas Bôas-Bastos, S.B. & Bastos, C.J.P. 2008. Neckeraceae (Bryophyta, Bryopsida) da Reserva Ecológica da Michelin, Igrapiúna, Bahia, Brasil. **Sitientibus, Série Ciências Biológicas** 8: 263-274.
- Vilas Bôas-Bastos, S.B. & Bastos, C.J.P. 1998. Briófitas de uma área de cerrado no município de Alagoinhas, Bahia, Brasil. **Tropical Bryology** 15: 101-110.
- Visnadi, S.R. 2004. Distribuição da brioflora em diferentes fisionomias de cerrado da Reserva Biológica e Estação Experimental de Mogi-Guaçu, SP, Brasil. **Acta Botanica Brasílica** 18(4): 965-973.
- Visnadi, S.R. 2005. Brioflora da Mata Atlântica do estado de São Paulo: região norte. **Hoehnea** 32(2): 215-231.
- Visnadi, S. R. & Vital, D. M. 2000. Lista das briófitas ocorrentes no Parque Estadual das Fontes do Ipiranga - PEFI. **Hoehnea** 27(3): 279-294.
- Yano, O. 1981. A Checklist of Brazilian Mosses. **Journal of the Hattori Botanical Laboratory** 50: 279-456.
- Yano, O. 1984. A Checklist of Brazilian Liverworts and Hornworts. **Journal of the Hattori Botanical Laboratory** 56: 481-548.
- Yano, O. 1987. Briófitas. Pp. 11-12. In: Giulietti, A.M.; Menezes, N.L.; Pirani, J.R.; Meguro, M. & Wanderley, M.G.L. (Org.). **Flora da Serra do Cipó, Minas Gerais: caracterização e lista de espécies**. São Paulo: Boletim de Botânica da Universidade de São Paulo.
- Yano, O. 1989a. An Additional Checklist of Brazilian the Bryophytes. **Journal of the Hattori Botanical Laboratory** 66: 371-434.
- Yano, O. 1989b. Briófitas. Pp.27-30 In: Fidalgo, O. & Bononi, V.L.R. (Ed.) **Técnicas de coleta, preservação e herboração de material botânico**. Série Documentos/Instituto de Botânica, São Paulo.

- Yano, O. 1995. A New Additional Annotated Checklist of Brazilian Bryophytes. **Journal of the Hattori Botanical Laboratory** 78:137-182.
- Yano, O. 1996a. A checklist of the Brazilian Bryophytes. **Boletim do Instituto de Botânica** 10: 47-232.
- Yano, O. 2005. Adição às briófitas da Reserva Natural da Vale do Rio Doce, Linhares Espírito Santo, Brasil. **Boletim do Museu de Biologia Mello Leitão** 18: 15-58.
- Yano, O. 2006. Novas adições ao catálogo de Briófitas Brasileiras. **Boletim do Instituto de Botânica** 17: 1-142.
- Yano, O. 2008. Catálogo de Antóceros e Hepáticas Brasileiros: literatura original, basionimo, localidade-tipo e distribuição geográfica. **Boletim do Instituto de Botânica** 19: 1-110.
- Yano, O. & Bastos, C.J.P. 1994. Musgos do estado da Bahia, Brasil. **Biologica Brasílica** 6: 9-26.
- Yano, O. & Carvalho, A. B. 1995. **Briófitas da Serra da Piedade, Minas Gerais, Brasil**. Pp. 15-25. In: Anais do 9º Congresso da Sociedade Botânica de São Paulo.
- Yano, O. & Peralta, D.F. 2006. Briófitas coletadas por Daniel Moreira Vital no Estado da Bahia, Brasil. **Boletim do Instituto de Botânica** 18: 33-73.
- Yano, O.; Pirani, J.R. & Santos, D.P. 1985. O gênero *Sphagnum* (Bryopsida) nas regiões sul e sudeste do Brasil. **Revista Brasileira de Botânica** 8: 55-80.
- Yano, O. & Peralta, D.F. 2009. Flora de Grão-Mogol, Minas Gerais: Briófitas (Bryophyta e Marchantiophyta). **Boletim de Botânica da Universidade de São Paulo** 27(1): 1-26.
- Zander, R.H. 1993. Genera of the Pottiaceae: Mosses of Harsh environments. **Bulletin of the Buffalo Society of Natural Sciences** 32: 1-378.