

REVIEW ARTICLE

Use of chorionic gonadotropins during lactation to optimize postpartum sow reproductive performance: a review

Monike Willemin Quirino¹ , Carolini Schultz² , Michele dos Passos Dezordi Franz¹ , Thomaz Lucia Jr³ , Arthur Martelli¹ , Paulo Bayard Dias Gonçalves⁴ , Rafael da Rosa Ulguim⁵ , Bernardo Garziera Gasperin³ , Ivan Bianchi^{1,2}*

¹Mestrado Profissional em Produção e Sanidade Animal, Instituto Federal Catarinense, Araquari, SC, Brasil ²Núcleo de Pesquisa, Ensino e Extensão em Produção Animal, Instituto Federal Catarinense, Araquari, SC, Brasil ³Fisiopatologia e Biotécnicas da Reprodução Animal, Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Capão do Leão, RS, Brasil ⁴Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, Santa Maria, RS, Brasil ⁵Setor de Suínos, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, RS, Brasil

How to cite: Quirino MW, Schultz C, Franz MPD, Lucia Jr T, Martelli A, Gonçalves PBD, Ulguim RR, Gasperin BG, Bianchi I. Use of chorionic gonadotropins during lactation to optimize postpartum sow reproductive performance: a review. Anim Reprod. 2024;21(2):e20230118. https://doi.org/10.1590/1984-3143-AR2023-0118

Abstract

Treating lactating sows with chorionic gonadotropins may allow controlling their post-weaning reproductive function, despite the occurrence of anestrous during lactation. This article reviews the potential effectiveness of treatment with both equine and human chorionic gonadotropins (eCG and hCG, respectively) during lactation on the control of estrus expression and ovulation in weaned sows. The use of 1,000 IU hCG at 24 and 48 h postpartum may induce ovulation in the treated sows, but the ovulation rate may be variable. Pregnancy rates may be improved with combined treatment after the second week of lactation with both chorionic gonadotropins: 1,500 IU eCG plus 500 - 1,000 hCG; or 1,000 IU eCG plus 1,000 IU hCG. Treatment with eCG (1,000 – 2,000 IU) at the end of lactation may result in acceptable estrus expression and ovulation rates, although with marginal benefit for pregnancy rates. The subsequent response to treatments with chorionic gonadotropins during lactation is likely influenced by the treatment period, the suckling frequency during lactation, and the boar exposure during the weaning-to-estrus interval. A better understanding of the efficiency of such steroid-free treatments is increasingly relevant due to the constraints of the use of steroid hormones in livestock reproductive management.

Keywords: hormone therapy, lactation, estrus, ovulation, sows.

Introduction

Pig production represents 34% of global meat consumption and the consumer demand for pork is expected to increase in the coming decades, thus, improving the efficiency of pork production is the most critical goal for producers (MacLeod et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2024). Reproductive efficiency is a key factor for the successful production of pork (Britt, 1986) and significant changes in the sector have occurred as a direct result of the use of reproductive technologies, such as artificial insemination (Al; Knox, 2016). In this regard, some strategies have been applied in commercial pig farms to optimize the AI efficiency and some related management factors (i.e., labor and facility efficiency), such as the use of hormonal therapies to control the female reproductive function (Wood et al., 1992).

Hormone therapies can control estrus and ovulation in both gilts and sows, especially considering the use of batch farrowing systems (Bown, 2006) and the possibility of using fixed-

*Corresponding author: ivan.bianchi@ifc.edu.br

Received: August 10, 2023. Accepted: May 27, 2024.

Copyright © The Author(s). This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Financial support: This study was supported by CAPES (Financial code 001), CNPq (grants: No. 303527/2019-6 given to TLJ, No. 310932/2021-1 given to BGG, No. 305575/2021-0 given to IB, and No 305415/2022-0 given to RRU); FAPESC (grant given to IB); and FAPERGS. Conflicts of interest: The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

time Al in the future (reviewed by De Rensis and Kirkwood, 2016; Quirino et al., 2019). Several hormones can be used for these purposes, such as progestogens, prostaglandins, gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH), and both hypophyseal and chorionic gonadotropins (reviewed by Knox, 2015; De Rensis and Kirkwood, 2016). The follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) and the luteinizing hormone (LH) are hypophyseal gonadotropins naturally produced in both males and females from all species of the subphylum Vertebrata, whereas the equine (eCG) and human (hCG) chorionic gonadotropins are produced by the chorion of the placenta of some Equidae and primates, respectively (Hallast et al., 2008; Henke and Gromoll, 2008).

As hypophyseal and chorionic gonadotropins have high structural similarities and share common receptors (Campbell, 2005), hCG binds to LH receptors and eCG binds to both FSH and LH receptors (Senger, 2012). However, chorionic gonadotropins present a longer half-life than hypophyseal gonadotropins, due to the presence of a polysaccharide chain in their molecules. Additionally, chorionic gonadotropins have strong interspecies molecular homology (Henke and Gromoll, 2008), which allows their therapeutical use in other species, such as pigs. In this species, eCG and hCG are commonly associated to induce puberty in gilts and for treatment of postweaning anestrous in sows (reviewed by Estill, 2000; Innamma and Roongsitthichai, 2015).

Nevertheless, such hormones may be administered to lactating sows, which are in lactation-induced anestrous. That would suppress estrus expression after weaning in the treated sows to mitigate the consequences of early weaning or excessive catabolism (Zemitis et al., 2015; Kemp et al., 2018) and may also be potentially used to synchronize the postweaning estrus to homogenize the breeding groups, which is necessary in batch farrowing systems (Zemitis et al., 2015; Corezzolla et al., 2020). Furthermore, such treatment might allow AI during lactation, contributing to an increase in the number of litters weaned per female per year (Hausler et al., 1980).

Despite such potential benefits, treatment with chorionic gonadotropins in lactating sows is not commonly conducted in commercial pig farms. Nevertheless, the legislation of several countries restricts the use of steroid hormones to control the estrus cycle in livestock (European Union, 2003; Lane et al., 2008; FDA, 2021). Therefore, the use of chorionic gonadotropins may be a feasible alternative to the use of synthetic progestogens. The present review aimed to discuss the use of chorionic gonadotropins during the lactation of sows in therapies to control their subsequent reproductive function.

Lactational anestrous

The lactational anestrous is established from 6 h postpartum, when newborn piglets start suckling at regular intervals (De Rensis et al., 1993; Sesti and Britt, 1994), which promotes the release of hormones that stimulate lactogenesis and galactopoiesis, such as endogenous opioid peptides (EOP), oxytocin, prolactin, and somatotropin (Quesnel, 2009). Those substances inhibit GnRH release from the hypothalamus (Barb et al., 1994) and, thus, also inhibit LH and FSH release from the hypophysis (Wylot et al., 2013). As small and medium follicles with at most 2 mm diameter do not depend on gonadotropins for their development, they may be present in the ovaries of lactating sows but are unlikely to mature and ovulate, which would require highly frequent LH pulses (Quesnel, 2009). Consequently, while the suckling stimuli persist in the mammary glands, especially in large litters (Terry et al., 2014), lactating sows remain in anestrous (Costermans et al., 2020a; Figure 1A).

Among the EOP, dynorphins and enkephalins are the major suppressors of cyclicity in lactating sows (Barb et al., 1986). During lactation, the administration of morphine, an agonist of opioid receptors, is related to the reduction in serum LH concentration (De Rensis et al., 1998), whereas the administration of naloxone, an antagonist of EOP, is related to increased LH concentration, even though such effect is not observed in weaned sows (Barb et al., 1986; De Rensis et al., 1998). Oxytocin is also released through a neuroendocrine reflex induced by suckling. Besides its well-known effect on milk ejection, oxytocin is also related to behavioral and metabolic functions. Increased serum oxytocin concentration prepartum is associated with the nest-building behavior expressed by sows before farrowing (Yun et al., 2014). Oxytocin

concentration is positively correlated with the concentration of non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA) and with weight loss in lactating sows (Valros et al., 2004). Additionally, oxytocin influences the secretion of prolactin and LH by porcine anterior pituitary cells *in vitro* (Bogacka et al., 2002).



Figure 1. Scheme representing the lactational anestrus in sows **(A)** and the potential use of gonadotropins to induce follicular growth (I) and luteinization or ovulation (II) during this period for synchronizing the estrous cycle after weaning **(B**). GnRH: gonadotropin-releasing hormone; FSH: follicle-stimulating hormone; LH: luteinizing hormone; EOP: endogenous opioid peptides; eCG: equine chorionic gonadotropin; hCG: human chorionic gonadotropin.

The prolactin secretion is mostly mediated by the action of EOP (Armstrong et al., 1988). Prolactin concentration increases during the first two weeks of the lactation, but such levels gradually decline thereafter, as the suckling frequency is reduced (Schams et al., 1994). Nonetheless, contrary to what occurs in other mammals, in sows, the reduction in serum prolactin concentration is not followed by increased LH release during lactation (De Rensis et al., 1998). Even so, prolactin is involved in promoting lactational anestrous, since it acts directly at the ovarian level, modulating both steroidogenesis and angiogenesis, which are essential for follicle growth and development (Basini et al., 2014).

At low concentrations, prolactin is related to increased expression of FSH receptors in granulosa cells, indirectly stimulating progesterone and estradiol synthesis. On the other hand, at increased concentrations, prolactin inhibits the differentiation of granulosa cells and the expression of FSH receptors (Porter et al., 2000). The expression of genes that encode the prolactin molecule and receptors is detected in both theca and granulosa cells, indicating a

paracrine effect of prolactin (Basini et al., 2014). Thus, although prolactin inhibits the synthesis of both progesterone and estradiol in granulosa cells in a dose-dependent mechanism, its stimulatory action over progesterone synthesis on theca cells and on luteal cells is even greater than that of LH (Słomczyńska et al., 2001). Additionally, prolactin presents a luteotropic effect during gestation (Ziecik et al., 2018), stimulating the expression of angiogenic factors *in vitro* (Basini et al., 2014).

The release of somatotropin (GH) is also stimulated by suckling (Lucy, 2008). Though, the release of both GH and the growth hormone-releasing factor (GHRF) is inhibited in the presence of raising naloxone concentrations, indicating that the somatotropic axis is regulated through the EOP during lactation (Armstrong et al., 1990). During lactation, the GH is related to increasing circulatory concentrations of insulin-like growth factor type 1 (IGF-1), NEFA, and glucose, which redirects the flow of energy and nutrients from the adipose tissue to the mammary gland (Lucy, 2008).

The neuroendocrine, metabolic, and hormonal stimuli triggered by suckling also redirect the sow's energy reserves to milk production (Quesnel, 2009; Van Wettere et al., 2017). Therefore, the greater the number of suckling piglets, the greater both mammary gland development and milk production (Hansen et al., 2012), which commonly results in a negative energy balance, mainly when the feed consumption is inferior to the energy expense. Under such metabolic condition, signaling molecules such as IGF-1, insulin, and leptin are in reduced concentrations, indicating a decline in follicle growth, steroidogenesis, and oocyte quality (Costermans et al., 2020b; 2020c). Moreover, increased concentration of metabolites generated from muscle and fat catabolism, such as urea, creatine, and NEFA, are also related to reduced follicle development and steroidogenesis (Hoving et al., 2012; Costermans et al., 2020a).

Post-weaning endocrine environment

As the lactation progresses, the negative feedback on the hypothalamus-hypophysis-ovaries axis is gradually weakened, as the piglets reduce the suckling frequency, start to explore the farrowing room environment, and begin to ingest a solid diet, which leads to a decline in milk production (Valros et al., 2002) and in protein and energy catabolism by sows (Hansen et al., 2012; Costermans et al., 2020a). That results in an increase in serum gonadotropin concentrations, especially of LH, which boosts follicle development (Lopes et al., 2020). Considering a common 3-week lactation, follicles exposed to this endocrine environment may reach 4 – 6 mm in diameter in the third week (Lucy et al., 2001).

After weaning, without local stimuli on the mammary glands, the LH pulses amplitude reduces while their frequency increases (Van den Brand et al., 2000), allowing the selection of responsive follicles previously recruited by the action of FSH (Quesnel, 2009), increased estradiol synthesis and subsequent estrus expression. Yet, the weaning-to-estrus interval (WEI) may be prolonged due to some risk factors. That may occur for sows with excessive catabolism during the lactation, in which an erratic pattern of LH release may impair follicle selection, resulting in irregular cyclicity and reduction in the subsequent litter size (Segura Correa et al., 2013; Rabelo et al., 2016). Those disorders may be frequent in primiparous sows, characterizing the second parity syndrome (Kemp et al., 2018), since primiparous sows may still need to achieve their adult weight and may have lower energy body reserves compared to multiparous sows (Hoving et al., 2012). Additionally, negative energy balance may be aggravated during periods of high environmental temperatures, in which the feed intake may be reduced (Rabelo et al., 2016), particularly in some hyper prolific genetic lineages (Hidalgo et al., 2014).

Chorionic gonadotropins

The eCG and the hCG are heterodimer glycoproteins composed of two subunits: the α subunit is common to all gonadotropins; and the β subunit gives specific structural differences to each molecule (Campbell, 2005). The eCG starts to be synthesized by the trophoblastic cells of the endometrial calyces of the mare chorion approximately at the 38th d of gestation. When

administered to females from species other than equine, eCG acts as a gonadotropin. As the eCG molecule binds to FSH receptors, it stimulates follicle growth. Since eCG can also bind to LH receptors present in antral follicles, it may stimulate ovulation and help to luteinize follicles that eventually do not ovulate (Senger, 2012). Hence, eCG treatment is used to induce estrus and ovulation and to indirectly promote increased progesterone circulating concentration through the formation of accessory corpora lutea (Murphy, 2018).

The hCG is synthesized by syncytiotrophoblast cells of the human placenta since early gestation (Cole, 2010), also acting as a gonadotropin when used therapeutically, especially in treatments of reproductive disorders in women (Ezcurra and Humaidan, 2014) as well in animals (Am-in et al., 2018). The hCG can bind to LH receptors in the follicles and presents a longer half-life than LH (28 h vs 20 min). Thus, compared to LH, the actions of hCG on promoting ovulation, luteinization, and progesterone synthesis by corpora lutea are more intense (Ziecik et al., 2021).

Since both chorionic gonadotropins can promote follicle development and induce ovulation, their use in pigs is mostly aimed to induce puberty in gilts and to mitigate post-weaning anestrous in sows (reviewed by Estill, 2000; Innamma and Roongsitthichai, 2015). Such hormones may be also efficient when administered during lactation, to either suppress or synchronize estrus after weaning as well as to optimize AI programs in lactating sows.

Estrus suppression and synchronization after weaning

Eventually, performing AI on the first estrus after weaning may be related to negative effects on the subsequent reproductive performance, resulting in increased embryo resorption rate and reduction in farrowing rate and litter size, as occurs when lactational catabolism is excessive, or after early weaning at periods shorter than 21 d (Levis, 1997; Zemitis et al., 2015). That may be avoided by skipping the first post-weaning estrus, allowing weaned sows to have more time to restore their body condition, and conducting AI at the second estrus after weaning (Hidalgo et al., 2014; Kemp et al., 2018). Nonetheless, the number of non-productive days added by such practice would correspond to the duration of an additional estrous cycle, which would impair the herd's reproductive efficiency (Dial et al., 1992). Thus, using chorionic gonadotropins during lactation may be an alternative to suppress the first post-weaning estrus for a shorter period, allowing the synchronization of the subsequent estrus (Kirkwood et al., 1999; Zemitis et al., 2015; Figure 1B). That would be particularly suitable for herds transitioning from conventional weekly management to batch farrowing (Corezzolla et al., 2020), optimizing labor and facility efficiency.

However, treatment with chorionic gonadotropins during lactation may result in inconsistent ovulation response (Table 1). Administration of 1,000 IU hCG to sows within 24 h after farrowing resulted in ovulation in 80% of primiparous and 71.9% of multiparous (Armstrong et al., 1999). In other studies (Kirkwood et al., 1999; Zemitis et al., 2015), the same treatment performed within 24 – 48 h postpartum resulted in lower ovulation rates (22 – 41%) in primiparous or multiparous sows. Additionally, treatment with the 400 IU eCG + 200 IU hCG combination 24 h postpartum was effective to induce the luteinization of antral follicles in females with parity \geq 1 (Van Wettere et al., 2013). Although the reasons related to such discrepancies are not yet clearly established, the treatment period and the size of the available follicles are potential candidates (Armstrong et al., 1999; Kirkwood et al., 1999).

Table 1. Ovulation rate for sows treated with chorionic gonadotropins during lactation.

| Reference | Genetic | Parity | Protocol | Administration time* | Ovulation rate, % (n/n) |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Armstrong et al. (1999) | LW × LD × YS | 1 | 1,000 IU hCG | 24 h | 80.0 (12/15) |
| | | ≥ 2 | 1,000 IU hCG | 24 h | 71.4 (15/21) |
| Kirkwood et al. (1999) | NI | ≥ 1 | 1,000 IU hCG | 24 h | 40.6 (28/69) |
| Zemitis et al. (2015) | NI | ≥ 1 | 1,000 IU hCG | 24 h | 31.3 (5/16) |
| | | ≥ 1 | 1,000 IU hCG | 48 h | 22.2 (4/18) |
| Van Wettere et al. (2013) | LW × LD | ≥ 1 | 400 IU eCG + 200 IU hCG | 24 h | 5.6 (1/18) |

*Post-partum. hCG: human chorionic gonadotropin; eCG: equine chorionic gonadotropin. LW: Large White; LD: Landrace; YS: Yorkshire; NI: Not informed.

For the primiparous and multiparous sows that ovulated after hCG treatment 24 h postfarrowing and weaned after 14 and 18 d, the average WEI was 10 d. Furthermore, when prostaglandin F2_a (PGF2_a) was administered at weaning (14 d postpartum), the WEI was prolonged up to 17 d (Armstrong et al., 1999). In fact, lactogenic hormones released during lactation, such as prolactin, somatotropin, and IGF-1, may also have luteotropic action, stimulating progesterone synthesis from corpora lutea during lactation (Yuan and Lucy, 1996). That suggests that the corpora lutea formed during lactation may last longer than those formed during regular estrous cycles, which may explain why sows weaned after either 14 or 18 d of lactation presented similar WEI (Armstrong et al., 1999). Though, depending on the period of the estrous cycle, administration of exogenous PGF2_a may either inhibit or stimulate progesterone synthesis by corpora lutea, as observed *in vitro* (Przygrodzka et al., 2014).

In a natural estrous cycle, before the 12^{th} d, the PGF2_a binds to receptors on luteal cells and acts synergically with LH and prostaglandin E2 to activate cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP), stimulating steroidogenesis and angiogenesis (Ziecik et al., 2018). Subsequently, after 12 d, corpora lutea acquire luteolytic capacity, since PGF2_a triggers transcriptional changes through activation of inositol triphosphate (IP3), which downregulates genes that code LH receptors and the StAR protein (Diaz and Wiltbank, 2005), stimulating luteolysis (Ziecik et al., 2018). Therefore, the similar WEI observed for primiparous and multiparous sows weaned after hCG treatment either at 14 or 18 d during lactation (Armstrong et al., 1999) may be because PGF2_a was administered before the acquisition of luteolytic activity by the corpora lutea.

It is important to consider that luteinization/ovulation may occur later after hCG treatment in early postpartum sows compared to weaned or cyclic females, since luteinized follicles could not be identified through ultrasonography 3 d after hCG treatment in sows with parity \geq 1 treated with hCG 24 or 48 h post-partum (Zemitis et al., 2015). As follicles having 3 – 4 mm diameter grow naturally following LH stimulus (Driancourt et al., 1995), ovulations induced by hCG treatment in lactating sows may be spontaneous, after the endogenous LH increase as lactation progresses, or directly related to hCG treatment, due to its long half-life. Also, lactogenic hormones may influence the acquisition of luteolytic capacity by the corpora lutea explaining, at least in part, the refractoriness to PGF2_a treatment at weaning in sows previously treated with hCG, which still needs to be investigated.

The administration of eCG during lactation can also be used to induce ovulation (Table 2). There is evidence that the closer eCG treatment is to the end of lactation, the greater would be the frequency of sows expressing estrus after weaning. According to Martinat-Botte (1975), administration of 2,000 IU eCG on the 16th d of lactation results in estrus expression 3 to 7 d after treatment in nearly one-third of the treated sows. Post-weaning ovulation rates may be increased by combining the eCG treatment with farrowing room management practices aimed to stimulate LH release by reducing the number of suckling piglets (e.g., through intermittent or segregated suckling), which may result in estrus expression on more than 70% of the treated primiparous or multiparous sows (Cole and Hughes, 1946; Crighton, 1970). However, even though the observed rates of estrus expression and ovulation may be eventually similar (Martinat-Botte, 1975), some sows may ovulate without expressing estrous signs, especially when not exposed to contact with a boar, since the EOP may inhibit the expression of behavioral estrous signs during lactation (Fuentes-Hernández et al., 2011).

It is also important to mention that, regardless of the gonadotropin used, estrous detection conducted in the presence of a boar may by itself be a confounding factor. A positive response to boar exposure during lactation, when gonadotropin treatment is not used, may result in estrus expression within 5 d in more than 80% of the exposed sows, with subsequent ovulation in more than 60% of the sows with parity \geq 1 (Van Wettere et al., 2013). The mechanism explaining the occurrence of LH release after boar exposure without exogenous hormone administration is not known, although it may involve the action of EOP, which may be elucidated through future research.

| Reference | Genetic | Parity | Protocol | Administration time | Estrus expression, % (n/n) | Ovulation rate, % |
|--------------------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| Cole and Hughes (1946) | PC, B and DJ | ≥ 2 | 700 – 1,400 IU* | D0 – D37† | 26.7% (4/15) | • |
| | PC, B and DJ | ≥ 2 | 750 – 1,500 IU* | D38 – D67‡ | 96.3 (26/27) | • |
| Kirkwood and Thacker (1998) | YS × LD | NI | 1,000 IU | D28** | 85.2 (23/27) | 92.6 |
| Crighton (1970) | LW and LW × LD | ≥ 1 | 1,500 IU | D23** | 88.9 (16/18) | • |
| | LW and LW × LD | ≥ 1 | 1,500 IU | D23** | 77.3 (17/22) | • |
| Martinat-Botte (1975) | LW and PT | NI | 2,000 IU | D16 | 33.3 (7/21) | • |
| | LW and PT | NI | 2,000 IU | D16** | 76.5 (13/17) | 71.4 |
| | LW and PT | NI | 2,000 IU | D18 | 57.1 (117/205) | 41.6 |
| | LW and PT | NI | 2,000 IU | D25 | 74.3 (104/140) | 75.0 |

Table 2. Estrus expression and ovulation rate for sows treated with equine chorionic gonadotropin (eCG) during lactation.

D0 = Day of farrowing; PC: Poland China; B: Berkshire; DJ: Duroc Jersey; YS: Yorkshire; LW: Large White; LD: Landrace; PT: Pietrain; NI: not informed. *Dosages varying from 700 to 1,400 IU eCG or 750 to 1,500 IU eCG; [†]Administration moment ranging from immediately postpartum to the 37th d postpartum; [‡]Administration moment ranging from the 38th to the 67th d postpartum; **Concurrently reduction of suckling stimulus.

Use of gonadotropin treatment to allow AI during lactation

Conducting AI in lactating sows may increase the number of litters per female per year (Hausler et al., 1980), which may boost the number of piglets weaned per female per year (Dial et al., 1992), as conception during lactation would allow delayed weaning, with no negative impact on overall herd productivity (Van Wettere et al., 2017). Piglets with older weaning age (e.g., 25 d) will be heavier when entering the nursery, present better immunity and gastrointestinal development, less incidence of diarrhea, and improved growth efficiency (López-Vergé et al., 2019; Ming et al., 2021). That would be financially beneficial for commercial farms, increasing the number of marketed pigs, due to a reduction of pig losses in the nursery, growing, and finishing phases (Faccin et al., 2020).

The occurrence of lactational anestrous may limit the adoption of AI during lactation, which would justify the use of therapies with chorionic gonadotropins to improve follicular growth, estrous expression, and AI in lactating sows, in the attempt to shorten the farrowing interval (Crighton, 1970; Hausler et al., 1980; Hodson et al., 1981). One of the first studies conducted in this field used different dosages of eCG (750 – 1500 IU) at various stages of lactation, and the authors reported that only 6% of the treated multiparous sows became pregnant when the eCG injection was performed between the farrowing and the 37^{th} d of lactation. When the hormone was administered between the 38^{th} and 67^{th} d of lactation, a pregnancy rate of 70.4% was observed (Cole and Hughes, 1946). Pregnancy rates near 60% were found for sows with parity order ≥ 1 when eCG was administered within 21 – 28 d of lactation (Crighton, 1970; Kirkwood and Thacker, 1998).

Greater pregnancy rates in primiparous and multiparous sows were observed combining eCG and hCG (Table 3), especially at increased doses: 1,000 – 1,500 IU eCG; and 500 – 1,000 IU hCG (Hodson et al., 1981). As the half-life of hCG is nearly 84-fold greater compared to LH, its luteotropic action results in substantial progestogen production (Ziecik et al., 2021), which stimulates histotrophic synthesis, favoring embryo nutrition and placenta formation (Almeida and Dias, 2022). Additionally, such treatment may mitigate seasonal negative effects on pregnancy rates, which are more pronounced in periods of high temperature (Hodson et al., 1981).

Nevertheless, pregnancy rates observed after administration of high doses of eCG and hCG within a 96 h-interval on different days of lactation presented inconsistent results (Hausler et al., 1980). Those findings suggest that the uterine environment is not suitable to support conception and embryo development before the second week postpartum, during which uterine involution commonly occurs (Meile et al., 2020). Therefore, compared to sows inseminated during such period, those inseminated within 23 – 25 d postpartum achieve greater farrowing rates (Levis, 1997). Treatments combining eCG and hCG can be applied during consecutive lactations, with satisfactory pregnancy rates and no relevant production of anti-eCG antibodies (Crighton, 1970; Hodson et al., 1981).

Table 3. Pregnancy rate for sows treated with equine chorionic gonadotropin (eCG) – alone or combined with human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) – during lactation.

| Reference | Genetic | Parity | Protocol | Administration time | Breeding | Pregnancy rate, % (n/n) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--------|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------|----------------------------|
| Cole and Hughes (1946) | PC, B and DJ | ≥2 | 700 – 1,400 IU eCG* | D0 – D37† | NM | 6.7 (1/15) |
| | PC, B and DJ | ≥2 | 750 – 1,500 IU eCG* | D38 – D67‡ | NM | 70.4 (19/27) |
| Crighton (1970) | LW and LW \times LD | ≥ 1 | 1,500 IU eCG | D23** | NM | 61.1 (11/18) |
| | LW and LW × LD | ≥1 | 1,500 IU eCG | D23** | NM | 59.1 (13/22) |
| Kirkwood and Thacker (1998) | YS × LD | NI | 1,000 IU eCG | D28** | NM | 65.2 (15/23) |
| Hausler et al. (1980) | DR × HS × YS | ≥ 1 | 1,500 IU eCG + 1,000 IU hCG | D13 – D32 [§] | AI | 80.0 (12/15) |
| | DR × HS × YS | ≥ 1 | 1,500 IU eCG + 1,000 IU hCG | D5 | AI | 0.0 (0/10) |
| | DR × HS × YS | ≥1 | 1,500 IU eCG + 1,000 IU hCG | D10 | Al | 20.0 (2/10) |
| | DR × HS × YS | ≥1 | 1,500 IU eCG + 1,000 IU hCG | D15 | AI | 80.0 (8/10) |
| | DR × HS × YS | ≥1 | 1,500 IU eCG + 1,000 IU hCG | D20 | Al | 60.0 (6/10) |
| Hodson et al. (1981) | NI | ≥1 | 1,500 IU eCG +1,000 IU hCG | D16 – D37# | Al | 80.0 (12/15) |
| | NI | ≥1 | 1,500 IU eCG + 500 IU hCG | D16 – D37# | AI | 60.0 (9/15) |
| | NI | ≥ 1 | 1,000 IU eCG + 1,000 IU hCG | D16 – D37# | AI | 71.4 (10/14) |
| | NI | ≥ 1 | 1,000 IU eCG + 500 IU hCG | D16 – D37 [#] | AI | 46.7 (7/15) |

D0 = Day of farrowing; PC: Poland China; B: Berkshire; DJ: Duroc Jersey; LW: Large White; LD: Landrace; DR: Duroc; HS: Hampshire; YS: Yorkshire; NI: not informed; NM: natural mating; AI: artificial insemination. *Dosages varying from 700 to 1,400 IU eCG or 750 to 1,500 IU eCG; [†]Administration moment ranging from immediately postpartum to the 37th d postpartum; [‡]Administration moment ranging from the 38th to the 67th d postpartum; ^{*}Concurrently reduction of suckling stimulus; [§]Administration moment ranging from the 13th to the 32th d postpartum; [#]Administration moment ranging from the 13th to the 32th d postpartum; [#]Administration moment ranging from the 13th to the 32th d postpartum; [#]Administration moment ranging from the 13th to the 32th d postpartum; [#]Administration moment ranging from the 13th to the 32th d postpartum; [#]Administration moment ranging from the 13th to the 32th d postpartum; [#]Administration moment ranging from the 13th to the 32th d postpartum; [#]Administration moment ranging from the 13th to the 32th d postpartum; [#]Administration moment ranging from the 13th to the 32th d postpartum; [#]Administration moment ranging from the 13th to the 32th d postpartum; [#]Administration moment ranging from the 13th to the 32th d postpartum; [#]Administration moment ranging from the 13th to the 32th d postpartum; [#]Administration moment ranging from the 13th to the 32th d postpartum; [#]Administration moment ranging from the 13th d postpartum.

Progestogens during lactation

Suppression and synchronization of post-weaning estrus can also be accomplished through supplementation with progestogens during lactation. Compared to non-supplemented sows (primiparous and multiparous), those supplemented with altrenogest from the 12th to the 18th d of lactation and weaned at the 21st d presented a similar number of follicles and farrowing rate, but greater follicle diameter at the time of estrus and greater subsequent litter size (Lopes et al., 2017). When the same supplementation was conducted in primiparous and multiparous sows during the last week of a 3-week lactation, follicle diameter at weaning was increased, corpora lutea were larger and uniform and estrus expression was concentrated 5 d after weaning (Gianluppi et al., 2021).

Despite those promising results, it is important to emphasize that altrenogest, the only progestogen commercially available for use in sows, may be considered costly and labor-intensive since it requires oral supplementation every 24 h (Haas et al., 2017). Intravaginal devices for slow progestogen release, efficiently used to control estrous cycle in ruminants, have been tested in swine by our group (Gasperin et al., 2011; Freling et al., 2013; Ulguim et al., 2019; Quirino et al., 2020; Fiúza et al., 2023), but such devices are still not validated for this species and currently not available in the market. Based on the effectiveness of gonadotropins treatment during lactation in inducing ovulation and luteinization, eCG and/or hCG treatment may represent an alternative to synthetic progestagens, which is currently being investigated by our group.

The need for alternative protocols to control the estrous cycle of sows is also justified by the restrictions to the use of steroid hormones imposed by some markets, such as the European Union, New Zealand, Australia, USA, and Canada (European Union, 2003; Lane et al., 2008; FDA, 2021). Such restrictions are based upon concerns related to potential environmental contamination and the negative collateral effects of residues of such hormones (Karg and Vogt, 1978).

Final considerations

Despite the occurrence of lactational anestrous, treatment with chorionic gonadotropins in lactating sows can be an important management strategy to control the subsequent reproductive cyclicity. Treatment with 1,000 IU hCG at 24 and 48 h after farrowing can induce

ovulation, whereas treatment with 1,000-2,000 IU eCG at the end of lactation may induce estrus and ovulation in a large frequency of treated sows. Such therapies can be used to either suppress or synchronize estrous after weaning, also allowing AI to be conducted during lactation. Improvement in pregnancy rates can be achieved through the administration of high doses of chorionic gonadotropins (e.g., 1,500 IU eCG plus 500 – 1,000 IU hCG; or 1,000 IU eCG plus 1,000 IU hCG) after the third week of lactation. Future studies should evaluate whether the efficiency of such treatments may be influenced by factors such as the reduced suckling stimuli in farrowing rooms and the presence of boars during estrus detection. Furthermore, the viability of using such an approach as an alternative to synthetic steroid treatment to prolong the WEI deserves investigation.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico), CAPES (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior); FAPESC (Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa e Inovação do Estado de Santa Catarina), and FAPERGS (Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio Grande do Sul).

References

- Almeida FRCL, Dias ALNA. Pregnancy in pigs: the journey of an early life. Domest Anim Endocrinol. 2022;78:106656. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.domaniend.2021.106656. PMid:34474228.
- Am-in N, Techakumphu M, Kirkwood R. Effect of altering the ratio of exogenous gonadotropins on reproductive performance of primiparous sows during the seasonal infertility period. Can J Anim Sci. 2018;99(1):202-5. http://doi.org/10.1139/cjas-2018-0060.
- Armstrong JD, Esbenshade KL, Coffey MT, Heimer E, Campbell R, Mowles T, Felix A. Opioid control of growth hormone in the suckled sow is primarily mediated through growth hormone releasing factor. Domest Anim Endocrinol. 1990;7(2):191-8. http://doi.org/10.1016/0739-7240(90)90025-U. PMid:2114957.
- Armstrong JD, Kraeling R, Britt J. Effects of naloxone or transient weaning on secretion of LH and prolactin in lactating sows. J Reprod Fertil. 1988;83(1):301-8. http://doi.org/10.1530/jrf.0.0830301. PMid:3397944.
- Armstrong TA, Flowers WL, Britt JH. Control of the weaning-to-estrus interval in sows using gonadotropins and prostaglandins during lactation. J Anim Sci. 1999;77(9):2533-9. http://doi.org/10.2527/1999.7792533x. PMid:10492462.
- Barb CR, Chang WJ, Leshin LS, Rampacek GB, Kraeling RR. Opioid modulation of gonadotropin releasing hormone release from the hypothalamic preoptic area in the pig. Domest Anim Endocrinol. 1994;11(4):375-82. http://doi.org/10.1016/0739-7240(94)90009-4. PMid:7828431.
- Barb CR, Kraeling RR, Rampacek GB, Scott Whisnant C. Opioid inhibition of luteinizing hormone secretion in the postpartum lactating sow. Biol Reprod. 1986;35(2):368-71. http://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod35.2.368. PMid:3533168.
- Basini G, Baioni L, Bussolati S, Grolli S, Grasselli F. Prolactin is a potential physiological modulator of swine ovarian follicle function. Regul Pept. 2014;189:22-30. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.regpep.2014.01.003. PMid:24530842.
- Bogacka I, Siawrys G, Okrasa S, Kaminski T, Przala J. The influence of GnRH, oxitocin and vasoactive intestinal peptide on LH and PRL secretion by porcine pituitary cells *in vitro*. J Physiol Pharmacol. 2002;53(3):439-51. PMid:12369740.
- Bown P. Advantages and disadvantages of batch farrowing. In Pract. 2006;28(2):94-6. http://doi.org/10.1136/inpract.28.2.94.
- Britt JH. Improving sow productivity through management during gestation, lactation and after weaning. J Anim Sci. 1986;63(4):1288-96. http://doi.org/10.2527/jas1986.6341288x. PMid:3771407.
- Campbell RK. Molecular pharmacology of gonadotropins. Endocrine. 2005;26(3):291-6. http://doi.org/10.1385/ENDO:26:3:291. PMid:16034184.
- Cole HH, Hughes EH. Induction of estrus in lactating sows with equine gonadotropin. J Anim Sci. 1946;5(1):25-9. http://doi.org/10.2527/jas1946.5125. PMid:21015591.

- Cole LA. Biological functions of hCG and hCG-related molecules. Reprod Biol Endocrinol. 2010;8:102. http://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7827-8-102. PMid:20735820.
- Corezzolla JL, Ulguim RR, Gasperin BG, Rauber LP, Bianchi I. Altrenogest treatment effects on the reproductive performance of sows during transition to batch farrowing. Ciênc Rural. 2020;50(9):e20190806. https://doi.org/10.1590/0103-8478cr20190806.
- Costermans NG, Soede NM, Middelkoop A, Laurenssen BF, Koopmanschap RE, Zak L, Knol EF, Keijer J, Teerds KJ, Kemp B. Influence of the metabolic state during lactation on milk production in modern sows. Animal. 2020a;14(12):2543-53. http://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731120001536. PMid:32580816.
- Costermans NG, Soede NM, van Tricht F, Blokland M, Kemp B, Keijer J, Teerds KJ. Follicular fluid steroid profile in sows: relationship to follicle size and oocyte quality. Biol Reprod. 2020b;102(3):740-9. http://doi.org/10.1093/biolre/ioz217. PMid:31786607.
- Costermans NG, Teerds KJ, Middelkoop A, Roelen BA, Schoevers EJ, van Tol HT, Laurenssen B, Koopmanschap RE, Zhao Y, Blokland M, van Tricht F, Zak L, Keijer J, Kemp B, Soede NM. Consequences of negative energy balance on follicular development and oocyte quality in primiparous sows. Biol Reprod. 2020c;102(2):388-98. http://doi.org/10.1093/biolre/ioz175. PMid:31504218.
- Crighton D. The induction of pregnancy during lactation in the sow: the effects of a treatment imposed at 21 days of lactation. Anim Sci. 1970;12(4):611-7. http://doi.org/10.1017/S0003356100029251.
- De Rensis F, Hunter MG, Foxcroft G. Suckling-induced inhibition of luteinizing hormone secretion and follicular development in the early postpartum sow. Biol Reprod. 1993;48(5):964-9. http://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod48.5.964. PMid:8481483.
- De Rensis F, Kirkwood R. Control of estrus and ovulation: fertility to timed insemination of gilts and sows. Theriogenology. 2016;86(6):1460-6. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2016.04.089. PMid:27287469.
- De Rensis F, Quintavalla F, Foxcroft G. Treatment of lactating sows with the dopamine agonist Cabergoline: effects on LH and prolactin secretion and responses to challenges with naloxone and morphine. Anim Reprod Sci. 1998;51(3):233-47. http://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4320(98)00066-9. PMid:9675405.
- Dial G, Marsh W, Polso nD, Vaillancourt JP. Reproductive failure: differential diagnosis. In: Leman AD, Straw BE, Mengeling WL, D'Allaire S, Taylor DJ, editors. Diseases of swine. 7th ed. Ames: Iowa State University Press; 1992. pp. 88-137.
- Diaz FJ, Wiltbank MC. Acquisition of luteolytic capacity involves differential regulation by prostaglandin F2α of genes involved in progesterone biosynthesis in the porcine corpus luteum. Domest Anim Endocrinol. 2005;28(2):172-89. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.domaniend.2004.08.002. PMid:15713365.
- Driancourt MA, Locatelli A, Prunier A. Effects of gonadotrophin deprivation on follicular growth in gilts. Reprod Nutr Dev. 1995;35(6):663-73. http://doi.org/10.1051/rnd:19950606. PMid:8534360.
- Estill CT. Current concepts in estrus synchronization in swine. J Anim Sci. 2000;77(Suppl E):1-9. http://doi.org/10.2527/jas2000.77E-Suppl1m.
- European Union. L 262, 14/10/2003. Directive 2003/74/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on 22 September 2003 amending Council Directive 96/22/EC concerning the prohibition on the use in stockfarming of certain substances having a hormonal or thyristatic action and of beta-agonist. Official Journal of the European Union; Brussels; 2003 [cited 2023 Aug 6]. Available from: https://eurlex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=O]:L:2003:262:0017:0021:EN:PDF
- Ezcurra D, Humaidan P. A review of luteinising hormone and human chorionic gonadotropin when used in assisted reproductive technology. Reprod Biol Endocrinol. 2014;12:95. http://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7827-12-95. PMid:25280580.
- Faccin JEG, Laskoski F, Hernig LF, Kummer R, Lima GFR, Orlando UAD, Gonçalves MAD, Mellagi APG, Ulguim RR, Bortolozzo FP. Impact of increasing weaning age on pig performance and belly nosing prevalence in a commercial multisite production system. J Anim Sci. 2020;98(4):skaa031. http://doi.org/10.1093/jas/skaa031. PMid:32034395.
- Fiúza ATL, De Conti ER, Walter MP, Quirino M, Dos Santos JT, da Rosa Ulguim R, Wentz I, Mellagi APG, Bernardi ML, Bortolozzo FP. Intravaginal devices impregnated with medroxyprogesterone acetate avoid early parturition and synchronize farrowing in sows. Theriogenology. 2023;195:192-8. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2022.10.029. PMid:36335723.
- Food and Drug Administration FDA. Steroid hormone implants used for growth in food-producing animals. Rome: FDA; 2021 [cited 2023 Aug 6]. Available from: https://www.fda.gov/animalveterinary/product-safety-information/fda-provides-list-currently-approved-and-currently-marketedimplants-available-beef-cattle-target

- Freling GF, Gasperin BG, Ferreira R, Cesaro MP, Oliveira JF, Bordignon V, Gonçalves PBD. Delaying farrowing using intravaginal devices impregnated with progestagen does not affect the proportion of piglets born alive. Cienc Rural. 2013;43(7):1258-64. http://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-84782013005000077.
- Fuentes-Hernández VO, Bernal-Canseco A, Castro MLF, Hernández JRO. The effect of naloxone on reproductive behavior and plasma prolactin levels after weaning in third lactation sows. Rev Cient (Maracaibo). 2011;21(4):293-7.
- Gasperin BG, Freling GF, Ferreira R, Oliveira JFC, Bordignon V, Gonçalves PBD. Intravaginal progestagen for estrus and parturition control in sows. Pesq Agropec Bras. 2011;46(8):961-4. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-204X2011000800024.
- Gianluppi RDF, Lucca MS, Quirino M, Mellagi APG, Ulguim RR, Bortolozzo FP. Altrenogest treatment during the last week of lactation on ovarian traits and subsequent reproductive performance of primiparous and multiparous sows. Theriogenology. 2021;176:122-7. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2021.09.027. PMid:34601236.
- Haas CS, Santos MQ, Rovani MT, Santos JT, Mellagi APG, Bortolozzo FP, Gasperin BG, Gonçalves PBD. Prepartum progestagen supplementation in swine: a strategy to facilitate piglet care and prevent early parturition. Ciência Rural. 2017;47(11):e20170380. https://doi.org/10.1590/0103-8478cr20170380.
- Hallast P, Saarela J, Palotie A, Laan M. High divergence in primate-specific duplicated regions: human and chimpanzee chorionic gonadotropin beta genes. BMC Evol Biol. 2008;8:195. http://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-8-195. PMid:18606016.
- Hansen AV, Strathe AB, Kebreab E, France J, Theil PK. Predicting milk yield and composition in lactating sows: a Bayesian approach. J Anim Sci. 2012;90(7):2285-98. http://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2011-4788. PMid:22307478.
- Hausler C, Hodson H Jr, Kuo D, Kinney T, Rauwolf V, Strack L. Induced ovulation and conception in lactating sows. J Anim Sci. 1980;50(5):773-8. http://doi.org/10.2527/jas1980.505773x. PMid:7390937.
- Henke A, Gromoll J. New insights into the evolution of chorionic gonadotrophin. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2008;291(1-2):11-9. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2008.05.009. PMid:18599193.
- Hidalgo DM, Friendship RM, Greiner L, Manjarin R, Amezcua MR, Dominguez JC, Kirkwood RN. Influence of lactation length and gonadotrophins administered at weaning on fertility of primiparous sows. Anim Reprod Sci. 2014;149(3-4):245-8. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2014.06.034. PMid:25064560.
- Hodson H Jr, Hausler C, Snyder D, Wilkens M, Arthur R. Effect of gonadotropin dose and postpartum status on induced ovulation and pregnancy in lactating sows. J Anim Sci. 1981;52(4):688-95. http://doi.org/10.2527/jas1981.524688x. PMid:7263533.
- Hoving LL, Soede NM, Feitsma H, Kemp B. Lactation weight loss in primiparous sows: consequences for embryo survival and progesterone and relations with metabolic profiles. Reprod Domest Anim. 2012;47(6):1009-16. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0531.2012.02007.x. PMid:22420822.
- Innamma N, Roongsitthichai A. Reproductive effects of PG600 in female pigs. Wetchasan Sattawaphaet. 2015;45(4):493-8. http://doi.org/10.56808/2985-1130.2678.
- Karg H, Vogt K. Control of hormone treatment in animals and residues in meat: regulatory aspects and approaches in methodology. J Assoc Off Anal Chem. 1978;61(5):1201-8. http://doi.org/10.1093/jaoac/61.5.1201. PMid:721733.
- Kemp B, Da Silva CL, Soede NM. Recent advances in pig reproduction: focus on impact of genetic selection for female fertility. Reprod Domest Anim. 2018;53(Suppl 2):28-36. http://doi.org/10.1111/rda.13264. PMid:30238653.
- Kim SW, Gormley A, Jang KB, Duarte ME. Current status of global pig production: an overview and research trends. Anim. Biosci. 2024;37(4):719-29. http://doi.org/10.5713/ab.23.0367. PMid:37946421.
- Kirkwood RN, Henry SC, Tokach LM, Foxcroft GR. Human chorionic gonadotropin at parturition fails to consistently induce ovulation in sows. J Swine Health Prod. 1999;7:69-72.
- Kirkwood RN, Thacker PA. Induced estrus and breeding during lactation: effects on sow and litter performance. J Swine Health Prod. 1998;6(3):95-8.
- Knox RV. Artificial insemination in pigs today. Theriogenology. 2016;85(1):83-93. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2015.07.009. PMid:26253434.
- Knox RV. Recent advancements in the hormonal stimulation of ovulation in swine. Vet Med Res Rep. 2015;(3):309-20. http://doi.org/10.2147/VMRR.S68960. PMid:30101116.

- Lane EA, Austin EJ, Crowe MA. Oestrous synchronisation in cattle Current options following the EU regulations restricting use of oestrogenic compounds in food-producing animals: a review. Anim Reprod Sci. 2008;109(1-4):1-16. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2008.08.009. PMid:18786787.
- Levis DG. Effect of early weaning on sow reproductive performance A review. Nebraska Swine Reports. V. 204. Lincoln: Animal Science Department, University of Nebraska Lincoln; 1997.
- Lopes TP, Bolarín A, Martínez EA, Roca J. Altrenogest treatment before weaning improves litter size in sows. Reprod Domest Anim. 2017;52(Suppl 4):75-7. http://doi.org/10.1111/rda.13063. PMid:29052320.
- Lopes TP, Padilla L, Bolarin A, Rodriguez-Martinez H, Roca J. Ovarian follicle growth during lactation determines the reproductive performance of weaned sows. Animals (Basel). 2020;10(6):1012. http://doi.org/10.3390/ani10061012. PMid:32532102.
- López-Vergé S, Gasa J, Coma J, Bonet J, Solà-Oriol D. Effect of lactation length caused by the management production system on piglet performance until slaughter. Livest Sci. 2019;224:26-30. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2019.04.003.
- Lucy MC, Liu J, Boyd CK, Bracken CJ. Ovarian follicular growth in sows. Reprod Suppl. 2001;58:31-45. http://doi.org/10.1530/biosciprocs.16.0003. PMid:11980199.
- Lucy MC. Functional differences in the growth hormone and insulin-like growth factor axis in cattle and pigs: implications for post-partum nutrition and reproduction. Reprod Domest Anim. 2008;43(Suppl 2):31-9. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0531.2008.01140.x. PMid:18638098.
- MacLeod M, Gerber P, Mottet A, Tempio G, Falcucci A, Opio C, Vellinga T, Henderson B, Steinfeld H. Greenhouse gas emissions from pig and chicken supply chains – A global life cycle assessment. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO); 2013 [cited 2024 Apr 2]. Available from: https://www.fao.org/3/i3460e/i3460e00.htm
- Martinat-Botte F. Induction of gestation during lactation in the sow. In: Proceedings of Biologie Animale Biochimie Biophysique; 1975; Les Ulis. Les Ulis: EDP Sciences; 1975. pp. 369-74.
- Meile A, Nathues H, Kauffold J, Grahofer A. Ultrasonographic examination of postpartum uterine involution in sows. Anim Reprod Sci. 2020;219:106540. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2020.106540. PMid:32828414.
- Ming D, Wang W, Huang C, Wang Z, Shi C, Ding J, Liu H, Wang F. Effects of weaning age at 21 and 28 days on growth performance, intestinal morphology and redox status in piglets. Animals (Basel). 2021;11(8):2169. http://doi.org/10.3390/ani11082169. PMid:34438627.
- Murphy B. Equine chorionic gonadotropin: an enigmatic but essential tool. Anim Reprod. 2018;9(3):223-30.
- Porter MB, Brumsted JR, Sites CK. Effect of prolactin on follicle-stimulating hormone receptor binding and progesterone production in cultured porcine granulosa cells. Fertil Steril. 2000;73(1):99-105. http://doi.org/10.1016/S0015-0282(99)00463-X. PMid:10632421.
- Przygrodzka E, Lopinska M, Ziecik AJ. Precision-cut luteal slices: a promising approach for studying luteal function in pigs. Reprod Biol. 2014;14(3):243-7. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.repbio.2014.04.001. PMid:25152524.
- Quesnel H. Nutritional and lactational effects on follicular development in the pig. Soc Reprod Fertil Suppl. 2009:66:121-34. PMid:19848276.
- Quirino M, Pinheiro ARA, Santos JT, Ulguim RR, Mellagi APG, Bortolozzo FP. Reproductive performance of fixed-time artificial insemination in swine and factors for the technology success. Ciência Rural. 2019;49. https://doi.org/10.1590/0103-8478cr20180712.
- Quirino M, Ulguim RR, Bernardi ML, Pereira VN, Magoga J, Gianluppi RDF, Mellagi APG, Gasperin BG, Bortolozzo FP. Follicular dynamic and reproductive performance of gilts submitted to estrous cycle synchronization using two different progestogen sources. Theriogenology. 2020;158:31-8. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2020.08.035. PMid:32927198.
- Rabelo S, Faria B, Rocha L, Pereira B, Chaves B, Pontelo T, Pereira L, Zangeronimo M. Incidence of the second parity syndrome in sows from a commercial farm. Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec. 2016;68(4):1085-9. http://doi.org/10.1590/1678-4162-8651.
- Schams D, Kraetzl W-D, Brem G, Graf F. Secretory pattern of metabolic hormones in the lactating sow. Exp Clin Endocrinol. 1994;102(6):439-47. http://doi.org/10.1055/s-0029-1211316. PMid:7890020.
- Segura Correa JC, Alzina-López A, Santos-Ricalde RH. Risk factors associated with the occurrence of the second-litter syndrome in sows in southeastern Mexico. ScientificWorldJournal. 2013;2013:969620. http://doi.org/10.1155/2013/969620. PMid:24288517.

Senger P. Pathways to pregnancy and parturition. 3rd ed. Redmond: Current Conceptions; 2012. p. 273-291.

- Sesti LA, Britt JH. Secretion of gonadotropins and estimated releasable pools of gonadotropin-releasing hormone and gonadotropins during establishment of suckling-induced inhibition of gonadotropin secretion in the sow. Biol Reprod. 1994;50(5):1078-86. http://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod50.5.1078. PMid:8025164.
- Słomczyńska M, Gregoraszczuk E, Kochman K, Stoklosowa S. Prolactin binding analysis and immunohistochemical localization of prolactin receptor in porcine ovarian cells. Endocr J. 2001;48(1):71-80. http://doi.org/10.1507/endocrj.48.71. PMid:11403105.
- Terry R, Kind K, Lines D, Kennett T, Hughes P, van Wettere W. Lactation estrus induction in multi-and primiparous sows in an Australian commercial pork production system. J Anim Sci. 2014;92(5):2265-74. http://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2013-7475. PMid:24663189.
- Ulguim RR, Mallmann AL, Gasperin BG, Bernardi ML, Wentz I, Mellagi APG, Bortolozzo FP. Effects of intravaginal devices containing different dosages of medroxyprogesterone acetate for the control of the estrous cycle in gilts. Anim Reprod Sci. 2019;210:106200. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2019.106200. PMid:31635772.
- Valros A, Rundgren M, Špinka M, Saloniemi H, Hultén F, Uvnäs-Moberg K, Tománek M, Krejci P, Algers B. Oxytocin, prolactin and somatostatin in lactating sows: associations with mobilisation of body resources and maternal behaviour. Livest Prod Sci. 2004;85(1):3-13. http://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-6226(03)00114-3.
- Valros AE, Rundgren M, Špinka M, Saloniemi H, Rydhmer L, Algers B. Nursing behaviour of sows during 5 weeks lactation and effects on piglet growth. Appl Anim Behav Sci. 2002;76(2):93-104. http://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1591(02)00006-0.
- Van den Brand H, Dieleman SJ, Soede NM, Kemp B. Dietary energy source at two feeding levels during lactation of primiparous sows: I. Effects on glucose, insulin, and luteinizing hormone and on follicle development, weaning-to-estrus interval, and ovulation rate. J Anim Sci. 2000;78(2):396-404. http://doi.org/10.2527/2000.782396x. PMid:10709931.
- Van Wettere W, Kaisler-Smith C, Terry R, Weaver A, Herde P, Kennaway D, Hughes P, Kind K. Boar contact is an effective stimulant of ovulation during early lactation. Livest Sci. 2013;155(2-3):454-8. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2013.04.017.
- Van Wettere WH, Weaver AC, Greenwood EC, Terry R, Hughes PE, Kind KL. Controlling lactation oestrus: the final frontier for breeding herd management. Mol Reprod Dev. 2017;84(9):883-96. http://doi.org/10.1002/mrd.22838. PMid:28628264.
- Wood CM, Kornegay ET, Shipley CF. Efficacy of altrenogest in synchronizing estrus in two swine breeding programs and effects on subsequent reproductive performance of sows. J Anim Sci. 1992;70(5):1357-64. http://doi.org/10.2527/1992.7051357x. PMid:1526904.
- Wylot B, Tworus K, Okrasa S. The effects of mu-, delta-and kappa-opioid receptor activation on luteinizing and folliclestimulating hormone secretion from porcine pituitary cells. J Physiol Pharmacol. 2013;64(4):505-11. PMid:24101398.
- Yuan W, Lucy M. Messenger ribonucleic acid expression for growth hormone receptor, luteinizing hormone receptor, and steroidogenic enzymes during the estrous cycle and pregnancy in porcine and bovine corpora lutea. Domest Anim Endocrinol. 1996;13(5):431-44. http://doi.org/10.1016/0739-7240(96)00073-2. PMid:8886596.
- Yun J, Swan K-M, Farmer C, Oliviero C, Peltoniemi O, Valros A. Prepartum nest-building has an impact on postpartum nursing performance and maternal behaviour in early lactating sows. Appl Anim Behav Sci. 2014;160:31-7. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2014.08.011.
- Zemitis J, Bouwman EG, Langendijk P, van Wettere W, Kirkwood R. Postpartum injection of human chorionic gonadotrophin: effects on sow ovarian follicles. J Swine Health Prod. 2015;23(3):137-9.
- Ziecik AJ, Klos J, Gromadzka-Hliwa K, Dietrich MA, Slowinska M, Likszo P, Knapczyk-Stwora K, Gajewski Z, Kaczmarek MM. Endocrine and molecular milieus of ovarian follicles are diversely affected by human chorionic gonadotropin and gonadotropin-releasing hormone in prepubertal and mature gilts. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):13465. http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-91434-6. PMid:34188064.
- Ziecik AJ, Przygrodzka E, Jalali BM, Kaczmarek MM. Regulation of the porcine corpus luteum during pregnancy. Reproduction. 2018;156(3):R57-67. http://doi.org/10.1530/REP-17-0662. PMid:29794023.

Author contributions

MWQ: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing; CS: Writing – original draft; MPDF: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing; TLJ: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing; TLJ: Writing – review & editing; PBDG: Writing – review & editing; RU: Writing – review & editing; Supervision, Funding acquisition; BGG: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BGG: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BGG: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BGG: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BGG: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BCC: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BCC: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BCC: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BCC: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BCC: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BCC: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BCC: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BCC: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BCC: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BCC: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BCC: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BCC: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BCC: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BCC: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BCC: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BCC: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BCC: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BCC: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BCC: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BCC: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition; BCC: Writing – review & editin