

# Host status of weeds for Pratylenchus coffeae

Alverlan S. Araújo<sup>a ©</sup>, Mayara C. Assunção<sup>b ©</sup>, Gilson Moura Filho<sup>a ©</sup>, Renan C. Souza<sup>a ©</sup>, Maria de Fatima S. Muniz<sup>a\* ©</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Universidade Federal de Alagoas, Rio Largo, AL, Brazil. <sup>b</sup> Universidade Federal do Oeste da Bahia, Barra, BA, Brazil.

**Abstract: Background:** Populations of yam dry rot nematodes *Scutellonema bradys, Pratylenchus coffeae* and *P. brachyurus* can be sustained on weed hosts.

**Objective:** Evaluate the reaction of 10 weeds frequently found in Alagoas to *P. coffeae* under greenhouse conditions.

**Methods:** Two experiments were carried out in a completely randomized design, with 11 treatments, constituted of 10 weed species and the control (*Dioscorea cayenensis*) with eight replications. Plants were inoculated with 2,000 nematode specimens, nematode populations were evaluated in roots

and soil 60 days after inoculation and the reproduction factor (RF) defined as RF = final population/initial population was calculated.

**Results:** Euphorbia hyssopifolia showed RF=0 and was considered as non-host, whereas Chenopodium album, Amaranthus sp., Panicum maximum, Eleusine indica, Senna occidentalis, Emilia coccinea and Richardia brasiliensis, showed RF<1, being rated as poor hosts. Ricinus communis and Macroptilium lathyroides showed RF>1 only in the second trial and were classified as good hosts.

**Conclusion:** *Ricinus communis* and *M. lathyroides* are potential sources of nematode inoculum for dry rot disease development.

Keywords: Dioscorea spp.; Dry rot disease; Alternative hosts; Nematode management

Journal Information: ISSN - 2675-9462 Website: http://awsjournal.org Journal of the Brazilian Weed Science Society

How to cite: Araújo AS, Assunção MC, Moura Filho G, Souza RC, Muniz MFS. Host status of weeds for Pratylenchus coffeae. Adv Weed Sci.2022;40:e020220006. https://doi.org/10.51694/AdvWeedSci/2022;4000015

# Approved by:

Editor in Chief: Carlos Eduardo Schedler Associate Editor: Leonardo Bianco Carvalho

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this manuscript.

Received: January 25, 2022 Approved: September 2, 2022

\* Corresponding author: <mf.muniz@uol.com.br>



This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original author and source are credited.

Copyright: 2022

Yam (*Dioscorea* spp.) has great socio-economic importance in Brazil, especially in the Northeastern region of the country, where it is commonly used as a food source and the tubers have important aggregated value when compared to other sources of carbohydrates, such as sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam.) and cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) (Siqueira, 2011). It is also important due to the labor demand during the crop cycle, mainly during harvest stage, generating jobs and income.

Among the diseases affecting yam in Brazil, the dry rot is considered the most damaging, causing symptoms of necrosis in commercial and seed tubers. The causal agents of the disease are the plant-parasitic nematodes *Scutellonema bradys* (Steiner & LeHew) Andrássy, *Pratylenchus coffeae* (Zimmermann) Filipjev & Schuurmans Stekhoven and *P. brachyurus* (Godfrey) Filipjev & Schuurmans Stekhoven (Moura, 2016). In the state of Alagoas, Brazil, mixed populations of these species were reported and the disease is disseminated in the main production areas (Muniz et al., 2012).

The disease management methods include the use of nematode-free planting material and crop rotation with antagonist plant species (Moura, 2016). Studies concerning the use of botanical extracts (Lima et al., 2019; Farias et al., 2020; Magalhães et al., 2020) and the utilization of sodium hypochlorite for the treatment of propagative material have also been evaluated (Almeida et al., 2020).

Other issue related to the dry rot disease which interferes directly in the disease intensity are weeds, which can act as alternative hosts, supporting the survival of nematode in the fields (Lordello et al., 1988). In Brazil, there is little information on the host range of weeds for the yam dry rot nematodes, for instance the studies of Carmo et al. (2014) with *S. bradys* and Almeida et al. (2019), which included *S. bradys* and *Pratylenchus* spp. Considering weeds can represent sources of nematode inoculum, new studies will allow for an understanding of the reaction of these plant species to the causal agents of the disease, and additionally, to recommend management practices aiming to control these host plants and consequently to reduce nematode populations for the next cropping season.

In this context, the objective of the present study was to evaluate the reaction of 10 weeds commonly found in Alagoas to *P. coffeae* under greenhouse conditions.

Greenhouse experiments were performed twice at Federal University of Alagoas, in Rio Largo, AL, Brazil, from February to April (trial 1) and from April to July 2021 (trial 2), with monthly average temperatures ranging from 25.2 to 27.5  $^{\circ}$ C and 24.3 to 25.2  $^{\circ}$ C, respectively.

Seeds of weeds (Table 1) were sown directly in plastic pots containing approximately 3 L of a 3:1 mixture of soil and sterilized filter cake, and thinned to one plant per

pot after the emergence. Sprouting yam seed tubers (*D. cayenensis* cv. da costa) with an average weight of 39.6 g in the first trial and 52.1 g in the second one, were included as control.

Pratylenchus coffeae inoculum was obtained from naturally infected yam tubers from producing areas in the state of Alagoas. The tubers were washed and the tuber skin was removed before the peel was cut into pieces and processed according to Coolen, D'Herde (1972). Nematode identification was performed based on morphological and morphometric characters (n=20): the female body length was of 656.48  $\mu$ m (548.20–727.22  $\mu$ m); stylet length = 14.9  $\mu$ m (12.89–17.41  $\mu$ m); vulva position=

**Table 1** - Family, scientific and common names of weeds tested in the experiments.

tested in the experiments.								
Family	Scientific name	Common name						
Asteraceae	Emilia coccinea (Sims) G. Don.	Scarlet tasselflower						
Euphorbiaceae	Euphorbia hyssopifolia L.	Hyssop Leaf Sandmat						
Euphorbeaceae	Ricinus communis L.	Castor bean						
Fabaceae	Senna occidentalis L.	Septicweed						
Fabaceae	Macroptilium lathyroides L.	Phasey bean						
Rubiaceae	Richardia brasiliensis Gomes	White eye						
Poaceae	Eleusine indica (L.) Gaertn.	Goosegrass						
Poaceae	Panicum maximum Jacq.	Guinea grass						
Amaranthaceae	Chenopodium album L.	Goosefoot						
Amaranthaceae	Amaranthus sp.	Amaranth						

79.6% (79–90%); labial region with two annuli; tail tip predominantly truncate; and abundant males were present (Gonzaga et al., 2016).

Plants were inoculated at the stage of sixth and eighth pair of real leaves (dicotyledonous) and 3-5 tillers (monocotyledoneous), by adding 2,000 specimens of *P. coffeae*, in two holes 2 cm deep in the soil around the stem of each plant. The experiments were arranged in a completely randomized design, with 11 treatments and eight replications.

Sixty days after inoculation the roots were separated from the aerial portion and then washed carefully, blotted dry on paper towel and weighed to obtain the root fresh weight and, subsequently, cut into 2 cm fragments, and triturated in a blender, followed by centrifugation in sugar solution and kaolin (Coolen, D'Herde, 1972). Nematodes were extracted from soil samples (100 cm³) according Jenkins (1964). The number of nematodes was counted using Peters slides under an inverted light microscope.

The total number of nematodes counted per each replication from roots and soil was used to calculate the reproduction factor (RF = final population/initial population), with weed host status categorized as non-host (RF=0), poor host (RF<1) and good host (RF>1) (Seinhorst, 1965). Data were transformed to  $\log(x+1)$ , and subjected to analysis of variance. The means were compared by Tukey test at 5% probability, using the software SISVAR 5.6 (Ferreira, 2011).

The host response of the 10 weeds to P. coffeae infection showed differences ( $P \le 0.05$ ) for fresh root weigh, number of nematodes per gram of roots, final population density and RF (Table 2).

<b>Table 2</b> - Reaction of weeds inoculated with 2,000 specimens of Pratylenchus coffeae.									
Plant species	Experiment I			Experiment II					
	RFW	N/g root	FP	RF	RFW	N/g root	FP	RF	
Dioscorea cayenensis (control)	66.69 a	21.50 b	1420.0 a	0.710 a	38.47 a	164.75 b	6185.00 a	3.09 b	
Ricinus communis	41.31 a	25.62 b	986.25 a	0.493 b	16.51 b	457.00 a	8767.50 a	4.38 a	
Macroptilium lathyroides	9.58 c	61.12 a	343.75 b	0.172 c	3.79 d	1069.50 a	4045.00 a	2.02 c	
Chenopodium album	2.50 ef	1.88 d	6.25 d	0.003 d	2.00 e	20.88 d	61.25 c	0.03 d	
Amaranthus sp.	3.09 de	8.25 c	22.50 c	0.011 d	3.16 de	67.62 c	115.00 b	0.05 d	
Panicum maximum	14.90 b	1.12 d	15.00 c	0.008 d	23.96 b	8.50 d	208.75 b	0.10 d	
Eleusine indica	5.62 cd	8.62 c	32.50 c	0.016 d	4.41 cd	39.38 d	142.50 b	0.07 d	
Senna occidentalis	6.72 c	1.75 d	10.00 d	0.005 d	6.70 c	16.50 d	118.75 d	0.05 d	
Emilia coccinea	1.48 f	0.00 e	0.00 e	0.000 d	0.99 f	15.50 c	23.75 d	0.01 d	
Euphorbia hyssopifolia	1.35 f	0.00 e	0.00 e	0.000 d	0.15 g	5.00 e	5.00 d	0.00 d	
Richardia brasiliensis	1.66 ef	0.12 e	1.38 e	0.008 d	0.43 fg	0.12 e	1.25 d	0.00 d	
CV%	14.7	39.4	30.0	50.5	14.6	25.2	19.1	38	

For statistical analysis data were transformed to  $\log (x + 1)$ . Means followed by the same letter within the column do not significantly differ by the Tukey test at 5% probability. RFW – Root Fresh Weight (g); N/g root – Nematode per gram of root; FP – Final Nematode Population (soil + root); RF – Reproduction Factor.

The genus Pratylenchus includes about 68 valid species of worldwide distribution that parasitize a wide variety of plants and in the absence of a host crop they can survive on weeds, helping in the maintenance, multiplication and spread of the nematode within a field, resulting in greater damage to susceptible crops (Castillo, Vovlas, 2007). In the present study Euphorbia hyssopifolia showed RF=0 and was considered as non-host. Chenopodium album, Amaranthus sp., Panicum maximum, Eleusine indica, Senna occidentalis, Emilia coccinea and Richardia brasiliensis, showed RF<1, being rated as poor hosts. Among the plant species evaluated, Ricinus communis and Macroptilium lathyroides showed similar reaction, with RF<1 in the first trial and RF>1 in the second, being considered as poor hosts and good hosts respectively (Table 2). Such discrepancy may be attributed to the difference between the nematode populations used in the current work, once they were obtained from the same municipality but from different farms. Pathogenic variability in P. coffeae has been previously reported (Mizukubo et al., 2003). The higher number of both nematode's populations and RF, verified in the second trial for R. communis and M. lathyroides corroborating Conduta et al. (2020), who observed a negative correlation between the number of P. brachyurus per gram of soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merril) root and fresh root weight.

The susceptibility of some weeds tested in the current study to other *Pratylenchus* species was previously reported, for instance, *R. communis* to *P. neglectus* (Rensch) Filipjev & Schuurmans Stekhoven (Al-Rehiayani, Hafez, 1998); *Amaranthus* spp., *P. maximum* and *E. indica* to *P. brachyurus* and *P. zeae* Graham (Bellé et al., 2015; Bellé et al., 2017) under greenhouse conditions. On the other hand, under field conditions in the state of Alagoas, Almeida et al. (2019),

did not detect the presence of yam dry rot nematodes in roots of *E. hissopifolia* and *E. indica*, while *E. coccinea* and *R. brasiliensis* were parasitized for both *S. bradys* and *Pratylenchus* spp. These findings were partially confirmed in the present study. It is also important to point out that weeds such as *S. occidentalis*, *M. lathyroides*, *R. brasiliensis*, *E. indica*, *C. album*, and *Amaranthus* sp., were reported as hosts for the root-knot nematodes (Rich et al., 2009).

The weed species Amaranthus sp., C. album, P. maximum, E. indica, S. occidentalis, E. coccinea, E. hyssopifolia and R. brasiliensis supported the smallest RF of P. coffeae in both experiments. Studies should be performed aiming to elucidate their mechanisms of resistance. On the other hand, Ricinus communis and M. lathyroides showed high potential to act as sources of nematode inoculum for the development of dry rot disease. Then, the role of weeds should be considered in the disease management, both during and after the yam growth cycle. No record was found on M. lathyroides as host for Pratylenchus spp.

#### Authors' contributions

ASA: performed the experiments and writing. MCA: contributed in the conducting of the experiments. GMF: made the statistical analyses. RCS: writing-review. MFSM: writing-review and formatting.

## **Funding**

This study was financed in part by the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel - Brazil (*Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior* - CAPES) - Finance Code 001.

### References

Almeida AVDL, Muniz MFS, Noronha MA, Souza, RC, Moura Filho G, Farias SD. *Scutellonema bradys* and *Pratylenchus* spp. associated with weeds in yam fields. Nematology. 2019;21(8):805-11. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1163/15685411-00003255

Almeida AVDL, Muniz MFS, Noronha MA, Farias SP, Carvalho VN. Treatment of yam tubers infected by *Scutellonema bradys* with sodium hypochlorite. Nematropica. 2020;50(1):29-35.

Al-Rehiayani S, Hafez SL. Host status of green manure effect of selected crops to *Meloidogyne chitwoodi* race 2 and *Pratylenchus neglectus*. Nematropica. 1998;28:213-30.

Bellé C, Lima-Medina I, Kaspary TE, Kuhn PR. [Host suitability of weeds to *Pratylenchus brachyurus* in Northwest of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil]. Nematropica. 2015;45(2):144-49. Spanish.

Bellé C, Kaspary TE, Kuhn PR, Schmitt J, Lima-Medina I. Reproduction de *Pratylenchus zeae* on weeds. Planta Daninha. 2017;35:1-8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-83582017350100006

Carmo DO, Almeida NS, Souza JT. Infectivity and reproduction of Scutellonema bradys on weeds and cultivated plant species. Nematology. 2014;16(2):175-83. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1163/15685411-00002755

Castillo P, Vovlas N. *Pratylenchus* (Nematoda: Pratylenchidae): diagnosis, biology, pathogenicity and management. Leiden: Brill, 2007.

Conduta NS, Silva MTR, Rinaldi LK, Dias-Arieira CR. Interaction between resistance inducer and micronutrients on the control of root-lesion nematode and the development of soybean plants. Rev Caatinga. 2020;33(3):591-8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1590/1983-21252020v33n302rc

Coolen WA, D'Herde CJ. A method for the quantitatie extraction of nematodes from plant tissue. Ghent: State Agriculture Research Center, 1972.

Farias SP, Almeida AVDL, Nascimento ES, Soletti JI, Balliano TL, Moura Filho G et al. *In vitro* and *in vivo* control of yam rot nematodes using pyroligneous extracts from palm trees. Rev Ceres. 2020;67(6):482-90. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1590/0034-737X202067060008

Ferreira DF. Sisvar: a computer statistic analysis system. Cienc Agrotec. 2011;35(6):1039-42. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1590/S1413-70542011000600001

Gonzaga V, Santos JM, Mendonça RS, Santos MA. [Genus *Pratylen-chus*]. In: Oliveira CM, Santos MA, Castro LHS. [Diagnosis of phytonem-atodes]. Campinas: Milennium, 2016. p. 71-98. Portuguese.

Jenkins WR. A rapid centrifugal-flotation technique for separating nematodes from soil. Plant Dis Rep. 1964;48(9):692.

Lima RS, Muniz MFS, Costa JG, Silva KB, Behling A. [Aqueous extracts of *Annona* spp. and *Croton heliotropiifolius* on *Scutellonema bradys* and phytochemical prospection of the compounds]. Summa Phytopathol. 2019;45(2):223-4. Portuguese. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1590/0100-5405/179913

Lordello RRA, Lordello AIL, Paulo EM. [Persistance and reproduction of *Meloidogyne javanica* in weeds]. Nematol Bras. 1988;12:84-92. Portuguese.

Magalhães ICS, Muniz MFS, Moura Filho G, Ramirez CH, Araújo AS, Soares NHM. [Aqueous extract of custard apple leaves on the management of dry rot of yam]. Nematropica. 2020;50(2):127-33. Portuguese.

Moura RM. [Diseases of yam]. In: Amorim L, Rezende JAM, Bergamim Filho A, Camargo LEA, editors. [Manual of Phytopathology: diseas-

es of cultivated crops]. 5th ed. Ouro Fino: Agronômica Ceres, 2016. p. 477-483. Portuguese.

Muniz MFS, Silva EJ, Castro JMC, Rocha FS, Alencar LMC, Gonzaga V. Intensity of dry rot disease of yam in the state of Alagoas, Brazil. Nematropica. 2012;42(2):198-200.

Mizukubo T, Orui Y, Hanada K, Sano Z. Microevolutionary trend in *Pratylenchus coffeae* sensu stricto (Nematoda: Pratylenchidae): the diversity in PCR-RFLP phenotype, compatibility on host plants and reproductive segregation. Nematol Res. 2003;33(2):57-76. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3725/jjn1993.33.2\_57

Rich JR, Brito JA, Kaur R, Ferrell JA. Weed species as hosts of *Meloidogyne*: a review. Nematropica. 2009;39(2):157-185.

Seinhorst JW. The relation between nematode density and damage to plants. Nematologica. 1965;11(1):137-54.

Siqueira MVBM. Yam: a neglected and underutilized crop in Brazil. Hortic Bras. 2011;29(1):16-20. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-05362011000100003