

Original Article

Determination of hormoligosis of organophosphate insecticides against *Phenacoccus solenopsis*

Determinação da hormoligose de inseticidas organofosforados contra *Phenacoccus solenopsis*

A. Yaseen^a , M. J. Arif^b, W. Majeed^{a*} , E. M. Eed^c , M. Naeem^{d*} , S. Mushtaq^e , S. U. R. Qamar^a  and K. Nazir^f 

^aUniversity of Agriculture Faisalabad, Department of Zoology, Wildlife and Fisheries, Punjab, Pakistan

^bUniversity of Agriculture, Department of Entomology, Faisalabad, Pakistan

^cTaif University, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Department of Clinical Laboratory Sciences, Taif, Saudi Arabia

^dHebei Normal University, College of Life Science, Shijiazhuang, China

^eGovernment College for Women University, Department of Zoology, Sialkot, Pakistan

^fUniversity of Mianwali, Department of Zoology, Mianwali, Pakistan

Abstract

Cotton mealybug is a highly invasive pest of agricultural crops worldwide. Major agriculturists most rely on the use of insecticides for the control of pesticides. So, the indiscriminate use of insecticides leads to resistance development in recent years. For this purpose, an experiment was conducted using different concentrations of the three insecticides (profenfos chlorpyrifos and triazophos) to check the hormoligosis effects against cotton mealybug (CMB) in laboratory conditions. Investigation of variations for % mortality of adults of CMB after three days revealed that all treatments had statistically significant ($P < 0.05$). The highest mortality was observed at the highest concentrations of profenfos 2.4% (38.55%). After 7 days, all the treatments were significant with difference in means ($P < 0.05$). The highest mortality was recorded at the highest dilution of pesticide profenfos 2.4% (77.11%). The values of fecundity and longevity exposed a valid difference among treatments ($P < 0.05$). Maximum fecundity was observed at the concentration 2.4% (181.41%) and longevity showed (38.46%). The highest mortality was observed at a concentration of triazophos 4% (27.98%). For chlorpyrifos the highest mortality was examined at concentration 4% (24.79%). The fecundity showed a statistically significant difference for different concentrations of triazophos and chlorpyrifos ($P < 0.05$). The results of the recent study provide valuable information regarding the selection of insecticides and hormoligosis effects. The study can be helpful in the implications of integrated pest management of *P. solenopsis*.

Keywords: organophosphate, resistance development, pest management, cotton pest.

Resumo

A cochonilha-do-algodão é uma praga altamente invasiva das culturas agrícolas em todo o mundo. Os grandes agricultores dependem muito mais do uso de inseticidas para o controle de pesticidas. Assim, o uso indiscriminado de inseticidas tem levado ao desenvolvimento de resistência nos últimos anos. Para tanto, foi realizado um experimento utilizando diferentes concentrações de três inseticidas (profenofós, clorpirifós e triazofós) para verificar os efeitos da hormoligose contra a cochonilha-do-algodão (CMB) em condições de laboratório. A investigação das variações para % de mortalidade de adultos de CMB após três dias revelou que todos os tratamentos tiveram significância estatística ($P < 0,05$). A maior mortalidade foi observada nas maiores concentrações de profenofós a 2,4% (38,55%). Após 7 dias, todos os tratamentos foram significativos com diferença nas médias ($P < 0,05$). A maior mortalidade foi registrada na maior diluição do agrotóxico profenofós a 2,4% (77,11%). Os valores de fecundidade e longevidade expuseram uma diferença válida entre os tratamentos ($P < 0,05$). A fecundidade máxima (181,41%) e a longevidade (38,46%) foram observadas na concentração de 2,4%. A maior mortalidade foi observada na concentração de triazofós a 4% (27,98%). Para o clorpirifós, a maior mortalidade foi percebida na concentração de 4% (24,79%). A fecundidade apresentou diferença estatisticamente significante para as diferentes concentrações de triazofós e clorpirifós ($P < 0,05$). Os resultados do recente estudo fornecem informações valiosas sobre a seleção de inseticidas e os efeitos da hormoligose. O estudo pode ser útil nas implicações do manejo integrado de pragas de *Phenacoccus solenopsis*.

Palavras-chave: organofosforados, desenvolvimento de resistência, manejo de pragas, praga do algodão.

*e-mail: waqar.majeed@uaf.edu.pk; naeemsaleem413@gmail.com

Received: March 11, 2022 – Accepted: April 17, 2022



This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

In Pakistan, agribusiness is one of the most prominent business which contributes twenty-one percent to GDP and utilizes forty-four percent of the workforce. *Gossypium hirsutum* is among the top agribusiness, and its fiber is considered adequate in the textile industry. Pakistan is among the top ten cotton producers globally (Ashraf et al., 2018). It is cultivated in two big provinces, namely Sindh and Punjab. However, cotton harvest is harmed by many sucking and bollworms insects pests species, and inappropriate management of these insects results in a significant economic loss (Khan et al., 2020; Waqas et al., 2021).

Phenacoccus solenopsis commonly known as cotton mealybug (CMB), a potential insect of *G. hirsutum*. It attacks plants and sucks cell sap from shoots, fruiting plants, branches, and leaves. CMB discharge excess sugars like honeydew can disable photosynthetic efficiency (Nagrare et al., 2020) and has caused a decline in cotton yield in China, India, and Egypt (Yang et al., 2012; Jabran and Chauhan, 2019; Rezk et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2021). In addition, there is a large effect of CMB on *G. hirsutum* harvesting. In India and Thailand, CMB infested 30–40% cotton plants leading to a reduction in overall cotton yield (Tanwar et al., 2011). In Punjab, the infestation in 2006 caused by the CMB on the cotton crop was almost twelve percent, and the ratio of the infestation increased in 2007 reached about 40% (Nagrare et al., 2009; Waqas et al., 2021). Thus, the species has a substantial economic threat to cotton, and other agriculture crops worldwide, particularly in tropical regions (Nagrare et al., 2016).

Many pesticides have been used to control the infestation of cotton mealybug, but their high concentrations caused the resistance. Now in the era of integrated pests management, the use of pesticides should be in a proper dose selection to avoid the effect on other non-targeted species (Biondi et al., 2012). To overcome the effect of CMB on plants, different types of pesticides are used. These pesticides cause retardation in physiology, morphology, reproduction, and growth. When the insects are subjected to low and high pesticide solutions, it causes damage and helps insects develop resistance against them (Nagrare et al., 2020). Therefore, to minimize the resistance,

a new phenomenon is introduced, known as hormoligosis. A mixture of different pesticides is now being used against insect pests. Some researchers used hormoligosis of pesticides including Spinosad, buprofezin, chlorpyrifos-methyl and pyriproxyfen against the natural enemies of citrus scale pests (Siscaro et al., 2006; Suma et al., 2009). For a sustainable ecosystem, it is dire to control the harmful effects of pesticides. They can select their desired insect and produces minimum harm to the Agro-ecosystem (Barbosa et al., 2018). This study was executed from the above-discussed information to assess the hormoligosis of three organophosphate pesticides against CMB under laboratory situations.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Mass-rearing of *P. solenopsis*

P. solenopsis was sampled from *G. hirsutum* infected stem and leaves and put in a visible jar. The female was provided with clean pumpkin (containing no pesticide effect before its use) while males were provided cotton plug saturated with the honey-water solution as a diet. Jars were then kept in laboratory conditions (50% relative humidity and 25 ± 1 °C temperature) for the mass-culturing of the mealybug. After the rearing of new culture wild population was removed from the mass.

2.2. Preparation of pesticides test solutions

Three organophosphate pesticides chlorpyrifos, profenofos, and triazophos were purchased from the grain market Faisalabad and used to prepare for different solutions. Five dilutions of every pesticide were arranged from standard dilution (Table 1). The standard dilution of the maximum concentration was formed from every pesticide. Successive solutions were prepared by taking 1/2 of standard dilutions and reducing it by the purified H₂O to a new volume in a different measuring cylinder to make another solution. Consecutive solutions were prepared with the help of this technique until the 5th solution for every pesticide.

Table 1. Dilutions of pesticide tested in present experiment.

| Name of pesticides | Active ingredient | Formulation | Company | Concentration |
|--------------------|----------------------------|-------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Polytrin C | Profenofos Cypermethrin | 440 EC | Syngenta Warehouse Plot no 90, Industrial Area, Kot Lakhpat Lahore | 0.15%,0.3%,0.6%, 1.2%, 2.4% |
| Chlorpyrifos | Chlorpyrifos | 40 EC | Vantage Chemicals [Pvt.] Ltd.39BIII, M.A, Johar Town Lahore. | 0.25%,0.5%, 1%, 2%, 4% |
| Ecophos | Triazophos | 40 EC | M/s. Tara Imperial Industries (Pvt.) Ltd., Chunnai Bypass Road, Raiwind, 28KM Thokar Niaz Baig, Lahore. | 0.25%,0.5%,1%, 2%, 4% |

(EC = Emulsifiable Concentrate).

2.3. Layout of experiment

The pumpkin was washed with H₂O and stored in the laboratory for the complete evaporation of H₂O from its surface. After that, five dilutions were prepared to form the standard dilutions. Then the pumpkin was sprayed with all test dilution of each pesticide in the laboratory and dried it on the filter paper. The experimental component having 1 pumpkin sprayed with specific pesticide dilutions was produced. Twenty individuals of adult CMB were collected from susceptible culture and transferred to the pesticides sprayed pumpkin by camel hairbrush. To assess the fecundity 20 couples were placed in the plastic pot and feed with diet. The CMB were offered to permit the egg production. After the production eggs were counted. For the longevity measurement, 20 individuals were again selected and placed in the plastic jars. After the insecticides exposure the individuals were counted until their death. Control group of CMB for the mortality, fecundity and longevity was also managed with no pesticide exposure (only pumpkin was provided as diet). The exposed individuals were moved to petri-dish and deliberately observed below a microscope. The individuals showed no locomotion was observed dead. The data of CMB mortality was accumulated after three and seven days.

2.4. Statistical analysis

The data was composed of dead individuals which then changed into % corrected mortality using the Abbott formula (Abbott, 1925). Ultimately, the whole data was exposed to ANOVA and Tuckey HSD test to difference of means. The data was analyzed at the level of 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Percent mortality of adult CMB at various dilution of profenofos following three days and seven days of applications

The mortality of cotton mealy bug (CMB) after three days, revealed that all treatments had statistically considerable differences ($P < 0.05$). Highest mortality was observed at highest solutions of profenofos 2.4% (38.55%) followed by 1.2% (27.88%), 0.6% (20.42%), 0.3% (13.55%), 0.15% (7.52%) respectively (Table 2). After 7 days all the treatments were statistically significant with different values ($P < 0.05$). Highest mortality was recorded at highest dilution of pesticide profenofos 2.4% (77.11%) followed by 1.2% (68.32%), 0.6% (62.03%), 0.3% (53.49%), 0.15% (36.08%) respectively (Table 2).

3.2. Fecundity and Longevity of CMB at different dilutions of profenofos treatments

The values of fecundity showed the significant difference among treatments ($P < 0.05$). Maximum fecundity was observed at the highest concentration of profenofos 2.4% (181.41%) followed by 1.2% (163.34%), 0.6% (153.33%), 0.3% (146.31%), 0.15% (141.18%) respectively (Table 3). Analysis of variance for longevity showed that all the treatments had statistically significant difference ($P < 0.05$). Maximum

longevity was observed at the highest concentration of profenofos 2.4% (38.46%) followed by 1.2% (34.21%), 0.6% (30.52%), 0.3% (26.86%), 0.15% (24.97%) respectively (Table 3).

3.3. Percent mortality of CMB at different dilutions of triazophos and chlorpyrifos after three and seven-days treatments

Analysis of variance for percent mortality of CMB after 3 days shows that all the applications had statistically notable difference ($P < 0.05$). Highest mortality was examined at the highest dilutions of triazophos 4% (27.98%) followed by 2% (20.89%), 1% (17.02%), 0.5% (13.78%), 0.25% (3.62%) respectively. Percent mortality after 7 days showed statistically significant difference among all the treatments ($P < 0.05$). Highest mortality was examined at the highest dilutions of triazophos 4% (68.67%) followed by 2% (63.99%), 1% (57.12%), 0.5% (49.33%), 0.25% (44.98%) respectively (Table 4). After 3 days results revealed that all the applications had statistically notable ($P < 0.05$). Highest mortality was examined at the highest dilution of chlorpyrifos 4% (24.79%) followed by 2% (22.22%), 1% (14.82%), 0.5% (10.72%), 0.25% (8.22%) respectively. CMB after 7 days revealed that all the applications had statistically significant deviation ($P < 0.05$). Highest

Table 2. Means comparison for percent mortality of adults of CMB at different dilutions of profenofos after three days and seven days of treatments.

| Concentration (%) | Mean \pm SE | Mean \pm SE |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | After three days of treatment | After seven days of treatment |
| 0.15 | 7.52 \pm 0.84 ^e | 36.08 \pm 8.25 ^b |
| 0.3 | 13.55 \pm 0.92 ^d | 53.49 \pm 7.55 ^{ab} |
| 0.6 | 20.42 \pm 0.35 ^c | 62.03 \pm 9.35 ^{ab} |
| 1.2 | 27.88 \pm 0.65 ^b | 68.32 \pm 6.95 ^a |
| 2.4 | 38.35 \pm 0.87 ^a | 77.11 \pm 7.85 ^a |
| Control | 6.55 \pm 0.45 ^e | 4.42 \pm 9.75 ^c |

Means that don't share similar letters are significant. (SE = Standard Error).

Table 3. Means comparison for the fecundity and longevity of CMB at different dilutions of profenofos treatments.

| Concentration (%) | Fecundity | Longevity |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Mean \pm SE | Mean \pm SE |
| 0.15 | 141.18 \pm 4.27 ^c | 24.97 \pm 2.78 ^c |
| 0.3 | 146.31 \pm 4.47 ^c | 26.86 \pm 2.58 ^c |
| 0.6 | 153.33 \pm 4.67 ^{bc} | 30.52 \pm 2.88 ^{bc} |
| 1.2 | 163.34 \pm 4.37 ^b | 34.21 \pm 2.48 ^{bc} |
| 2.4 | 181.41 \pm 4.77 ^a | 38.46 \pm 2.98 ^{ab} |
| Control | 92.42 \pm 4.17 ^a | 46.68 \pm 2.38 ^a |

Means that don't share similar letters are significant.

Table 4. Means comparison for percent mortality of CMB at different concentrations of triazophos and Chlorpyrifos after three and seven days of treatments.

| Concentration (%) | Triazophos | | Chlorpyrifos | |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Mean ± SE | Mean ± SE | Mean ± SE | Mean ± SE |
| | After three days of treatments | After seven days of treatments | After three days of treatment | After seven days of treatment |
| 0.25 | 3.62 ± 0.78 ^e | 44.98 ± 0.59 ^e | 8.22 ± 0.53 ^e | 12.38 ± 0.68 ^e |
| 0.5 | 13.78 ± 0.88 ^d | 49.33 ± 0.79 ^d | 10.72 ± 0.73 ^d | 19.09 ± 0.65 ^d |
| 1.00 | 17.02 ± 0.58 ^c | 57.12 ± 0.49 ^c | 14.82 ± 0.65 ^c | 26.00 ± 0.45 ^c |
| 2.00 | 20.89 ± 0.48 ^b | 63.99 ± 0.69 ^b | 22.22 ± 0.33 ^b | 28.49 ± 0.75 ^b |
| 4.00 | 27.98 ± 0.68 ^a | 68.67 ± 0.39 ^a | 24.79 ± 0.43 ^a | 38.00 ± 0.55 ^a |
| Control | 6.22 ± 0.38 ^e | 7.92 ± 0.89 ^f | 4.65 ± 0.57 ^f | 6.65 ± 0.35 ^f |

Means that don't share similar letters are significant.

mortality was observed at the highest concentration of chlorpyrifos 4% (38.00%) followed by 2% (28.49%), 1% (26.00%), 0.5% (19.09%), 0.25% (12.38%) respectively (Table 4).

3.4. Fecundity of CMB at different dilutions of triazophos and chlorpyrifos of treatments

The results of fecundity showed that treatments had statistically significant difference ($P < 0.05$). Maximum fecundity was observed at the highest concentration of triazophos 4% (176.08%) followed by 2% (161.65%), 1% (145.92%), 0.5% (141.09%), 0.25% (135.95%) respectively (Table 5). Analysis of variance for fecundity showed that all the treatments had statistically significance ($P < 0.05$). Maximum fecundity was observed at the highest concentration of chlorpyrifos 4% (156.35%) followed by 2% (148.30%), 1% (139.56%), 0.5% (134.13%), 0.25% (130.14%) respectively (Table 5).

3.5. Longevity of CMB at different dilutions of triazophos and chlorpyrifos treatment

The longevity showed statistically significant difference among all means ($P < 0.05$). Maximum longevity was observed at the highest concentration of triazophos 4% (36.91%) followed by 2% (32.82%), 1% (27.78%), 0.5% (25.55%), 0.25% (22.71%) respectively (Table 6). Analysis of variance for longevity showed that all the treatments had statistically significant with difference ($P < 0.05$). Maximum mortality of CMB was observed at the highest concentration of chlorpyrifos 4% (31.59%) followed by 2% (27.81%), 1% (24.19%), 0.5% (21.95%), 0.25% (19.40%) respectively (Table 6).

4. Discussion

In Pakistan, since 2005, cotton mealybug has been considered a sucking insect pest on cultured cotton crops (Abbas et al., 2010; Joshi et al., 2010). Insect Growth Regulators appears the newest for the commercial and operational pest control. Their species are more than conventional pesticides suggested a good substitute for

Table 5. Comparison means for the fecundity of CMB at different dilutions of triazophos and Chlorpyrifos treatments.

| Concentration (%) | Triazophos | Chlorpyrifos |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Mean ± SE | Mean ± SE |
| 0.25 | 135.95 ± 6.55 ^d | 130.14 ± 6.21 ^c |
| 0.50 | 141.09 ± 6.78 ^{cd} | 134.13 ± 6.36 ^c |
| 1.00 | 145.92 ± 6.48 ^{cd} | 139.56 ± 6.24 ^{bc} |
| 2.00 | 161.65 ± 6.88 ^{bc} | 148.30 ± 6.33 ^{abc} |
| 4.00 | 176.08 ± 6.28 ^{ab} | 156.35 ± 6.45 ^{ab} |
| Control | 188.42 ± 6.98 ^a | 166.48 ± 6.38 ^a |

Means that don't share similar letters are significant.

Table 6. Means for the longevity of CMB at different dilutions of triazophos and Chlorpyrifos treatments.

| Concentration (%) | Triazophos | Chlorpyrifos |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Mean ± SE | Mean ± SE |
| 0.25 | 22.71 ± 2.37 ^d | 19.40 ± 2.20 ^d |
| 0.50 | 25.55 ± 2.347 ^{cd} | 21.95 ± 2.30 ^{cd} |
| 1.00 | 27.78 ± 2.77 ^{cd} | 24.19 ± 2.37 ^{bcd} |
| 2.00 | 32.82 ± 2.27 ^{bc} | 27.81 ± 2.40 ^{bc} |
| 4.00 | 36.91 ± 2.57 ^{ab} | 31.59 ± 2.45 ^{ab} |
| Control | 43.55 ± 2.67 ^a | 35.66 ± 2.50 ^a |

Means that don't share similar letters are significant.

selective insect control in harmony with present Integrated Pest Management programs. Insect growth regulators normally have a good boundary of care for most non-target environment like birds, fishes, invertebrates and other wildlife. Unfortunately, few biorationals offer effective control of target pests like mealy bugs and selectivity to many beneficial insects (Jhala et al., 2010).

The results showed that concentration-dependent percent mortality of CMB decreased with decreased concentration after three days. While on the contrary, after

seven days mortality was increased when concentration decreased at different dilutions of polytrin®, ecophos® and chlorpyrifos® pesticides, which shows that growth of resistance is not produced. Present findings are supported by several studies where profenofos, triazophos and carbaryl were used against the CMB in which maximum mortality was caused by the profenofos (Jhala et al., 2010; Nagrare et al., 2016). In literature, it was observed that sublethal doses had different impacts on the growth period of beetles compared with that of the control that sublethal concentrations of profenofos have negatively damaged the biological and development operations (Singh et al., 2017).

The lethal and sub-lethal effects of many insecticides such as triazophos, carbaryl and profenophos (via the acetylcholinesterase enzyme inhibition) are considered most successful for cotton pests in field and laboratory conditions (Jhala et al., 2010; Saeed et al., 2017, 2021). Vojoudi et al. (2011) experimented to examine the lethal with sub-lethal outcomes of some biorational on 3rd instars larvae at various stages of cotton bollworm and its mortality, fecundity and longevity. They concluded that spinosad and chlorpyrifos were more functional pesticides against the cotton bollworm than the abamectin. Fernandes et al. (2016) performed an experiment to evaluate the sub-lethal doses with lethal effects on non-target and target arthropods such as ladybird beetle, flower bug and soldier beetle. These 3 species were exposed against the pesticides such as imidacloprid, bifenthrin, chlorpyrifos, acephate and chlorantraniliprol to check the impacts of these pesticides. They concluded that acephate and chlorpyrifos were more functional as compared to the chlorantraniliprol.

In the present study, maximum fecundity of CMB was observed at concentration of triazophos and chlorpyrifos 4% (176.08%), (156.35%), respectively. Maximum longevity was observed at the highest concentration of triazophos and chlorpyrifos 4% (36.91%), (31.59%), respectively. The longevity also increased in the case of polytrin®, ecophos® and chlorpyrifos® throughout the generations. Present findings are in parallel work carried out by Carneiro et al. (2014) as they checked the efficacy of abamectin, chlorpyrifos, and Spinosad on the longevity of cotton bollworm. They found chlorpyrifos significantly influence cotton bollworm's longevity compared to Spinosad and abamectin (Carneiro et al., 2014). Another study tested three doses of Spinosad against 3rd and 4th instar of *S. litura* to check mortality, adult emergence, and pupation. It was observed that Spinosad has a synergist effect result in high mortality and low adult emergence (Ahmad et al., 2020). The resistance step to pesticides negatively impacts the level of development on reproductive potential as minimum improvement was observed in our study for strains with a maximum resistance period. However, profenofos could be more suitable than chlorpyrifos and triazophos to manage this pest based on sublethal and lethal impacts.

5. Conclusion

The present study concluded that the overall use of insecticides prevail resistance in pest control.

The hormoligosis results showed that alternative and sublethal doses of insecticides can be useful in the decrease of resistance development. In the present study observation, profenophos insecticide showed better results in the control of resistance during application and it also has been proved that use of sublethal doses could be possible solution. The profenophos resulted > 90% mortality against *P. solenopsis*. These results can be used for the future studies and application of insecticides in the crops.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by Taif University Researchers Supporting Project; the authors acknowledge the support of Taif University Researchers Supporting Project number (TURSP-2020/157), Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia.

References

- ABBAS, G., ARIF, M.J., ASHFAQ, M., ASLAM, M. and SAEED, S., 2010. Host plants distribution and overwintering of cotton mealybug (*Phenacoccus solenopsis*; Hemiptera: pseudococcidae). *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 421-425.
- ABBOTT, W.S., 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 265-267. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jee/18.2.265a>.
- AHMAD, J.N., MUSHTAQ, R., AHMAD, S.J.N., MALIK, M.A., MANZOOR, M., TAHIR, M., ASLAM, Z., MAQSOOD, S., AHUJA, I. and BONES, A.M., 2020. Sub-lethal dose responses of native polyhydroviruses and spinosad for economical and sustainable management of *Spodoptera litura* in Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 989-999. <http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.pjz/20181219121245>.
- ASHRAF, J., ZUO, D., WANG, Q., MALIK, W., ZHANG, Y., ABID, M.A., CHENG, H., YANG, Q. and SONG, G., 2018. Recent insights into cotton functional genomics: progress and future perspectives. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 699-713. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12856>. PMID:29087016.
- BARBOSA, P.R.R., OLIVEIRA, M.D., BARROS, E.M., MICHAUD, J.P. and TORRES, J.B., 2018. Differential impacts of six insecticides on a mealybug and its coccinellid predator. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, vol. 147, pp. 963-971. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.09.021>. PMID:29029382.
- BIONDI, A., MOMMAERTS, V., SMAGGHE, G., VIÑUELA, E., ZAPPALÀ, L. and DESNEUX, N., 2012. The non-target impact of spinosyns on beneficial arthropods. *Pest Management Science*, vol. 68, no. 12, pp. 1523-1536. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ps.3396>. PMID:23109262.
- CARNEIRO, E., SILVA, L.B., MAGGIONI, K., DOS SANTOS, V.B., RODRIGUES, T.F., REIS, S.S. and PAVAN, B.E., 2014. Evaluation of insecticides targeting control of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) (Lepidoptera: noctuidae). *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, vol. 5, no. 18, pp. 2823-2828. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ajps.2014.518298>.
- CHEN, H.Y., LI, H.L., PANG, H., ZHU, C.D. and ZHANG, Y.Z., 2021. Investigating the parasitoid community associated with the invasive mealybug phenacoccus solenopsis in southern China. *Insects*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 290. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/insects12040290>. PMID:33810458.

- FERNANDES, M.E.S., ALVES, F.M., PEREIRA, R.C., AQUINO, L.A., FERNANDES, F.L. and ZANUNCIO, J.C., 2016. Lethal and sublethal effects of seven insecticides on three beneficial insects in laboratory assays and field trials. *Chemosphere*, vol. 156, pp. 45-55. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.04.115>. PMID:27160634.
- JABRAN, K. and CHAUHAN, B.S., 2019. *Cotton production*. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9781119385523>.
- JHALA, R.C., PATEL, M.G. and BHARPODA, T.M., 2010. Evaluation of insecticides for the management of mealy bug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley in cotton. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 101-102.
- JOSHI, M.D., BUTANI, P.G., PATEL, V.N. and JEYAKUMAR, P., 2010. Cotton mealy bug, *Phenacoccus Solenopsis* Tinsley: a review. *Agricultural Reviews*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 113-119.
- KHAN, W.A., QAMAR, S.U.R., AHMAD, J.N., CALMA, M.L. and ULLAH, A., 2020. Phylogenetic analysis of red cotton bug species (hemiptera: pyrrhocoridae) in Punjab, Pakistan. *Acta Entomologica Serbica*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 1-11.
- NAGRARE, V.S., FAND, B.B., CHINNA BABU NAIK, V., NAIKWADI, B., DESHMUKH, V. and SINH, D., 2020. Resistance development in Cotton mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) to insecticides from Organophosphate, Thiadiazines and Thiourea derivatives. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 181-188. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s42690-019-00068-9>.
- NAGRARE, V.S., KRANTHI, S., BIRADAR, V.K., ZADE, N.N., SANGODE, V., KAKDE, G., SHUKLA, R.M., SHIVARE, D., KHADI, B.M. and KRANTHI, K.R., 2009. Widespread infestation of the exotic mealybug species, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Tinsley) (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae), on cotton in India. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, vol. 99, no. 5, pp. 537-541. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0007485308006573>. PMID:19224663.
- NAGRARE, V.S., KRANTHI, S., KRANTHI, K.R., NAIK, V.C.B., DESHMUKH, V., NAIKWADI, B. and DAHEKAR, A., 2016. Relative toxicity of insecticides against cotton mealybug *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) and its fortuous parasitoid *Aenasius bambawalei* Hayat (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae). *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 987-994. <http://dx.doi.org/10.31018/jans.v8i2.909>.
- REZK, M., HASSAN, A.N.T., EL-DEEB, M.F., SHAARAWY, N. and DEWER, Y., 2019. The impact of insecticides on the cotton mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Tinsley): efficacy on potato, a new record of host plant in Egypt. *Journal of Plant Protection Research*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 50-59. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119385523>.
- SAEED, R., ABBAS, N. and HAFEZ, A.M., 2021. Fitness cost of imidacloprid resistance in the cotton-staining bug, *Dysdercus koenigii*. *Chemosphere*, vol. 265, pp. 129118. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.129118>. PMID:33280850.
- SAEED, R., RAZAQ, M., ABBAS, N., JAN, M.T. and NAVEED, M., 2017. Toxicity and resistance of the cotton leaf hopper, *Amrasca devastans* (Distant) to neonicotinoid insecticides in Punjab, Pakistan. *Crop Protection (Guildford, Surrey)*, vol. 93, pp. 143-147. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2016.11.032>.
- SINGH, S., TIWARI, R.K. and PANDEY, R.S., 2017. Evaluation of acute toxicity of triazophos and deltamethrin and their inhibitory effect on AChE activity in *Channa punctatus*. *Toxicology Reports*, vol. 5, pp. 85-89. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2017.12.006>. PMID:29379743.
- SISCARO, G., LONGO, S., MAZZEO, G., SUMA, P., ZAPPALÀ, L. and SAMPERI, G., 2006. Side-effects of insecticides on natural enemies of citrus scale pests in Italy. *Bulletin OILB/SROP*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 55-64.
- SUMA, P., ZAPPALÀ, L., MAZZEO, G. and SISCARO, G., 2009. Lethal and sub-lethal effects of insecticides on natural enemies of citrus scale pests. *BioControl*, vol. 54, no. 5, pp. 651-661. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10526-009-9215-z>.
- TANWAR, R.K., JEYAKUMAR, P., SINGH, A., JAFRI, A.A. and BAMBAWALE, O.M., 2011. Survey for cotton mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Tinsley) and its natural enemies. *Journal of Environmental Biology*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 381-384. PMID:22167953.
- VOJOUDE, S., SABER, M., HEJAZI, M.J. and TALAEI-HASSANLOUI, R., 2011. Toxicity of chlorpyrifos, spinosad and abamectin on cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* and their sublethal effects on fecundity and longevity. *Bulletin of Insectology*, vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 189-193.
- WAQAS, M.S., SHI, Z., YI, T.C., XIAO, R., SHOAI, A.A.Z., ELABASY, A.S.S. and JIN, D.C., 2021. Biology, ecology, and management of cotton mealybug *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley (Hemiptera: pseudococcidae). *Pest Management Science*, vol. 77, no. 12, pp. 5321-5333. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ps.6565>. PMID:34312983.
- YANG, L., HUANG, L.F., JIANG, J.J., WANG, W.L. and DU, X.L., 2012. Harm of new invasive pest, mealybug *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) and its research status. *Nanfang Nongye Xuebao*, vol. 43, pp. 951-954.