#### ABSTRACT

The incidence of drug-resistant pathogens differs greatly between countries according to differences in the usage of antibiotics. The purpose of this study was to investigate the phenotypic resistance of 321 methicillin resistance *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and 195 methicillin susceptible *S. aureus* (MSSA) in a total of 516 *S. aureus* strains to macrolide, lincosamide, streptogramin B (MLS<sub>B</sub>), ketolid, and linezolid. Disk diffusion method was applied to determine MLS<sub>B</sub> phenotype and susceptibility to different antibiotic agents. It was found that 54.6% of the isolates were resistant to erythromycin (ERSA), 48% to clindamycin, 55% to azithromycin, 58.7% to spiramycin, 34.7% to telithromycin, and 0.4% to quinupristin-dalfopristin, respectively. No strain resistant to linezolid was found. The prevalence of constitutive (cMLS<sub>B</sub>), inducible (IMLS<sub>B</sub>), and macrolides and type B streptogramins (M/MS<sub>B</sub>) among ERSA isolates (237 MRSA, 45 MSSA) was 69.6 %, 18.2%, and 12.2 % in MRSA and 28.9%, 40%, and 31.1% in MSSA, respectively. In conclusions, the prevalence of cMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotype resistance. The resistance to quinupristin-dalfopristin was very low, and linezolid was considered as the most effective antibiotic against all *S.aureus* strains.

**Keywords:** *Staphylococcus aureus*, macrolide, lincosamide, streptogramin B, ketolid, linezolid, MLS<sub>B</sub>. [*Braz J Infect Dis 2010;14(1):11-14*]@Elsevier Editora Ltda.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Macrolides (e.g., erythromycin, azithromycin, spiramycin), lincosamides (e.g., clindamycin, lincomycin), and streptogramin B (e.g., quinupristin) are groups of antibiotic collectively named MLS<sub>R</sub>.<sup>1</sup> They are chemically distinct, but have similar inhibitory effects on bacterial protein synthesis. MLS<sub>p</sub>, commonly used in treatment of staphylococcal infections,1 and clindamycin is a frequent choice for some staphylococcal infections, particularly skin and soft-tissue infections, and it is an alternative in the penicillin-allergic patients.<sup>2</sup> The macrolide antibiotic resistance in S. aureus is usually caused either by ribosomal modification mediated by 23S rRNA methylases encoded by erm genes, or by active efflux of the antimicrobial agent by an ATP-dependent pump encoded by *msrA* gene. Methylases confer inducible (iMLS<sub>p</sub>) or constitutive (cMLS<sub>p</sub>) resistance, while the efflux mechanism affects only macrolides and type B streptogramins (M/MS<sub>p</sub>). Other more rare macrolide resistance mechanisms include ribosomal mutations and antibiotic inactivation by specific hydrolases or phosphotransferases.3 Ketolides belong to the MLS<sub>B</sub> family, and telithromycin is the first commercially available ketolide.4 Oxazolidinones and specifically linezolid are new class of compounds that binds to the 23S portion of the 50S ribosomal subunit, preventing initiation complex formation with activity against methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) and vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus spp. (VRE).5 Quinupristin-dalfopristin (Synercid, 30:70 ratio) is the first parenteral streptogramin that has recently been licensed for clinical use in the United States and Europe for the treatment of infections caused by multidrug resistant and Gram-positive pathogens.6

Quinupristin and dalfopristin enter bacterial cells by diffusion and bind to different sites on the 50S ribosomal subunit, resulting in an irreversible inhibition of bacterial protein synthesis. The combination synergistic effect appears to result from the fact that these compounds target early and late steps in protein synthesis.<sup>7</sup>

#### Authors

Riza Adaleti<sup>1</sup> Yasar Nakipoglu<sup>2</sup> Nurgul Ceran<sup>3</sup> Cihan Tasdemir<sup>1</sup> Fatma Kaya<sup>1</sup> Semiha Tasdemir<sup>1</sup>

1Clinical Microbiology Laboratory, Haydarpasa Numune Education and Research Hospital. Istanbul, Turkey. <sup>2</sup>Istanbul University, Istanbul Faculty of Medicine, Department of Microbiology and Clinical Microbiology, 34390 Capa, Istanbul, Turkey, 3Clinical Microbiology and infectious disease, Haydarpasa Numune Education and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey.

Submitted on: 04/13/2009 Approved on: 10/13/2009

Correspondence to: Dr. Yasar Nakipoglu Department of Microbiology and Clinical Microbiology Istanbul Faculty of Medicine Istanbul University 34390 Capa Istanbul, Turkey. Tel: +90 212 414 20 00-32372, Fax: +90 212 414 20 37. E-mail: yasarnakip@ yahoo.com

We declare no conflict of interest.

In vitro tests show that strains with constitutive resistance are resistant to all macrolides, which comprise 14-(e.g.erythromycin), 15-(e.g.azithromycin), and 16-membered rings (e.g. spiramycin), lincosamides, and streptogramin B, while inducibly-resistant strains are resistant only to 14- and 15- membered-ring macrolides.<sup>3</sup> The objective of the present study was to investigate prevalence of  $MLS_{B}$ , ketolid, and linezolid phenotypic resistance in clinical *S. aureus* strains.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

## **Bacterial strains**

Between January 2006 and April 2007, 321 MRSA and 195 MSSA, a total of 516 *S. aureus* isolates were obtained from different clinical specimens at Haydarpasa Numune Education and Research Hospital in Istanbul, Turkey. The isolates were identified according to Gram stain, catalase, and coagulase production (Slidex Staph Plus, Biomérieux, France). Duplicate isolates was not included. *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 was used as quality control in susceptibility testing.

# Antimicrobial disks

Antimicrobial disks were purchased from Oxoid (Hemakim, Istanbul, Turkey).

# Determination of antimicrobial susceptibility test and $MLS_{_{\rm R}}$ phenotype resistance patterns

MLS<sub>B</sub> phenotype resistance pattern was determined according to the method advised by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI).8 Briefly, an overnight culture of each isolate was adjusted to 0.5 McFarland (108 cf/mL) and spread on unsupplemented Mueller-Hinton agar (HIME-DIA, Himedia Laboratories, Mumbai, India). The following antibiotic disks were applied on an inoculated media: azithromycin (Az-15 µg), spiramycin (Sp 100 µg), telithromycin (Te-15 µg), quinupristin-dalfopristin (Q-D-15 µg), and linezolid (Li-30 µg), erythromycin (E-15 µg), and clindamycin (Cl-2 µg) disks were placed by hand to provide distances of 15-26 mm from edge to edge. Following incubation for 16 to 18 hours at 35° C, zone diameters were measured in the usual manner; any flattening or blunting of clindamycin zone shape (D-shape), indicating  $iMLS_{\nu}$ , while resistance to both erythromycin and clindamycin indicated cMLS<sub>B</sub>. Lack of a D-shaped zone in erythromycin resistant and clindamycin-susceptible isolates were interpreted as M/MS<sub>B</sub>. Due to lack of CLSI zone diameters criteria for spiramycin, we used the Comite' de l'Antibiogramme de la Socie'te' Française de Microbiologie recommendation of zone diameters ≥ 24 mm as susceptible, and < 19 mm as resistance.<sup>9</sup>

# RESULTS

Of the 516 isolates, 237 MRSA and 45 MSSA, a total of 282 (54.6%) *S. aureus* isolates were found to be resistant to erythromycin (ERSA) and the rest was susceptible to erythromycin (ESSA), 248 (48%) isolates were resistant to

clindamycin, 284 (55%) to azithromycin, 303 (58.7%) to spiramycin, 179 (34.7%) to telithromycin, and two (0.4%) strains to quinupristin-dalfopristin. No strain resistant to linezolid was found. As for phenotypic resistance of ERSA isolates, the rate of cMLS<sub>B</sub>, iMLS<sub>B</sub>, and M/MS in 282 ERSA strains was 178 (63%) cMLS<sub>B</sub>, 61 (22%) iMLS<sub>B</sub>, and 43 (15%) M/MS<sub>B</sub>, respectivley. The distribution of cMLS<sub>B</sub>, iMLS<sub>B</sub>, and 12.2 %; and in ERSA-MSSA isolates it was 28.9%, 40%, and 31.1%, respectively, which showed a predominance of cMLS<sub>B</sub> in MRSA, while iMLS<sub>B</sub> and M/MS<sub>B</sub> phenotypic resistance patterns were higher in MSSA isolates (Table 1).

## DISCUSSION

This study was conducted at the largest educational hospital in Istanbul-Turkey to investigate the prevalence of MLS<sub>P</sub>, ketolid, and linezolid in 516 S. aureus isolates. The prevalence of ERSA in Turkish isolates was found to be higher (54.6%) than those obtained (39%) in a study performed by the neighbours of Turkey with the participation of 20 European university hospitals.<sup>10</sup> This differance is more likely attributed to the high proportion of MRSA (62.2%) in our S .aureus isolates compaed to that of the European study (22%). However, they also reported<sup>10</sup> higher rate of cMLS<sub>p</sub> in MRSA (93%) and MSSA (44%) than we obtained in MRSA (69.6%) or in MSSA isolates (28.9%). Aktas et al.<sup>11</sup> conducted a study at the University hospital in Turkey on only 22 MRSA and found that 63%, 18%, and 18% of the isolates exhibited cMLS<sub>R</sub>, iMLS<sub>R</sub>, and M/MS<sub>R</sub>, respectively. Spiliopoulou et al.<sup>12</sup> have mentioned in a study on ERSA strains that only 5.3% of MRSA isolates expressed  $iMLS_{B}$ and the rest displayed cMLS<sub>R</sub>, while in MSSA, 78.3% were  $\mathrm{iMLS}_{\mathrm{B}}$  and 21.7% were  $\mathrm{M/MS}_{\mathrm{B}}$ , similar with our finding in which the percentage of M/MS<sub>B</sub> (31.1%) in MSSA was twofold higher (12.2%) than in MRSA. A study of Janapatla et al.13 from Taiwan reported that iMLS<sub>B</sub> was predominant in MSSA (8%) than in MRSA (4%). Otsuka et al.14 have also reported that 61.3% of the Japanese MRSA isolates expressed cMLS<sub>B</sub> and 94% of the MSSA isolates displayed iMLS<sub>B</sub>. A retrospective study conducted by Modak et al.<sup>15</sup> on 13,946 S. aureus strains collected between 1994-2005 revealed a stable incidence of  $\text{cMLS}_{\text{B}}$  strains, but also a significant increase in the incidence of isolates that were susceptible to clindamycin and resistant to erythromycin, and in iMLS<sub>P</sub>. They attributed this high incidence to the increased use of macrolides and clindamycin during the same period. Merino-Díaz et al.<sup>16</sup> reported that the rate of iMLS<sub>B</sub> resistance was significantly higher in S. aureus (5.2%) than the rate of  $cMLS_{p}$  (1.7%) in cutaneous strains from Spain. On the other hand, 41.5% of the ESSA isolates (44.7% of MSSA and 35.7% of MRSA) were highly resistant to spiramycin, in contrast to the low resistance rate to clindamycin (4%) and azithromycin (1%). The ribosomal mutations and antibiotic inac-

		Erytl	nromy	cin-resi:	stant S.	Erythromycin-resistant Saureus (ERSA: n= 282)	RSA:	n= 282												
Antibiotic	CMI	cMLSB (n=178)	178)		iML	iMLSB (n= 61)	()	M/M	M/MSB (n=43)	3)		Eryth	romycin (ESS	cin susceptible (ESSA: n=234)	ible S. 34)	Erythromycin susceptible <i>S. aureus</i> Total <i>S. aureus strains</i> (ESSA: n=234) (n= 516)	fotal S. (n:	S. aureus (n= 516)	s strai	su
	MRSA MSSA Total	MSSA	Tot		MRSA MSSA	MSSA	To	Total	MRSA MSSA	MSSA	Total	I	MRSA MSSA Total	MSSA	Total		MRSA MSSA		Total	η
	(n=165) (n=13) n	(n=13	u (		(%) (n=43) (n=18)	(n=18)	n	u (%)	(n=29) (n=14) n	n=14)	n	(%)	(n=84) (n=150) n (%)	n=150)	n (%		21) (n	(n=321) (n=195) n	n	(%)
Erythromycin	165		178	13 178 (100)	43	18	61	61 (100)	29	14	43	(100)	0	0	(0) 0	) 237	2	45 2	282 (54.6)	54.6)
Clindamycin	165	13	178	13 178 (100)	43	18	61	61 (100)	0	0	0	(0)	0	6	9 (4)	) 208	x	40 2	248 (48)	(48)
Azithromycin	165	13	178	13 178 (100)	43	18	61	61 (100)	29	14	43	(100)	2	0	2 (1)	) 239	6	45 2	284 (55)	(22)
Spiramycin	165	13	178	13 178 (100)	19	0	19	19 (31)	2	2	6	(21)	30	67	97(41.5)	.5) 221	1	82 3	303 (58.7)	58.7)
Telithromycin	165	13	178	178 (100)	-	0		(2)	0	0	0	(0)	0	0	(0) 0	) 166	9	13 1	179 (34.7)	34.7)
Quinupristin-dalfopristin	2	0	2	(1)	0	0	0	(0)	0	0	0	(0)	0	0	(0) 0	) 2		0	2	(0.4)
Linezolid	0	0	0	(0)	0	0	0	(0)	0	0	0	(0)	0	0	(0) 0	0 (		0	0	(0)

tivation are the mechanisms that might play a role in the last resistance of ESSA and differ from MLS<sub>B</sub>.<sup>3</sup> Only 0.4% of the S. aureus isolates were resistant to quinupristin-dalfopristin and this agent was effective in all of the examined isolates. There was concern regarding the use of streptogramin antibiotic (virginiamycin) as a feed additive in the animal husbandry and development of cross-resistance against this antibiotic.17 Although the first resistance to linezolid was reported in 2001 due to mutations in the 23S rRNA,18 we did not detect any resistance or even decreased susceptibility to this antibiotic, and most reports have shown that the resistance rate to this agent is still low.<sup>18-20</sup> Our study and review of the studies related to macrolides resistance in S. aureus demonstrated that methicillin resistance leads physicians to use different macrolides, mainly eryhromycin, azithromycin, and spiramycin or lincosamides, such as clindamycin and lincomycin which facilitate development of different MLS<sub>B</sub> phenotypic patterns, and which mostly end with resistance to macrolides, lincosamide, streptogramin B, and ketolid (cMLS<sub>R</sub>). We believe that this is the reason behind the increased prevalence of cMLS<sub>p</sub> in geographical area with high prevalence of MRSA, and vice versa.

Our study showed that the prevalence of  $MLS_B$  in Turkish *S. aureus* isolates was high and that the predominant phenotype was  $cMLS_B$  in MRSA and  $iMLS_B$  and  $M/MS_B$  in MSSA isolates, which is in agreement with reports of most countries. Linezolid and quinupristin-dalfopristin were very effective and promising. The accurate use of these new agents might avoid treatment failure especially in macrolid-resistant *S. aureus* infections.

#### REFERENCES

- Zelazny AM, Ferraro MJ, Glennen A *et al.* Selection of Strains for Quality Assessment of the Disk Induction Method for Detection of Inducible Clindamycin Resistance in *Staphylococci*: a CLSI Collaborative Study. J Clin Microbiol 2005; 43(6):2613-5.
- Fiebelkorn KR, Crawford SA, McElmeel ML, Jorgensen JH. Practical disk diffusion method for detection of inducible clindamycin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* and coagulasenegative *staphylococci.*, J Clin Microbiol 2003; 41(10):4740-4.
- Fokas S, Fokas S, Tsironi M, Kalkani M, Dionysopouloy M. Prevalence of inducible clindamycin resistance in macrolideresistant *Staphylococcus* spp., Clinical Microbiology and Infection 2005; 11(4):337-40.
- 4. Davis KA, Crawford SA, Fiebelkorn KR, Jorgensen JH. Selection of Strains for Quality Assessment of the Disk Induction Method for Detection of Inducible Clindamycin Resistance in *Staphylococci*: a CLSI Collaborative Study, Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005; 49(7):3059-61.
- Swaney SM, Aoki H, Ganoza MC, Shinabarger DL. The oxazolidinone linezolid inhibits initiation of protein synthesis in bacteria. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1998; 42:3251-5.
- 6. Raad I, Hachem R, Hanna H. Treatment of vancomycin-resistant enterococcal infections in the immunocompromised host: quinupristin-dalfopristin in combination with minocycline. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother 2001; 45:3202-4.

- Cocito C, Di Giambattista M, Nyssen E, Vannuffel P. Inhibition of protein synthesis by streptogramins and related antibiotics. J Antimicrob Chemother 1997; 39(Suppl A):7-13.
- 8. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. CLSI approved standard M100-S17. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2007; Wayne, PA.
- Comité de l'antibiogramme de la Société Françasie de Microbiologie 2005 [cited; Available from:http://www.sfm.asso. fr/doc/download.php?doc=DiU8C&fic=Communiqu%E9\_ 2005.pdf].
- Schmitz FJ, Verhoef J, Fluit AC. Prevalence of resistance to MLS antibiotics in 20 European university hospitals participating in the European SENTRY surveillance programme. Sentry Participants Group. J Antimicrob Chemother. 1999; 43(6):783-92.
- 11. Aktas Z, Aridogan A, Kayacan CB, Aydin D. Resistance to Macrolide, Lincosamide and Streptogramin Antibiotics in *Staphylococci* Isolated in Istanbul, Turkey, The Journal of Microbiology 2007; 45(1):286-90.
- 12. Spiliopoulou I, Petinaki E, Papandreou P, Dimitracopoulos G. *erm*(C) is the predominant genetic determinant for the expression of resistance to macrolides among methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical isolates in Greece. J Antimicrob Chemother 2004;53(5):814-7.
- 13. Janapatla RP, Yan JJ, Huang AH, Chen HM, Wu HM, Wu JJ. Inducible clindamycin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates causing bacteremia at a university hospital in southern Taiwan, Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2007; 58(2):203-9.

- Otsuka T, Zaraket H, Takano T *et al*. Macrolide-lincosamidestreptogramin B resistance phenotypes and genotypes among *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical isolates in Japan, Clin Microbiol Infect 2007; 13(3):325-7.
- 15. Modak R, Ross D, Kan VL. Macrolide and Clindamycin Resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolates and Antibiotic Use in a Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2008; 29(2):180-2.
- Merino-Díaz L, Cantos de la Casa A, Torres-Sánchez MJ, Aznar-Martín J. Detection of inducible resistance to clindamycin in cutaneous isolates of *Staphylococcus* spp. by phenotypic and genotypic methods, Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin 2007; 25(2):77-81
- 17. Lee do K, Kim Y, Park KS, Yang JW, Kim K, Ha NJ. Antimicrobial activity of mupirocin, daptomycin, linezolid, quinupristin-dalfopristin and tigecycline against vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) from clinical isolates in Korea (1998 and 2005). J Biochem Mol Biol 2007; 40(6):881-7.
- Tsiodras S, Gold HS, Sakoulas G *et al.* Linezolid resistance in a clinical isolate of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Lancet 2001; 358(9277), 207-8.
- Pillai SK, Sakoulas G, Wennersten C *et al.* Linezolid Resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*: Characterization and Stability of Resistant Phenotype. The Journal of Infectious Diseases 2002; 186:1603-7.
- Jones RN, Fritsche TR, Sader HS, Ross JE. LEADER surveillance program results for 2006: an activity and spectrum analysis of linezolid using clinical isolates from the United States (50 medical centers). Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2007; 59(3):309-17.