

Original Article

The growth performance and parasite load of angelfish juveniles *Pterophyllum scalare* kept at different stocking densities in two rearing systems

Desempenho de crescimento e carga parasitária de juvenis acará bandeira *Pterophyllum scalare* mantidos em diferentes densidades de estocagem em dois sistemas de criação

R. F. B. Santos^a , J. Zanella^b , A. C. V. Ferreira^b , M. V. Couto^c , H. M. Dias^d, H. A. Abe^e , F. M. Ramos^f , P. E. G. Paixão^d, M. L. Martins^g , R. Y. Fujimoto^h  and C. A. Honorato^{b*} 

^aYepist – Alimentos para Organismos Aquáticos, Recife, PE, Brasil

^bUniversidade Federal da Grande Dourados – UFGD, Dourados, MS, Brasil

^cInstituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia do Pará – IFPA, Cametá, PA, Brasil

^dUniversidade Tiradentes, Programa de Pós-graduação em Saúde e Ambiente, Aracaju, SE, Brasil

^eUniversidade do Estado da Bahia – UNEB, Valença, BA, Brasil

^fInstituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia da Bahia – IFBA, Valença, BA, Brasil

^gUniversidade Federal de Santa Catarina – UFSC, Florianópolis, SC, Brasil

^hEmpresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária – EMBRAPA, Macapá, AP, Brasil

Abstract

This study evaluated the growth performance and parasite load of angelfish juveniles *Pterophyllum scalare* kept at different stocking densities using two rearing systems. The experiment was conducted in a factorial design (4x2) with four stocking densities (0.1, 0.4, 0.7, and 1.0 g/L), two type of aquarium tanks (glass and ceramic aquariums), and four replicates. The experiment lasted 60 days using 148 juvenile fish (3.05 ± 0.09 g) randomly placed in 32 aquaria (50 L) equipped with filters and aeration. All fish received two meals a day *ad libitum* (8:00 and 16:00). Water quality parameters such as temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, and total ammonia were measured. At the end of the experiment, all fish were measured and weighed to determine growth performance and then subjected to parasitological analysis. The data were analyzed with a two-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey test ($p<0.05$). No effects on growth performance at different stocking densities were observed. However, there was an increase in *Capillaria pterophylli* infestation in the high stocking density within ceramic aquaria. Thus, this study recommends the use of 1.0 g/L for the intensive aquaculture system of freshwater angelfish, and applying cleaning management to avoid parasite infestation, particularly in ceramic aquaria.

Keywords: Amazonian fish, *Capillaria pterophylli*, freshwater angelfish, ornamental aquaculture.

Resumo

Este estudo avaliou o desempenho de crescimento e a carga parasitária de juvenis de peixe anjo *Pterophyllum scalare* mantidos em diferentes densidades de estocagem usando dois sistemas de criação. O experimento foi conduzido em esquema fatorial (4 x 2) com quatro densidades de estocagem (0,1, 0,4, 0,7 e 1,0 g/L), dois aquários (aquários de vidro e cerâmica) e quatro repetições. O experimento durou 60 dias utilizando 148 peixes juvenis ($3,05 \pm 0,09$ g) distribuídos aleatoriamente em 32 aquários (50 L) equipados com filtros e aeração. Todos os peixes receberam duas refeições diárias *ad libitum* (8h e 16h). Parâmetros de qualidade da água como temperatura, oxigênio dissolvido, pH e amônia total foram medidos. Ao final do experimento, todos os peixes foram medidos e pesados para determinação do desempenho de crescimento e posteriormente submetidos à análise parasitológica. Os dados foram analisados por meio de ANOVA a dois fatores com teste post-hoc de Tukey ($p<0,05$). Não foram observados efeitos no desempenho de crescimento em diferentes densidades de estocagem. Entretanto, houve um aumento na infestação por *Capillaria pterophylli* na alta densidade de estocagem dentro dos aquários cerâmicos. Assim, este estudo recomenda o uso de 1,0 g/L para o sistema intensivo de aquicultura de acarás de água doce, e aplicação de manejo de limpeza para evitar a infestação parasitária, principalmente em aquários cerâmicos.

Palavras-chave: Peixe amazônico, *Capillaria pterophylli*. Acará bandeira, aquicultura ornamental.

*e-mail: clauciahonorato@ufgd.edu.br

Received: November 6, 2023 – Accepted: March 6, 2024

 This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

The global ornamental fish trade has become a billion-dollar industry growing 14% annually since the 1970s (Jones et al., 2022). Brazil occupied the ninth position in the world ranking of ornamental fish exportation, generating approximately US\$18 million. Among the various native fish species, the angelfish *Pterophyllum scalare* belongs to a unique group with the 30 most commercialized ornamental fishes worldwide (Eiras et al., 2022; Perera et al., 2023).

The popularity of *P. scalare* among fish farmers and hobby fishers relates to captivity adaptation, ease of reproduction, and acceptability as industrial food, making it economically viable (Gallani et al., 2016). For these reasons, the captive rearing of *P. scalare* in intensive production systems has been growing annually (Perera et al., 2023). However, some handling procedures from these systems, such as inadequate stocking densities, can reduce fish health and impair profits for fish farmers (Pinheiro-Junior et al., 2023).

High stocking densities cause stress (Eiras et al., 2022), which promotes immunosuppression by the fish (Gallani et al., 2016), consequently increasing diseases (Khanjani et al., 2023). The transmission of pathogens is another important consequence of inadequate management (Cardoso et al., 2024; Hoseinifar et al., 2023). An inadequate stock density creates a perfect field for transmission and parasite infestation (Dominguez et al., 2023; Eiras et al., 2022; Çağiltay et al., 2017; Abe et al., 2016).

Monogenea *Sciadicleithrum iphithimum* and the nematode *Capillaria pterophylli* have been reported in angelfish *Pterophyllum scalare*, causing a reduction in growth and increase in mortality (Fujimoto et al., 2006). However, detailed scientific reports on the relationship between high stocking densities and parasite load are lacking. Thus, this study evaluated the growth performance and parasite load of angelfish juveniles *Pterophyllum scalare* kept at different stocking densities in two rearing systems.

For the angelfish production system to become efficient, it is necessary to optimize the space by increasing the stocking rate without promoting fish imbalance, which promotes less resistance to farming diseases.

2. Materials and Method

The experiment was carried out at the Aquaculture Production Laboratory of the School of Agricultural Sciences of Federal University of Grande Dourados (UFGD), Brazil. The Brazilian guidelines on the care and use of animals for scientific and teaching purposes (DBCA) and the National Council for Animal Experimentation Control (CONCEA) were followed. The assay has been approved by the Ethics Committee for Animals Use of Universidade Federal da Grande Dourados (Protocolo 03/2019).

This study used a factorial design (4x2) with four stocking densities (0.1, 0.4, 0.7, and 1.0 g/L), two rearing systems (glass and ceramic aquariums), and four replicates. The experiment used 148 juvenile fish (3.05 ± 0.09 g) randomly placed in 32 aquariums (50L) equipped with filters and forced aeration. The fish were purchased from

a commercial fish farm and the sanitary quality of the batch was certified.

The system was water recirculation with weekly replacement with water within potability parameters. All fish received two meals a day *ad libitum* avoiding food losses (8:00 and 16:00) of extruded ration (Poytara® crude protein 35%, crude fiber 4%, mineral material 9%, moisture 10%, calcium 2%, and phosphorus 1%). Each day, we measured the water temperature (YSI 550A), dissolved oxygen (YSI 550A), and pH (YSI 60). Total ammonia (NH_4) was also measured weekly using Hanna HI93715 and converted to non-ionized ammonia (NH_3).

At the end of the experiment (60 days), we measured and weighed all fish to determine growth parameters such as weight gain (WG: final weight – initial weight), biomass gain (BG: final biomass – initial biomass), feeding conversion rate (FCR), specific growth rate (SGR: $\ln(\text{final weight}) - \ln(\text{initial weight})/\text{days} \times 100$), final density (FD: final biomass/L), and survival (S: final number of fish/initial number of fish $\times 100$). We also performed the parasitological analysis of the gills and midgut (proximal, middle, and distal intestine) from six fish per treatment to determine parasite prevalence, mean intensity, and abundance (Bush et al., 1997). All parasites were fixed and identified following the methods of Amato et al. (1991) and Eiras et al. (2006).

The data were subjected to the Shapiro Wilk normality test and Bartlett homoscedasticity tests, followed by a two-way analysis of variance (Two-Way Anova) with post hoc Tukey test ($p < 0.05$).

3. Results

The use of a glass aquarium and a floor aquarium does not present a significant difference in water quality parameters and angel fish development. Most of the water quality parameters did not differ significantly among the treatments (Table 1). In addition, no interaction was observed between different stocking densities and rearing systems. Only toxic ammonia showed a difference with the increase in stocking density (Table 1).

The weight gain, specific growth rate, and feeding conversion rate did not differ among the stocking densities. Further, no mortalities occurred in the higher stocking densities (Table 2).

The parasite infected gill was *Sciadicleithrum iphithimum* (Dactylogyridae) and the gastrointestinal tract was *Capillaria pterophylli* (Capillariidae). The prevalence and mean intensity of monogenea were not influenced by the stocking densities tested, however an increase in the nematoda parasitism was observed along the increases of stocking density. The densities of 0.7 and 1.0 g/L presented the highest prevalence and mean intensities of *C. pterophylli* (Table 3). The rearing systems (glass or ceramic aquarium) did not have any effect on the prevalence of either parasite.

However, the mean intensity showed a statistical interaction between stocking density and rearing systems (Table 4).

Different uppercase letters in the row and lowercase in the column indicate statistical differences by Tukey test

Table 1. The water parameters and growth performance for angelfish *Pterophyllum scalare* reared at rearing systems.

| Parameters | Rearing systems | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Glass Aquarium | Cramic Aquarium |
| Waterparameters | | |
| Temperature°C | 28.28 ± 0.11 | 28.10 ± 0.11 |
| Dissolved oxygen (mg/L) | 5.66 ± 1.10 | 5.77 ± 0.52 |
| pH | 4.68 ± 0.82 | 5.14 ± 1.02 |
| Toxic ammonia (µg/L) | 5.45 ± 1.27 | 4.94 ± 0.53 |
| Growth performance | | |
| Biomass gain | 36.23 ± 2.90 | 34.53 ± 2.90 |
| Weight gain (g·fish-1) | 1.78 ± 0.49 | 1.59 ± 0.61 |
| Specific growth rate (g·day-1) | 0.62 ± 0.13 | 0.55 ± 0.31 |
| Feeding conversion rate | 2.38 ± 1.34 | 2.91 ± 1.81 |
| Final density | 0.74 ± 0.06 | 0.76 ± 0.05 |
| Survival (%) | 97.50 ± 10.32 | 91.90 ± 17.67 |

Mean ± standard deviation. Different letters in the row indicate statistical differences for Tukey test ($p \leq 0.05$).

Table 2. The water parameters and growth performance for angelfish *Pterophyllum scalare* reared at different stocking densities.

| Parameters | Different stocking densities | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 0.1 g/L | 0.4 g/L | 0.7 g/L | 1.0 g/L |
| Waterparameters | | | | |
| Temperature°C | 28.11 ± 0.50 | 28.37 ± 0.13 | 28.12 ± 0.16 | 28.16 ± 0.11 |
| Dissolved oxygen (mg/L) | 5.99 ± 0.20 | 5.77 ± 0.41 | 5.64 ± 0.40 | 5.45 ± 0.25 |
| pH | 5.02 ± 0.72 | 5.06 ± 0.85 | 5.25 ± 0.93 | 4.30 ± 0.47 |
| Toxic ammonia (µg/L) | 4.08 ± 0.24b | 5.22 ± 1.04ab | 5.73 ± 0.17ab | 5.76 ± 0.61a |
| Growth performance | | | | |
| Biomass gain | 8.87 ± 1.70d | 26.17 ± 1.80c | 41.97 ± 3.70b | 64.52 ± 4.30a |
| Weight gain (g·fish-1) | 2.23 ± 1.41 | 1.40 ± 0.17 | 1.43 ± 0.19 | 1.67 ± 0.44 |
| Specific growth rate (g·day-1) | 0.74 ± 0.50 | 0.50 ± 0.15 | 0.51 ± 0.15 | 0.58 ± 0.12 |
| Feeding conversion rate | 3.44 ± 1.90 | 2.73 ± 0.20 | 2.36 ± 0.90 | 2.05 ± 1.49 |
| Final density | 0.19 ± 0.03c | 0.58 ± 0.03b | 0.92 ± 0.08ab | 1.31 ± 0.09a |
| Survival (%) | 87.25 ± 25.0 | 100.0 ± 0.0 | 95.00 ± 10.0 | 96.4 ± 7.50 |

Mean ± standard deviation. Different letters in the row indicate statistical differences for Tukey test ($p \leq 0.05$).

Table 3. Mean ± standard deviation of the parasite prevalence values in angelfish *Pterophyllum scalare* reared at different stocking densities and two types of aquariums.

| | Different stocking densities | | | | Types of aquarium | |
|-------|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | 0.1 g/L | 0.4 g/L | 0.7 g/L | 1.0 g/L | Glass | Ceramic |
| *Gill | 25.0 ± 50.0a | 16.6 ± 33.0a | 0.0 ± 0.0b | 0.0 ± 0.0b | 20.8 ± 39.0a | 0.00 ± 0.00a |
| DI | 0.0 ± 0.0b | 12.5 ± 25.0b | 100.0 ± 0.0a | 100.0 ± 0.0a | 56.2 ± 49.0a | 50.0 ± 53.0a |
| MI | 12.5 ± 2.5b | 15.0 ± 5.7b | 29.2 ± 24.0b | 33.3 ± 27.0b | 43.7 ± 42.0a | 18.7 ± 27.0a |
| PI | 0.0 ± 0.0a | 37.5 ± 1.7a | 12.5 ± 1.0b | 16.6 ± 1.3b | 22.9 ± 1.4a | 10.4 ± 1.1a |

Different lowercase letters in the row indicate statistical difference by Tukey test ($p \leq 0.05$). DI = intestine distal section; MI = intestine middle section; PI = intestine proximal section; *infestation of *S. iphithimum* in gills and *Capillaria pterophylli* infestation in midgut.

Table 4. Mean ± standard deviation of the mean intensity for angelfish *Pterophyllum scalare* reared at different stocking densities and two rearing systems (glass and ceramic aquariums).

| Infested organ | Rearing system | 0.1 g/L | 0.4 g/L | 0.7 g/L | 1.0 g/L |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| *Gill | Ceramic | 7.50 ± 1.12 | 60.00 ± 7.20 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 |
| | Glass | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 |
| DI | Ceramic | 0.00 ± 0.00Aa | 2.50 ± 1.25Aa | 7.00 ± 0.01Aa | 2.95 ± 0.84Aa |
| | Glass | 0.00 ± 0.00Ba | 0.00 ± 0.00Ba | 3.25 ± 3.12Aa | 1.65 ± 0.84ABb |
| MI | Ceramic | 0.50 ± 0.50 | 3.80 ± 0.08 | 5.75 ± 2.80 | 1.50 ± 4.50 |
| | Glass | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 2.00 ± 8.00 | 3.00 ± 8.00 |
| PI | Ceramic | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 2.30 ± 3.30 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 1.50 ± 4.50 |
| | Glass | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 1.00 ± 2.00 | 1.50 ± 4.50 |

DI = intestine distal section; MI = intestine middle section; PI = intestine proximal section; *infestation of *S. iphithimum* in gills and *Capillaria pterophylli* infestation in the midgut.

($p \leq 0.05$), DI- intestine distal section, MI- intestine middle section; PI- intestine proximal section. *infestation of *S. iphithimum* in gills and *Capillaria pterophylli* infestation in the midgut.

4. Discussion

The freshwater angelfish is one of the most traded species of ornamental fish, however, there is a lack of scientific descriptions of the diseases that affect these animals (Gallani et al., 2016). Previous studies describe parasitic infestations caused by parasite (Florindo et al., 2017) and environmental factors are generally the main causes of stress in fish (Perera et al., 2023) decreasing their resistance to adverse factors (Ribeiro et al., 2023).

Most water physicochemical attributes were adequate for freshwater angelfish rearing, and the variables did not interfere with the growth performance of the fish (Abe et al., 2016; Çağiltay et al., 2017; Pinheiro-Junior et al., 2023). However, non-ionized ammonia increased the values at higher stocking densities. The fish growth can be affected by elevated levels of non-ionized ammonia (Çağiltay et al., 2017). In intensive aquaculture systems with high stocking densities, ammonia is a major problem in fish production (Barros et al., 2014). The lethal form (NH_3) provokes behavioral and physiological disorders such as oxidative stress or immunosuppression (Schroeder et al., 2011). Even at sub-lethal doses, growth reduction occurs over long exposure (Gonçalves et al., 2017). However, non-ionized ammonia values in this study remained stable and adequate for the angelfish *P. scalare*, with harmless effects on growth performance (Serezli et al., 2016). Elevated ammonia levels have also been linked to increased vulnerability to different parasitic, viral, bacterial diseases in fishes (Serezli et al., 2016; Neissi et al., 2020; Khanjani et al., 2023; Motta et al., 2021).

Currently, the greatest difference between food fish aquaculture and ornamental fish aquaculture is the commercialization method, where the ornamental fish are sold per unit and not by weight (Igarashi et al., 2004; Faria et al., 2019). Therefore, increasing stocking density makes the production system economically viable

(Novák et al., 2020; Perera et al., 2023). The high stocking densities in the present study did not affect the growth of fish, making it more profitable for ornamental fish farmers (Çağiltay et al., 2017; Abe et al., 2016). Some reports such as in *Guppy Poecilia reticulata* and angelfish *Pterophyllum scalare* (Adel et al., 2013; Gonçalves Júnior et al., 2013) indicate 0.6g/L as an adequate stocking density to maintain performance and health, which is lower when compared to the present study of 1.0 g/L.

In addition, the lowest parasitological indexes for monogenean in this study could be explained by lower values of ammonia, even at high stocking densities. According to Florindo et al., (2017), monogenea prevalence increases in ornamental fishes subjected to greater levels of ammonia. The water quality is more factor that production. Moreover the level ammonia is influence that in all phase production (Barros et al., 2024; Cardoso et al., 2024), discarding the relationship between high stocking densities and monogenea infestation for angelfish.

In angelfish juvenile observed the gregarious conduct (Eiras et al., 2022) that facility the accidental ingestion of feces (Gallani et al., 2016) could be affecting nematode infestation in the intestine. Nematodes of *Capillaria* sp. (Capillariidae) present a direct cycle and the fish are contaminated by the ingestion of embryonated eggs that were expelled in the faeces from parasitized fish (Adel et al., 2013). Thus, the proximity between the animals, as well as the group's increase in seeking food may have increased parasitic infestation at higher densities. The reduction of the nematode mean intensity observed at the higher density can be explained by a factor known as the dilution effect, where the increase in advance density and a reduction of the parasite number due to a higher parasite distribution among the hosts (Samsing et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2023; van Walraven et al., 2021).

In glass aquariums, due to the flat and smooth surface, cleaning is easier and more effective (Ullah et al., 2023), removing the eggs to avoid consumption. In aquariums with ceramic floors, due to porosity (Souza et al., 2008) there is greater adhesion of organic matter. The infestation increases in ceramic aquariums, probably because the eggs adhere to the bottom of the aquarium, making them easier

to discover and consume. A ceramic aquarium is similar to a glass aquarium glass in terms of size and usefulness, but at a lower cost of production, which increases profitability. However, if a ceramic aquarium is chosen for the *P. scalar*rerearing, cleaning should be intensified to remove the adhered eggs.

5. Conclusion

This study recommends that intensive rearing of angelfish can be carried out in glass or ceramic aquariums without harming development. That is essential the importance of the best of management practices in intensive systems in aquaculture and the relationship with the technical viability. The proper utilization on stocking density the 0.7g/L until 1.0 g/L

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the National Council for Scientific and Technology development for financial support to R.Y. Fujimoto (304533/2019-0).

References

- ABE, H.A., DIAS, J.A.R., REIS, R.G.A., SOUSA, N.C., RAMOS, F.M. and FUJIMOTO, R.Y., 2016. Manejo alimentar e densidade de estocagem na larvicultura do peixe ornamental Amazônico *Heros severus*. *Boletim do Instituto da Pesca*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 514-522. <http://doi.org/10.20950/1678-2305.2016v42n3p514>.
- ADEL, M., SAEEDI, A.A., SAFARI, R., AZIZI, H.R. and ADEL, M., 2013. *Pterophyllum scalare* (Perciformes: Cichlidae) a new paratenic host of *Capillaria* sp. (Nematoda: Capillariidae) in Iran. *World Journal of Zoology*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 371-375.
- AMATO, J.F.R., BOEGER, W.A. and AMATO, S.P., 1991. *Protocolos para laboratório: coleta e processamento de parasitas do pescado*. Rio de Janeiro: UFRRJ.
- BARROS, M.A., ARAÚJO, L.F., GOMES, B.A., TAKAKURA, K.Y., SOUSA, L.O. and MAGALHÃES-MATOS, P.C., 2024. *Lernaea cyprinacea* Linnaeus, 1758 (Cyclopoida: Lernaeidae) in ornamental fish from a commercial fish farm in the state of Pará, Brazilian Amazon. *Brazilian Journal of Biology = Revista Brasileira de Biologia*, vol. 84, e254338. <http://doi.org/10.1590/1519-6984.254338>. PMid:35043837.
- BARROS, M.M., FALCON, D.R., OLIVEIRA ORSI, R., PEZZATO, L.E., FERNANDES JUNIOR, A.C., GUIMARÃES, I.G., FERNANDES JUNIOR, A., PADOVANI, C.R. and SARTORI, M.M.P., 2014. Non-specific immune parameters and physiological response of Nile tilapia fed β -glucan and vitamin C for different periods and submitted to stress and bacterial challenge. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 188-195. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2014.05.004>. PMid:24830771.
- BUSH, A.O., LAFFERTY, K.D., LOTZ, J.M. and SHOSTAK, A.W., 1997. Parasitology meets ecology on its own terms: Margolis et al. revisited. *The Journal of Parasitology*, vol. 83, no. 4, pp. 575-583. <http://doi.org/10.2307/3284227>. PMid:9267395.
- ÇAĞILTAY, F., ATANASOFF, A. and NIKOLOV, G., 2017. Influence of stocking density on some water quality parameters and growth traits in angel fish (*Pterophyllum scalare*). *Seria Zootehnie*, vol. 69, pp. 144-148.
- CARDOSO, P.H.M., MORENO, L.Z., IKUTA, C.Y., RODRIGUES, M.V., DONOLA, S.T., HEINEMANN, M.B., BALIAN, S.C. and MORENO, A.M., 2024. Isolation of potential zoonotic *Mycobacterium* spp. from diseased freshwater angelfish (*Pterophyllum scalare*) from an aquarium. *Brazilian Journal of Biology = Revista Brasileira de Biologia*, vol. 84, e262851. <http://doi.org/10.1590/1519-6984.262851>.
- DOMINGUEZ, H.N., BALIAN, S.C., RELVAS, R.S., SOARES, H.S., QUEIROZ, M.R., MARTINS, M.L. and CARDOSO, P.H.M., 2023. Parasitological diagnosis in ornamental freshwater fish from different fish farmers of five Brazilian states. *Brazilian Journal of Biology = Revista Brasileira de Biologia*, vol. 83, e270067. <http://doi.org/10.1590/1519-6984.270067>. PMid:37075425.
- EIRAS, B.J.C.F., CAMPELO, D.A.V., DE MOURA, L.B., DE SOUSA, L.M., NUNES, I.S., DE OLIVEIRA, L.C.C., MAGALHÃES, A. and COSTA, R.M., 2022. Feeding rate and frequency during the first feeding of angelfish (*Pterophyllum scalare* - Schultz, 1823) and severum (*Heros severus* - Heckel, 1840) with *Moina* sp. *Aquaculture*, vol. 553, pp. 738106. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2022.738106>.
- EIRAS, J.C., TAKEMOTO, R.M. and PAVANELLI, G.C., 2006. *Métodos de estudo e técnicas laboratoriais em parasitologia de peixes*. 2^a ed. Maringá: Eduem.
- FARIA, C.F.A., PONTES, C.S., RIBEIRO, K. and FARIA, P.M.C., 2019. Caracterização do mercado de aquicultura ornamental e aquariofilia no Rio Grande do Norte. *Revista Gestão & Sustentabilidade Ambiental*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 203-215. <http://doi.org/10.19177/rsga.v8e12019203-215>.
- FLORINDO, M.C., JERÔNIMO, G.T., STECKERT, L.D., ACCHILE, M., FIGUEREDO, A.B., GONÇALVES, E.L.T., CARDOSO, L. and MARCHIORI, N.C., 2017. Metazoan parasites of freshwater ornamental fishes. *Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research*, vol. 45, no. 5, pp. 992-998. <http://doi.org/10.3856/vol45-issue5-fulltext-15>.
- FUJIMOTO, R.Y., VENDRUSCOLO, L., SCHALCH, S.H.C. and MORAES, F.R., 2006. Avaliação de três diferentes métodos para o controle de monogenéticos e *Capillaria* sp. (Nematoda: Capillariidae), parasitos de acará-bandeira (*Pterophyllum scalare* Liechtenstein, 1823). *Boletim do Instituto da Pesca*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 183-190.
- GALLANI, S.U., SEBASTIÃO, F.A., VALLADÃO, G.M.R., BOARATTI, A.Z. and PILARSKI, F., 2016. Pathogenesis of mixed infection by *Spironucleus* sp. and *Citrobacter freundii* in freshwater angelfish *Pterophyllum scalare*. *Microbial Pathogenesis*, vol. 100, pp. 119-123. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2016.09.002>.
- GONÇALVES JÚNIOR, L.P., PEREIRA, S.L., MATIELO, M.D. and MENDONÇA, P.P., 2013. Efeito da densidade de estocagem no desenvolvimento inicial do acará-bandeira (*Pterophyllum scalare*). *Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia*, vol. 65, no. 4, pp. 1176-1182. <http://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-09352013000400033>.
- GONÇALVES, A.F., NEVES, J.V., COIMBRA, J., RODRIGUES, P., VIJAYAN, M.M. and WILSON, J.M., 2017. Cortisol plays a role in the high environmental ammonia associated suppression of the immune response in zebrafish. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, vol. 249, pp. 32-39. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2017.02.016>. PMid:28263819.
- HOSEINIFAR, S.H., MARADONNA, F., FAHEEM, M., HARIKRISHNAN, R., DEVI, G., RINGØ, E., VAN DOAN, H., ASHOURI, G., GIOACCHINI, G. and CARNEVALI, O., 2023. Sustainable ornamental fish aquaculture: the implication of microbial feed additives. *Animals*, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 1583. <http://doi.org/10.3390/ani13101583>. PMid:37238012.
- IGARASHI, M.A., OLIVEIRA, M.A., GURGEL, J.J.S., PENAFORT, J.M. and SOUZA, R.A.L., 2004. Potencial econômico do agronegócio da

- produção de peixes ornamentais no Brasil e no mundo. *Revista de Ciências Agrárias*, no. 42, pp. 293-313.
- JONES, M., ALEXANDER, M.E., SNELLGROVE, D., SMITH, P., BRAMHALL, S., CAREY, P., HENRIQUEZ, F.L., MCLELLAN, I. and SLOMAN, K.A., 2022. How should we monitor welfare in the ornamental fish trade? *Reviews in Aquaculture*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 770-790. <http://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12624>.
- KHANJANI, M.H., SHARIFINIA, M. y EMERENCIANO, M.G.C., 2023. A detailed look at the impacts of biofloc on immunological and hematological parameters and improving resistance to diseases. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, vol. 137, p. 108796. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2023.108796>.
- MOTTA, J.H., GLÓRIA, L., DE SOUZA, A.B., FILHO, J.C.F., POLESE, M. and VIDAL, M., 2021. Effect of fasting on freshwater angelfish *Pterophyllum scalare* (Lichtenstein; Pisces: Cichlidae) development. *Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 125-135. <http://doi.org/10.3856/vol49-issue1-fulltext-2499>.
- NESSI, A., RAFIEE, G., FARAHMAND, H., RAHIMI, S. and MIJAKOVIC, I., 2020. Cold-resistant heterotrophic ammonium and nitrite-removing bacteria improve aquaculture conditions of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Microbial Ecology*, vol. 80, no. 2, pp. 266-277. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-020-01498-6>. PMID:32162039.
- NOVÁK, J., KALOUS, L. and PATOKA, J., 2020. Modern ornamental aquaculture in Europe: early history of freshwater fish imports. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 2042-2060. <http://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12421>.
- PERERA, P.K.D.W., PERERA, R.P.Y.K., PERERA, D.N.W., RANAVEERA, U.I., NAWINNA, D. and GAMAGE, N., 2023. Ornamental fish disease prediction system. *International Journal of Computers and Applications*, vol. 184, no. 43, pp. 1-6. <http://doi.org/10.5120/ijca2023922530>.
- PINHEIRO-JUNIOR, A.D.S., DE OLIVEIRA, L.C.C., EIRAS, B.J.C.F., LIMA, W.D.S., VERAS, G.C., DE MOURA, L.B., SALARO, A.L. and CAMPELO, D.A.V., 2023. Effect of feeding frequency and water salinization on early development of *Pyrrhulina brevis*, an Amazonian ornamental fish. *Acta Amazonica*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 107-113. <http://doi.org/10.1590/1809-4392202200602>.
- RIBEIRO, M.W.S., OLIVEIRA, A.T. and CARVALHO, T.B., 2023. Water temperature modulates social behavior of ornamental cichlid (*Pterophyllum scalare*) in an artificial system. *Journal of Applied Aquaculture*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 410-422. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10454438.2021.1973936>.
- SAMSING, F., OPPEDAL, F., JOHANSSON, D., BUI, S. and DEMPSTER, T., 2014. High host densities dilute sea lice *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* loads on individual Atlantic salmon, but do not reduce lice infection success. *Aquaculture Environment Interactions*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 81-89. <http://doi.org/10.3354/aei00118>.
- SCHROEDER, J.P., CROOT, P.L., VON DEWITZ, B., WALLER, U. and HANEL, R., 2011. Potential and limitations of ozone for the removal of ammonia, nitrite, and yellow substances in marine recirculating aquaculture systems. *Aquacultural Engineering*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 35-41. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaeng.2011.06.001>.
- SEREZLI, R., KUCUKACTAS, A. and KURTOGLU, I.Z., 2016. Acute toxicity of ammonia and nitrite to angel fish (*Pterophyllum scalare*, Liechtenstein 1823) and the effect of erythrocyte morphology. *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin*, vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 3119-3124.
- SOUZA, C.C., VIEIRA, C.M.F. and MONTEIRO, S.N., 2008. Alterações microestruturais de cerâmica argilosa incorporada com rejeito de minério de ferro. *Revista Matéria*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 194-202. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-70762008000100024>.
- ULLAH, A., AZMAT, H., MASOOD, Z., AROOJ, F., HUSSAIN, S.M. and MALIK, A., 2023. Impact of dietary leaf extracts of Black pepper *Piper nigrum* L. on the growth, hematological and immunological parameters of *Labeo rohita* (Hamilton, 1822) cultured in glass aquaria. *Brazilian Journal of Biology = Revista Brasileira de Biologia*, vol. 83, e246825. <http://doi.org/10.1590/1519-6984.246825>. PMID:34431909.
- VAN WALRAVEN, N., FJØRTOFT, H.B. and STENE, A., 2021. Less is more: negative relationship between biomass density and sea lice infestation in marine salmon farming. *Aquaculture*, vol. 539, pp. 736602. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.736602>.
- ZHANG, D., SOGN-GRUNDVÄG, G. and TVETERÅS, R., 2023. The impact of parasitic sea lice on harvest quantities and sizes of farmed salmon. *Aquaculture*, vol. 576, pp. 739884. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2023.739884>.