

Regularized Coulomb Gauge

Laurent Baulieu^a and Daniel Zwanziger^b

^a *Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 75005 Paris, France*

^b *New York University, New York, NY 10003, USA*

(Received on 24 March, 2006)

We define a regularization for the energy divergences in Coulomb gauge. It gives a perturbative algorithm for well-defined computations for the pure non-abelian Yang–Mills theory in this gauge.

Keywords: Yang–Mills theories; Coulomb gauge; Confinement

I. INTRODUCTION

The Coulomb gauge is a very useful physical gauge at the classical level. It also sheds light for understanding purely quantum effects such as the confinement. However, because it leaves ungauged the purely residual time-dependant gauge transformations, it lacks of precise renormalization prescription in perturbation quantum field theory, due to energy divergences. Here, we indicate a way to fix this residual gauge symmetry, and define a perturbative algorithm that allows one to do well-defined computations in the Coulomb gauge for the pure non-abelian Yang–Mills theory.

II. UNREGULARIZED PHASE-SPACE ACTION IN THE COULOMB GAUGE

The local Euclidean action in Coulomb gauge in phase-space or first-order formalism is

$$S = \int d^4x \left[i\pi_i (\partial_0 A_i - D_i A_0) + \frac{1}{2} (\pi^2 + B^2) + \partial_i \bar{c} D_i c + i\partial_i b A_i \right], \quad (1)$$

where $B_i = \partial_j A_k - \partial_k A_j + g A_j \times A_k$, for i, j, k cyclic, is the color-magnetic field, and we use the notation for the Lie bracket $(A \times B)^a \equiv f^{abc} A^b B^c$. The elementary fields, $A_\mu^a, \pi_i^a, b^a, c^a, \bar{c}^a$ carry an upper (lower case) Latin color-index that takes values in the adjoint representation of the non-Abelian Lie algebra and that is generally suppressed, with a sum over color indices implied to form the action. Lower Latin indices take 3 values, $i = 1, 2, 3$ while greek indices take 4, $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, 3$. Here c and \bar{c} are the Faddeev-Popov ghost pair, and $D_i = D_i(A)$ is the gauge covariant derivative, $D_i c = \partial_i c + g A_i \times c$, while π_i is an auxiliary field that represents an independent color-electric field. The fields b and A_0 are Lagrange multiplier fields that enforce the Coulomb gauge condition $\partial_i A_i = 0$ and the color-Gauss law, $D_i \pi_i = 0$.

The Euclidean phase-space measure is

$$\int dA_i dA_0 d\pi d c d \bar{c} d b \exp(-S). \quad (2)$$

If one integrates out π_i , one gets the second-order or Lagrangian Euclidean action in Coulomb gauge,

$$S' = \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{2} (F_{0i}^2 + B^2) + \partial_i \bar{c} D_i c + i\partial_i b A_i \right], \quad (3)$$

where $F_{0i} = \partial_0 A_i - \partial_i A_0 + g(A_0 \times A_i)$.

A. BRST-invariance and ghost equation of motion

The phase-space action is invariant, $sS = 0$, under the familiar BRST operator defined by

$$sA_\mu = D_\mu c; \quad sc = -(g/2) c \times c \quad (4)$$

$$s\bar{c} = -ib; \quad sb = 0, \quad (5)$$

while π_i transforms gauge-covariantly,[38]

$$s\pi_i = g \pi_i \times c. \quad (6)$$

This operator is nil-potent, $s^2 = 0$, and the action may be written as the classical phase-space action plus an s -exact piece,

$$S = \int d^4x \left[i\pi_i (\partial_0 A_i - D_i A_0) + \frac{1}{2} (\pi^2 + B^2) + s(-\partial_i \bar{c} A_i) \right]. \quad (7)$$

Observables O are in the cohomology of s (namely s -invariant operators $sO = 0$, modulo s -exact operators $O \sim O + sX$).

Because the Coulomb gauge condition is linear in the field A , its BRST transformation is linear in the BRST transformation of A . As a consequence, there is the ghost equation of motion that can be enforced as a Ward identity.

Indeed, the action S , Eq. (1), depends only on the spatial derivative of the antighost $\partial_i \bar{c}$, which makes S trivially invariant under a time-dependent translation of the antighost field $\bar{c}^a(x) \rightarrow \bar{c}^a(x) + \tilde{\omega}^a(x_0)$. In Coulomb gauge, as in Landau gauge, there is a symmetry between ghost and anti-ghost, and S is also invariant under a time-dependent translation of the ghost field $c^a(x) \rightarrow c^a(x) + \omega^a(x_0)$, provided that there is a compensating transformation of the Lagrange multiplier field b . Here $\omega^a = \omega^a(t)$ is an infinitesimal c -number element of the Lie algebra that is an arbitrary function of time. As we have chosen $\omega^a(t)$ to be bosonic, the transformation changes bosons into fermions and vice versa.

We implement this transformation by a fermionic symmetry operator \bar{t}_ω that acts according to

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{t}_\omega c(x) &= \omega(x_0); & \bar{t}_\omega b(x) &= ig\bar{c}(x) \times \omega(x_0) \\ \bar{t}_\omega A_\mu &= \bar{t}_\omega \pi_i = \bar{t}_\omega \bar{c} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

It is nilpotent $\bar{t}_\omega^2 = 0$, reduces ghost number by unity, and leaves the action invariant,

$$\bar{t}_\omega S = 0. \quad (9)$$

Note that the *spatial* derivatives $\partial_i c$ of c are invariant under this transformation

$$\bar{t}_\omega \partial_i c = 0, \quad (10)$$

and this symmetry will imply a Ward identity that is satisfied when the loop corrections to the quantum effective action Γ depends only on the *spatial* derivatives $\partial_i c$ of the ghost field, but not on c itself nor its time derivative $\partial_0 c$. There is a corresponding symmetry operator in Landau gauge where however ε is a constant (global symmetry) and the loop corrections to Γ will depend on *any* derivative $\partial_\mu c$ of c . This Ward identity corresponds diagrammatically to the well-known factorization of external ghost momentum p_μ in Landau gauge. In Coulomb gauge the spatial components p_i of external ghost momentum factorize, which means loop corrections depend only on $\partial_i c$.

B. Remnant gauge invariance and energy divergencies

The Coulomb gauge condition $\partial_i A_i = 0$ is an incomplete gauge fixing because it allows *time-dependent* but space-independent gauge transformations $g(t)$, which we call “remnant” gauge transformations, and the Coulomb-gauge action S , Eq. (1) is invariant under them. Under an infinitesimal gauge transformation $g(t) = 1 + \omega(t)$, with infinitesimal generator G_ω the fields transform according to

$$G_\omega A_0(x) = (D_0 \omega)(x) = g A_0(x) \times \omega(x_0) + \partial_0 \omega(x_0), \quad (11)$$

where A_0 transforms inhomogeneously, while all other fields transform homogeneously,

$$G_\omega X_a(x) = g X_a(x) \times \omega(x_0), \quad (12)$$

for $X_a = (A_i, \pi_i, c, \bar{c}, b)$. Here $\omega^a = \omega^a(t)$ is an arbitrary time-dependent element of the Lie algebra. The Coulomb-gauge action is invariant under these gauge transformations,

$$G_\omega S = 0. \quad (13)$$

If this symmetry is preserved, it implies that elementary correlators such as the gluon propagator,

$$\langle A_i^a(x) A_j^b(y) \rangle = N \int d\Phi A_i^a(x) A_j^b(y) \exp(-S),$$

vanish at unequal times. Here $d\Phi$ represents integration over all fields. Indeed, by making the above gauge transformation we obtain

$$\langle A_i^a(x) A_j^b(y) \rangle G^{ab} = \langle A_i^a(x) A_j^b(y) \rangle f^{abc} [\omega(x_0) - \omega(y_0)], \quad (15)$$

which implies $\langle A_i^a(x) A_j^b(y) \rangle = 0$ for $x_0 \neq y_0$. However this behavior is not seen in any finite order of perturbation theory. Indeed at tree level the Coulomb-gauge gluon propagator in momentum space is given by $D_{ij}(k) = (\delta_{ij} - \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j) / k^2$ and its Fourier transform does not vanish at unequal times, $D(x-y) \neq 0$ for $x_0 \neq y_0$. (However the perturbative expansion of gauge-invariant observables may not be as misleading.)

One is tempted to conclude that the symmetry under remnant gauge transformations $\omega(t)$ must be gauge-fixed or spontaneously broken. However it turns out that the corresponding symmetry operator G_ω may be expressed as the anti-commutator

$$G_\omega = \bar{t}_\omega s + s \bar{t}_\omega \quad (16)$$

of the two symmetry operators considered previously, the BRST operator s and the operator \bar{t}_ω of translation of the ghost field by a time-dependent function $\omega(t)$. This is easily checked by applying the anti-commutator to all fields, for example

$$(\bar{t}_\omega s + s \bar{t}_\omega) A_0 = \bar{t}_\omega D_0 c = D_0 \omega = G_\omega A_0, \quad (17)$$

as asserted. Thus, the remnant gauge invariance cannot be fixed or spontaneously broken without fixing or breaking either BRST-symmetry or ghost-translation symmetry, or both. However we wish to preserve BRST symmetry as an expression of the geometric character of a gauge theory, and the ghost-translation symmetry is trivially maintained in every order of perturbation theory, because of factorization of external ghost momentum p_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$ from every perturbative diagram.

It thus appear that the Coulomb gauge cannot be used as a gauge in the perturbative quantum field theory regim. The way the remaining ungauged-fixed symmetry manifests itself is that many Feynman diagrams suffer from the energy divergences. These occur in ghost loops because the ghost propagator $1/\mathbf{k}^2$ is independent of k_0 , so the integration over k_0 diverges. For example, one loop ghost loops contain energy divergences under the following form

$$\int dk_0 \frac{k_i(k_j + p_j)}{\mathbf{k}^2(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p})^2}, \quad (18)$$

This divergence is not regularized by dimensional regularization. It was suggested that energy divergences in ghost loops systematically cancel against corresponding scalar bose loops in the phase-space formalism [2]. However it has recently been found that additional energy divergences occur in Coulomb gauge when a quark loop is inserted into a two-loop (transverse) gluon self-energy [24]. In dimensional regularization, these new energy divergences come from the subtraction term which is introduced to cancel the divergences of the one-loop sub-diagrams, and moreover they cancel among themselves when all one-loop quark insertions are summed [24].

A regularization of the energy divergences and an unambiguous renormalization scheme are thus required in order to give meaning to the perturbative Coulomb gauge in QCD.

For instance, using the gauge condition $\partial_i A_i + a \partial_0 A_0$ was proposed [35]. Computations are perfectly defined when the regularizing parameter $a \rightarrow 0$, in a way that preserves the BRST symmetry. However, it was found that, within this class of renormalizable gauges, there is no way to isolate in a practical way the terms that are singular in a , neither for individual diagrams, nor for collection of distinguished diagrams, and to understand the above mentioned cancellations

of energy divergencies between ghost loops and unphysical degrees of freedom of the gauge field and its momentum. As it is often the case, ambiguities arise at the 2-loop level. In fact, within this energy divergency regularization, computations must be done for $a \neq 0$, with no approximation, and the limit $a \rightarrow 0$, can only be done afterward. Thus, this regularization sheds not much light on the nature of the Coulomb gauge, and, moreover, the computations are much harder than for the case of Feynman–Landau gauge when $a = 1$.

In what follows we propose a new type of regularization for the energy divergencies of the Coulomb-gauge.

III. MINIMALLY REGULARIZED COULOMB-GAUGE THEORY

A. Color-Coulomb potential as a local field

In classical gauge theory one can solve the constraints in Coulomb gauge by eliminating the longitudinal fields, so the canonical variables are the transverse pair $A_i = A_i^T$ and π_i^T . Here π_i^T is the transverse part of π_i obtained from $\pi_i = -\partial_i\phi + \pi_i^T$, where ϕ is the color-Coulomb potential defined to be the solution of $\partial_i^2\phi = -\partial_i\pi_i$. The color-Coulomb ϕ will be useful when we regularize the energy divergences, which we shall do shortly.

However in local quantum theory all information must be encoded in a local action. To introduce ϕ , while keeping within the framework of local quantum field theory, we could add to the action the term

$$-i \int d^4x v (\partial_i^2\phi + \partial_i\pi_i), \quad (19)$$

where v is an auxiliary local Lagrange multiplier field that imposes the desired equation as a constraint. However we also wish to maintain BRST invariance. Recall that an s -exact term in the action does not change the expectation values of observables (s -invariant quantities), so we are free to add to the action S an auxiliary term S_{aux} that is s -exact, $S_{\text{aux}} = s\Xi$. We shall choose S_{aux} so that its bosonic part agrees with (19). For this purpose we expand the ϕ - v pair into a quartet of auxiliary fields on which s acts trivially,

$$\begin{aligned} s\phi &= \chi; & s\chi &= 0 \\ s\bar{\chi} &= -iv; & sv &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where ϕ and v are real bosonic fields, while χ and $\bar{\chi}$ are fermionic. For the s -exact auxiliary action we take

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{aux}} &= s\Xi = s \int d^4x \bar{\chi} (\partial_i^2\phi + \partial_i\pi_i) \\ &= \int d^4x \left(-iv(\partial_i^2\phi + \partial_i\pi_i) - \bar{\chi}[\partial_i^2\chi + g\partial_i(\pi_i \times c)] \right) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

whose bosonic part does agree with (19). It gets added to the

action

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= S + S_{\text{aux}} \\ &= \int d^4x \left(i\pi_i(\partial_0 A_i - D_i A_0) + \frac{1}{2}(\pi^2 + B^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \partial_i \bar{c} D_i c + i\partial_i b A_i - iv(\partial_i^2\phi + \partial_i\pi_i) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \bar{\chi}[\partial_i^2\chi + g\partial_i(\pi_i \times c)] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The presence of S_{aux} does not change the dynamics because it merely introduces a pair of new fields ϕ and χ that are fixed by time-independent constraints which are imposed by the Lagrange multiplier fields v and $\bar{\chi}$. Moreover because s acts trivially on the quartet $\phi, \chi, \bar{\chi}, v$, the set of observables, which is the cohomology of s is not enlarged. We note finally that the field χ does not appear in any vertex, and $\bar{\chi}$ appears only in the transition vertex $\bar{\chi}\partial_i(\pi_i \times c)$, so $\bar{\chi}$ can only be an external leg of a proper (i. e. one-particle irreducible) diagram.

The auxiliary action S_{aux} , Eq. (21), is also invariant under the transformation \bar{t}_ω of translation of the ghost field $c(x)$ by a bosonic time-dependent element of the Lie algebra $\omega(x_0)$, defined in (8), provided that the new quartet of fields is transformed according to

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{t}_\omega v &= ig\bar{\chi} \times \omega; & \bar{t}_\omega \bar{\chi} &= 0; \\ \bar{t}_\omega \chi &= g\phi \times \omega; & \bar{t}_\omega \phi &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and we have

$$\bar{t}_\omega(S + S_{\text{aux}}) = 0. \quad (24)$$

The anti-commutator $G_\omega = \bar{t}_\omega s + s\bar{t}_\omega$ of the BRST transformation and \bar{t}_ω produces an infinitesimal time-dependent gauge transformation that acts covariantly on the new quartet,

$$G_\omega X_a = gX_a \times \omega, \quad (25)$$

for $X_a = (\bar{\chi}, v, \phi, \chi)$, as one may verify by explicit calculation, and we have

$$G_\omega(S + S_{\text{aux}}) = 0, \quad (26)$$

where the action of G_ω on the other fields is defined in (11).

The free propagators are partially diagonalized by making the change of variable,

$$\pi_i = \pi'_i - \partial_i\phi, \quad (27)$$

with $d\pi_i = d\pi'_i$, and $s\pi' = g(\pi'_i - \partial_i\phi) \times c + \partial_i\chi$, so the auxiliary action becomes

$$S_{\text{aux}} = \int d^4x \left(-iv\partial_i\pi'_i - \bar{\chi} \{ \partial_i^2\chi + g\partial_i[(\pi'_i - \partial_i\phi) \times c] \} \right). \quad (28)$$

The Lagrange multiplier field v now imposes the simple constraint that the field π'_i be transverse, $\partial_i\pi'_i = 0$, so π'_i and $-\partial_i\phi$ are effectively the transverse and longitudinal parts of π_i . In terms of the shifted fields the action S_1 reads

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \int d^4x \left(i(\pi'_i - \partial_i\phi)(\partial_0 A_i - D_i A_0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2}[(\pi'_i - \partial_i\phi)^2 + B^2] + \partial_i \bar{c} D_i c + i\partial_i b A_i \right. \\ &\quad \left. - iv\partial_i\pi'_i - \bar{\chi} \{ \partial_i^2\chi + g\partial_i[(\pi'_i - \partial_i\phi) \times c] \} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

After partial integration cross terms are eliminated by shifting b and v according to

$$b = b' - \dot{\phi}; \quad v = v' + A_0 - i\dot{\phi}, \quad (30)$$

and the action becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 = & \int d^4x \left(i \pi'_i D_0 A_i + \frac{1}{2} [(\pi'_i)^2 + (\partial_i \phi)^2 + B^2] \right. \\ & + i \partial_i \phi D_i A_0 + \partial_i \bar{c} D_i c + i \partial_i b' A_i \\ & \left. - i v' \partial_i \pi'_i + \partial_i \bar{\chi} [\partial_i \chi + g(\pi'_i - \partial_i \phi) \times c] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

All propagators are now 2×2 block diagonal. In particular, the free propagators of the A_i and π'_i fields,

$$D_{A_i A_j} = \frac{\delta_{ij} - \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j}{\mathbf{k}^2 + k_0^2}; \quad D_{\pi'_i \pi'_j} = \frac{\delta_{ij} \mathbf{k}^2 - \mathbf{k}_i \mathbf{k}_j}{\mathbf{k}^2 + k_0^2} \quad (32)$$

$$D_{A_i \pi'_j} = -D_{\pi'_i A_j} = \frac{k_0(\delta_{ij} - \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j)}{\mathbf{k}^2 + k_0^2}, \quad (33)$$

are transverse, and don't mix with the scalar fields A_0 and ϕ .

B. Minimally regularized theory

To control energy divergences we add a term

$$\eta S_{\text{reg}} \equiv \eta \int d^4x (i \dot{\phi} \dot{A}_0 + \dot{c} \dot{c}). \quad (34)$$

to the action.

It is designed to provide convergence of energy integrals $\int dk_0$, and involves the color-Coulomb field ϕ , which is why we introduced ϕ as a local field. We shall be interested in the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$. It has dimension 4. Therefore, it is compatible with renormalizability. However, it breaks BRST symmetry, and the issue will be to discuss its stability, in the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$.

The minimally regularized theory is defined by the action

$$S_{\text{mr}} = S_1 + \eta S_{\text{reg}} = S + S_{\text{aux}} + \eta S_{\text{reg}}, \quad (35)$$

With this action, the free propagators of the scalar fields are given by

$$D_{c\bar{c}} = i D_{A_0 \phi} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2 + \eta k_0^2}; \quad D_{\phi\phi} = 0 \quad (36)$$

$$D_{A_0 A_0} = \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{(\mathbf{k}^2 + \eta k_0^2)^2}, \quad (37)$$

while the other propagators and all vertices remain unchanged. This is clear because S_{reg} is quadratic in the fields, and thus affects only the free propagators. With these propagators, all energy divergences are regularized.

We may compare this regularization to the above mentioned regularization provided by the ‘‘interpolating’’ gauge that interpolates between the Landau and Coulomb gauges [35]. It is defined by the action

$$\int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{2} (F_{0i}^2 + B^2) + (\alpha\partial)_\mu \bar{c} D_\mu c + i(\alpha\partial)_\mu b A_\mu \right], \quad (38)$$

where $(\alpha\partial)_\mu = (\eta p_0, p_i)$. This provides a regularization of the Coulomb gauge that has the advantage that it preserves BRST invariance, whereas S_{reg} breaks it. However the interpolating gauge contains a vertex $\eta \partial_0 \bar{c} g(A_0 \times c)$ where 3 energy-degenerate propagators meet, which greatly complicates the study of the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$. (Moreover the limit appears to be singular [33].) In contrast, at most two energy-degenerate propagators enter the vertices of S_{mr} , so energy divergences appear only in non-overlapping closed loops, and we shall show an exact pairwise cancellation of these loops at finite η . [39]

Although the regularizing action ηS_{reg} breaks BRST invariance we shall also show that BRST-invariance is restored when the regulator is removed, $\eta \rightarrow 0$.

IV. CANCELLATION OF ENERGY DIVERGENCES AS $\eta \rightarrow 0$

To demonstrate the cancellation of energy divergences and obtain a practicable scheme, we will use dimensional regularization of ultraviolet divergences. Notice that we only consider the pure Yang–Mills theory, since the coupling to quarks brings further complications. In this section we consider the regularized (but not yet renormalized) theory at finite ϵ , where $D = 4 - \epsilon$. Cancellation of energy divergences for the renormalized theory is more intricate and will be considered later. Energy divergences are controlled by the parameter η .

A. Diagrammatic cancellation of energy divergences

The action contains the ghosts c and \bar{c} at most bilinearly, and likewise for the scalar bosons A_0 and ϕ . Consequently energy divergences are associated with closed ghost or scalar boson loops. Indeed each ghost loop with loop momentum k , consists of the product of ghost propagators $D_{c\bar{c}}(k + p_n)$, with energy integral

$$\int dk_0 \prod_n \frac{1}{(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}_n)^2 + \eta(k_0 + p_{n,0})^2}. \quad (39)$$

Upon rescaling by

$$k_0 = \eta^{-1/2} k'_0, \quad (40)$$

one obtains for the energy integral

$$\eta^{-1/2} \int dk'_0 \prod_n \frac{1}{(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}_n)^2 + (k'_0 + \eta p_{n,0})^2}, \quad (41)$$

which diverges like $\eta^{-1/2}$ as $\eta \rightarrow 0$. However this loop integral is exactly cancelled at finite η by an equal but opposite loop integral consisting of $D_{A_0\phi}(k+p_n)$ propagators, with $D_{A_0\phi}(k+p_n) = -iD_{c\bar{c}}(k+p_n)$.

This argument may be extended to diagrams with several energy-degenerate loops, and we shall give shortly a general algebraic argument.

We note that when a typical energy-divergent integral is integrated over the spatial loop momenta the resulting divergence at $\eta = 0$,

$$\eta^{-1/2} \int d^3k dk'_0 \prod_n \frac{1}{(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}_n)^2 + k'_0{}^2}, \quad (42)$$

is *not* a polynomial in the external spatial momenta, so familiar subtraction procedures that may be used for the usual ultraviolet divergences are inadequate for energy divergences. Instead we must rely on an exact cancellation of the terms that diverge like $\eta^{-1/2}$, without subtracting them, that is, at the UV-regularized level, *prior* to the renormalization.

B. Sufficient conditions for finite limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$

To establish that the *renormalized* effective action Γ has a finite limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$, we claim that it is sufficient to prove that the *regularized* Γ , that is the effective action computed before subtraction with $\varepsilon \neq 0$, satisfies.

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \eta \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \eta} = 0, \quad (43)$$

because the operator $\eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}$ annihilates the η -independent limiting term, and gives the leading η -dependence. This statement will hold true because of the exact compensations that hold between all diagrams with energy divergencies, order by order in perturbation theory, and because the insertions of counterterms introduce no further energy divergencies.

We have

$$I_1 \equiv \eta \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \eta} = -\eta \frac{\partial W}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{\eta}{Z} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \eta}. \quad (44)$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \eta \langle S_{\text{reg}} \rangle_J \\ &= \frac{\eta}{Z} \int d\Phi S_{\text{reg}} \exp[-S_{\text{mr}} + (J_a \Phi_a)], \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

and we obtain as the condition for a finite limit as the regulator is removed,

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} I_1 = 0. \quad (46)$$

To avoid inessential complications we have not introduced sources for the BRST transformations of the fields, and the expectation-value is calculated in the presence of the sources J only.

It might be thought that the coefficient η insures that I_1 approaches 0 with η . However the two time derivatives contained in $S_{\text{reg}} = \int d^Dx (i\dot{\chi}\dot{A}_0 + \dot{c}\dot{c})$ become k_0^2 in momentum space. Under the rescaling (40), we obtain $k_0^2 = \eta^{-1}k_0'^2$, which absorbs the coefficient η . As a result, each of the two terms $i\dot{\chi}\dot{A}_0$ and $\dot{c}\dot{c}$ in S_{reg} gives rise to a closed loop that diverges like $\eta^{-1/2}$, and, consistent with our previous evaluation, each of these two closed loops precisely cancel each other at finite η .

We also wish to show that BRST-invariance is regained in the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$. This is not obvious because a symmetry that is broken by the regulator is not always regained when it is removed. It is shown below, Eq. (103), that the Slavnov-Taylor identity at finite η is given by the term

$$I_2 \equiv \eta \langle sS_{\text{reg}} \rangle_J, \quad (47)$$

so the condition for restoration of BRST symmetry is given by

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} I_2 = 0. \quad (48)$$

The resemblance to (45) and (46) is clear. One easily finds

$$I_2 = \frac{\eta}{Z} \int d\Phi sS_{\text{reg}} \times \exp[-S_{\text{mr}} + (J_a \Phi_a)]. \quad (49)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} sS_{\text{reg}} &= \int d^Dx \left(i(\dot{\chi}\dot{A}_0 + \dot{\phi}\partial_0 D_0 c - \dot{b}\dot{c}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dot{c}(g/2)\partial_0(c \times c) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

The term $\dot{\phi}\partial_0 D_0 c = \dot{\phi}\dot{c} + \dot{\phi}(gA \times c)$ that appears in sS_{reg} contains 3 time derivatives. Each time derivative produces a factor of k_0 in momentum space, which increases the degree of energy divergence. Fortunately this unpleasant term is cancelled by a similar term which appears after one makes the change of variable $b = b' - \dot{\phi}$, that was introduced in (30) to diagonalize the free propagators into 2×2 blocks. This gives, after an integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} sS_{\text{reg}} &= \int d^Dx \left(i\dot{\chi}\dot{A}_0 - ib'\dot{c} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + i\dot{\phi}g\partial_0(A_0 \times c) + \dot{c}(g/2)\partial_0(c \times c) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

The terms that contribute to I_2 individually give contributions that diverge like $\eta^{-1/2}$ however, as for I_1 , there are systematic cancellations of terms between bose and fermi ghosts that assure that time-dependent BRST invariance is restored in the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$. Again we wish to show this cancellation algebraically.

C. Algebraic cancellation of energy divergences

Contemplation of graphs with energy divergences suggests introducing the operator \bar{r} defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{r}c &= iA_0; & \bar{r}A_0 &= 0 \\ \bar{r}\phi &= \bar{c}; & \bar{r}\bar{c} &= 0 \\ \bar{r}\chi &= ib'; & \bar{r}b' &= 0 \\ \bar{r}A_i &= \partial_i\bar{\chi}; & \bar{r}\bar{\chi} &= 0 \\ \bar{r}\pi'_i &= 0; & \bar{r}v' &= 0,\end{aligned}\quad (52)$$

where π'_i and v' are defined in (30). It is nilpotent, $\bar{r}^2 = 0$, and *decreases* ghost number N_{gh} by unity. This operator is useful because the BRST breaking term is \bar{r} -exact. We conclude that the limits of interest, (46) and (47), are determined by the behavior at small η of

$$\begin{aligned}I_n &= \eta \langle \bar{r} \Xi_n \rangle_J \\ &= \eta Z^{-1} \int d\Phi (\bar{r} \Xi_n) \exp[-S_{\text{mr}} + (J_a, \Phi_a)].\end{aligned}\quad (53)$$

for $n = 1, 2$. (Since $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} I_{2b} = 0$, for simplicity here and below we have written I_2 instead of I_{2a} .)

Note that Ξ_1 and Ξ_2 each have half as many terms as S_{reg} and $(s_f S_{\text{reg}})_a$; it is the operator \bar{r} acting on Ξ_1 and Ξ_2 that produces the duplicate terms that diverge and cancel each other. To avoid this divergent duplication we take advantage of the fact that \bar{r} is a (grassmannian) operator of differentiation, to perform an integration by parts,

$$I_n = \eta Z^{-1} \int d\Phi \Xi_n \bar{r} \exp[-S_{\text{mr}} + (J_a, \Phi_a)]. \quad (54)$$

This gives

$$I_n = K_n + L_n \quad (55)$$

where

$$K_n = -\eta \langle \Xi_n \bar{r} S_{\text{mr}} \rangle_J \quad (56)$$

$$L_n = \eta \int d^4y \sigma_a J_a(y) \langle \Xi_n \bar{r} \Phi_a(y) \rangle_J, \quad (57)$$

and σ_a is a sign factor from commuting \bar{r} through J_a .

Typical graphs that contribute to L_1 and L_2 do not involve any energy-degenerate closed loops and give a contribution that vanishes like $\eta^{1/2}$. We find $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} L_{1,2} = 0$.

We next evaluate $\bar{r}S_{\text{mr}}$. We have $S_{\text{mr}} = S_1 + S_{\text{reg}} = S_1 + \bar{r}\Xi_1$ so, with $\bar{r}^2 = 0$, we have

$$\bar{r}S_{\text{mr}} = \bar{r}S_1, \quad (58)$$

where S_1 is given in (31). To simplify the calculation we separate an \bar{r} -exact piece out of S_1 , which may be written,

$$S_1 = \int d^Dx \left(i\pi'_i \dot{A}_i + \frac{1}{2} [\pi^2 + (\partial_i \phi)^2 + B^2] - iv' \partial_i \pi'_i \right) + \bar{r}\Psi, \quad (59)$$

where

$$\Psi = \int d^Dx [i\pi'_i g(c \times A_i) + \partial_i \phi D_i c + A_i \partial_i \chi]. \quad (60)$$

We again use $\bar{r}^2 = 0$, and easily obtain

$$\bar{r}S_{\text{mr}} = \int d^Dx [i\pi'_i \partial_i \dot{\chi} + \partial_i \phi \partial_i \bar{c} + B_i \epsilon_{ijk} g(A_j \times \partial_k \bar{\chi})]. \quad (61)$$

Typical graphs that contribute to K_1 and K_2 do not involve any energy-degenerate closed loops and give a contribution that vanishes like $\eta^{1/2}$. This is in fact a general property of graphs that contribute to K_1 and K_2 and we find $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} K_n = 0$.

We thus conclude

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} I_1 = 0, \quad (62)$$

which shows that Γ has a finite limit, and

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} I_2 = 0, \quad (63)$$

which shows that the limit is BRST-invariant.

We therefore have a perturbation theory whose Feynman diagrams with ultraviolet divergences controlled by dimensional regularization, with $D = 4 - \epsilon$, can be separated in two distinct sets. Those who are singular when $\eta \rightarrow 0$ sum up exactly to zero, order by order in perturbation theory, while the others are regular in the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$. We have shown that in the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$ the correlators at finite ϵ are finite and respect BRST-invariance.

V. ENERGY REGULARIZATION WITH SECOND-ORDER ACTION

A. Local action

We would now like to renormalize the theory. However a difficulty arises because the phase-space action S that we used contains only the color-electric terms π_i^2 and $\pi_i F_{0i}$, but one encounters a divergence proportional to $\epsilon^{-1} F_{0i}^2$ which was not present in S . Such a question also arises when one renormalizes the YM theory in covariant gauges and in first order formalism. It can be solved, but it complicates a lot the proof of renormalization.

To circumvent this difficulty, we now integrate out the conjugate-momentum field π_i by gaussian quadrature, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}S'_{\text{mr}} &= \int d^Dx \left[\frac{1}{2} \left([F_{0i} + \partial_i v + ig(\partial_i \bar{\chi} \times c)]^2 + B_i^2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \partial_i \bar{c} D_i c \right] + Q,\end{aligned}\quad (64)$$

where

$$Q \equiv \int d^Dx [i\partial_i b A_i + i\partial_i v \partial_i \phi + \partial_i \bar{\chi} \partial_i \chi + \eta(i\dot{\phi} \dot{A}_0 + \dot{c} \dot{c})] \quad (65)$$

is quadratic in the fields. The action remains local. It assumes a second-order form that is regularized against ultraviolet and

energy divergences in $D = 4 - \varepsilon$ dimensions, at finite ε and η . The information that is relevant for our purpose and was obtained in the first order formalism is in fact retained, under the form of a dependence in χ, v and ϕ, A_0 , which still keeps its role for the energy divergencies of the ghosts.

It may be written

$$S'_{\text{mr}} = S' + S'_{\text{aux}} + \eta S_{\text{reg}}, \quad (66)$$

where S' is the standard BRST-invariant Coulomb-gauge action in second-order formalism given in (3). Just as in the first-order formalism, the auxiliary action is s -exact,

$$\begin{aligned} S'_{\text{aux}} &= s \int d^D x \, i \partial_i \bar{\chi} \left(F_{0i} + \frac{1}{2} [\partial_i v + ig(\partial_i \bar{\chi} \times c)] + i \partial_i \phi \right) \\ &= \int d^D x \left(i \partial_i v \partial_i \phi + \partial_i \bar{\chi} \partial_i \chi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + F_{0i} [\partial_i v + ig(\partial_i \bar{\chi} \times c)] + \frac{1}{2} [\partial_i v + ig(\partial_i \bar{\chi} \times c)]^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

and is thus a pure gauge-fixing term. The terms S' and S_{aux} are separately invariant under the symmetries $s, \bar{t}_\omega, G_\omega$ of the Coulomb gauge action defined above.

The obvious gain in going from the first to the second-order formalism, is that now the only color-electric term of dimension 4 in the cohomology of s is F_{0i}^2 . Moreover we shall show shortly that the action at $\eta = 0$, is *stable under renormalization* in the sense that the possible divergences compatible with the Ward identities of sect. III are of the same form as the terms in the second-order action S' .

The field v now appears in vertices, so we will also need its free propagators. One finds, from the action at $g = 0$,

$$D_{vA_0} = \frac{-\eta k_0^2}{(\mathbf{k}^2 + \eta k_0^2)^2}; \quad D_{vv} = \frac{(\eta k_0^2)^2}{\mathbf{k}^2(\mathbf{k}^2 + \eta k_0^2)^2}. \quad (68)$$

These propagators contain explicit factors of η or η^2 , which suggests that they vanish in the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$. Indeed, when either of these propagators appears in a loop that is not energy-degenerate, it may in fact be neglected at $\eta = 0$. However when they appear in an energy-degenerate closed loop, one makes the change of variable $k_0 = \eta^{-1/2} k'_0$, and these propagators become independent of η . (The energy-degenerate closed loop, before cancellation, is of order $\eta^{-1/2}$ from $\int dk_0 = \eta^{-1/2} \int dk'_0$.)

B. Cancellation of energy divergences in second-order formalism

With ultraviolet and energy regulators in place, corresponding correlators are equal in the first- and second-order formalisms. Thus, since they are finite in the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$ in the first-order formalism, they must be finite in the second-order formalism. Moreover the BRST-breaking term has the same value in the first and second order formalism, so the proof that BRST invariance is regained in the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$ also holds in the second-order formalism.

One may also show the cancellation of the energy divergences directly in the second-order formalism by the algebraic method used previously that relies on the \bar{r} transformation (52). For this purpose it is convenient to change variables from v to

$$u \equiv A_0 - v \quad (69)$$

so the second-order action may be written

$$\begin{aligned} S'_{\text{mr}} &= \int d^D x \left[\frac{1}{2} (\dot{A}_i - \partial_i u - g(A_i \times A_0) + ig(\partial_i \bar{\chi} \times c))^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} F_{ij}^2 + \partial_i \bar{c} D_i c \right] + Q, \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q \equiv \int d^D x \left[i \partial_i b A_i + i(\partial_i A_0 - \partial_i u) \partial_i \phi + \partial_i \bar{\chi} \partial_i \chi \right. \\ \left. + \eta(i\dot{\phi} \dot{A}_0 + \dot{c} \dot{c}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

The tri-gluon vertex becomes the one term $g(A_i \times A_0) \partial_i u$.

We now give an algebraic proof that energy divergences cancel in the second-order formalism, again using the \bar{r} operator. From $\bar{r}v' = \bar{r}(v - A_0 + i\phi) = 0$, we see that $\bar{r}u = i\bar{r}\phi$ so

$$\bar{r}u = i\bar{c}. \quad (72)$$

We use identities such as

$$i \bar{r}(A_i \times c) = -(A_i \times A_0) + i(\partial_i \bar{\chi} \times c), \quad (73)$$

which allow us to express the second-order action as

$$\begin{aligned} S'_{\text{mr}} &= \int d^D x \left(\frac{1}{2} (\dot{A}_i - \partial_i u)^2 + i(\dot{A}_i - \partial_i u) \partial_i \phi + \frac{1}{2} F_{ij}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dot{A}_i (ig \bar{r}(A_i \times c) + \bar{r}\Psi) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= -ig(A_i \times c) \partial_i u + \frac{1}{2} (ig)^2 (A_i \times c) \bar{r}(A_i \times c) \\ &\quad + A_i \partial_i \chi + \partial_i \phi \partial_i c + \eta \dot{\phi} \dot{c}. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

To see the mechanism that is operating, note that the one term,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r}[-ig(A_i \times c) \partial_i u] &= g(A_i \times A_0) \partial_i u \\ &\quad + \partial_i \bar{c} g(A_i \times c) - ig(\partial_i \bar{\chi} \times c) \partial_i u, \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

produces all 3 tri-linear vertices involving more than one scalar field. The algebraic proof of cancellation of energy divergences goes through as in the first-order formalism.

The propagators of u that enter vertices are given by

$$D_{A_0 u} = D_{u A_0} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2 + \eta k_0^2}; \quad D_{uu} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2}. \quad (77)$$

The propagator $D_{uu} = 1/\mathbf{k}^2$ provides no convergence of the k_0 integration, so one might doubt that all energy divergences are in fact regularized. However u appears in the vertex

$g(A_0 \times A_i) \partial_i u$, so a D_{uu} propagator in an energy-degenerate loop of a proper (1PI) diagram connects to two vertices from each of which A_0 quanta emerge. Moreover each occurrence of A_0 in a vertex produces a power of ηk_0^2 in the denominator, as one sees from $D_{A_0 u} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2 + \eta k_0^2}$ and $D_{A_0 A_0} = \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{(\mathbf{k}^2 + \eta k_0^2)^2}$, and all energy-divergent loops are in fact regularized. In the second-order formalism there is also a vertex involving a time derivative, $g\dot{A}_i(A_0 \times A_i)$, which leads to the appearance of the propagator

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\dot{A}_i \dot{A}_j} &= \frac{k_0^2 (\delta_{ij} - k_i k_j / \mathbf{k}^2)}{\mathbf{k}^2 + k_0^2} \\ &= \delta_{ij} - k_i k_j / \mathbf{k}^2 - \frac{\delta_{ij} \mathbf{k}^2 - k_i k_j}{\mathbf{k}^2 + k_0^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

The first two terms do not provide any convergence of the k_0 integration, but again, the $D_{\dot{A}_i \dot{A}_j}$ propagator connects to two vertices from each of which A_0 quanta emerge, so the threatened energy divergences are in fact regularized. These terms may contribute to an energy-degenerate loop, and this must cancel against some other energy-degenerate loop. Indeed, the δ_{ij} term cancels against an energy-degenerate loop with a quartic vertex, $(A_0 \times A_i)^2$, while the $k_i k_j / \mathbf{k}^2$ term cancels against a D_{uu} propagator. All energy divergences do in fact cancel, as shown by the preceding algebraic argument, but the cancellation is more intricate in the second-order formulation.

C. Decoupling of auxiliary fields at $\eta = 0$

We now add sources K_μ, L for the s -transforms that are non-linear to write the Ward identities in a functional way. This yields the extended action

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &\equiv S' + S'_{\text{aux}} + \eta S_{\text{reg}} \\ &+ \int d^D x [K_\mu D_\mu c + L(-g/2)(c \times c)]. \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

We write $\Phi_a = (A_\mu, c, \bar{c}, b, \phi, \chi, \bar{\chi}, v)$ to represent the set of all elementary fields, and $J_a = (J_{A_\mu}, J_c, J_{\bar{c}}, J_b, J_\phi, J_\chi, J_{\bar{\chi}}, J_v)$ represents the corresponding sources. We next use the equations of motion to determine the dependence on the 4 auxiliary fields (\bar{c}, b, ϕ, χ) . The partition function $Z(J_a, K, L, \eta)$ is defined by

$$Z = \int d\Phi \exp[-\Sigma + \sum_a (J_a, \Phi_a)]. \quad (80)$$

The quantum effective action $\Gamma(\Phi_a, K, L, \eta)$ is obtained by the Legendre transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_a &\equiv \frac{\delta W}{\delta J_a} \\ \Gamma(\Phi) &= (J_a, \Phi_a) - W \\ J_a &= \sigma_a \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \Phi_a} \\ \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta K_\mu} &= -\frac{\delta W}{\delta K_\mu}; \quad \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta L} = -\frac{\delta W}{\delta L}. \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

Here and below, all derivatives are left derivatives, and $\sigma_a = \pm 1$, according as a is a bose or a fermi field.

The auxiliary fields were introduced as a device to regularize the theory while keeping the action local, but we are ultimately interested in the correlators in the absence of sources for these fields namely at

$$J_\phi = J_v = J_\chi = J_{\bar{\chi}} = 0. \quad (82)$$

We now express these conditions in terms of the Legendre transforms. We have

$$0 = J_\chi = -\frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \chi} = -\partial_i^2 \bar{\chi}, \quad (83)$$

which corresponds to the condition $\bar{\chi} = 0$. We also have

$$0 = J_\phi = \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \phi} = -i\partial_i^2 v - i\eta \dot{A}_0, \quad (84)$$

so $J_\phi = 0$ corresponds to

$$v = (\partial_i^2)^{-1} \eta \dot{A}_0 \rightarrow 0. \quad (85)$$

which vanishes with η . Thus we may calculate the correlators of the remaining fields, from $\hat{\Gamma}(A_\mu, c, 0, 0, K_\mu + \partial_i \bar{c}, L)$.

Suppose now that in the second order action (64) one redefines the auxiliary fields according to

$$\phi = \eta^{-1} \Phi; \quad \chi = \eta^{-1} X; \quad v = \eta V; \quad \bar{\chi} = \eta \bar{X}. \quad (86)$$

Then the action becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S'_{\text{mr}} &= \int d^D x \left[\frac{1}{2} \left([F_{0i} + \eta \partial_i V + ig(\eta \partial_i \bar{X} \times c)]^2 + B_i^2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \partial_i \bar{c} D_i c + Q \right], \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

where

$$Q \equiv \int d^D x (i\partial_i b A_i + i\partial_i V \partial_i \Phi + \partial_i \bar{X} \partial_i X + i\dot{\Phi} \dot{A}_0 + \eta \dot{c} \dot{c}). \quad (88)$$

Apart from the term $\eta \dot{c} \dot{c}$ in Q , the parameter η appears only in the combination ηV and $\eta \bar{X}$. We will see shortly, Eq. (96), that the quantum effective action has the same structure,

$$\Gamma = \hat{\Gamma}(A_\mu, c, \eta V, \eta \bar{X}, K_\mu + \partial_i \bar{c}, L) + Q, \quad (89)$$

where the reduced quantum effective action $\hat{\Gamma}$ contains the dynamics. In the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$, $\hat{\Gamma}$ becomes independent of the auxiliary fields V and \bar{X} ,

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \hat{\Gamma} = \hat{\Gamma}(A_\mu, c, 0, 0, K_\mu + \partial_i \bar{c}, L), \quad (90)$$

while retaining the symmetries it inherits from a local action. In particular the Ward identity that expresses BRST symmetry still holds because the contribution of the auxiliary fields to this identity is of the form

$$\int d^D x V \frac{\delta \hat{\Gamma}(A_\mu, c, \eta V, \eta \bar{X}, K_\mu + \partial_i \bar{c}, L)}{\delta \bar{X}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (91)$$

which vanishes with η .

However it remains true that in doing calculations we cannot set $\eta = 0$ until after the cancellation of energy-divergent diagrams. Thus we cannot neglect the auxiliary fields in the local action while doing calculations.

VI. WARD IDENTITIES IN SECOND-ORDER FORMALISM

A. Linear equations of motion of fields as Ward identities

The equation of motion of the color-Coulomb potential ϕ implies the Ward identity

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\phi} = -i\partial_i^2 v - i\eta\ddot{A}_0. \quad (92)$$

This has the solution

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \int d^D x (-iv\partial_i^2\phi + i\eta\dot{\phi}\dot{A}_0), \quad (93)$$

where Γ_1 is independent of ϕ .

The equation of motion of \bar{c} implies

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\bar{c}} = -\partial_i \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta K_i} - \eta\dot{c}. \quad (94)$$

This has the solution

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_2(K_0, K_i + \partial_i\bar{c}, \dots) + \int d^D x [-iv\partial_i^2\phi + \eta(i\dot{\phi}\dot{A}_0 + \dot{c}\dot{c})], \quad (95)$$

where all the dependence on ϕ and \bar{c} is explicit.

The equations of motion of b and χ are straightforward, with the result

$$\Gamma = \hat{\Gamma}(A_\mu, c, v, \bar{\chi}, K'_\mu, L, \eta) + \int d^D x [i\partial_i b A_i + i\partial_i v \partial_i \phi + \partial_i \bar{\chi} \partial_i \chi + \eta(i\dot{\phi}\dot{A}_0 + \dot{c}\dot{c})], \quad (96)$$

where

$$K'_0 = K_0; \quad K'_i = K_i + \partial_i \bar{c}. \quad (97)$$

The dependence on the fields \bar{c}, b, ϕ , and χ is now explicit. Here $\hat{\Gamma}(A_\mu, c, v, \bar{\chi}, K'_\mu, L, \eta)$ depends only on the reduced set of variables indicated, and is called the reduced quantum effective action.

Notice that there is another way to express this result for Γ . The local extended action (79) has the same dependence on the variables \bar{c}, b, ϕ and χ ,

$$\Sigma = \hat{\Sigma}(A_\mu, c, v, \bar{\chi}, K'_\mu, L) + \int d^D x [i\partial_i b A_i + i\partial_i v \partial_i \phi + \partial_i \bar{\chi} \partial_i \chi + \eta(i\dot{\phi}\dot{A}_0 + \dot{c}\dot{c})], \quad (98)$$

where

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \int d^D x \left[+\frac{1}{2} \left([F_{0i} + \partial_i v + ig(\partial_i \bar{\chi} \times c)]^2 + B^2 \right) + K'_\mu D_\mu c + L(-g/2)(c \times c) \right]. \quad (99)$$

is independent of η . If we separate the quantum effective action into the tree-level term Σ plus the set of all loop corrections, $\Gamma = \Sigma + \Gamma^{loop}$, it follows that the loop corrections depend only on the reduced set of variables,

$$\Gamma = \Sigma + \hat{\Gamma}^{loop}(A_\mu, c, v, \bar{\chi}, K'_\mu, L, \eta). \quad (100)$$

Upon making the change of variable (86) in Eq. (96) the promised equations (89) and (90) follow. This establishes the decoupling of the auxiliary fields in $\hat{\Gamma}$, as asserted.

B. Modified Ward identity for the η -broken BRST symmetry

To derive the η -broken BRST Ward identity satisfied by Γ , we use

$$s\Sigma = \eta s S_{reg} \quad (101)$$

This implies an identity satisfied by Σ ,

$$\int d^D x \left(\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta K_\mu} \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta A_\mu} + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta c} \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta L} - ib \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta \bar{c}} + \chi \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta \phi} - iv \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta \bar{\chi}} \right) = \eta s S_{reg}. \quad (102)$$

It implies

$$\int d^D x \left(\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta A_\mu} \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta K_\mu} + \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta c} \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta L} - ib \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta \bar{c}} + \chi \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta \phi} - iv \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta \bar{\chi}} \right) = \eta \langle s S_{reg} \rangle_J. \quad (103)$$

for Γ . We have shown previously that with dimensional regularization the correlators are finite in the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$, and that the right-hand side of the last equation vanishes in this limit, and we obtain

$$\int d^D x \left(\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta A_\mu} \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta K_\mu} + \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta c} \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta L} - ib \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta \bar{c}} + \chi \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta \phi} - iv \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta \bar{\chi}} \right) = 0. \quad (104)$$

C. Ward identity from translation of ghost field

The Ward identity follows from the identity,

$$\int d\Phi \bar{t}_\omega \exp[-\Sigma + \sum_a (J_a, \Phi_a)] = 0, \quad (105)$$

which holds because \bar{t}_ω is an operator of differentiation. This gives the Ward identity satisfied by W ,

$$\int d^D x \omega(t) G(x; W) = 0, \quad (106)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G(W) \equiv & -J_c + igJ_b \times \frac{\delta W}{\delta \bar{c}} + igJ_v \times \frac{\delta W}{\delta \bar{\chi}} \\ & -gJ_\chi \times \frac{\delta W}{\delta \phi} - \eta \partial_0^2 \frac{\delta W}{\delta \bar{c}} \\ & -D_\mu \left(\frac{\delta W}{\delta J_A} \right) K_\mu - gL \times \frac{\delta W}{\delta J_c}. \end{aligned} \quad (107)$$

The Ward identity satisfied by the effective action is Γ ,

$$\int d^D x \omega(t) H(x; \Gamma) = 0, \quad (108)$$

where

$$H(\Gamma) \equiv \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta c} + ig \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta b} \times \bar{c} + ig \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta v} \times \bar{\chi} + g \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta \chi} \times \phi - \eta \bar{c} - D_\mu(A)K_\mu - g(L \times c), \quad (109)$$

which reads

$$\bar{t}_\omega \Gamma = \int d^D x \omega(t) [D_\mu(A)K_\mu + g(L \times c) + \eta \bar{c}]. \quad (110)$$

The right-hand side, which represents the breaking of the translation symmetry \bar{t}_ω by Γ , is linear in the fields.

The right-hand side comes entirely from the tree-level contribution to the quantum effective action Γ . It is not subject to radiative corrections. If one separates out the tree-level term, $\Gamma = \Sigma + \hat{\Gamma}^{loop}$, one obtains the simple identity satisfied by the loop corrections,

$$\bar{t}_\omega \hat{\Gamma}^{loop} = 0. \quad (111)$$

VII. RENORMALISATION AND RESTRICTIONS ON COUNTER-TERMS AT $\eta = 0$

We now express the Slavnov-Taylor identity (104) in terms of the reduced quantum effective action (96), and obtain

$$\int d^D x \left(\frac{\delta \hat{\Gamma}}{\delta A_\mu} \frac{\delta \hat{\Gamma}}{\delta K_\mu} + \frac{\delta \hat{\Gamma}}{\delta c} \frac{\delta \hat{\Gamma}}{\delta L} - iv \frac{\delta \hat{\Gamma}}{\delta \chi} \right) = 0. \quad (112)$$

As shown above, Eq. (91), in the absence of sources for the auxiliary fields, the last term is negligible at $\eta = 0$, and the Slavnov-Taylor identity simplifies to

$$\int d^D x \left(\frac{\delta \hat{\Gamma}}{\delta A_\mu} \frac{\delta \hat{\Gamma}}{\delta K_\mu} + \frac{\delta \hat{\Gamma}}{\delta c} \frac{\delta \hat{\Gamma}}{\delta L} \right) = 0, \quad (113)$$

where $\hat{\Gamma}$ is now independent of the auxiliary fields,

$$\hat{\Gamma} = \hat{\Gamma}(A_\mu, K_\mu, c, L). \quad (114)$$

Likewise, by (23), the contribution of the auxiliary fields to the ghost-translation identity (111) is negligible in the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$, and reads,

$$\bar{t}_\omega \hat{\Gamma}^{loop}(A_\mu, K_\mu, c, L) = 0. \quad (115)$$

At finite η the proper (1PI) functions have a Laurent expansion in powers of ϵ , in which the coefficients of the singular terms (negative powers of ϵ) are polynomials in the external momenta.

When $\eta \rightarrow 0$, at any given order of perturbation theory, all diagrams that contain energy divergencies compensate each other at the regularized level. Therefore no UV counterterms are needed for each one of these diagrams. On the other hand, local counterterms are needed for the rest of the diagrams. Since the later have regular limits for $\eta \rightarrow 0$, their coefficient can be computed at $\eta \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, the insertion of counterterms adds no energy divergencies in the diagrams.

In view of this, we will shortly examine properties of the terms at $\eta = 0$ that are singular in ϵ and are compatible with the Slavnov-Taylor identity (113) and the ghost-translation identity (115).

The reduced effective action is expanded in powers of \hbar , $\hat{\Gamma} = \hat{\Sigma} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hbar^n \hat{\Gamma}^{(n)}$. We suppose that by a subtraction procedure, in the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$, the singular terms are removed to order $n-1$, compatible with the two identities. We separate the n -th term into a part that is regular in ϵ and a divergent part that contains inverse powers of ϵ , $\Gamma^{(n)} = \Gamma_r^{(n)} + \Gamma_{div}^{(n)}$. The n -th order term satisfies the linear identities

$$\sigma \Gamma^{(n)} = \bar{t}_\omega \Gamma^{(n)} = 0, \quad (116)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &\equiv \int d^D x \left(\frac{\delta \hat{\Sigma}}{\delta K_\mu} \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu} + \frac{\delta \hat{\Sigma}}{\delta A_\mu} \frac{\delta}{\delta K_\mu} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\delta \hat{\Sigma}}{\delta L} \frac{\delta}{\delta c} + \frac{\delta \hat{\Sigma}}{\delta c} \frac{\delta}{\delta L} \right) \\ &\equiv \mathcal{S}_{\hat{\Sigma}}. \end{aligned} \quad (117)$$

One may show by standard methods that σ is nilpotent,

$$\sigma^2 = \mathcal{S}_{\hat{\Sigma}}^2 = 0. \quad (118)$$

Since dimensional regularization preserves the BRST symmetry, identities (116) are satisfied separately by the divergent part

$$\sigma \Gamma_{div}^{(n)} = \bar{t}_\omega \Gamma_{div}^{(n)} = 0. \quad (119)$$

Recall that the action of \bar{t}_ω on the sources is given by

$$\bar{t}_\omega K_\mu = \bar{t}_\omega L = 0, \quad (120)$$

(since by definition \bar{t}_ω does not act on the sources), and moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma K_0 &= \frac{\delta \hat{\Sigma}}{\delta A_0} = D_i F_{0i} - g(c \times K_0) \\ \sigma K_i &= \frac{\delta \hat{\Sigma}}{\delta A_i} = -D_0 F_{0i} - D_j F_{ji} - g(c \times K_i) \\ \sigma L &= \frac{\delta \hat{\Sigma}}{\delta c} = -g(c \times L). \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

The anti-commutator of the symmetries σ and \bar{t}_ω acting on the sources yields a time-dependent gauge transformation

$$G_\omega = (\sigma \bar{t}_\omega + \bar{t}_\omega \sigma), \quad (122)$$

just as it does for the fields, under which the sources transform gauge-covariantly,

$$G_\omega K_\mu = g(K_\mu \times \omega); \quad G_\omega L = g(L \times \omega). \quad (123)$$

A. Enumeration of divergences and independent counterterms

We now construct the most general *local* solution of the identities (119) that is invariant under space-time translations. The mass dimensions of the fields are

$$[A_\mu] = 1; \quad [c] = 0; \quad [K_\mu] = 3; \quad [L] = 4, \quad (124)$$

and they have ghost number

$$\begin{aligned} N_{gh}(A_\mu) &= 0; & N_{gh}(c) &= 1, \\ N_{gh}(K_\mu) &= -1; & N_{gh}(L) &= -2. \end{aligned} \quad (125)$$

The only local terms in the cohomology of σ are F_{0i}^2 and F_{ij}^2 , so the most general solution of $\sigma \hat{\Gamma}_{div}^{(n)} = 0$ is

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{div}^{(n)} = \int d^4x (c_1 F_{0i}^2 + c_2 F_{ij}^2 + \sigma \Psi), \quad (126)$$

where Ψ is a local function with $N_{gh}(\Psi) = -1$. The most general expression consistent with the dimensions, ghost number, rotational invariance, and global gauge invariance is

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Gamma}_{div}^{(n)} &= \int d^4x \left[c_1 F_{0i}^2 + c_2 F_{ij}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sigma (c_3 K_0 A_0 + c_4 K_i A_i + c_5 Lc) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (127)$$

We now impose ghost-translation invariance $\bar{t}_\omega \hat{\Gamma}_{div}^{(n)} = 0$. This is obviously satisfied separately by the terms F_{0i}^2 and F_{ij}^2 since they do not involve the ghost field $c(x)$. For the term with coefficient c_3 in (127) we have

$$\bar{t}_\omega \sigma(K_0 A_0) = (G_\omega - \sigma \bar{t}_\omega)(K_0 A_0) = K_0 \dot{\omega} \neq 0. \quad (128)$$

There is no other term in (127) that contains K_0 , so we conclude $c_3 = 0$. Likewise for the term with coefficient c_5 we have

$$\bar{t}_\omega \sigma(Lc) = (G_\omega - \sigma \bar{t}_\omega)(Lc) = -\sigma(L\omega) = g(c \times L)\omega. \quad (129)$$

No other term in (127) contains L , so we conclude $c_5 = 0$. On the other hand, the term with coefficient c_4 is annihilated by \bar{t}_ω , for we have

$$\bar{t}_\omega \sigma(K_i A_i) = (G_\omega - \sigma \bar{t}_\omega)(K_i A_i) = 0. \quad (130)$$

Both Ward identities (119) are now satisfied, and we conclude, that the most general form of divergences compatible with them is

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{div}^{(n)} = \int d^4x \left[c_1 F_{0i}^2 + c_2 F_{ij}^2 + c_4 \sigma(K_i A_i) \right]. \quad (131)$$

We have not yet imposed Lorentz invariance, which is broken only by the gauge-fixing term. This has been discussed in [35], and we will not repeat that discussion, but note that it yields $c_1 = c_2$, and we obtain finally

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{div}^{(n)} = \int d^4x \left[c_1 (F_{0i}^2 + F_{ij}^2) + c_4 \sigma(K_i A_i) \right]. \quad (132)$$

Here c_1 and c_4 are constants that diverge in the limit $\varepsilon = 4 - D \rightarrow 0$. These are the only possible divergences. Thus in the Coulomb gauge there are only two independent renormalization constants.

B. Multiplicative renormalization constants in the minimally regularized Coulomb gauge

Suppose that the divergences have been cancelled to order $n - 1$ in an \hbar expansion so the divergent constants are of order $c_1 \sim \hbar^n$ and $c_4 \sim \hbar^n$. We wish to extend this cancellation to order n by a renormalization of the fields and charges that appear in the reduced extended action,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Sigma} &= \int d^4x \left((1/2)(F_{0i}^2 + F_{ij}^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + K_\mu (\partial_\mu c + g A_\mu \times c) - L(g/2)(c \times c) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (133)$$

From the definition of the operator σ we have

$$\sigma(K_i A_i) = A_i \frac{\delta \hat{\Sigma}}{\delta A_i} - K_i \frac{\delta \hat{\Sigma}}{\delta K_i}, \quad (134)$$

so, the term with coefficient c_4 in (132) may be cancelled by renormalizing the fields in $\hat{\Sigma}$ according to

$$A_i = (1 - c_4) A_{r,i}; \quad K_i = (1 + c_4) K_{r,i}. \quad (135)$$

Recall that Σ contains \bar{c} and K_i only in the combination $K_i + \partial_i \bar{c}$, so \bar{c} is implicitly renormalized like K_i ,

$$\bar{c} = (1 + c_4) \bar{c}_r. \quad (136)$$

Now observe by power counting that the Euler differential operator satisfies

$$(F_{0i}^2 + F_{ij}^2) = \left(-g \frac{\partial}{\partial g} + \int d^4x A_\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu} \right) \frac{1}{2} (F_{0i}^2 + F_{ij}^2). \quad (137)$$

Use of this identity gives

$$\int d^4x (F_{0i}^2 + F_{ij}^2) = \left[-g \frac{\partial}{\partial g} + \int d^4x \left(A_\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu} + L \frac{\delta}{\delta L} \right) \right] \hat{\Sigma}, \quad (138)$$

because the remaining terms in $\hat{\Sigma}$ only involve g in the combination $g A_\mu$ and Lg , both of which are annihilated by the Euler differential operator. Thus, to order n , the term with coefficient c_1 in (132) may be cancelled by renormalizing the fields in $\hat{\Sigma}$ according to

$$g = (1 + c_1) g_r; \quad A_\mu = (1 - c_1) A_{r,\mu} \quad L = (1 - c_1) L_r. \quad (139)$$

The two renormalizations (135) and (139) together are sufficient to cancel the two divergent terms of (132). However, because ghost number is conserved, we are free to make an additional renormalization of fields with ghost number N by

$$\Phi_N = (1 + Na) \Phi_{N,r}, \quad (140)$$

where a is an arbitrary constant. This constant is frequently chosen so c and \bar{c} renormalize in the same way. However for our purposes it will be convenient instead to choose a , so A_0 and c renormalize in the same way,

$$Z_c = Z_{A_0}. \quad (141)$$

This is achieved by $a = -c_1$. When this choice is combined with the two renormalizations (135) and (139), the complete order n renormalization is given by

$$\begin{aligned} g &= (1+c_1)g_r; & A_0 &= (1-c_1)A_{r,0} \\ c &= (1-c_1)c_r; & K_0 &= (1+c_1)K_{r,0} \\ A_i &= (1-c_1-c_4)A_{r,i}; & K_i &= (1+c_1+c_4)K_{r,i} \\ \bar{c} &= (1+c_1+c_4)\bar{c}_r; & L &= (1+c_1)L_r. \end{aligned} \quad (142)$$

In general we write the renormalizations as

$$\begin{aligned} g &= Z_g g_r; & A_0 &= Z_{A_0} A_{0,r} \\ c &= Z_c c_r; & K_0 &= Z_{K_0} K_{0,r} \\ A_i &= Z_{A_i} A_{i,r}; & K_i &= Z_{K_i} K_{i,r} \\ \bar{c} &= Z_{\bar{c}} \bar{c}_r; & L &= Z_L L_r, \end{aligned} \quad (143)$$

where each Z is a power series to arbitrary order in \hbar that may be calculated recursively using (142). There are two independent renormalization constants corresponding to the two divergent constants c_1 and c_4 . This is the same number as in the Landau gauge. For although manifest Lorentz invariance is lost, on the other hand, in Coulomb gauge ghost-translation

invariance \bar{t}_ω by an arbitrary function of time $\omega(t)$ is more powerful than in Landau gauge.

If we choose the two independent renormalization constants to be Z_{A_0} and Z_{A_i} , then the others are given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_g^{-1} &= Z_c = Z_{K_0}^{-1} = Z_L^{-1} = Z_{A_0} \\ Z_{K_i}^{-1} &= Z_{\bar{c}}^{-1} = Z_{A_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (144)$$

C. Renormalization-group invariants

The last equations imply the identities

$$Z_g A_0 = 1; \quad Z_g Z_c Z_{\bar{c}} Z_{A_i} = 1. \quad (145)$$

It follows that the following quantities are renormalization-group invariants,

$$g^2 D_{A_0 A_0}; \quad Z_g^2 D_{\bar{c}\bar{c}}^2 D_{A_i A_i}. \quad (146)$$

The first one is peculiar to the Coulomb gauge. A direct analog of the second one also occurs in Landau gauge.

-
- [1] V. N. Gribov, "Quantization Of Non-Abelian Gauge Theories," Nucl. Phys. B **139**, 1 (1978).
- [2] D. Zwanziger, "Renormalization in the Coulomb gauge and order parameter for confinement in Nucl. Phys. B **518**, 237 (1998).
- [3] D. Zwanziger, "No confinement without Coulomb confinement," Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 102001 (2003) [arXiv:hep-lat/0209105].
- [4] A. Cucchieri and D. Zwanziger, "Renormalization group calculation of color Coulomb potential," Phys. Rev. D **65**, 014002 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0008248].
- [5] D. Zwanziger, "Analytic calculation of color-Coulomb potential and color confinement," Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) CORRECT: 094034 [arXiv:hep-ph/0312254].
- [6] J. Greensite and S. Olejnik, "Coulomb energy, vortices, and confinement," Phys. Rev. D **67**, 094503 (2003) [arXiv:hep-lat/0302018].
- [7] R. Alkofer, C. S. Fischer, and F. J. Llanes-Estrada, "Vertex functions and infrared fixed point in Landau gauge SU(N) Yang-Mills theory," Phys. Lett. B **611**, 279 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0412330].
- [30] S. Mandelstam, "Approximation Scheme For QCD," Phys. Rev. D **20**, 3223 (1979).
- [31] L. von Smekal, R. Alkofer, and A. Hauck, "The infrared behavior of gluon and ghost propagators in Landau gauge QCD," Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3591 (1997) [arXiv:hep-ph/9705242].
- [32] L. von Smekal, A. Hauck, and R. Alkofer, "A solution to coupled Dyson-Schwinger equations for gluons and ghosts in Landau gauge," Annals Phys. **267**, 1 (1998) [Erratum-ibid. **269**, 182 (1998)] [arXiv:hep-ph/9707327].
- [11] C. Lerche and L. von Smekal, "On the infrared exponent for gluon and ghost propagation in Landau gauge QCD," Phys. Rev. D **65**, 125006 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0202194].
- [12] C. S. Fischer and R. Alkofer, "Infrared exponents and running coupling of SU(N) Yang-Mills theories," Phys. Lett. B **536**, 177 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0202202].
- [13] L. Baulieu and D. Zwanziger, "Renormalizable non-covariant gauges and Coulomb gauge limit," Nucl. Phys. B **548**, 527 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9807024].
- [14] A. Cucchieri and F. Karsch, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **83**, 357 (2000) [arXiv:hep-lat/9909011].
- [15] A. Cucchieri, F. Karsch, and P. Petreczky, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 036001 (2001) [arXiv:hep-lat/0103009].
- [16] J. C. Taylor, "Ward Identities And Charge Renormalization Of The Yang-Mills Field," Nucl. Phys. B **33**, 436 (1971).
- [17] D. Zwanziger, "Non-perturbative Landau gauge and infrared critical exponents in QCD," Phys. Rev. D **65**, 094039 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0109224].
- [18] A. Cucchieri, T. Mendes, and A. Mihara, "Numerical study of the ghost-gluon vertex in Landau gauge," JHEP **0412**, 012 (2004) [arXiv:hep-lat/0408034].
- [19] W. Schleifenbaum, A. Maas, J. Wambach, and R. Alkofer, "Infrared behaviour of the ghost gluon vertex in Landau gauge Yang-Mills theory," arXiv:hep-ph/0411052.
- [20] R. Alkofer, C. S. Fischer, H. Reinhardt, and L. von Smekal, "On the infrared behaviour of gluons and ghosts in ghost-antighost symmetric gauges," Phys. Rev. D **68**, 045003 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0304134].
- [21] A. P. Szczepaniak and E. S. Swanson, "Coulomb gauge QCD, confinement, and the constituent representation," Phys. Rev. D **65**, 025012 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0107078].
- [22] C. Feuchter and H. Reinhardt, "Variational solution of the Yang-Mills Schroedinger equation in Coulomb gauge," Phys. Rev. D **70**, 105021 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0408236]; C. Feuchter and H. Reinhardt, "Quark and gluon confinement in Coulomb gauge," arXiv:hep-th/0402106.
- [23] C. Fischer and D. Zwanziger arXiv:hep-ph/0504244
- [24] A. Andrasi, J. C. Taylor, "Cancellation of energy-divergences

- in Coulomb gauge QCD,” arXiv:hep-th/0503099
- [25] Renormalization of gauge theories, Jean Zinn-Justin (Saclay),. SACLAY-D.PH-T-74-88, Oct 1974. 40pp. Lectures given at Int. Summer Inst. for Theoretical Physics, Jul 29 - Aug 9, 1974, Bonn, West Germany. Published in Bonn Conf.1974:2.
- [26] D. Zwanziger, Nucl. Phys. B **518**, 237 (1998).
- [27] J. Greensite and S. Olejnik, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 094503 (2003), arXiv:hep-lat/0209068.
- [28] J. Greensite, S. Olejnik, and D. Zwanziger, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 074506 (2004), arXiv:heplat/0401003.
- [29] A. Nakamura, T. Saito, arXiv: hep-lat/0512042.
- [30] S. Mandelstam, “Approximation Scheme For QCD,” Phys. Rev. D **20**, 3223 (1979).
- [31] L. von Smekal, R. Alkofer, and A. Hauck, “The infrared behaviour of gluon and ghost propagators in Landau gauge QCD,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3591 (1997) [arXiv:hep-ph/9705242].
- [32] L. von Smekal, A. Hauck, and R. Alkofer, “A solution to coupled Dyson-Schwinger equations for gluons and ghosts in Landau gauge,” Annals Phys. **267**, 1 (1998) [Erratum-ibid. **269**, 182 (1998)] [arXiv:hep-ph/9707327].
- [33] C. S. Fischer and D. Zwanziger, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 054005 (2005), arXiv: hep-ph/0504244.
- [34] J. Greensite, S. Olejnik, and D. Zwanziger, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 074506 (2004), arXiv: hep-lat/0401003.
- [35] L. Baulieu and D. Zwanziger, Nucl. Phys B **548**, 527 (1999), arXiv: hep-th/9807024.
- [36] ” Dimensional renormalization and the action principle”, P. Breitenlohner, D. Maison, Commun. Math. Phys. **52**, 11 (1977).
- [37] ”Dimensionally renormalized Green functions for theories with massless particles”, P. Breitenlohner, D. Maison, Commun. Math. Phys. **52**, 39 (1977).
- [38] Alternatively the action of s on π_i may be defined by $s\pi_i = -iF_{0i} \times c$, while preserving the invariance of the action $sS = 0$.
- [39] The action S_1 contains the vertex $\partial_i \bar{\chi} g (\partial_i \phi \times c)$ which contains 3 fields with energy-degenerate propagators. However the only non-zero propagator of $\bar{\chi}$ is $D_{\chi\bar{\chi}}$. and χ does not appear in any vertex. The precise statement is that in a proper (1pI) diagram no more than two energy-degenerate propagators enter a vertex of S_{mr} .