ISSN 0104-6632 Printed in Brazil www.abeq.org.br/bjche

Vol. 27, No. 02, pp. 315 - 325, April - June, 2010

# AN ASSESSMENT ON THE USE OF THE DEBYE-HÜCKEL EQUATION FOR THE THERMODYNAMIC MODELING OF AQUEOUS SYSTEMS CONTAINING POLYMERS AND SALTS

# K. C. Nascimento Alves and P. A. Pessôa Filho\*

Departamento de Engenharia Química, Escola Politécnica da Universidade de São Paulo, USP, Caixa Postal 61548, 05424-970, São Paulo - SP, Brazil. E-mail: pedro.pessoa@poli.usp.br

(Submitted: January 13, 2010; Revised: February 9, 2010; Accepted: February 9, 2010)

**Abstract** - In this work, a study on the role of the long-range term of excess Gibbs energy models in the modeling of aqueous systems containing polymers and salts is presented. Four different approaches on how to account for the presence of polymer in the long-range term were considered, and simulations were conducted considering aqueous solutions of three different salts. The analysis of water activity curves showed that, in all cases, a liquid-phase separation may be introduced by the sole presence of the polymer in the long-range term, regardless of how it is taken into account. The results lead to the conclusion that there is no single exact solution for this problem, and that any kind of approach may introduce inconsistencies. *Keywords*: Thermodynamics; Gibbs energy; Aqueous solutions; Polymer; Salt.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Aqueous systems containing polymers and salts have gained increasing attention due to the use of aqueous two-phase systems in separating mixtures of compounds of biological origin. Aqueous two phase systems, as suggested by their denomination, are systems in which two liquid aqueous phases coexist in equilibrium. These systems can be formed by adding to water pairs of neutral polymers (such as polyethylene glycol and dextran), a polymer and a neutral salt (such as polyethylene glycol and ammonium sulfate), a neutral polymer and a polyelectrolyte (such as polyethylene glycol and sodium polyacrylate), and single polymers whose aqueous solutions have a low cloud point (and can be used to induce phase separation). The fact that both phases are aqueous provides a suitable environment for these compounds, and the composition difference (which results in different phase properties) provides the driving force for the uneven distribution of biomolecules. Just to quote a few examples, one can cite the extraction of toxins from the fermentation broth of *Clostridium perfrigens* (Cavalcanti et al., 2006), the separation of cell lineages rich in anthocyanins (Edahiro et al., 2005) and the partitioning of antibiotics (Bora et al., 2005) as uses of this technique. Despite promising reports on the use of these systems on pilot and industrial scales, they are still mainly used for pre-treatment and characterization of biomolecule mixtures on the laboratory scale (Hatti-Kaul, 2001).

Although they have been used for almost fifty years, the modeling of phase equilibria in aqueous two-phase systems remains an active field of investigation. While many models have been proposed in the last decades, no single model has been found to adequately represent all possible kinds of systems. Some models are specifically developed for two-polymer systems – for instance, the modified Flory-Huggins equation by Pessoa Filho and Mohamed (2004) – but other models are used

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed

without extensive changes, such as virial-like equations (Tada et al., 2004) and other excess Gibbs energy models. Equations are also tailored for the modeling of salt-polymer systems: these usually consist of a long-range term (usually the Debye-Hückel equation or a modification thereof) and a short range-term, such as virial-like equations (Haraguchi et al., 2007), lattice models (Foroutan and Mohammadlou, 2008) or other excess Gibbs energy models (Madeira et al., 2005). Specific models can also be found for polymerpolyelectrolyte systems (Pessoa Filho and Maurer, 2008) and single polymer systems (Rangel-Yagui et al., 2004)

The general pattern of equilibrium diagrams of aqueous two-phase systems is depicted in Figure 1, which shows the coexistence of two equilibrium phases: an aqueous phase containing an appreciable fraction of polymer 1 (and a small fraction of either polymer 2 or salt) and an aqueous phase containing an appreciable fraction of polymer 2 or salt (and a small fraction of polymer 1).



**Figure 1:** General pattern of phase equilibrium diagrams of aqueous two-phase systems. Binodal curve (—) and tie-lines (----).

A controversial issue is posed by the presence of a long-range term in those models developed for saltpolymer systems. This term accounts for the coulombic interactions between ions and depends on medium properties (mass density and permittivity), which are usually considered constant. The validity of the Debye-Hückel equation is limited to low ionic strengths, but these models are often used for highly concentrated salt solutions – since the same thermodynamic model must be used to describe the whole phase diagram. Moreover, in the salt-poor phase, the fraction of polymer (which serves as a cosolvent for the salt, changing the medium properties and hence the coulombic interactions among ions) is high, whereas the salt-rich phase contains a small fraction of polymer, which means that the medium properties, in this case, are approximately those of water itself.

The effect of the presence of a polymeric solvent on the Debye-Hückel equation and modifications thereof is investigated in this work. The paper presents a theoretical framework, showing how the long-range term of excess Gibbs energy may be accounted for in the modeling of aqueous two-phase systems. The water activity of aqueous solutions containing a polymer and a salt at concentrations similar to those found in aqueous two-phase systems is evaluated considering different approaches to the problem.

#### THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The excess Gibbs energy  $(G^E)$  of a system consisting of a salt dissolved in a solvent is usually written as:

$$G^{E} = G^{E-LR} + G^{E-SR}$$
(1)

wherein the acronyms LR and SR represent long and short range, respectively. The separation in these terms is maintained in the derived properties, such as the chemical potential and activity. For the long range term, the most extensively used expression was presented by Debye-Hückel, which can be written in Pitzer's version as (Pitzer, 1980):

$$\frac{\underline{G}^{E-LR}}{n_s M_s RT} = -\frac{4I}{b_s} A_{\phi} \ln(1 + b_s \sqrt{I})$$
(2)

In this equation,  $b_s$  is a constant (dependent on the solvent),  $M_s$  is the solvent molar mass (expressed in kg.mol<sup>-1</sup>),  $n_s$  is the amount of solvent, and I is the ionic strength, defined in the molality scale (solute concentration expressed in terms of quantity of solute per kilogram of solvent) by:

$$I = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} m_i z_i^2 \tag{3}$$

wherein  $m_i$  is the molality of ion i, and  $z_i$  is its valence. The term  $A_{\phi}$  in the previous Equation (2) is given by:

$$A_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 \epsilon_s k_B T} \right)^{3/2} \left( 2\pi N_{AV} \rho_s \right)^{1/2}$$
(4)

wherein e is the electron charge (1.60218 $\cdot$ 10<sup>-19</sup> C),  $\epsilon_0$  is the vacuum permittivity (8.85419 $\times$ 10<sup>-12</sup> C<sup>2</sup> $\cdot$ N<sup>-1</sup>·m<sup>-2</sup>),

 $\epsilon_s$  is the solvent relative permittivity,  $N_{AV}$  is Avogadro's number (6.02205×10<sup>23</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>),  $\rho_s$  is the solvent mass density (expressed in kg·m<sup>-3</sup>) and k<sub>B</sub> is the Boltzmann constant (1.38066·10<sup>-23</sup> J·K<sup>-1</sup>). It must be stressed that  $A_{\phi}$  is not dimensionless, but has units of kg<sup>1/2</sup>·mol<sup>-1/2</sup>.

Although the excess Gibbs energy is the fundamental property, the theoretical reasoning behind the Debye-Hückel equation actually yields the activity coefficient of the salt, and the activity coefficient of the solvent (and hence the excess Gibbs energy) is obtained through the Gibbs-Duhem equation. Demonstrations of the Debye-Hückel equation can be found in textbooks, such as Tester and Modell (1997). If the solvent is unique – for instance, water in an aqueous solution of a single salt – the resulting expressions for the activity coefficient of the solvent is:

$$\ln \gamma_{\rm s}^{\rm LR} = 2A_{\phi}M_{\rm s}\frac{I^{3/2}}{1+b_{\rm s}\sqrt{I}}$$
(5)

The solvent activity is given by:

$$a_{s} = \exp(-M_{s} \sum_{i \neq w} m_{i}) \cdot \gamma_{s}^{LR} \cdot \gamma_{s}^{SR}$$
(6)

The exponential term is related to the ideal mixture contribution to the activity. The use of the previous equations poses no problem for a single solvent. However, in aqueous two-phase systems containing a salt and a polymer, the role of these substances in the long range term is often unclear, and different procedures can be found in the literature.

The most common approach is to consider that the solvent is simply water: the polymer is just another solute with no net charge, and the solventdependent properties that appear in the previous equations (M<sub>s</sub>,  $\varepsilon_s$  and  $\rho_s$ ) are those for pure water. In this case, the parameter  $A_{\omega}$  is not a function of system composition, and the previous equations can be used straightforwardly. The use of this approach is extensively documented, and has the main advantage of simplicity; just to mention some references, Haraguchi et al (2004) and Hagtalab and Joda (2009) adopt this methodology. However, if one considers that, in aqueous two-phase systems, the polymer-rich phase usually has a low concentration of the salt (which is exactly the situation for which the long-range term was derived), one can see that simply ignoring the influence of the polymer in this situation is at least an ambiguous procedure: the long-range term is added in order to make the infinite dilution limit correct, but because of the description adopted, this limit is no longer physically correct.

A second approach is to consider that the polymer plays the role of a second solvent in the long-range term. If one considers the very demonstration of the Debve-Hückel term (Tester and Modell, 1997), one can see that the term solvent is actually related to the medium – whatever it is. Thus, in a dilute solution of a salt in polymer + water, the medium wherein the coulombic interactions occur is actually the mixture polymer + water. Therefore, by this reasoning, the requisite medium properties should be those of the mixture. However, this choice entails some decisions as to exactly how the polymer will be considered. These questions are by no means trivial, and arise from the fact that the Debye-Hückel equation is obtained within the McMillan-Mayer framework. In this framework, the solvent is considered to be simply the medium wherein interactions take place. However, in aqueous two-phase systems, one has different phases with two-different two polymer/water proportions - that is to say, two different solvents.

No theoretical solution has yet been found for this problem (and no exact conversion from the McMillan and Mayer to the Lewis and Randall approach has been developed), so one must resort to empirical approaches. Two different procedures can thus be followed. Firstly, one can consider not only that  $A_{\phi}$  is a function of composition, but also that the "solvent" which appears in the molality definition is actually the polymer + water mixture. Thus:

$$n_s M_s = n_w M_w + n_p M_p \tag{7}$$

and:

$$\ln \gamma_{w}^{LR} = 2A_{\phi}M_{w}\frac{I^{3/2}}{1+b_{s}\sqrt{I}} - \frac{4I}{b_{s}}n_{s}M_{s}\ln(1+b_{s}\sqrt{I})\left(\frac{\partial A_{\phi}}{\partial n_{w}}\right)_{n_{p}}$$
(8)

A second approach is to keep the dependency of  $A_{\phi}$ , but to consider that the molality refers only to water. In this case, the last equation is slightly changed:

$$\ln \gamma_{w}^{LR} = 2A_{\phi}M_{w}\frac{I^{3/2}}{1+b_{s}\sqrt{I}} - \frac{4I}{b_{s}}n_{w}M_{w}\ln(1+b_{s}\sqrt{I})\left(\frac{\partial A_{\phi}}{\partial n_{w}}\right)_{n_{p}}$$
(9)

There are theoretical arguments against either choice. In the first case, if one considers that molality refers to both the polymer and water, one obtains the following expression for the ideal activity term in a salt-free polymer solution:

$$\ln a_{\rm w}^{\rm ID} = -\frac{n_{\rm p}}{(n_{\rm w} + n_{\rm p})} \tag{10}$$

This expression results in a non-zero value for water activity in the limit  $n_w \rightarrow 0$ . On the other hand, considering only water as the solvent for the calculation of molality would result in unsymmetrical expressions for the activity coefficient for polymer and water – substances that, in this case, play the same role. One should note that these expressions should be the same despite the fact that the polymers considered are usually solid at room temperature.

Finally, it must be stressed that, in some cases, when the polymer is taken into account for the longrange term calculation, the variation of  $A_{\phi}$  with  $n_w$ (or  $n_p$ ) in Equations (8) and (9) is neglected. This procedure (with the Fowler-Guggenheim version of the Debye-Hückel equation) can be found, for instance, in Wu et al. (1996), Zafarani-Moattar and Sadeghi (2002), and Forouthan and Khomami (2009). While this may seem to be a mathematically inconsistent procedure, the Gibbs-Duhem equation is fulfilled – provided this dependency is also neglected in the analogous equation for the polymer activity coefficient. Finally, a model can be found wherein the value of  $A_{\phi}$  is kept constant equal to that of water, while the polymer is accounted for in the molality calculation (Pazuki et al., 2009).

As one can see, there are theoretical arguments for pro and against any possible choice for accounting for the long-range interactions in this case. Therefore, one must examine the results of calculations using these terms in order to assess which is the best methodology.

#### MODELING

Four different approaches for the long-range term were evaluated. In the first one (henceforth referred to as Model 1) the Debye-Hückel equation was considered according to the expression presented by Pitzer (1980), i.e., Equation (5), considering that only water is the solvent. In the second one (Model 2), the equation was modified by considering the influence of polymer upon the medium properties and upon the molality, Equation (8). The medium properties were calculated according to Wu et al. (1996):

$$\rho_{\rm s} = \rho_{\rm w}.\phi_{\rm w} + \rho_{\rm p}.\phi_{\rm p} \tag{11}$$

$$\varepsilon_{\rm s} = \varepsilon_{\rm w}.\phi_{\rm w} + \varepsilon_{\rm p}.\phi_{\rm p} \tag{12}$$

wherein  $\phi_w$  and  $\phi_p$  correspond to the salt-free volume fractions of water and polymer, respectively. These fractions are calculated through:

$$\varphi_{p} = \frac{W_{w} / \rho_{w}}{W_{w} / \rho_{w} + W_{p} / \rho_{p}}$$
(13)

and  $\phi_w = 1 - \phi_p$ .

In this case, the expression for the derivative in Equation (8) is given by:

$$\left(\frac{\partial A_{\varphi}}{\partial n_{w}}\right)_{n_{p}} = A_{\varphi}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\rho_{w}-\rho_{p}}{\rho_{s}}\right) - \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{w}-\varepsilon_{p}}{\varepsilon_{s}}\right)\right)\frac{\phi_{w}\phi_{p}}{n_{w}} (14)$$

The third approach (Model 3) is identical to Model 2 except that the polymer is considered not to change the molality expression (Equation 9). Finally, the last approach (Model 4) is identical to Model 3, except that the term containing the derivative is not considered in the calculations, i.e., the activity coefficient of water is given by:

$$\ln \gamma_{w}^{LR} = 2A_{\phi}M_{w}\frac{I^{3/2}}{1 + b_{s}\sqrt{I}}$$
(15)

but the properties of polymer are taken into account in the calculation of  $A_{\phi}$ .

The short-range term was neglected in the calculations of  $a_w,$  which is equivalent to assigning the value of 1.0 to  $\gamma_w^{SR}$ .

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

As a model system, three different kinds of salts were considered: a 1-1 salt (NaCl), a 2-1 salt (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and a 2-2 salt (MgSO<sub>4</sub>). Polyethylene glycol with an average molar mass of 4000 g.mol<sup>-1</sup> was considered in all calculations. Since the Debye-Hückel equation depends only on the charge and number of each ionic species, these results would be the similar for other salts of the same type. For each salt, curves of water activity considering only the ideal and the long-range term (as a function of salt concentration at definite polymer/water proportions) were obtained. The value of water activity was considered for this study because it is the easiest experimentally accessible activity in a ternary system containing water, polymer and salt – even though reliable data on these systems are scarce, especially if phase separation occurs.

All calculations were performed at 298.2 K. The following values of polymer properties were used (Wu et al., 1996):

$$\varepsilon_p = 2.20$$

$$\rho_{\rm n} = 833.4 \, \rm kg.m^{-3}$$

The permittivity of water was calculated from the

expression of Bradley and Pitzer (1979), and water specific volume was calculated from the expression of Saul and Wagner (1987); at 298.2 K these values are  $\varepsilon_w = 78.34$  and  $\rho_w = 997.0$  kg.m<sup>-3</sup>.The permittivity of the polymer is much lower than that of water, which means that the long-range term will be comparatively more important at high polymer concentrations.

In Figures 2 to 4, curves of water activity as functions of salt concentration are presented. Each of these curves was obtained considering a definite polymer/water ratio.



**Figure 2:** Water activity  $(a_w)$  calculated using the Debye-Hückel equation for aqueous solutions of NaCl and polyethylene glycol at 298.2 K. Curves are drawn for constant polymer to water ratios: (---) 0 %; (----) 5 %; (-----) 10 %; (-----) 15 % and (------) 20%. (a) model 1, (b) model 2, (c) model 3 and (d) model 4.



**Figure 3:** Water activity ( $a_w$ ) calculated using the Debye-Hückel equation for aqueous solutions of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and polyethylene glycol at 298.2 K. Curves are drawn for constant polymer to water ratios: (--) 0 %; (----) 5 %; (----) 10 %; (----) 15 % and (-----) 20%. (a) model 1, (b) model 2, (c) model 3 and (d) model 4.



Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering



**Figure 4:** Water activity ( $a_w$ ) calculated using the Debye-Hückel equation for aqueous solutions of MgSO<sub>4</sub> and polyethylene glycol at 298.2 K. Curves are drawn for constant polymer to water ratios: (—) 0 %; (----) 5 %; (-----) 10 %; (-----) 20%. (a) model 1, (b) model 2, (c) model 3 and (d) model 4.

A first conclusion that can be drawn from these Figures is that both models 2 and 3 result in analogous behavior. The difference between them lies only in the definition of molality, and this choice does not result in expressive differences.

On the other hand, there are significant differences between either model 1 or model 4 and models 2 and 3. These differences are strongly dependent on the salt type. Let one consider, for instance, the system containing NaCl (Figure 2). The behavior of model 1 is coherent with the expected behavior – when the salt concentration increases, the water concentration decreases, and consequently so does the water activity. The behavior of model 4 is similar, except that differences in water activity for a same salt concentration are smaller than for model 1. However, for models 2 and 3 the behavior is different: at relatively lower salt concentrations, the curves of water activity show an inversion, and - for higher salt concentrations - the water activity is higher for higher polymer proportions than for lower ones. This behavior is compatible with a liquid phase separation: systems wherein the activity of a certain compound increases upon decreasing its concentration are inherently unstable. Nevertheless, one would not expect that long-range interactions could result in phase separation. If one thinks of the reasoning behind the equations, this calculated phase separation has no physical meaning.

In order to analyze the reasons for this behavior, one can separately consider the ideal mixture and the long-range contributions to water activity. The ideal mixture term always decreases when the salt concentration increases. However, the long-range activity coefficient always increases with increasing salt concentration. When the polymer is taken into account in the long-range term, the value of  $A_{\phi}$  increases, and this is the reason why, for a same system, the water activity calculated using model 4 is always higher than that calculated using model 1. Moreover, since  $A_{\phi}$  decreases with increasing water/polymer ratio, the derivatives in Equations (8) and (9) are negative, and the overall contribution of this term is positive – which makes the calculated water activity for models 2 and 3 even higher than for model 4.

The results for systems containing Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> show an even greater influence of the long-range term. Considering models 2 and 3, for systems containing polymer/water proportions, higher at some intermediate salt concentrations the water activity passes through a minimum, and at higher salt concentrations it increases with increasing salt concentration. Model 4 also shows an inversion, and at high salt concentrations the water activity increases with increasing polymer/water ratio. The reason for this behavior is that the relative importance of the long-range term increases upon increasing the electric charge of the ions: the water activity for 2-1 salts (Figure 3) is thus much more affected by the changes in the value of  $A_{\phi}$  than for 1-1 salts (Figure 2).

In this context, one can expect an even higher effect for MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and it indeed occurs (Figure 4). In this case, models 2 and 3 result in a value of water activity greater than 1.0 for almost all salt concentrations, and model 4 results in water activities higher than 1.0. But the most surprising finding is that even model 1 presents a behavior compatible with a liquid-phase separation – that is to say, in this case even the original Debye-Hückel equation is unsuitable. For a 2-2 salt, the ideal term is the same as for a 1-1 salt, but the ionic strength increases in a 4fold proportion. The relative importance of the longrange term, therefore, is much higher.

One must be very careful not to draw any inconsequential conclusion in the analysis of these results. There is no reason to criticize the Debye-Hückel equation itself – it is obtained through a theoretical reasoning and is exact for dilute salt solutions (ionic strengths up to  $0.1 \text{ mol.kg}^{-1}$ ). What is questionable is the use of the long-range term so far beyond its range of validity.

Some criticisms can be made of these results. Firstly, they refer to a specific single term, viz. the Pitzer version of the Debye-Hückel equation. Actually, using the original Debye-Hückel term would result in even higher water activities: the term  $1+b_s\sqrt{I}$  in Equation (5), which is the main difference between both approaches, is always greater than 1.0, and thus the activity coefficient of water will be even higher for the original Debye-Hückel equation.

Secondly, dissociation equilibria were ignored in the calculations. Of course these equilibria do occur, although they are not so pronounced for the salts herein considered. However, one must be aware that dissociation equilibria are not often accounted for in calculations - and the aim of this work is not to provide a new (correct) model, but to simply verify the applicability of the models as they are used. Finally, only a single chain size of PEG was considered, and results may be diverse for different chain sizes. This is true to some extent: choosing another chain size would change the ideal mixture term in Equation (6). This effect is, nevertheless, very small: the dominant contribution to the ideal mixture term arises from the presence of the ions. The polymer chain-size has no effect on the value of  $A_{\omega}$  (it alters the salt-free volume fractions) and therefore the main conclusion would not change by modifying this parameter.

When modeling the phase equilibrium in aqueous two-phase systems (the main situation wherein the

problems previously presented arise), if modified long-range terms are used (models 2 to 4), the shortrange term must be able to overcome the effect of the long-range term. A fine tuning of the parameters for the short-range term will be necessary, and one can foresee that this may be a complex procedure. This is a probable reason why this kind of modification – which should be sought after due to physical consistency reasons - has not received widespread attention in the literature. On the other hand, although model 1 also results in abnormal behavior in some cases (such as for a 2-2 salt), their results make this model more suitable for the modeling of aqueous two-phase systems. A line of constant water activity intercepts the curves in both systems with high polymer proportion and low salt concentration and systems with low polymer proportion and higher salt concentration - which is roughly the compositions of the two phases that exist in this kind of phase equilibrium.

Thus, for the whole phase diagram of aqueous two-phase systems, the short-range term will eventually be responsible for the quality of the modeling of experimental data – and the fact that these data can be modeled is extensively demonstrated in the literature, as previously shown. Even 2:2 salts can be well correlated with the original Debye-Hückel equation, regardless of the anomalous behavior of the long-range term. Just to present a single example, let us consider a Pitzer-like equation for the short-range term:

$$\frac{G^{E-SR}}{n_w M_w RT} = \sum_{i \neq w} \sum_{j \neq w} \lambda_{ij} m_i m_j$$
(16)

with the parameter  $\lambda_{ij}$  (not dependent on ionic strength) considered to be an adjustable one. The fitting of data for the aqueous two-phase system sodium carbonate (considering the partial ionization of the carbonate ion) and PEG 8000 at 298.15 K (Zaslavksky, 1995) using either model 1 or model 2 for the long-range term results in approximately the same behavior, as can be seen in Figure 5.

The only adjustable parameter (related to the pair polymer-salt) assumes the value 9.287 kg.mol<sup>-1</sup> when using model 1 and 9.773 kg.mol<sup>-1</sup> when using model 2. The fact that the overall result is similar, which confirms that even this simple short-range term can correct the anomalous behavior of model 2, may be the reason why the behavior presented herein has not been previously reported in the literature.



**Figure 5:** Phase diagrams calculated using model 1(a) and model 2(b). Full diamonds: experimental data; empty squares: modeling; continuous line: calculated tie-lines.

# **CONCLUSIONS**

The long-range term is often maintained in excess Gibbs energy models developed for aqueous twophase systems for consistency reasons. The results presented in this paper show that its use for modeling concentrated salt solutions containing polymers may introduce inconsistencies as well: in some cases, the long-range term predicts a liquid phase-separation that is not consistent with the physics underlying the equation. Nevertheless, upon introducing the shortrange term, aqueous two-phase systems may be well correlated. No single recipe is currently available to overcome the questions posed by the use of different forms of the long-range term: any approach introduces inconsistencies, either theoretically or as a direct result of its application.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Financial support from the agencies CAPES, CNPq and FAPESP is gratefully acknowledged.

# NOMENCLATURE

### Latin Letters

Aφ	parameter of the Debye-	kg <sup>1/2</sup> ⋅mol <sup>1/2</sup>
	Hückel equation defined by	
	Equation (4)	
as	solvent activity	
$a_{\mathrm{w}}^{\mathrm{ID}}$	term of water activity	

b <sub>s</sub>	related to the ideal solution parameter of Pitzer's version of the Debye-Hückel	$kg^{1/2} \cdot mol^{-1/2}$
e	equation electron charge	$1.60218 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}$
$G^E$	excess Gibbs energy	I·mol <sup>-1</sup>
G <sup>E-LR</sup>	long range contribution of	J·mol <sup>-1</sup>
~FIP	the excess Gibbs energy	-
$\underline{\mathbf{G}}^{\text{L-LK}}$	extensive long range	J
	contribution of the excess	
~ E-SR	Gibbs energy	· · · · 1
GL-BR	short range contribution of	J·mol
⊂E-SR	the excess Gibbs energy	T
Gran	extensive short range	J
	contribution of the excess	
T	Gibbs energy	
1	ionic strength	mol·kg <sup>+</sup>
k <sub>B</sub>	Boltzmann constant	$1.38066 \times$
		$10^{-25} \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$
mi	molality of species i	mol·kg <sup>-1</sup>
M <sub>p</sub>	polymer molar mass	kg·mol <sup>-1</sup>
M <sub>s</sub>	solvent molar mass	kg·mol <sup>-1</sup>
$M_w$	water molar mass	kg∙mol⁻¹
N <sub>AV</sub>	Avogadro's number	6.02205 ×
		$10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1}$
n <sub>p</sub>	amount of polymer	mol
n <sub>s</sub>	amount of solvent	mol
n <sub>w</sub>	amount of water	mol
Т	absolute temperature	K
Wp	polymer mass fraction	
Wsalt	salt mass fraction	
$W_{\rm W}$	water mass fraction	
Zi	electric charge of species i	

Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering Vol. 27, No. 02, pp. 315 - 325, April - June, 2010

#### 324

# **Greek Letters**

ε <sub>p</sub>	polymer relative permittivity	
ε <sub>s</sub>	solvent relative permittivity	
ε <sub>w</sub>	water relative permittivity	
ε <sub>0</sub>	vacuum permittivity	$8.85419 \times 10^{-12}$
		$C^2 \cdot N^{-1} \cdot m^{-2}$
$\phi_p$	polymer volume fraction	
φ <sub>w</sub>	water volume fraction	
$\gamma_{a}^{LR}$	long range term of solvent	
15	activity coefficient	
$\gamma_s^{SR}$	short range term of solvent	
15	activity coefficient	
$\gamma_{w}^{LR}$	long range term of water	
• ••	activity coefficient	
$\gamma_{w}^{SR}$	short range term of water	
• ••	activity coefficient	1
$\lambda_{ij}$	parameter in Equation (16)	kg·mol⁻¹
$ ho_p$	polymer mass density	kg·m⁻³
$\rho_s$	solvent mass density	kg∙m <sup>-3</sup>
$ ho_w$	water mass density	kg⋅m <sup>-3</sup>

#### REFERENCES

- Bora, M. M., Borthakur, S., Rao, P. C. and Dutta, N. N., Aqueous two-phase partitioning of cephalosporin antibiotics: effect of solute chemical nature. Sep. Purif. Technol., 45, 153-156 (2005).
- Bradley, D. J. and Pitzer, K. S., Thermodynamics of electrolytes. 12. Dielectric properties of water and Debye-Hückel parameters up to 350°C and 1 kbar. J. Phys Chem., 83, 1599-1603 (1979).
- Cavalcanti, M. T. H., Porto, T. S., Neto, B. D., Lima Filho, J. L., Porto, A. L. F. and Pessoa Jr., A., Aqueous two-phase systems extraction of αtoxine from Clostridium perfringens type A. J. Chromatogr. B., 833, 135-140 (2006).
- Edahiro, J. -I., Yamada, M., Seike, S., Kakigi, Y., Miyanaga, K., Nakamura, M., Kanamori, T. and Seki, M., Separation of cultured strawberry cells producing anthocyanins in aqueous two-phase system. J. Biosci. Bioeng., 100, 449-454 (2005).
- Foroutan, M. and Khomami, M. H., Quaternary (liquidliquid) equilibria of aqueous two-phase poly(ethylene glycol), poly (DMAM-TBAM), and KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>: experimental and generalized Flory-Huggins theory. J. Chem. Thermodynamics, 41, 604-609 (2009).
- Foroutan, M. and Mohammadlou, M., Modeling of the phase equilibrium for aqueous solutions of

Brij 58 surfactant and three salts, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 47, 9596-9600 (2008).

- Haghtalab, A. and Joda, M., Modification of NRTL-NRF model for computation of liquid-liquid equilibria in aqueous two-phase polymer salt systems. Fluid Phase Equilibr., 278, 20-26 (2009).
- Haraguchi, L. H., Mohamed, R. S., Loh, W. and Pessôa Filho, P. A., Phase equilibrium and insulin partitioning in aqueous two-phase systems containing block copolymers and potassium phosphate. Fluid Phase Equilibr., 215, 1-15 (2004).
- Hatti-Kaul, R., Aqueous two-phase systems: A general overview. Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology
  Part B Molecular Biotechnology, 19, 269-277 (2001).
- Madeira, P. P., Xu, X., Teixeira, J. A. and Macedo, E. A., Prediction of protein partition in polymer/salt aqueous two-phase systems using the modified Wilson model, Biochem. Eng. J., 24, 147-155 (2005).
- Pazuki, G. R., Taghikhani, V. and Vossoughi, M., Modeling process partitioning of biomolecules in polymer-polymer and polymer-salt aqueous twophase systems (ATPS) using and extended excess Gibbs energy model. Z. Phys. Chem., 223, 263-278 (2009).
- Pessôa Filho, P. A. and Maurer, G., An extension of the Pitzer equation for the excess Gibbs energy of aqueous electrolyte systems to aqueous polyelectrolyte solutions. Fluid Phase Equilibr., 269, 25-35 (2008).
- Pessôa Filho, P. A. and Mohamed, R. S., Thermodynamic modeling of the partitioning of biomolecules in aqueous two-phase systems using a modified Flory-Huggins equation. Process Biochem., 39, 2075-2083 (2004).
- Pitzer, K. S., Electrolytes. From dilute solutions to fused salts. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102, 2902-2906 (1980).
- Rangel-Yagui, C. O., Pessoa Jr., A. and Blankschtein, D., Two-phase aqueous micellar systems – an alternative method for protein purification. Braz. J. Chem. Eng., 21, 531-511 (2004).
- Saul, A. and Wagner, W., International equations for the saturation properties of ordinary water substance. J. Phus. Chem. Ref. Data, 16, 893-901 (1987).
- Tada, E. S., Loh, W. and Pessôa Filho, P. A., Phase equilibrium in aqueous two-phase systems containing ethylene oxide-propylene oxide block copolymers and dextran. Fluid Phase Equilibr., 218, 221-228 (2004).

- Tester, J. W. and Modell, M., Thermodynamics and its applications. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Prentice Hall, New Jersey (1997).
- Wu, Y.-T., Lin, D.-Q., Zhu, Z.-Q. and Mei, L.-H., Prediction of liquid-liquid of polymer-salt aqueous two-phase systems by a modified Pitzer's virial equation. Fluid Phase Equilibr., 124, 67-79 (1996).
- Zafarani-Moattar, M. T. and Sadeghi, R., Measurement and correlation of liquid-liquid equilibria of the aqueous two-phase system polyvinylpyrrolidonesodium dihydrogen phosphate. Fluid Phase Equilibr., 203, 177-191 (2002).
- Zaslavsky, B. Y., Aqueous two-phase partitioning: physical chemistry and bioanalytical applications. Marcel Dekker (1995).