



The Brazilian Journal of INFECTIOUS DISEASES

www.elsevier.com/locate/bjid



Brief Communication

Importance of wearing a mask continuously and appropriately regardless of the COVID 19 symptoms. Lessons from different mask-wearing styles among two healthcare personnel

Ansaeng Lee^a, Young Jun Kim^b, Ji-Hyun Cho^c, Jae Hoon Lee^{id b,*}

^a Department of Infection Control, Wonkwang University Hospital, Iksan, Republic of Korea

^b Department of Internal Medicine, Wonkwang University College of Medicine, Iksan, Republic of Korea

^c Department of Laboratory Medicine, Wonkwang University College of Medicine, Iksan, Republic of Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 17 February 2021

Accepted 18 April 2021

Available online 18 May 2021

Keywords:

Mask

COVID-19

Healthcare personnel

ABSTRACT

The anecdotal report describes two examples of COVID-19-positive healthcare professionals (HCPs) who had worked as nurses during pre-symptomatic period and subsequently presented a mild clinical course of COVID-19. The nurses' responsibilities were almost the same and worked in the general ward with no aerosol generating medical procedures. Two HCPs were expected to have similar infectiousness, but the number of secondary transmission by each HCP were different. Eleven close contacts from HCP1 were notified, and all of them tested negative for SARS-CoV-2. However, 13 of 35 close contacts of HCP2 tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. While working, mask-wearing style differed between the two HCPs. HCP1 wore a KF94 mask appropriately and kept wearing it while working.

HCP2 wore a surgical mask while working, but often pulled it down to her chin or removed it. It was strongly suspected that the difference of mask wearing contributed to the SARS-CoV-2 transmission. However, other factors such as talkative behavior, exposure time, ventilation in rooms, and hand hygiene performance rates, could also have affected the transmission of COVID-19.

It is crucial that healthcare workers wear a mask adequately and continuously, and they maintain proper hand hygiene while working during the COVID-19 pandemic.

© 2021 Sociedade Brasileira de Infectologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Asymptomatic and pre-symptomatic transmission of SARS-CoV-2 has been reported.^{1,2} The virus is mainly transmitted between people through respiratory droplets and close

contact.³ Health Care Professionals (HCP) with COVID-19 can infect patients and healthcare co-workers, leading to fatal outcomes. Wearing a mask, social distancing, and hand washing prevent the spread of COVID-19.³ Face masks in particular greatly reduce the risk of coronavirus transmission in public and healthcare settings.⁴

* Corresponding author at: Jaehoon Lee, Wonkwang University College of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Iksan.

E-mail address: john7026@wku.ac.kr (J.H. Lee).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2021.101590>

1413-8670/© 2021 Sociedade Brasileira de Infectologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Table 1 – Demographic and clinical characteristics of two HCPs.

	HCP1	HCP2
Age (years)	32	25
Sex	Female	Female
COVID-19		
Associated symptoms while working in the hospital before confirmation	Asymptomatic	Asymptomatic
Ct value (E gene/RdRp)	10.65/9.75	20.5/20.53
Severity of COVID-19	Mild	Mild
Time spent in the hospital during the infectious period	9 h	18 h
Used mask types	KF 94 mask (N95-equivalent)	Surgical mask
Use of mask	Almost always appropriate use	Usually inappropriate use
Type of Ward	General ward with 31 beds in five rooms, each accommodated six or seven patients	General ward 27 beds in 16 rooms, including single, two person, and three-person rooms
Number of close contacts	11	35
Number of patients	5	17
Number of guardians	0	11
Number of Healthcare workers	6	7
Number of associated Covid-19 cases (%)	0	13 (37.1%)
¹ Number of Patients	0	6
² Number of Guardians	0	4
³ Number of Healthcare workers	0	3

¹ Five assigned and one unassigned patients who underwent insertion of a peripheral venous catheter.

² In three cases, both the patient and their guardians were positive for COVID-19.

³ Two healthcare workers reported additionally exposure to the second case in a restaurant after work.

A 32-year-old woman (HCP1) who had been identified as a close contact tested positive for SARS-CoV-2; mild symptoms developed one day after. During the early infectious period (starting 48 h before the onset of symptoms) she had worked for about nine hours in the hospital without symptoms. While working, she wore a Korean filter 94 mask (KF94 mask) appropriately and continuously, except when she ate (approximately 20 min). Eleven of her close contacts including five patients and six healthcare workers were identified. All of them tested negative for SARS-CoV-2. Moreover, no confirmed COVID-19 cases have been associated with this individual (Table 1).

A 25-year-old woman (HCP2) with acute fever tested positive for COVID-19. During the early infectious period she had worked for about 18 h in the hospital without symptoms: nine hours three days and nine hours one day before onset of symptoms. While working, she wore a surgical mask continuously, but often inappropriately, such as pulling it down to her chin. She had been assigned 14 patients and inserted a peripheral venous catheter into one unassigned patient. While working, she had 35 close contacts, including 17 patients, 11 guardians of patients, and seven healthcare workers, all of whom were notified and tested on the same day when the nurse's COVID-19 was confirmed. Six of them tested positive. Subsequently, seven more close contacts turned out to be positive for SARS-CoV-2 (Table 2). This report describes two examples of COVID-19-positive HCPs who had worked as nurses during pre-symptomatic period and subsequently presented a mild clinical course of COVID-19. The COVID-19 prevention policies of the hospital were the same for the two HCPs, as were the nurses' responsibilities. Two HCPs were expected to have similar infectiousness, but the

number of secondary transmission by each HCP were different. In fact, HCP1 had a lower cycle threshold (Ct) value on RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 than HCP2. The two HCPs worked in the general ward, but there was a difference in the type of rooms in the ward. The ward in which HCP1 worked had six- or seven-person rooms, whereas the ward in which HCP2 worked had single-, two- and three-person rooms. Therefore, HCP1's ward was probably more crowded and vulnerable to the spread of infection than HCP2's ward. When working in the hospital, mask-wearing style differed between the two HCPs. HCP1 wore a KF94 mask appropriately and kept wearing it while working whereas HCP2 wore a surgical mask while working, but often pulled it down to her chin or removed it. A previous report suggested that surgical masks were similar to N95 respirators in preventing COVID-19 especially during non-aerosol generating conditions.⁵ Moreover, N95 or similar respirators are associated with more discomfort and poorer adherence than surgical mask.^{5,6} Two HCPs worked in the general ward without aerosol generating medical procedures. The appropriateness of mask wearing more likely contributed to the SARS-CoV-2 transmission than type of masks. However, the explanation that the SARS-CoV-2 transmission resulted from the difference in mask wearing could be argued. First, the exposure time was different for the two HCPs. Although the two HCPs had no symptoms when working, HCP2 was exposed repeatedly and for longer periods compared to HCP1. Additionally, talkative behavior, difference in room ventilation, and hand hygiene performance rates are other factors that could have affected transmission of COVID-19.

Nonetheless, it is crucial that healthcare workers wear a mask adequately and continuously, in addition to

Table 2 – Clinical characteristics of the patients with COVID-19 after exposure.

		Sex	Age	Date of exposure in the infectious period (beginning 11/15/2020)	Date of COVID 19 confirmed	Symptoms of COVID 19 on date of confirmation	Ct Value
Index	HCP2	F	25		11/18/2020	Symptomatic	20.5/20.53
1	Patient	F	54	11/15/2020, 11/17/2020	11/19/2020	Asymptomatic	15.6/15.84
2	Patient	F	68	11/17/2020	11/19/2020	Asymptomatic	31.1/31.0
3	Patient	M	66	11/15/2020, 11/17/2020	11/19/2020	Asymptomatic	20.2/20.52
#4	Patient	F	84	11/17/2020	11/19/2020	Asymptomatic	29.8/30.43
5	Guardian of No. 4	F	56	11/17/2020	11/19/2020	Asymptomatic	
6	Guardian of No. 11	F	63	11/17/2020	11/19/2020	Asymptomatic	28.8/29.97
7	Patient	M	57	11/17/2020	11/20/2020	Asymptomatic	34.9/36.2
*8	Healthcare worker	F	23	11/17/2020	11/22/2020	Symptomatic	19.3/18.1
*9	Healthcare worker	F	23	11/17/2020	11/22/2020	Symptomatic	15.7/14.2
10	Healthcare worker	F	24	11/15/2020, 11/17/2020	11/22/2020	Symptomatic	
11	Patient	F	72	11/15/2020, 11/17/2020	11/22/2020	Asymptomatic	25.54/24.83
§12	Guardian	F	65	11/17/2020	11/22/2020	Asymptomatic	21.0/20.59
13	Guardian of No. 2	M	70	11/17/2020	11/24/2020	Asymptomatic	

Unassigned patient who underwent a single nursing procedure, such as insertion of a peripheral venous catheter.

* Additionally exposed to a HCP2 in a restaurant after work.

§ The guardian only was positive for COVID-19, while the patient was negative after two weeks.

maintaining proper hand hygiene while working during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest

Acknowledgments

This paper was supported in part by the Foundation of Wonkwang University in 2019.

REFERENCES

- Bai Y, Yao L, Wei T, et al. Presumed asymptomatic carrier transmission of COVID-19. *JAMA*. 2020;323:1406–7. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.2565>.
- Ganyani T, Kremer C, Chen D, et al. Estimating the generation interval for coronavirus disease (COVID-19) based on symptom onset data, March 2020. *Eur Surveill*. 2020;25:2000257. <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.17.2000257>.
- Wiersinga WJ W, Rhodes A, Cheng AC, Peacock SJ, Prescott HC. Pathophysiology, transmission, diagnosis, and treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a review. *JAMA*. 2020;324:782–93. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.12839>.
- Chu DK, Akl EA, Duda S, Solo K, Yaacoub S, Schünemann HJ. COVID-19 Systematic Urgent Review Group Effort (SURGE) study authors. Physical distancing, face masks, and eye protection to prevent person-to-person transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2020;395:1973–87. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)31142-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31142-9).
- Bartoszko JJ, Farooqi MAM, Alhazzani W, Loeb M. Medical masks vs N95 respirators for preventing COVID-19 in healthcare workers: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses*. 2020;14:365–73. <https://doi.org/10.1111/irv.12745>.
- MacIntyre CR, Wang Q, Seale H, et al. A randomized clinical trial of three options for N95 respirators and medical masks in health workers. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2013;187:960–6. <https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201207-1164OC>.