Original Article

Effect of inert dust on the mortality of *Sitophilus zeamais* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae)

Efeito de pós inertes na mortalidade de *Sitophilus zeamais* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae)

M. G. C. E. Greco^a (10), K. Jobim^b (10), J. B. Pazini^c (10) and F. R. M. Garcia^{d*} (10)

^a Universidade Federal de Pelotas – UFPel, Programa de Pós-graduação Entomologia, Pelotas, RS, Brasil

^b Universidade Federal de Pelotas – UFPel, Programa de Pós-graduação em Fitossanidade, Pelotas, RS, Brasil

^c SLC Agricola S/A, Porto Alegre, RS, Brasil

^d Universidade Federal de Pelotas – UFPel, Instituto de Biologia, Departamento de Ecologia de Insetos, Zoologia e Genética, RS, Brasil

Abstract

The maize weevil, *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky, 1855 (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), generally reaches pest status in stored grain. Chemical control is the most used method for population suppression, which can cause adverse impacts, thus creating a need for alternatives such as using inert powders. The present work aims to verify the effect of different concentrations of different types of inert powders on the mortality of *S. zeamais* in the laboratory. To this end, the experiments were carried out in a completely randomized design, with 13 treatments and four replications, ten adults per replication, where the effect of different inert powders (basalt powder, gypsum powder, and diatomaceous earth) was tested at concentrations of 0.025 g, 0.05 g, 0.1 g and 0.2 g/20 g of corn grains. Variance, normality, and homoscedasticity tests were applied in addition to controlling efficiency (CE%), median lethal time (TL50), and survival curves. All treatments caused mortality in *S. zeamais*, and all concentrations with diatomaceous earth were more efficient, with 100% mortality at 20 days, followed by the treatment of 0.2 g of gypsum powder/20 g of corn grains, with superior efficiency, to 95% in 20 days and 100% in 30 days. The results indicated that treatments with diatomaceous earth had the highest mortality rate and the best average survival time.

Keywords: beetle, corn, alternative control, gypsum.

Resumo

O gorgulho-do-milho, *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky, 1855 (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), geralmente atinge o status de praga em grãos armazenados. O controle químico é o método mais utilizado para sua supressão populacional, podendo causar impactos adversos, surge assim a necessidade de alternativas como o uso de pós inertes. O presente trabalho tem como objetivo verificar o efeito de diferentes concentrações de diferentes tipos de pós inertes na mortalidade de *S. zeamais* no laboratório. Para tanto, os experimentos foram realizados em delineamento inteiramente casualizado, com 13 tratamentos e quatro repetições, 10 adultos por repetição. Onde testou-se o efeito de diferentes pós inertes (pó de basalto, pó de gesso e terra diatomácea), nas concentrações de 0,025 g, 0,05 g, 0,1 g e 0,2 g/20 g de grãos de milho. Sendo aplicados testes de variância, normalidade e homocedasticidade, além de eficiência de controle (CE%), tempo letal mediano (TL50) e curvas de sobrevivência. Todos os tratamentos causaram mortalidade aos 20 dias, seguido do tratamento de 0,2 g de pó de gesso/20 g de grãos de milho, com eficiência superior a 95% aos 20 dias e 100% em 30 dias. Os resultados indicaram que os tratamentos com terra diatomácea apresentaram a maior taxa de mortalidade e a melhor média em termos de tempo de sobrevivência.

Palavras-chave: besouro, milho, controle alternativo, gesso.

1. Introduction

The production of corn grains in Brazil is forecast at 116.1 million tons in 2024 (IBGE, 2024). The good results of the agricultural output of stored grains are directly linked to the adoption of best storage, production, and protection practices, which are essential to guarantee the viability of production. This prevents losses after harvest and the product's devaluation (Lorini et al., 2015; Mussalama et al., 2023).

Among the pests of stored grains is *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky, 1855 (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), considered the main pest of stored corn grains (Trematerra et al., 2013). This insect damages grains due to its ability to penetrate deeply into its mass to feed. After ovipositing, its larvae develop inside the grain (Nwosu, 2018), causing losses in final production and having high biotic

*e-mail: flavio.garcia@ufpel.edu.br Received: November 24, 2023 – Accepted: May 3, 2024

 \odot \odot

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

potential (Lorini et al., 2015). In addition to favoring the production of mycotoxins from pre-existing fungi in corn grains (Ferreira-Castro et al., 2012) and contributing to the emergence of secondary pests, increasing the rate of damage to the final product (Alencar et al., 2011). This can result in post-harvest losses of around 15% in the field, 13 to 20% during grain processing, and between 15 and 25% in storage (Manandhar et al., 2018).

Chemical control with synthetic insecticides has been the most used method to control pests such as corn weevils (Kim et al., 2019). Fumigant insecticides are the most applied in Brazil (Jagadeesan et al., 2018; Ayres et al., 2021; Ortega et al., 2021). However, several factors negatively impact its use, such as favoring the emergence of insect resistance to chemicals. Currently, weevils of the genus Sitophilus have been reported to exhibit moderate and robust resistance to pyrethroid-based insecticides (Singh et al., 2021).

Insect management alternatives are being studied and introduced in Brazil (Finkler, 2012). Inert powders are a method that was already used in family farming even before the emergence of synthetic insecticides (Lorini, 1998). Among the types of inert powders, diatomaceous earth stands out, as studies have shown that corn grains without diatomaceous earth control, when infested by the corn weevil, can, in two months, go from a type 1 quality classification (presenting fewer grains with defects) for a low standard classification to be sold (Antunes et al., 2013). However, diatomaceous earth generates some unwanted impacts on grains related to the interference of their physical and mechanical characteristics (Korunic et al., 2020). Therefore, the milling industry is reluctant to treat grains with diatomaceous earth due to its abrasive nature and possible damage to the machine (Losic and Korunic, 2018). Therefore, studies are needed to deepen knowledge about different types of inert powders. Thus, the present work aims to verify the effect of various concentrations of different inert powders on the mortality of S. zeamais in the laboratory.

2. Material and Methods

The study was conducted at the Laboratory of Insect Ecology (LABEI) Institute of Biology of the Federal University of Pelotas, Campus Capão do Leão, RS (IB - UFPEL). Corn grains (*Zea mays*) were purchased through local trade. These were previously sieved and disinfested after being frozen for seven days at -4 °C. They were then kept in glass containers covered with Voil fabric for ten days until reaching their hygroscopic balance, thus avoiding infestations of any pre-existing pest.

To obtain insects of the same age, 20 unsexed adult insects were placed in new glass containers containing corn grains for 15 days. Then, the corn grains with possible oviposition were separated to wait for the emergence of new specimens.

The experiment was carried out in a completely randomized design with 13 treatments, four replications, and four concentrations (Table 1). Each sample unit was composed of a transparent plastic container (60 mL), the **Table 1.** Treatments with basalt powder, gypsum powder, and diatomaceous earth at concentrations of 0.025 g, 0.05 g, 0.1, and 0.2 g/20 g of corn grains and a control group used in an experiment to control *Sitophilus zeamais* in the laboratory.

Treatments	Concentrations (q/t)					
Basalt	T01	250				
	T02	500				
	T03	1000				
	T04	2000				
Gypsum	T05	250				
	T06	500				
	T07	1000				
	T08	2000				
Diatomaceous earth	T09	250				
	T10	500				
	T11	1000				
	T12	2000				
Control	T13	00				

lid having a circular opening sealed with Voile fabric and 20 g of corn kernels.

Each experimental unit comprised 20 g of corn kernels (\pm 12% moisture content). Each concentration of treatments was stirred for two minutes, and ten adult weevils were placed in the containers (\pm 14 days old) (Jairoce et al., 2016). The insects in the grain mass were kept in a B.O.D. (Biochemical oxygen demand) under temperature of 28 \pm 3 °C, relative humidity of 30 \pm 10%, and photophase of 12h, the same conditions previously provided for the creation of weevils in the laboratory. The insect mortality analysis was carried out over 30 days every 24 hours after application. Insect mortality was quantified, which was verified when the insect showed no movement for two minutes upon stimulation with a soft, fine-tipped brush (Antunes et al., 2013).

2.1. Statistical analysis

Initially, the mortality data were submitted to the Shapiro-Wilk normality test and Bartlett's homoscedasticity of variances. Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunn with post hoc Bonferroni correction (P<0.05) was used for data that did not meet the assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variances, even after transformations, by "Easyanova" and "Dunn. test" packages of R 4.0.0 software (R Development Core Team, 2020).

The mortality data, in percentage (%), of the treatments and the control, were also used to calculate the percentage of corrected mortality (%) [or control efficiency (%)], using the mortality correction formula of Schneider-Orelli (count of dead insects; the uniform population of insects in treatments) {[MC%= (%MTrat -%MTest)/(100 – MTest)*100]; where MC is the corrected mortality (%) as a function of the control, MTrat is the mortality (%) observed in the treatment, and MTest is the mortality (%) observed in control} (Püntener, 1981).

In addition, to evaluate the survival over time (days) of the weevils exposed to the treatments, aiming at calculating the median lethal time (LT50), Kaplan-Meier estimators (Log-Rank method) and survival curves were used. Were compared using the Holm-Sidak test (P<0.05) using the SigmaPlot 12.3 software (Systat Software, San Jose, CA, USA).

3. Results

Most treatments (basalt, diatomaceous earth, and gypsum) differed from the control group (Table 2). At ten days after application, the treatments that most differed from the control group were T9 (0.025 g of diatomaceous earth/20 g of corn), T10 (0.05 g of diatomaceous earth/20 g of corn), T11 (0.1 g of diatomaceous earth/20 g of corn) and T12 (0.2 g of diatomaceous earth/20 g of corn), with efficiencies from 81.08 to 97.30%. However, treatments T1 (0.025 g of basalt/20 g of corn), T2 (0.05 g of basalt/20 g of corn), T3 (0.1 g of basalt/20 g of corn), and T5 (0.025 g of gypsum/20 g of corn) of corn) are equal to the control group, showing low efficiency.

At 20 days after application, treatments T8 (0.2 g of gypsum/20 g of corn), T9 (0.025 g of diatomaceous earth/20 g of corn), T10 (0.05 g of diatomaceous earth/20 g of corn), T11 (0.1 g of diatomaceous earth/20 g of corn) and T12 (0.2 g of diatomaceous earth/20 g of corn) differed more from the control group, with efficiencies ranging from 97.30 to 100%. At 30 days after application, all treatments differed from the control, with efficiencies from 63.64 to 100%.

Treatments with diatomaceous earth had the highest mortality rate and the best average survival time. Among the treatments with gypsum powder, the concentration of 0.02 g/20 g of corn kernels (T8) caused the highest mortality in the shortest exposure time after the treatments related to diatomaceous earth, differing statistically from the other treatments.

There was a statistical difference between the mortality curves; all tested treatments showed significantly higher mortality than the control (Figure 1).

In ten days of exposure, a control efficiency above 50% was achieved for the treatment with 0.2 g of gypsum in 20 g of maize (T8) in maize grains and an efficiency superior to 80% for all treatments related to diatomaceous earth.

Around 20 days, it was possible to observe a control efficiency greater than 50% for all treatments related to basalt powder, except for T1 (0.025 g/20 g of corn) with the lowest dosage; for the gypsum powder, all reached a percentage greater than 50% and the treatment with the highest dosage (T8 - 0.2 g/20 g of corn) reached a percentage greater than 80%, and 100% of control efficiency for the treatments with diatomaceous earth, except for T10 (0.05 g/20 g of corn), with 97.3%, but without statistical discrepancy between the others. At the end of the evaluation with 30 days of exposure, it was possible to reach a percentage greater than 80% for all treatments, except for T1 of 0.025 g of basalt powder in 20 g of corn



Figure 1. Survival curves of *Sitophilus zeamais* exposed to treatments with different doses of basalt powder, gypsum, and diatomaceous earth in the laboratory. Caption: T1- 0.025 g of basalt powder in 20 g of corn kernels (250 g/t); T2- 0.05 g of basalt powder in 20 g of corn kernels (500 g/t); T3- 0.1 g of basalt powder in corn kernels (1,000 g/t); T4- 0.2 g of basalt powder in 20 g of corn kernels (2,000 g/t); T5- 0.05 g of gypsum powder in 20 g of corn kernels (2,000 g/t); T5- 0.05 g of gypsum powder in 20 g of corn kernels (2,000 g/t); T6- 0.05 g of gypsum powder in 20 g of corn kernels (500 g/t); T7- 0.1 g of gypsum powder in 20 g of corn (1,000 g/t); T8- 0.2 g of gypsum powder in 20 g of corn (1,000 g/t); T9- 0.025 g of diatomaceous earth in 20 g of corn kernels (250 g/t); T10- 0.05 g of diatomaceous earth in 20 g of corn kernels (500 g/t); T12- 0.2 g of diatomaceous earth in 20 g of corn kernels (2,000 g/t); T12- 0.2 g of diatomaceous earth in 20 g of corn kernels (2,000 g/t); T12- 0.2 g of diatomaceous earth in 20 g of corn kernels (2,000 g/t); T12- 0.2 g of diatomaceous earth in 20 g of corn kernels (2,000 g/t); T12- 0.2 g of diatomaceous earth in 20 g of corn kernels (2,000 g/t); T12- 0.2 g of diatomaceous earth in 20 g of corn kernels (2,000 g/t); T12- 0.2 g of diatomaceous earth in 20 g of corn kernels (2,000 g/t); T12- 0.2 g of diatomaceous earth in 20 g of corn kernels (2,000 g/t); T12- 0.2 g of diatomaceous earth in 20 g of corn kernels (2,000 g/t) and T13- Control (without added products).

grains, which reached a percentage greater than 60%, not differing statistically from the other treatments, despite not achieving a control efficiency above 80%, it is still an interesting percentage to test its influence on natural enemies in the future, leaving open as a possible alternative for use in the field to reduction of the pest insect population.

The average survival time (TL50) of weevil adults differed significantly between treatments (GL 12; X2 541.94; P<0.001). Accounting for about 20 days for the treatments with basalt powder, the treatment of 0.2 g/20 g of corn (T4) reached the best average (15.07 days). The average for treatments with gypsum powder was 9 to 16 days, with the treatment of 0.2 g/20 g of corn (T8) reaching the best average, covering 9.82 days. For diatomaceous earth, the average was 4 to 8 days, with the best average related to the treatment of concentration 0.2 g/20 g of corn (T12) with 4.47 days (Table 3).

4. Discussion

All treatments tested caused mortality in *S. zeamais*. However, in relation to basalt dust, the present study differs from the report by Jairoce et al. (2016), where, after 21 days of exposure, all treatments already differed from the control group, reaching mortality rates above 80%.

Ś	
.5	
5	
E	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
ň	
ai	
Ε	
1	
Ξ.	
Ч	
Ľ	
g	
e	
JS	
ă	
ē	
2	
č	
Ξ	
Ĕ	
.е	
ρ	
φ	
Ξ	
F	
H	
S	
4	
56	
, ÷	
ISI	
lu	
0	
lt	
sa	
ġ	
p	
JC	
0	
S	
60	
Sa	
ö	
Ď	
Ħ	
E U	
ΞE	
بع	
Ē	
Ρ	
0	
4	
p	
ŝ	
ö	
<u>e</u>	
8	
s	
11	
ŭ	
5	
1	
eai	
zeai	
us zeai	
ilus zeai	
hilus zea	
philus zea	
tophilus zeai	
Sitophilus zea	
of Sitophilus zea	
of Sitophilus zea	
%) of Sitophilus zea	
E%) of Sitophilus zea	
(E%) of Sitophilus zea	
ol (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
trol (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
ntrol (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
ontrol (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
^c control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
/ of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
cy of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
ncy of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
iency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
iciency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
fficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
d efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
ind efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
E) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
'SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
(SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
or (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
ror (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
1 error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
rd error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
lard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
ndard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
andard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
standard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
t standard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
) ± standard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
n) ± standard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
$(m) \pm standard error$ (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
i (m) ± standard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
ty (m) ± standard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
ility (m) ± standard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of <i>Sitophilus zea</i>	
tality (m) ± standard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
ortality (m) ± standard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
nortality (m)±standard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
mortality (m) $\pm$ standard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
n mortality (m) ± standard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
ean mortality (m)±standard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
Aean mortality (m) ± standard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
Mean mortality (m) ± standard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zear	
<b>2.</b> Mean mortality (m) $\pm$ standard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of <i>Sitophilus zea</i>	
e 2. Mean mortality (m) ± standard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	
<b>ile 2.</b> Mean mortality (m) ± standard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of <i>Sitophilus zea</i>	
able 2. Mean mortality (m) ± standard error (SE) and efficiency of control (E%) of Sitophilus zea	

	E%	63.64	93.94	93.94	100.00	90.91	93.94	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	ł	I	I	I		
30 DAYS	m ± SE	7.00 ± 1.73a	9.50 ± 0.50a	9.50 ± 0.87a	10.00 ± 0.00a	9.25 ± 0.48a	9.50 ± 0.29a	10.00 ± 0.00a	10.00 ± 0.00a	10.00 ± 0.00a	10.00 ± 0.00a	10.00 ± 0.00a	10.00 ± 0.00a	1.5 ± 0.63b	12; 39	32.07	<0.013	13.19	
	E%	24.32	54.05	70.27	56.76	78.38	54.05	72.97	97.30	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	1	ł	ł	1		
20 DAYS	m±SE	3.00 ± 1.68b	5.75 ± 1.93ab	7.25 ± 2.06ab	6.00 ± 1.83ab	8.00 ± 1.08ab	5.75 ± 1.93ab	7.50 ± 1.32ab	9.75 ± 0.25 ^ª	10.00 ± 0.00a	10.00 ± 0.00a	10.00 ± 0.00a	10.00 ± 0.00a	$0.75 \pm 0.25b$	12; 39	35.29	< 0.001	34.92	
	E%**	13.51	0.00	2.70	32.43	8.11	29.73	27.03	62.16	81.08	97.30	91.89	97.30	1	ł	ł	1		
10 DAYS	m±SE*	2.00 ± 1.41c	0.75 ± 0.48c	1.00 ± 0.00c	3.75 ± 2.39bc	1.50 ± 1.19c	3.50 ± 1.44bc	3.25 ± 1.65bc	6.50 ± 0.87ab	$8.25 \pm 0.85^{4}$	$9.75 \pm 0.25^{a}$	$9.25 \pm 0.48^{a}$	$9.75 \pm 0.25^{4}$	0.75 ± 0.25c	12; 39	35.39	<0.001	48.20	
	IENTS —	T1 (0.025 g/20 g)	T2 $(0.05 g/20 g)$	T3 (0.1 g/20 g)	T4 (0.2 g/20 g)	T5 (0.025 g/20 g)	T6 (0.05 g/20 g)	T7 (0.1 g/20 g)	T8 (0.2 g/20 g)	T9 (0.025 g/20 g)	T10 (0.05 g/20 g)	T11 (0.1 g/20 g)	T12 (0.2 g/20 g)	T13 - (0.00)	Error			%	
TREATMI		Basalt				Plaster				Diatomaceous earth				Control	GLTrat;	X2	Ρ	CV	

E% = Control efficiency according to the Schneider-Orelli formula. Mean number of dead individuals ± standard error ("SE"). Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric analysis of variance with post-hoc Dunn-Bonferroni correction (P<0.05). Means (±SE) in each column followed by the same letter are not statistically significant.

**Table 3.** Mean mortality time (TL50, in days) of *Sitophilus zeamais* exposed to different concentrations of basalt dust, gypsum, and diatomaceous earth in corn kernels.

TREA	AVERAGE TIME (CI95%)					
Basalt	T1 (0.025 g/20 g)	22.57 (20.00-25.14)b				
	T2 (0.05 g/20 g)	20.15 (18.05-22.24)bc				
	T3 (0.1 g/20 g)	16.87 (15.10-18.65)c 15.07 (12.47-17.68)c 15.57(13.43-17.72)c				
	T4 (0.2 g/20 g)					
Plaster	T5 (0.025 g/20 g)					
	T6 (0.05 g/20 g)	16.32(14.06-18.58)c				
	T7 (0.1 g/20 g)	16.00(14.10-17.90)c				
	T8 (0.2 g/20 g)	9.82 (8.22-11.43)d				
Diatomaceous	T9 (0.025 g/20 g)	8.05 (6.80-9.29)de				
Earth	T10 (0.05 g/20 g)	5.57 (4.82-6.32)f				
	T11 (0.1 g/20 g)	5.70 (4.83-6.56)of				
	T12 (0.2 g/20 g)	4.47 (3.80-5.14)f				
Control	T13 (0.0)	- (-)a				
	GL	12				
	541.94					
	< 0.001					

The log-rank statistic for the survival curves is greater than expected by chance; there is a statistically significant difference between survival curves (P < 0.001). A multiple comparison procedure is used to isolate the group or groups that differ from the others. Multiple Comparisons: All pairwise multiple comparison procedures (Holm-Sidak method). Means in each column followed by the same letter are not statistically significant.

However, at a dosage of 2,000 g/t after 29 days, mortality was greater than 80%, corroborating our results for the same dosage (Jairoce et al., 2016).

The main component of basalt powder is silicon dioxide (Jairoce et al., 2016), which can break the waxy layer of insects' epicuticles (Subramanyam and Roesli, 2000). As a result, it is expected that the use of basalt powder will penetrate the superficial wax layer of the insect, breaking the layer of lipids that protects it and finally resulting in the insect's death due to the organism's loss of liquids (Jairoce et al., 2016).

The composite gypsum from gypsum rock has interesting characteristics such as rapid hardening, mechanical strength, and adherence (Aragão, 2005). Gypsum treatments showed efficiencies close to diatomaceous earth treatments, as dosages of 0.1 and 0.2 g of gypsum per 20 g of corn kernels did not differ statistically from diatomaceous earth treatments. Gypsum at low concentrations is also effective in killing bean weevil, *Zabrotes subfasciatus* (Boheman, 1833) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) (Carvalho, 2008), similar to the results of our study. It was observed that larvae of the Lepidoptera species *Apodemia* longer fed on plants covered with gypsum powder have a 4.8 times greater tendency to die than control larvae in a study carried out to evaluate the response of these endangered larvae; it concluded that gypsum powder is capable of directly affecting populations of Lepidoptera larvae through mortality, as well as reducing development rates about low weight and prolongation of their development (Osborne and Longcore, 2021).

In another research, the average lethal time using gypsum powder was evaluated in *Diabrotica duodecimpunctata*, known as cucumber beetles, and thus reported that gypsum powder was more lethal when compared to bentonite clay and less lethal when compared to kaolin (Richardson and Glover, 1932). However, when they diluted gypsum and clay powder, they found it lethal for termites (Wagner and Ebeling, 1959).

Recently, a study exposed the larval and adult stages of the beetle *Callosobruchus maculatus* to gypsum dust for three days, showing a mortality rate of 8.4 to 16.9% for larvae and 38.4 to 43.7% for adults on filter paper (Sulaiman and Obaid, 2019). Previously, it was reported that sprinkling Apodemia virgulate Lepidoptera adults with gypsum powder from the factory adjacent to the National Wildlife Refuge at Antioch Dunes resulted in a three-day reduction in survival after capture relative to butterflies that were not exposed to gypsum powder (Clause et al., 2015).

Mortality of S. zeamais increases with increasing exposure time in all treatments used in this study. In addition to the increase in the applied dose, it reduces the insect's exposure time until death occurs. Corroborating studies on the control of S. zeamais when using other alternative products (Radünz et al., 2024). Diatomaceous earth stood out among basalt and gypsum powders, with treatments reaching 100% mortality in the first days, as observed by Jairoce et al. (2016), where the dosage of 2,000 g/t had 100% mortality on the fifth day of exposure. Despite the high mortality at diatomaceous earth concentrations with good mean lethal time (TL50) in this study, it differed from other studies. For Ribeiro et al. (2018), using the same species of weevil in their research, the average lethal time it was ranged between 1.86 and 2.18 days with concentrations of 0.1 and 0.2 g of diatomaceous earth for 20 g of corn (1,000 and 2,000 g/t), while for this study the oscillation in these concentrations was between 4.47 and 5.70 days.

Dosages of 0.1 and 0.2 g of diatomaceous earth/20 g of corn were higher than 90% after ten days of exposure and 100% after 20 days, corroborating other studies involving the same dosages with humidity of 12% (Antunes et al., 2011, 2013). The 500 g/t dosage of diatomaceous earth also drew attention, as in the study by Ceruti et al. (2008), who observed 90% mortality of S. zeamais with this concentration. The lowest diatomaceous earth concentration (0.025 g/20 g) provided mortality more significant than 80% after ten days of evaluation, indicating that the lowest concentration used has good efficiency (Riedo et al., 2010). Currently, other studies continue to prove the efficiency of diatomaceous earth, such as, for example, research with three different types of products based on improved diatomaceous earth, carried out in Ghana in Africa, where it was reported that the product InsectoSec® caused more mortality in adults of S. zeamais, followed by Fossil Shield and Diatomenerde Probe-A (Adarkwah et al., 2022).

According to Garcia (2014), it is recommended that a product has at least 80% efficiency in controlling a pest; in this sense treatments with diatomaceous earth T9 (0.025 g/20 g of corn), T10 (0.05 g/20 g of corn), T11 (0.1 g/20 g of corn) and T12 (0.2 g/20 g of corn) at ten days, adding to the previous treatments for the 20 days T8 (0.2 g of gypsum in 20 g of corn) and at thirty days, all treatments tested except T1 of 0.025 g of basalt powder in 20 g of corn (63.64%) reached this recommendation level. This can be considered a quick result, considering that, for example, female maize weevils can survive an average of 140 days, of which 104 are oviposition days. Mortality in this time frame can significantly reduce the number of new infestations, reducing the time for females to perform new oviposition (Lorini and Schneider, 1994).

The three types of dust (basalt, gypsum, and diatomaceous earth) at certain concentrations become efficient in controlling *S. zeamais*. With the passage of time and increased concentration, this efficiency tends to increase. However, the treatments with diatomaceous earth were the ones that presented the best cost-benefit ratio since its toxicity is already known, followed by the treatment with 0.2 g/20 g of gypsum powder in corn, which has a very low cost to acquire. However, its content of toxicity to non-target beings is still not completely clarified. With all this, research must continue to be carried out to improve the investigation of the application logistics so that the producer can safely practice using these substances as an alternative to control insect pests of stored grains.

#### 5. Conclusions

The results show that the mortality of *Sitophilus zeamais* increases according to the period in which the insect is exposed to different types of inert dust. The highest mortality rates were obtained in the period of 11, 21, and 28 days with the application of diatomaceous earth (T10 - 0.05 g/20 g of corn), gypsum powder (T8 - 0.2 g/20 g of corn) and basalt powder (T4 - 0.2 g/20 g of corn), respectively. Therefore, these three treatments can be used as an effective control for *S. zeamais*.

## Acknowledgements

To CAPES (Finance Code 001) for granting Master's Scholarships from MGCEG and a doctoral scholarship from KJ. The publication of this article received partial support from PRPPG/UFPel and CAPES/The publication of this paper was partially supported by PRPPG/UFPel and CAPES."

#### References

- ADARKWAH, C., TUDA, M., ADJEI, R., OBENG-OFORI, D., ULRICHS, C. and SCHÖLLER, M., 2022. Evaluation of three German-enhanced diatomaceous earth formulations for the management of two major storage pests in Ghana. *Journal of Stored Products Research*, vol. 96, pp. 1-2. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2022.101947.
- ALENCAR, E.R., FARONI, L.R.D., FERREIRA, L.G., COSTA, A.R. and PIMENTEL, M.A.G., 2011. Quality of corn stored and infested

by Sitophilus zeamais and Tribolium castaneum. Engenharia Agrícola, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 9-18.

- ANTUNES, L.E.G., FERRARI FILHO, E.F., GOTTARDI, R., SANT'ANA, J. and DIONELLO, R.G., 2013. Effect of dose and exposure to diatomaceous earth from different insects in stored maize. *Arquivos do Instituto Biológico*, vol. 80, no. 2, pp. 169-176. http:// doi.org/10.1590/S1808-16572013000200005.
- ANTUNES, L.E.G., VIEBRANTZ, P.C., GOTTARDI, R. and DIONELLO, R.G., 2011. Physicochemical characteristics of maize grains attacked by Sitophilus zeamais during storage. Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 615-620. http://doi.org/10.1590/S1415-43662011000600012.
- ARAGÃO, M.M., 2005 [viewed 30 January 2022]. Materiais de construção II: aglomerantes: gesso: especificações e propriedades [Construction materials II: binders: gypsum: specifications and properties] [online]. Available from: http://aquarius.ime. eb.br/~moniz/matconst2/gesso
- AYRES, V.F.S., OLIVEIRA, M.R., BALDIN, E.L.L., CORRÊA, G.M., GUIMARÃES, A.C. and TAKEARA, R., 2021. Chemical composition and insecticidal activity of the essential oils of *Piper marginatum*, *Piper callosum*, and *Vitex agnus-castus*. Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências, vol. 93, no. 3, e20200616. http://doi. org/10.1590/0001-3765202120200616. PMid:34287460.
- CARVALHO, L.H.T., 2008. Insecticidal activity of vegetable powders and gypsum against bean weevil, Zabrotes subfasciatus (Boheman, 1833) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Rio Largo: Universidade Federal de Alagoas, 96 p. Dissertação de Mestrado em Agronomia.
- CERUTI, F.C., LAZZARI, S.M.N., LAZZARI, F.A. and PINTO JUNIOR, A.R., 2008. Efficacy of diatomaceous earth and temperature to control the maize weevil in stored maize. *Scientia Agraria*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 73-78. http://doi.org/10.5380/rsa.v9i1.10138.
- CLAUSE, A., JOHNSON, J.J. and LONGCORE, T., 2015. Effect of exposure to gypsum dust on survival of Behr's metalmark. Los Angeles: UWG, pp. 1-4.
- FERREIRA-CASTRO, F.L., POTENZA, M.R., ROCHA, L.O. and CORREA, B., 2012. Interaction between toxigenic fungi and weevils in corn grain samples. *Food Control*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 594-600. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.02.016.
- FINKLER, C.L.L., 2012. Insect control: a brief review. Anais da Academia Pernambucana de Ciência Agronômica, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 169-189.
- GARCIA, F.R.M., 2014. Zoologia agrícola: manejo ecológico de peagas. 4ª ed. Porto Alegre: Editora Rígel.
- INSTITUTO BRASILEIRO DE GEOGRAFIA E ESTATÍSTICA IBGE, 2024 [viewed 1 May 2024]. *IBGE: safra de 2024 deve ser de* 298,3 milhões de toneladas [online]. Available from: https:// agenciagov.ebc.com.br/noticias/202404/estimativa-cai-0-8-e-preve-safra-de-298-3-milhoes-de-toneladas-em-2024
- JAGADEESAN, R., SINGARAYAN, V.T., CHANDRA, K., EBERT, P.R. and NAYAK, M.K., 2018. The potential of co-fumigation with phosphine (PH₃) and Sulfuryl fluoride (SO₂F₂) for the management of strongly phosphine-resistant insect pests of stored grain. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, vol. 111, no. 6, pp. 2956-2965. http://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toy269. PMid:30239852.
- JAIROCE, C.F., TEIXEIRA, C.M., NUNES, A.M., HOLDEFER, D.R., KRÜGER, A.P. and GARCIA, F.R.M., 2016. Efficiency of inert mineral dusts in the control of corn weevil. *Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 158-162. http://doi.org/10.1590/1807-1929/agriambi.v20n2p158-162.
- KIM, B.S., SONG, J.E., PARK, J.S., PARK, Y.J., SHIN, E.M. and YANG, J.O., 2019. Insecticidal effects of fumigants (EF, MB, and PH₃) towards phosphine- susceptible and -resistant *Sitophilus oryzae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Insects*, vol. 10, no. 10, pp. 327. http://doi.org/10.3390/insects10100327. PMid:31575082.

- KORUNIC, Z., LISKA, A., LUCIC, P., HAMEL, D. and ROZMAN, V., 2020. Evaluation of diatomaceous earth formulations enhanced with natural products against stored product insects. *Journal* of Stored Products Research, vol. 86, pp. 101-565. http://doi. org/10.1016/j.jspr.2019.101565.
- LORINI, I. and SCHNEIDER, S., 1994. Stored grain pests: research results. Passo Fundo: Embrapa, no. 47.
- LORINI, I., 1998. Integrated control of stored grain pests. Passo Fundo: Embrapa, no. 52.
- LORINI, I., KRZYZANOWSKI, F.C., FRANÇA-NETO, J.B., HENNING, A.A. and HENNING, F.A., 2015. Integrated pest management of stored grains and seeds. Brasília: Embrapa, 84 p.
- LOSIC, D. and KORUNIC, Z., 2018. Diatomaceous earth, a natural insecticide for stored grain protection: recent progress and perspectives. In: D. LOSIC, ed. *Diatom nanotechnology: progress and emerging applications*. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry Publishing, pp. 219-247.
- MANANDHAR, A., MILINDI, P. and SHAH, A., 2018. An overview of the post-harvest grain storage practices of smallholder farmers in developing countries. *Engenharia Agrícola*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 57.
- MUSSALAMA, A.Z., TEIXEIRA, C.M., NUNES, A.M., PEREIRA, C.M.P. and GARCIA, F.R.M., 2023. The action of clove (*Syzygium aromaticum*) and thyme (*Thymus vulgaris*) essential oils in the control of *Acanthhoscelides obtectus* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in a laboratory. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências*, vol. 95, no. 2, e20201915. http://doi.org/10.1590/0001-3765202320201915. PMid:37341267.
- NWOSU, L.C., 2018. Impact of age on the biological activities of *Sitophilus zeamais* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) adults on stored maize: implications for food security and pest management. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, vol. 111, no. 5, pp. 2454-2460. http://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toy187. PMid:29982773.
- ORTEGA, D.S., BACCA, T., SILVA, A.P.N., CANAL, N.A. and HADDI, K., 2021. Control failure and insecticide resistance in populations of *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) from Colombia. *Journal of Stored Products Research*, vol. 92, pp. 101-802. http:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2021.101802.
- OSBORNE, K.H. and LONGCORE, T., 2021. Effect of gypsum dust on lepidopterous larvae. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, vol. 228, pp. 113027. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2021.113027. PMid:34861439.
- PÜNTENER, W., 1981. Manual for field trials in plant protection. 2nd ed. Basileia: Agricultural Division, Ciba-Gueigy Limited.
- R DEVELOPMENT CORE TEAM, 2020 [viewed 13 December 2021]. R: a language and environment for statistical computing [online].

Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available from: https://www.r-project.org/

- RADÜNZ, A.L., RADÜNZ, M., BIZOLLO, A.R., TRAMONTIN, M.A., RADÜNZ, L.L., MARIOT, M.P., COTO DO TEMPLO, H.E., CALISTO, J.F.F., ZANIOL, F., ALBENY-SIMÕES, D., REZENDE, R.S. and DAL MAGRO, J., 2024. Insecticidal and repellent activity of native and exotic lemongrass on Maize weevi. Brazilian Journal of Biology = Revista Brasileira de Biologia, vol. 84, e252990. http:// doi.org/10.1590/1519-6984.252990.
- RIBEIRO, L.P., LOVATTO, M. and VENDRAMIM, J.D., 2018. Evaluation of the effectiveness of two commercial formulations of diatomaceous earth in the control of the maize weevil based on toxicological parameters. *Agropecuária Catarinense*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 56-60. http://doi.org/10.22491/RAC.2018.v31n1.7.
- RICHARDSON, C.H. and GLOVER, L.H., 1932. Some effects of certain "inert" and toxic substances on the twelve-spotted cucumber beetle, *Diabrotica duodecimpunctata* (Fab.). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 1176-1181. http://doi.org/10.1093/ jee/25.6.1176.
- RIEDO, I.C., NEITZKE, J. and DE OLIVEIRA, N.C., 2010. Control of Sitophilus zeamais (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in maize (Zea mays L.) treated with diatomaceous earth. Applied Research & Agrotechnology, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 185-188.
- SINGH, S.K., JAGADEESAN, R., THANGARAJ, S.R., SELVAPANDIAN, U., NAYAK, M.K. and SUBBARAYALU, M., 2021. Phenotypic and molecular analyses in rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* (Linnaeus) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae): identification of a super kdr mutation, T929I, conferring resistance to deltamethrin. *Pest Management Science*, vol. 77, no. 7, pp. 3289-3299. http://doi. org/10.1002/ps.6373. PMid:33763965.
- SUBRAMANYAM, B. and ROESLI, R., 2000. Inert dusts. In: B. SUBRAMANYAM and D.W. HAGSTRUM, eds. Alternatives to pesticides in stored-product IPM. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 321-380.
- SULAIMAN, A.K. and OBAID, H.M., 2019. Studying the effect of surfaces treated with inert dust on Callosobruchus maculatus (Fab). Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies, vol. 7, pp. 793-795.
- TREMATERRA, P., IANIRO, R., ATHANASSIOU, C.G. and KAVALLIERATOS, N.G., 2013. Behavioral responses of Sitophilus zeamais Motschulsky adults to conditioned grain kernels. Journal of Stored Products Research, vol. 53, pp. 77-81. http://doi.org/10.1016/j. jspr.2013.02.005.
- WAGNER, R.E. and EBELING, W., 1959. Lethality of Inert Dust materials to *Kalotermes minor* hagen and their role as preventives in structural pest control. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 208-212. http://doi.org/10.1093/jee/52.2.208.