## **Short Editorial**



# Is Catheter Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation in Patients with Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction a Good Therapeutic Option?

Sergio Menezes Couceiro<sup>1,2</sup> and Fernando Mendes Sant'Anna<sup>2,3</sup>

Universidade Federal Fluminense – Cardiologia, 1 Cabo Frio, RJ – Brazil

Hospital Santa Izabel – Cardiologia,<sup>2</sup> Cabo Frio, RJ – Brazil

Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Campus Macaé, 3 Macaé, RJ – Brazil

Short Editorial related to the article: Catheter Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation in Patients with Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction  $\leq$  45%: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials

The article was well written, interesting, has relevance and validity and aimed to compare results obtained through metaanalyses of randomized controlled trials on catheter ablation in patients with left ventricular ejection fraction below 45%.<sup>1,2</sup>

The methods used were interesting and sought to answer the main question. They searched the literature for studies that compared catheter ablation (CA) with medical therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) with left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF)  $\leq$ 45%. A meta-analysis of 7 clinical trials was performed, including 1,163 patients with AF and heart failure (HF). All tests were two-sided, and only a p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

The author used the PRISMA<sup>3</sup> methodology, and the data is incorporated into the article comparatively and addresses the 7 selected meta-analyses.

Only prospective randomized clinical trials were included, and the inclusion criteria were clinical studies that included AF patients with LVEF  $\leq$ 45%. The intervention group used catheter ablation for rhythm control (the main procedure was vein isolation). The control group used medical therapy only for treatment, and studies reported at least one cardiovascular outcome.

The clinical outcomes of this meta-analysis were all-cause mortality, HF hospitalization, AF recurrence, improvement in LVEF, and changes in 6-minute walk distance (6MWT) and Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire (MLHFQ) scores.

It catches our attention and raises the question as to whether therapy is not uniform across studies, and not all of them take into account the presence of LBBB or left atrium size, time since onset, and type of AF.

We observed the need to discriminate the cause of ischemic or non-ischemic HF and apply a correction to the results.

Comparing the CASTLE-AF<sup>4</sup> and RAFT-HF<sup>5</sup> trials brings out heterogenicity that was corrected, and non-heterogenicity was observed only after excluding the ARC-HF trial in relation to AF recurrence.

Only after adjusting and correcting with the exclusion of the AMICA TRIAL<sup>6</sup> were they able to obtain an increase in the walking time of the 6-minute walk test.

The authors concluded that compared with medical therapy, AC for AF in patients with HF was associated with a lower risk of all-cause mortality, hospitalization for HF, and AF recurrence and more significant improvements in LVEF and quality of life, a finding that was not corroborated by more robust studies with a specific design.<sup>7-11</sup>

The subanalysis revealed that patients with milder left ventricular failure dysfunction could benefit more from AF ablation than patients with more severe diseases but with low statistical power.

#### **Keywords**

Atrial Fibrillation; Heart Failure; Catheter Ablation; Meta-Analysis.

Mailing Address: Sergio Menezes Couceiro •

Universidade Federal Fluminense – Cardiologia – Rua Raul Veiga, 5, sala 203. Postal Code 28907-090, Cabo Frio, RJ – Brazil E-mail: sergiomenezes.card@hotmail.com Manuscript received November 26, 2023, revised manuscript December 06, 2023, accepted December 06, 2023

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.36660/abc.20230820

### **Short Editorial**

#### References

- Cui Y, Yao J, Zhang J, Liu Z, Chen T, Zhou Y. Catheter Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation in Patients with Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction ≤ 45%: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Arg Bras Cardiol. 2024; 121(1):e20230214. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36660/abc.20230214.
- Jones DG, Haldar SK, Hussain W, Sharma R, Francis DP, Rahman-Haley SL, et al. A Randomized Trial to Assess Catheter Ablation versus Rate Control in the Management of Persistent Atrial Fibrillation in Heart Failure. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;61(18):1894-903. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.01.069.
- Parkash R, Wells GA, Rouleau J, Talajic M, Essebag V, Skanes A, et al. Randomized Ablation-Based Rhythm-Control versus Rate-Control Trial in Patients with Heart Failure and Atrial Fibrillation: Results from the RAFT-AF Trial. Circulation. 2022;145(23):1693-704. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.121.057095.
- Packer DL, Piccini JP, Monahan KH, Al-Khalidi HR, Silverstein AP, Noseworthy PA, et al. Ablation versus Drug Therapy for Atrial Fibrillation in Heart Failure: Results from the CABANA Trial. Circulation. 2021;143(14):1377-90. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.050991.
- Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, et al. The PRISMA Statement for Reporting Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses of Studies that Evaluate Healthcare Interventions: Explanation and Elaboration. BMJ. 2009;339:b2700. doi: 10.1136/bmj.b2700.
- Di Biase L, Mohanty P, Mohanty S, Santangeli P, Trivedi C, Lakkireddy D, et al. Ablation versus Amiodarone for Treatment of Persistent Atrial

- Fibrillation in Patients with Congestive Heart Failure and an Implanted Device: Results from the AATAC Multicenter Randomized Trial. Circulation. 2016;133(17):1637-44. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.019406.
- Prabhu S, Taylor AJ, Costello BT, Kaye DM, McLellan AJA, Voskoboinik A, et al. Catheter Ablation versus Medical Rate Control in Atrial Fibrillation and Systolic Dysfunction: The CAMERA-MRI Study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;70(16):1949-61. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.08.041.
- Kuck KH, Merkely B, Zahn R, Arentz T, Seidl K, Schlüter M, et al. Catheter Ablation versus Best Medical Therapy in Patients with Persistent Atrial Fibrillation and Congestive Heart Failure: The Randomized AMICA Trial. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. 2019;12(12):e007731. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCEP.119.007731.
- Chang TY, Chao TF, Lin CY, Lin YJ, Chang SL, Lo LW, et al. Catheter Ablation of Atrial Fibrillation in Heart Failure with Impaired Systolic Function: An Updated Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. J Chin Med Assoc. 2023;86(1):11-8. doi: 10.1097/JCMA.0000000000000823.
- Sohns C, Zintl K, Zhao Y, Dagher L, Andresen D, Siebels J, et al. Impact of Left Ventricular Function and Heart Failure Symptoms on Outcomes Post Ablation of Atrial Fibrillation in Heart Failure: CASTLE-AF Trial. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. 2020;13(10):e008461. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.120.008461.
- Richter S, Di Biase L, Hindricks G. Atrial Fibrillation Ablation in Heart Failure. Eur Heart J. 2019;40(8):663-71. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy778.

