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#### Abstract

Objective - To determine the prevalence of risk factors for cardiovascular diseases in employees of the research center at Petrobras.

Methods-In a cross-sectional study, employees of the research center at Petrobras were assessed clinically and by laboratory testing from March 2000 and February 2001. Those who did not attend the periodical annual medical examination of 2000 were excluded from the study. The percentage of risk factor occurrence and the mean standard deviation of the biochemical variables, blood pressure, and body mass index were calculated.


Results - Of 1,911 employees, 970 were studied, $75.4 \%$ were men and $24.6 \%$ were women with a mean age of 42.2 years old. The risk factors were lack of exercise ( $67.3 \%$ ), cholesterol $>200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}(56.6 \%)$, overweight $(42 \%)$, obesity ( $17 \%$ ), blood hypertension ( $18.2 \%$ ), smoking ( $12.4 \%$ ), and diabetes mellitus ( $2.5 \%$ ).

Conclusion - The high prevalence of risk factors for cardiovascular disease in young individuals draws attention to the need for the adoption of workplace programs to encourage healthy lifestyles and to prevent diseases.
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[^0]Historically, interest in workplace diseases and their complications has focused on the level of occupational exposure leading to work-related health risks ${ }^{1}$.

In recent years, the emphasis has changed to noncontagious chronic diseases, and the workplace has attracted attention as a potential place for causal studies and interventions. These interventional studies aim at changing triggering behaviors of diseases, nonspecific to occupational function, such as diet, exercise, and smoking.

Cardiovascular diseases play an unquestionable role in the morbidity and mortality of the Western world, both in developed countries and in developing ones. Ischemic heart diseases and stroke are, and will be, according to predictions for the year 2002, the main causes of death, and of years lived with disability ${ }^{2,3}$.

Because of this high prevalence of cardiovascular diseases, of the few national studies, and of the possibility of risk factor intervention in the workplace, this research was carried out using employees of the Research Center at Petrobras, aiming at assessing risk factors for cardiovascular diseases and then developing actions to promote health.

## Methods

This cross-sectional case study was performed with employees from the Research Center at Petrobras, in Rio de Janeiro, from March 2000 to February 2001.

Petrobras has 39.908 employees, performing expert and integrated work in fields related to the oil, gas, and power industries and is the technology base for Brazilian oil. Its staff comprises physicists, mathematicians, biologists, engineers, physicians, technicians, and managers, with 1191 employees being those with masters degrees, MD degrees, and managers with MBAs (Master Business Administration) working on research projects concerning exploration, production, and refinement of national oil, in addition to searching for alternative sources of energy for the country. The center has 137 laboratories and a park with 28 pilot units (units for tests in refineries).

The study included 1,191 employees who were requested to undergo the company's annual examination du-
ring the period mentioned. The employees who participated underwent standardized clinical and laboratory examinations. The blood was collected by an expert technician from an accredited laboratory, and it was sent for analysis on the same day. Clinical examination was performed by company doctors, and the anthropometric measures and blood pressure were taken by technicians and trained nurses using a regularly calibrated sphygmomanometer.

Lipid profile values were assessed according to the National Cholesterol Education Program of the USA (NCEP) ${ }^{4}$, which classifies total cholesterol as desirable (< $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ), borderline ( $200-239 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) and undesirable ( $>240 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ); triglycerides as desirable ( $<200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ), borderline ( $200-400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ), high ( $400-1000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) and extremely high ( $>1000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) and HDL cholesterol as low ( $<35 \mathrm{mg} /$ dL ), normal ( $35-59 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) and high ( $>60 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ).

The presence of first-degree relatives with manifest coronary artery disease and/or cerebrovascular and/or peripheral artery disease was considered a positive familial history.

A diagnosis of diabetes mellitus (fasting glycemia $\geq$ at $126 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ ) followed the guidelines of the Expert Committee on Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus ${ }^{5}$.

Those individuals who did not participate in regular physical activity or with a frequency of $\leq 2$ times a week, lasting at least 30 minutes were considered sedentary individuals.

Individuals who regularly smoked 3 or more cigarettes a day for $\geq 1$ year, inhaling the smoke were considered smokers.

According to body mass index (BMI), individuals were classified as: normal weight ( $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 18 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and $\leq 24.99$ $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ); overweight ( $\mathrm{BMI} \geq 25$ and $\leq 29.99 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ); obese $\left(\mathrm{BMI} \geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)^{6}$.

Regarding blood pressure, the reference values adopted followed the recommendations of the Joint National Committee VI (JNC VI) ${ }^{7}$, which defines as blood hypertension levels $\geq 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ for diastolic pressure and $\geq 140$ mmHg for systolic pressure. People who had blood pressure below these levels but were using antihypertensive medication were also considered hypertensive.

After clinical and laboratory evaluation, a descriptive statistical analysis was performed with calculation of the minimum value, the first quartile, median, third quartile, maximum, mean, and standard deviation for biochemical variables, for blood pressure, and for body mass index, and the percentage of risk factors was calculated.

## Results

Of the 1,191 employees recruited, 970 attended and were assessed, $75.4 \%$ were men, and $24.6 \%$ were women with a mean age of 42.2 years old.

Data concerning the laboratory profile are found in table I, and those referring to blood pressure and body mass index are found in table II. Figure 1 presents the prevalence of the main risk factors.

Being sedentary, which occurred in $63.7 \%$ of the sample, was the most prevalent risk factor. Obesity was observed in $17 \%$ of the population, and $42 \%$ of the population was overweight. Blood hypertension was present in $18.2 \%$ of the

| Table I - Levels of biochemical variables of the 970 <br> CENPES, Rio de Janeiro, 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statistics <br> Descriptives | Glycemia <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ | Cholesterol <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ | HDL <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ | LDL* <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ | Triglycerídes <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ |
| Mean | 91.9 | 208.4 | 48.1 | 132.3 | 143.3 |
| Standard-deviation | 16.1 | 41.3 | 11.5 | 36.5 | 127.1 |
| Minimum | 65 | 107 | 22 | 20.8 | 32 |
| 1st quartile | 85 | 179 | 40 | 107.2 | 76 |
| Median | 90 | 205 | 46 | 130.6 | 109 |
| 3rd quartile | 95 | 231 | 55 | 151.8 | 165 |
| Maximum | 299 | 376 | 110 | 297.6 | 1,725 |
| *n=967 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table II - Systolic BP, Diastolic BP and BMI of the 970 employees at <br> CENPES, Rio de Janeiro, 2000 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statístics | Systolic BP <br> $(\mathrm{mmHg})$ | Diastolic BP <br> $(\mathrm{mmHg})$ | BMI <br> $\left(\mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |
| Descriptives | 116.4 | 75.7 | 26.5 |
| Mean | 15.1 | 10.5 | 4.5 |
| Standard-deviation | 80 | 50 | 16.9 |
| Minimum | 110 | 70 | 23.6 |
| 1st quartile | 120 | 80 | 25.8 |
| Median | 120 | 80 | 28.6 |
| 3rd quartile | 230 | 140 | 51.6 |
| Maximum |  |  |  |



Fig. 1 - Prevalence of main risk factors in the 970 employees at CENPES, Rio de Janeiro, 2000.
employees, and hypertension was controlled in only $16 \%$ of the hypertensive individuals. Smoking was observed in $12.4 \%$ of the sample, and diabetes mellitus in $2.5 \%$.

Regarding family history, coronary artery disease was present in $25.5 \%$; systemic blood hypertension and stroke in $45.6 \%$, and $12.5 \%$, respectively.

Total cholesterol levels above normal values (borderline and undesirable) were observed in $56.6 \%$ of the individuals; $19.3 \%$ were higher than $240 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$. Decreased levels
of HDL cholesterol were found in $8.7 \%$ of the population and high levels of HDL cholesterol were found in $15.4 \%$ of the population. Triglyceride levels above $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ were found in $17 \%$ of the population.

## Discussion

For a long time, the idea that cardiovascular diseases were genetically determined and that little could be done about their prevention has prevailed. However, the recognition of risk factors has changed this view.

Initial results of the first intervention project on the habits of a population group, performed in the Finnish town of North Karelia, a town with an extremely high incidence and mortality due to coronary artery disease, have demonstrated the possibility of important reductions in these indexes, through wide-reaching actions regarding lifestyles ${ }^{8}$.

Although the study group was very different, the indexes presented were quite similar to those of other populations, regardless of the social, economic, and cultural level.

Regarding lipid profiles, it was observed that $55.6 \%$ of individuals had cholesterol $>200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ with $19.1 \%$ of individuals had cholesterol $\geq 240 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}^{9}$. This was an even higher prevalence than that in the North American population, where $51.8 \%$ of the population's cholesterol is estimated at $>200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$ and $20 \%>240 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}^{4}$. The mean total cholesterol was $207.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$, which was also higher than that observed in some national studies. Ducan et al ${ }^{10}$ found a mean cholesterol of $202.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$, and the study performed in Cotia, a town near São Paulo ${ }^{11}$, had a mean cholesterol of $184.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL}$. Souza ${ }^{12}$ found a mean cholesterol of $211.6 \mathrm{mg} /$ dL in a population of bus drivers with a mean age similar to that of the population of the Research Center at Petrobras.

The presence of higher levels of body mass index was another worrisome aspect in this population. Forty-two percent of the individuals were overweight, and $16 \%$ were obese; that is, $58 \%$ of the individuals had excessive weight. Mean body mass index was $26.3 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, and in the study by Souza ${ }^{12,}$ it was $25.8 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ with $59.5 \%$ of overweight individuals. Data from the Latin American Consensus on Obesity ${ }^{13}$ demonstrate that in Argentina $32.5 \%$ of the population is overweight, and $27 \%$ of the population is obese; in Uruguay, $42 \%$ of the population is overweight, and in Brazil, this number is $53 \%$. In the USA, in $2000{ }^{14}$, it was observed that $19.8 \%$ of the population is obese.

Regarding smoking, $69.4 \%$ of our population had never smoked, $18.2 \%$ were former smokers, and only $12.4 \%$ were smokers. These values are much lower than those observed in the literature. Souza ${ }^{12}$ found a prevalence of $32.7 \%$. In the population of the Ilha do Governador, the prevalence observed was $33 \%{ }^{15}$. Moreira et al ${ }^{16}$ and Pohlmann ${ }^{17}$ observed a prevalence of $41.5 \%$ and $45.7 \%$, respectively. Around the world, $1 / 3$ of the adult population is estimated to be smokers. The low prevalence of this risk factor in the population studied is probably due to the intense work to persuade them to quit smoking developed by the company for approximately 10 years.

Being sedentary was another prevalent risk factor in our study. The findings demonstrate that $67.4 \%$ of the indi-
viduals did not exercise, and only 32.6\% exercised regularly. Studies involving 37 American states demonstrate that 58\% of this population does not exercise ${ }^{18}$. In the state of São Paulo ${ }^{19}, 69 \%$ of the population is sedentary and in a study by Souza, $86 \%{ }^{12}$.

The prevalence of diabetes mellitus was $2.5 \%(n=24)$, lower than that reported in the literature, considering the mean age of the population. Souza ${ }^{12}$, in a population with a mean age of 41.3 years old, found a prevalence of $7.32 \%$.

The prevalence of hypertension in our population was $18.2 \%$. This prevalence varies widely in the world, with values near $4 \%$ in China and around $20 \%$ in the USA ${ }^{20}$. Recently, an epidemiological, clinical survey was performed among the inhabitants of the XX Administrative Region of the City of Rio de Janeiro (Ilha do Governador) with findings of $38 \%$ hypertensive individuals, which is extremely higher than that described in other populations ${ }^{15}$. In the Souza study ${ }^{12}$, this value was $20.7 \%$.

Lessa ${ }^{21}$ presented a review of 51 Brazilian studies (including children and adolescents) on the prevalence of blood hypertension, performed between 1970 and around 1993. The differences between the prevalence mentioned in Brazil are extremely broad, ranging from $7.2 \%$ to $40.3 \%$ in the Northeast, $5.04 \%$ to $37.9 \%$ in the Southeast, $1.28 \%$ to $27.1 \%$ in the South, and $6.3 \%$ to $16.75 \%$ in the West-Center, due partly to the diversity of important methodological characteristics between the studies.

The lack of research on risk factors in the Brazilian population results in great disadvantages in comparison with developed countries. In the case of the economically active population, the lack of data is enormous, even in sectors of great economic importance.

Regarding the limitations of the study, we point out that, the necessary care for systematic error reduction was observed, through the training of the involved technical staff, as well as checking the equipment used. Concerning the 221 excluded employees, who could not attend the examination for several different reasons, we have inferred that they should not differ from the total sample, because the mean age and the distribution by sex are the same as that observed in 970 study employees.

Although the results obtained are very similar to those observed in the literature for different populations, the peculiar characteristics of the group studied do not allow for generalization of the observations to the general population.

Based on the data found, one may infer that the employees of the Research Center at Petrobras are at moderate risk of developing cardiovascular diseases, despite the relatively young age, the high education level, and the available resources at the company.

Taking into account that the presence of 3,4 , or more related risk factors in a the same patient is very common, it is not difficult to understand the need for multiple interventions. As men spend $65 \%$ of their lifetime at work, it is the ideal place to learn what they haven't learned in school or at home, because only with education is it possible to effectively prevent diseases. Thus, the development of preventive health programs aimed at changing lifestyles may be effective to sensitize the individual to change habits harmful to health.

## References

1. Ramazzini B. De Morbis Artificum Diatriba. In: Estrela R. As Doenças dos Trabalhadores. São Paulo: Ministério do Trabalho, Fundacentro, 1985:9-179.
2. Murray CJL.The Global Burden of Disease. WHO, HSPH, W. BANK-1996
3. Levy D, Wilson PWF. Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease - an epidemiologic perspective. In: Topol EJ, editores. Textbook of Cardiovascular Medicine. $2^{\text {a }}$ ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raver;1998:13-29.
4. National Cholesterol Education Program. Second report of the Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel II).Circulation 1994; 89: 1329-445.
5. The Expert Commitee on the Dignosis and Classifiction of Diabetes Mellitus. Report of the expert commitee on the dignosis and classifiction of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 1997;20:1183-97
6. World Health Organization(WHO). Physical Status: the use and interpretation of anthropometry. Geneve: WHO, 1995. (Technical Report Series, 854).
7. Joint National Commitee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment High Blood Pressure. The sixth report of the Joint National Commitee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment High Blood Pressure. Arch Intern Med 1997;157:2413-46.
8. Puska P, Tuomileto J, Salone J, et al. Community control of cardiovascular diseases. Evalution of a comprehensive community programme for control of cardiovascular diseases in North Karelia, Finland.1972-1977, WHO:Copenhagen, 1981.
9. Forti N, Fukushima J, Gianini SD. Perfil lipídico de indivíduos submetidos à cinecoronariografia em diferentes regiões do Brasil. Arq Bras Cardiol 1997;68:333-42.
10. Duncan BB, Berger C, Silva ML, et al. Níveis séricos de colesterol em amostrarepresentativa da população adulta de Porto Alegre. Arq Bras Cardiol 1988; 51:385-90.
11. Martins IS. Doenças cardiovasculares ateroscleróticas, dislipidemias, hiperten-
são, obesidade e diabetes mellitus em população de área metropolitana da região sudeste do Brasil: II-dislipidemias. Rev Saúde Pública 1996; 30: 75-84.
12. Souza NRM. Fatores de risco cardiovascular em motoristas de ônibus [Dissertação de de Mestrado]. Niterói: Universidade Federal Fluminense, 1999:271
13. Coutinho W. Consenso Latino-Americano em obesidade. Disponível na INTERNET via www.abeso.org.br/consenso.doc. Arquivo consultado em 2001.
14. Pi-Sunyer FX. NHLBI- Obesity education initiative expert panel on the identification, evaluation, and treatment of overweight and obesity in adults. Disponível na INTERNET via www.cdc.gov. Arquivo consultado em 2001.
15. Klein CH, Silva NAS, Nogueira AR, Campos CHS, Bloch KV. Relatório de Pesquisa de Hipertensão Arterial na Ilha do Governador. HUCFF-UFRJ/Ministério de Saúde, 1992.
16. Moreira LB, Fuchs FD, Moraes RMet al. Prevalência de tabagismo e fatores associados em área metropolitanada região sul do Brasil. Rev Saúde Pública 1995; 29:46-51.
17. Pohlmann PR. Tabagismo em Porto Alegre: prevalência eo papel dos profissionais da saúde na prevenção. Rev Ass Med Brasil 1991; 37: 8-14.
18. National Heart Institute. Physical activity and cardiovascular health. JAMA 1996; 276: 241-6.
19. Rego RA, BerardoFAN, Rodrigues SSR et al. Fatores de risco para doenças crônicas não-transmissíveis: inquérito domiciliar no município de São Paulo, SP(Brasil). Rev Saúde Pública 1990; 24: 277-85.
20. Porto CC. Hipertensão Arterial. In: Porto CC editores. Doenças do coração- prevenção e tratamento.Rio de Janeiro: editora Guanabara Koogan, 1998:453-60.
21. Lessa 1. Estudos Brasileiros Sobre a Epidemiologia da Hipertensão Arterial: Análise Crítica dos Estudos de Prevalência. Inf. Epidemiol., SUS, Cenepi, Ministério da Saúde 1993; 3: 59-75.

[^0]:    Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro
    Mailing address: CENPES/SMS/SAUDE - Cidade Universitária - Quadra 7 - Ilha do Fundão - Cep 21949-900 - Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil
    E-mail: mfmatos@cenpes.petrobras.com.br
    Received: 5/15/2002
    Accepted: 5/13/2003

