

Lung Function and Inspiratory Muscle Strength in Heart Failure: Can They be Considered Potential Prognostic Markers?

Filipe Ferrari^{1,2} 

Programa de Pós-Graduação em Cardiologia e Ciências Cardiovasculares, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre,¹ Porto Alegre, RS – Brazil

Grupo de Pesquisa em Cardiologia do Exercício (CardioEx) – Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre,² Porto Alegre, RS – Brazil

Short Editorial related to the article: Relationship of Lung Function and Inspiratory Strength with Exercise Capacity and Prognosis in Heart Failure

Heart failure (HF) is a complex syndrome considered a major public health problem. Different HF subtypes are classically defined based on left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF).¹ Although its prognosis has improved in recent decades – explained, in part, by major therapeutic advances² – HF persists with a high burden of mortality and negatively influences the quality of life.^{3,4} In this sense, common symptoms experienced in this disease, such as shortness of breath and exercise intolerance, contribute greatly to this sharp decline in the quality of life of individuals.⁵ Another condition, considered an important risk factor, which usually accompanies HF, is pulmonary dysfunction.⁶ The respiratory impairments observed in HF may be related to several reasons, such as an impairment of lung mechanics and gas diffusion,⁷ as well as respiratory muscle weakness – aggravating increased dyspnea, being a major limitation on physical exercise.⁸

Spirometry is a widely used test that allows the analysis of lung function – by measuring the amount of air inhaled and exhaled to the maximum. Because chronic obstructive pulmonary disease shares similar signs and symptoms with HF, its identification in individuals with HF can be challenging; in this sense, spirometry can help confirm the diagnosis.⁹ About evaluating the potential severity of some lung diseases, the exercise test can also be useful, observing a series of parameters, such as the ratio of forced expiratory volume in 1 second/forced vital capacity (FEV₁/FVC).¹⁰ The severity of the disease can still be classified based on FEV₁ when it is below the lower limit of normal (ranging from mild when $\geq 70\%$ of predicted to very severe when $< 35\%$ of predicted). Although HF itself can lead to a decrease in FEV₁ and FVC of about 20% of predicted,¹⁰ in addition to the fact that a worse FEV₁ may predict higher mortality,¹¹ convincing evidence examining the prognostic role of FEV₁ in the HF setting still needs further investigation.

Keywords

Heart Failure; Respiratory Insufficiency; Respiratory Muscles; Ventricular Function; Exercise Tolerance; Risk Assessment

Mailing Address: Filipe Ferrari •

R. Ramiro Barcelos, 2400. Postal Code 90035-003, Porto Alegre, RS – Brazil
E-mail: ferrari.filipe88@cardiol.br

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36660/abc.20211060>

In this issue of the ABC, Ramalho et al.¹² shared data from a cohort study of 111 Brazilian adults (mean age: 57 years; 40% women) with chronic HF, no diagnosed lung disease, and who underwent a test of respiratory muscle strength and spirometry; participants were subsequently followed for a mean of 2.2 years. Some of the aims of the study were to analyze the FEV₁/FVC ratio with (a) maximal inspiratory pressure, (b) LVEF, and (c) patients' prognosis – the latter being defined as a composite of cardiovascular (CV) death, emergency heart transplantation or left ventricular assist device implantation. Overall, the initial mean LVEF was 38%, but 24 of the patients had an LVEF $> 50\%$; the vast majority of the sample (64%) was in class III using the NYHA classification. Ischemic heart disease and Chagas' disease were the main etiologies observed (39% and 29%, respectively). The patients were relatively well treated, receiving optimal medical therapy (beta-blockers in 90%, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitors in 84%, and mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists in 66%).

This article has several interesting findings worth highlighting. Both FVC and FEV₁/FVC did not correlate with a better or worse prognosis during the mean follow-up. On the other hand, after a sensitivity analysis, a low FEV₁/FVC was indicated to be a potential risk marker for increased major adverse CV events in the theoretically more severe individuals, i.e., with LVEF $< 50\%$. Furthermore, a higher risk of CV events was observed in those with both reduced maximal inspiratory pressure and FEV₁/FVC (hazard ratio 1.72; 95% confidence interval, 1.14 to 2.61).

Decades ago, Tockman et al.¹³ reported FEV₁ as an independent predictor for CV mortality after following a cohort of apparently healthy men. In other observational studies that evaluated the prognosis of maximal inspiratory pressure in patients with HF, Hamazaki et al.¹⁴ reported a lower incidence of clinical events in patients with a wide variety of LVEF (majority in NYHA functional class II) when a higher maximal inspiratory pressure was present, after cardiac rehabilitation sessions and at a mean follow-up of 1.8 years, even after adjustment for confounding factors. Meyer et al. suggested that inspiratory muscle strength could be useful in risk stratification of patients.¹⁵

Despite interesting findings, which to some extent corroborate previous studies, the study by Ramalho et al.¹² does not allow us to make causal inferences safely due to its observational design. It should be interpreted in light of this and other possible limitations. Although it is well established that HF is commonly characterized by abnormality of

respiratory muscles, the consequent decline in quality of life, and possibly worse prognosis, it would be premature to definitively conclude a direct association between maximal inspiratory pressure or FEV₁/FVC with increased risk of CV events in this population, regardless of LVEF. Despite these comments, this study provides important information to

the literature, and it rekindles the possibility that FEV₁/FVC can be used as a prognostic tool, offering incremental information in the HF scenario, especially in the group of patients considered at higher risk. Still, it would be prudent to state that the relationship between these markers and the prognosis of these individuals remains uncertain.

References

1. Jorge AJL, Barbetta LMDS, Correia ETO, Martins WA, Leite AR, Saad MAN, et al. Characteristics and Temporal Trends in the Mortality of Different Heart Failure Phenotypes in Primary Care. *Arq Bras Cardiol.* 2021;117(2):300-6. doi: 10.36660/abc.20190912.
2. Iacoviello M, Palazzuoli A, Gronda E. Recent advances in pharmacological treatment of heart failure. *Eur J Clin Invest.* 2021;51(11):e13624. doi: 10.1111/eci.13624
3. Fernandes SL, Carvalho RR, Santos LG, Sá FM, Ruivo C, Mendes SL, et al. Pathophysiology and Treatment of Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction: State of the Art and Prospects for the Future. *Arq Bras Cardiol.* 2020;114(1):120-9. doi: 10.36660/abc.20190111.
4. Groenewegen A, Rutten FH, Mosterd A, Hoes AW. Epidemiology of heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2020;22(8):1342-56. doi: 10.1002/ehf.1858.
5. McDonagh TA, Metra M, Adamo M, Gardner RS, Baumach A, Böhm M, et al. 2021 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J.* 2021;42(36):3599-726. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab368.
6. Hawkins NM, Virani S, Ceconi C. Heart failure and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: the challenges facing physicians and health services. *Eur Heart J.* 2013;34(36):2795-803. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/eh1192
7. Agostoni P, Bussotti M, Cattadori G, Margutti E, Contini M, Muratori M, et al. Gas diffusion and alveolar-capillary unit in chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J.* 2006;27(21):2538-43. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehl302.
8. Gomes Neto M, Ferrari F, Helal L, Lopes AA, Carvalho VO, Stein R. The impact of high-intensity inspiratory muscle training on exercise capacity and inspiratory muscle strength in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Rehabil.* 2018;32(11):1482-92. doi: 10.1177/0269215518784345
9. Plesner LL, Dalsgaard M, Schou M, Køber L, Vestbo J, Kjølser E, et al. The prognostic significance of lung function in stable heart failure outpatients. *Clin Cardiol.* 2017;40(11):1145-51. doi: 10.1002/clc.22802.
10. Bektaş S, Franssen FME, van Empel V, Uszko-Lencer N, Boyne J, Knackstedt C, et al. Impact of airflow limitation in chronic heart failure. *Neth Heart J.* 2017;25(5):335-42. doi: 10.1007/s12471-017-0965-4.
11. Heidorn MW, Steck S, Müller F, Tröbs SO, Buch G, Schulz A, et al. FEV1 Predicts Cardiac Status and Outcome in Chronic Heart Failure. *Chest.* 2022;161(1):179-89.
12. Ramalho SHR, Balbuena de Lima AC, da Silva FM, Souza FSJ, Cahalin LP, Cipriano GB, et al. Relationship of Lung Function and Inspiratory Strength with Exercise Capacity and Prognosis in Heart Failure. *Arq Bras Cardiol.* 2022; 118(4):680-691.
13. Tockman MS, Pearson JD, Fleg JL, Metter EJ, Kao SY, Rampal KG, et al. Rapid decline in FEV1. A new risk factor for coronary heart disease mortality. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 1995;151(2 Pt 1):390-8. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.151.2.7842197.
14. Hamazaki N, Kamiya K, Yamamoto S, Nozaki K, Ichikawa T, Matsuzawa R, et al. Changes in Respiratory Muscle Strength Following Cardiac Rehabilitation for Prognosis in Patients with Heart Failure. *J Clin Med.* 2020;9(4):952. doi: 10.3390/jcm9040952.
15. Meyer FJ, Borst MM, Zugck C, Kirschke A, Schellberg D, Kübler W, et al. Respiratory muscle dysfunction in congestive heart failure: clinical correlation and prognostic significance. *Circulation.* 2001;103(17):2153-8. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.103.17.2153.

