

## Errata

No artigo **Small-scale distribution of the mesozooplankton in a tropical insular system**, com número de DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1679-87592018147306601>, publicado no periódico Brazilian Journal of Oceanography, 66(1):15-29;2018.

### Página 15

Nome dos autores e filiação:

Onde se lia:

Renata Polyana de Santana Campelo<sup>1,3\*</sup>, Xiomara Franchesca Garcia Diaz<sup>1</sup>, Gleice Santos<sup>1</sup>, Pedro Augusto Mendes de Castro Melo<sup>1</sup>, Mauro Melo Junior<sup>2</sup>, Lucas Guedes Pereira Figueiredo<sup>1</sup>, Andrea Pinto Silva<sup>1</sup>, Luis Ernesto Arruda Bezerra<sup>2</sup>, Manuel de Jesus Flores Montes<sup>1</sup>, Sigrid Neumann-Leitão<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Centro de Tecnologia e Geociências Museu de Oceanografia, Laboratório de Zooplâncton.

(Av. Arquitetura s/n, Cidade Universitária, 50.740-550, Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil)

<sup>2</sup> Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco Departamento de Biologia, Laboratório de Ecologia de Plâncton.

(Rua Dom Manuel de Medeiros, s/n, Dois Irmãos, 52.171-900, Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil)

<sup>3</sup> Universidade Federal do Ceará Instituto de Ciências do Mar

(Av. da Abolição, 3207, Meireles, 60165-081, Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil)

Leia-se:

Renata Polyana de Santana Campelo<sup>1,3\*</sup>, Xiomara Franchesca Garcia Diaz<sup>1</sup>, Gleice Santos<sup>1</sup>, Pedro Augusto Mendes de Castro Melo<sup>1</sup>, Mauro de Melo Junior<sup>2</sup>, Lucas Guedes Pereira Figueiredo<sup>1</sup>, Andrea Pinto Silva<sup>1</sup>, Luis Ernesto Arruda Bezerra<sup>3</sup>, Manuel de Jesus Flores Montes<sup>1</sup>, Sigrid Neumann-Leitão<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Centro de Tecnologia e Geociências, Museu de Oceanografia, Laboratório de Zooplâncton

(Av. Arquitetura s/n, Cidade Universitária, 50.740-550, Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil)

<sup>2</sup> Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, Departamento de Biologia, Laboratório de Ecologia de Plâncton

(Rua Dom Manuel de Medeiros, s/n, Dois Irmãos, 52.171-900, Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil)

<sup>3</sup> Universidade Federal do Ceará, Instituto de Ciências do Mar

(Av. da Abolição, 3207, Meireles, 60165-081, Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil)

### Página 20

Onde lia-se:

The effect of seasonality on the total abundance of mesozooplankton, total abundance of copepods, *Calanopia americana*, *Undinula vulgaris* (dominant species copepods) and Shannon-Wiener diversity is illustrated in Figure 3a, 3b, 3c, 3d and 3e, respectively.

Leia-se:

The effect of seasonality on the total abundance of mesozooplankton, total abundance of copepods, *Calanopia americana*, *Undinula vulgaris* (dominant species copepods) and Shannon-Wiener diversity is illustrated in Figure 3a, 3b, 3c, 3d and 3e, respectively.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/s1679-8759201806611529rpsc>

Onde lia-se:

season  $97 \pm 123.93 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$  and  $(3.30 \pm 2.26 \text{ ind.m}^{-3})$  respectively in comparison to dry season  $3.84 \pm 9.79 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$  and  $(0.72 \pm 0.74 \text{ ind.m}^{-3})$  respectively (Table 2b).

Leia-se:

season  $97 \pm 123.93 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$  and  $3.30 \pm 2.26 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$  respectively in comparison to dry season  $3.84 \pm 9.79 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$  and  $0.72 \pm 0.74 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$  respectively (Table 2b).

Onde lia-se:

Spatial variation

The mean abundance of mesozooplankton in stations 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 were respectively:  $28.52 \pm 21.58 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$ ,  $35.69 \pm 63.88 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$ ,  $61.56 \pm 50.13 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$ ,  $194.60 \pm 257.98 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$  and  $11.41 \pm 6.07 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$  equivalent to:  $14.15 \pm 17.02 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$  at the station 1,  $33.96 \pm 61 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$  at the station 2,  $44.36 \pm 38 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$  at the station 3,  $175.30 \pm 237.90 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$  at the station 4 and  $7.29 \pm 8.28 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$  at the station 5 (Figure 4a).

Leia-se:

Spatial variation

The mean abundance of mesozooplankton in stations 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 were respectively:  $28.52 \pm 21.58 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$ ;  $35.69 \pm 63.88 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$ ;  $61.56 \pm 50.13 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$ ;  $194.60 \pm 257.98 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$  and  $11.41 \pm 6.07 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$  (Figure 4a). Spatially, the copepod assemblages presented a mean abundance equivalent to:  $14.15 \pm 17.02 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$  at station 1;  $33.96 \pm 61 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$  at station 2;  $44.36 \pm 38 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$  at station 3;  $175.30 \pm 237.90 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$  at station 4 and  $7.29 \pm 8.28 \text{ ind.m}^{-3}$  at station 5 (Figure 4a). The mesozooplankton and copepods abundance presented numerically higher mean values at station 4 (Cacimba do Padre) (Figure 4a).

## Página 26

Onde se lia:

where the mean of season diversity was significantly higher than the values recorded in rainy season.

Leia-se:

where the mean of dry season diversity was significantly higher than the rainy season.