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#### Abstract

We introduce the concept of $\rho$-semimonotone point-to-set operators in Hilbert spaces. This notion is symmetrical with respect to the graph of $T$, as is the case for monotonicity, but not for other related notions, like e.g. hypomonotonicity, of which our new class is a relaxation. We give a necessary condition for $\rho$-semimonotonicity of $T$ in terms of Lispchitz continuity of $\left[T+\rho^{-1} I\right]^{-1}$ and a sufficient condition related to expansivity of $T$. We also establish surjectivity results for maximal $\rho$-semimonotone operators.


Mathematical subject classification: $47 \mathrm{H} 05,47 \mathrm{Hxx}$.
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## 1 Introduction

Before introducing the class of $\rho$-semimonotone operators we recall the concept of monotonicity and a few of its relaxations.

Definition 1. Let $H$ be a Hilbert space, $T: H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ a point-to-set operator and $G(T)$ its graph.
i) $T$ is said to be monotone iff

$$
\langle x-y, u-v\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall(x, u),(y, v) \in G(T)
$$
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ii) $T$ is said to be maximal monotone if it is monotone and additionally $G(T)=G\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ for all monotone operator $T^{\prime}: H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ such that $G(T) \subset G\left(T^{\prime}\right)$.
iii) For $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{++}, T$ is said to be $\rho$-hypomonotone iff

$$
\langle x-y, u-v\rangle \geq-\rho\|x-y\|^{2}, \quad \forall(x, u),(y, v) \in G(T) .
$$

iv) For $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{++}, T$ is said to be maximal $\rho$-hypomonotone if it is $\rho$ hypomonotone and additionally $G(T)=G\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ for all $\rho$-hypomonotone operator $T^{\prime}: H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ such that $G(T) \subset G\left(T^{\prime}\right)$.
v) $T$ is said to be premonotone iff

$$
\langle x-y, u-v\rangle \geq-\sigma(y)\|x-y\|, \quad \forall(x, u),(y, v) \in G(T),
$$

where $\sigma: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive valued function defined over the whole space $H$.

Next we introduce the class of operators which are the main subject of this paper.

Definition 2. Let $T: H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ be a point-to-set operator, $G(T)$ its graph and $\rho \in(0,1)$ a real number.
i) $T$ is said to be $\rho$-semimonotone iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x-y, u-v\rangle \geq-\frac{\rho}{2}\left(\|x-y\|^{2}+\|u-v\|^{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(x, u),(y, v) \in G(T)$.
ii) $T$ is said to be maximal $\rho$-semimonotone if it is $\rho$-semimonotone and additionally $G(T)=G\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ for all $\rho$-semimonotone operator $T^{\prime}: H \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{P}(H)$ such that $G(T) \subset G\left(T^{\prime}\right)$.

The concepts of hypomonotonicity and premonotonicity were introduced in [5] and [2] respectively. We mention that a notion of maximal premonotonicity has also been introduced in [2], but the definition is rather technical and thus we prefer to omit it.

We mention that we restrict the range of the parameter $\rho$ to the interval $(0,1)$ because all operators turn out to be $\rho$-semimonotone for $\rho \geq 1$, as can be easily verified.
It is clear that monotone operators are both premonotone and $\rho$-hypomonotone for all $\rho>0$, and that $\rho$-hypomonotone operators with $\rho \in(0,1 / 2)$ are $2 \rho$ semimonotone. It is also elementary that $T$ is $\rho$-hypomonotone iff $T+\rho I$ is monotone ( $I$ being the identity operator in $H$ ).

In order to have a clearer view of the relation among these notions, it is worthwhile to look at the special case of self-adjoint linear operators in the finite dimensional case. If $\Lambda(A)$ is the spectrum (i.e., set of eigenvalues) of the selfadjoint linear operator $A: H \rightarrow H$, it is well known that $A$ is monotone iff $\Lambda(A) \subset[0, \infty)$ and it follows easily from the comment above that $A$ is $\rho$ hypomonotone iff $\Lambda(A) \subset[-\rho, \infty)$. On the other hand, linear premonotone operators are just monotone. It is also elementary that $A$ is $\rho$-semimonotone iff

$$
\Lambda(A) \subset(-\infty,-\eta(\rho)] \cup[-\beta(\rho)+\infty),
$$

with $0<\beta(\rho)<\eta(\rho)$ given by (7) and (9), i.e., the eigenvualues of self-adjoint $\rho$-semimonotone operators can lie anywhere on the real line, excepting for an open interval around $-1 / \rho$ contained in the negative halfline.

One of the main properties of maximal monotone operators is related to the regularization of the inclusion problem consisting of finding $x \in H$ such that $b \in T(x)$, with $T$ monotone and $b \in H$. Such problem may have no solution, or an infinite set of solutions, but the problem $b \in(T+\lambda I)(x)$ is well posed in Hadamard's sense for all $\lambda>0$, meaning that there exists a unique solution, and it depends continuously on $b$. This is a consequence of Minty's Theorem (see [4]), which states that for a maximal monotone operator $T$, the operator $T+\lambda I$ is onto, and its inverse is Lipschitz continuous with constant $L=\lambda^{-1}$, (and henceforth point-to-point), for all $\lambda>0$.
When the notion of monotonicity is relaxed, one expects to preserve at least some version of Minty's result. In the case of hypomonotonicity, the fact that $T+\rho I$ is monotone when $T$ is $\rho$-hypomonotone easily implies that Minty's result holds for maximal $\rho$-hypomonotone operators whenever $\lambda$ belongs to $(\rho, \infty)$, with the Lipschitz constant of $(T+\lambda I)^{-1}$ taking the value $(\lambda-\rho)^{-1}$.

The situation is more complicated when $T$ is premonotone. Examples of premonotone operators $T$ defined on the real line such that $T+\lambda I$ fails to be monotone for all $\lambda>0$ have been presented in [2]. Nevertheless, the following surjectivity result has been proved in [2]: when $T$ is maximal premonotone and $H$ is finite dimensional then $T+\lambda I$ is onto for all $\lambda>0$. Minty's Theorem cannot be invoked in this case, and the proof uses an existence result for equilibrium problems originally established in [3] and extended later on in [1].
Before discussing the $\rho$-semimonotone case, it might be illuminating to look at the surjetivity issue in the one-dimensional case. It is easy to check that $T+\lambda I$ is strictly increasing when $T$ is monotone and $\lambda>0$, or $T$ is $\rho$ hypomonotone and $\lambda>\rho$, and furthermore the values of the regularized operator $T+\lambda I$ go from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. The surjectivity is then an easy consequence of the maximality of the graph $G(T)$. When $T$ is pre-monotone, $T+\lambda I$ may fail to be increasing for all $\lambda>0$ (see Example 3 in [2]), but still it holds that the operator values go from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$, and the surjectivity is also guaranteed. This is not the case for $\rho$-semimonotone operators. Not only a $\rho$-semimonotone operator $T$ defined on $\mathbb{R}$ may be such that $T+\lambda I$ fails to be monotone for all $\lambda>0$, but $T$, and even $T+\lambda I$, may happen to be strictly decreasing! (see Example 1 below). We will nevertheless manage to establish regularity of $T+\lambda I$ when $T$ is $\rho$-semimonotone and $\lambda$ belongs to a certain interval $(\beta(\rho), \eta(\rho)) \subset(0,+\infty)$, with $\beta(\rho), \eta(\rho)$ as in (7), (9) below (in the case of $T$ like in Example 1, the surjectivity will be a consequence of the fact that $T$ is strictly decreasing). We cannot invoke Minty's result in an obvious way, since $T+\lambda I$ will not in general be monotone; rather, the proof will proceed through the analysis of the regularity properties of the operator $[T+\beta(\rho) I]^{-1}+\gamma(\rho) I$, with $\gamma(\rho)$ as in (8) below.

## 2 Semimonotone operators

In this section we will establish several properties of semimonotone operators. We start our analysis with some elementary ones.

Proposition 1. An operator $T: H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ is $\rho$-semimonotone if and only if the operator $T^{-1}$ is $\rho$-semimonotone; furthermore, $T$ is maximal $\rho$-semimonotone if and only if $T^{-1}$ is maximal $\rho$-semimonotone.

Proof. The result follows immediately from Definition 2, taking into account that $(x, u) \in G(T)$ iff $(u, x) \in G\left(T^{-1}\right)$.

We mention that monotonicity of $T$ is also equivalent to monotonicity of $T^{-1}$, but the similar statement fails to hold for $\rho$-hypomonotone operators. In fact, one of the motivations behind the introduction of the class of $\rho$-semimonotone operators is the preservation of this symmetry property enjoyed by monotone operators.

Proposition 2. If $T: H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ is $\rho$-semimonotone and $\alpha$ belongs to ( $\rho, 1 / \rho$ ) then $\alpha T$ is $\bar{\rho}$-semimonotone with $\bar{\rho}=\rho \max \{\alpha, 1 / \alpha\}$.

Proof. Note first that $\bar{\rho}$ belongs to $(0,1)$. Let $\bar{T}=\alpha T$ and take $(x, \bar{u})$, $(y, \bar{v}) \in G(\bar{T})$. By definition of $\bar{T}$, there exist $u \in T(x), v \in T(y)$ such that $\bar{u}=\alpha u, \bar{v}=\alpha v$. By $\rho$-semimonotonicity of $T$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle x-y, \bar{u}-\bar{v}\rangle=\alpha\langle x-y, u-v\rangle \geq-\frac{\rho}{2}\left(\alpha\|x-y\|^{2}+\alpha\|u-v\|^{2}\right) \\
& =-\frac{\rho}{2}\left(\alpha\|x-y\|^{2}+\frac{1}{\alpha}\|\bar{u}-\bar{v}\|^{2}\right) \geq-\frac{\bar{\rho}}{2}\left(\|x-y\|^{2}+\|\bar{u}-\bar{v}\|^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

establishing $\bar{\rho}$-semimonotonicity of $\bar{T}=\alpha T$.
Proposition 3. If $T: H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ is $\delta$-semimonotone for some $\delta \in(0,1)$, then $T$ is $\rho$-semimonotone for all $\rho \in(\delta, 1)$.

Proof. Elementary.
Proposition 4. If $T: H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ (or $T^{-1}: H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ ) is $\delta$-hypomonotone with $\delta \in(0,1 / 2)$, then $T$ is $2 \delta$-semimonotone. Moreover, if both $T$ and $T^{-1}$ are $\delta$-hypomonotone with $\delta \in(0,1)$ then $T$ is $\delta$-semimonotone.

Proof. Elementary.
Remark 1. We mention that a $\delta$-hypomonotone operator $T$ with $\delta \geq 1 / 2$, may fail to be $\rho$-semimonotone for all $\rho$, but the operator $A=\frac{\rho}{2 \delta} T$ is $\rho$ semimonotone for all $\rho \in(0,1)$.

Proposition 5. An operator $T: H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ is $\rho$-semimonotone if and only if $\|x-y+u-v\|^{2} \geq(1-\rho)\left(\|x-y\|^{2}+\|u-v\|^{2}\right), \quad \forall(x, u), \quad(y, v) \in G(T)$.

Proof. Elementary.
Proposition 6. If $T: H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ is maximal $\rho$-semimonotone then its graph is closed (in the strong topology).

Proof. Elementary.

### 2.1 The one dimensional case

We study in this section $\rho$-semimonotone real valued functions, providing a simple characterization that helps in the construction of a key example and also suggests the line to follow in order to study the general case.

Lemma 1. Given $\rho \in(0,1)$ define $\theta(\rho)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(\rho)=\rho^{-1} \sqrt{1-\rho^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f: X \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\rho$-semimonotone if and only if $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $g(x)=f(x)+\rho^{-1} x$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x)-g(y)| \geq \theta(\rho)|x-y| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, or equivalently, $g^{-1}=\left(f+\rho^{-1} I\right)^{-1}$ is Lipschitz continuous with constant $\theta(\rho)^{-1}$.

Proof. Assume that $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\rho$-semimonotone and define $g(x)=$ $f(x)+\rho^{-1} x$. By Definition 2, for all $x, y \in X$

$$
(x-y)[f(x)-f(y)] \geq-\frac{\rho}{2}\left((x-y)^{2}+[f(x)-f(y)]^{2}\right)
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{f(x)-f(y)}{x-y}=\frac{(x-y)[f(x)-f(y)]}{(x-y)^{2}} \\
\quad \geq-\frac{\rho}{2}\left(1+\left[\frac{f(x)-f(y)}{x-y}\right]^{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Take any $x \neq y \in X$ and define $t=\frac{f(x)-f(y)}{x-y}$. Then, (4) is equivalent to $t \geq-\frac{\rho}{2}\left(1+t^{2}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\rho}{2} t^{2}+t+\frac{\rho}{2} \geq 0 \Longleftrightarrow t \leq t_{1}=\frac{-1-\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}}{\rho} \text { or } \\
& t \geq t_{2}=\frac{-1+\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}}{\rho} \Longleftrightarrow\left|t+\frac{1}{\rho}\right| \geq \frac{\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}}{\rho}=\theta(\rho) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since for any $x \neq y$,

$$
\frac{f(x)-f(y)}{x-y}+\frac{1}{\rho}=\frac{f(x)-f(y)}{x-y}+\frac{1}{\rho} \cdot \frac{x-y}{x-y}=\frac{g(x)-g(y)}{x-y},
$$

the proof is complete.
Example 1. Fix $\rho \in(0,1), \delta \geq \rho^{-1} \sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}$, and define $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $g(x)=-\delta x-\frac{1}{3} x^{3}$. Then

$$
g^{\prime}(x)=-\delta-x^{2} \Longrightarrow\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right|=\delta+x^{2} \geq \delta
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $g$ verifies (3). Hence, the function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=g(x)-\frac{1}{\rho} x=-\left(\delta+\frac{1}{\rho}\right) x-\frac{1}{3} x^{3} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a $\rho$-semimonotone function, in view of Lemma 1. On the other hand, the function $h(x)=f(x)+\lambda x$ fails to be non-decreasing for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and hence $f+\lambda I$ is not monotone, so that $f$ fails to be $\lambda$-hypomonotone for all $\lambda \geq 0$. In connection with premonotonicity, note that, as an easy consequence of Definition $1(v)$, if $T$ is point-to-point and pre-monotone, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T(x), \frac{x}{\|x\|}\right\rangle \geq-\|T(0)\|-\sigma(0) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$. In the one-dimensional case, (6) entails that, for a premonotone $T, T(x)$ is bounded from below on the positive half-line and bonded from above in the negative half-line. It follows that $f$, as defined by (5), is not pre-monotone. Informally speaking, this example shows that one-dimensional
semimonotone operators can be "very" decreasing, while hypomonotone or premonotone ones cannot. In a multidimensional setting, the operator $T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ defined as $T\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(f\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$, with $f$ as in (5), provides an example of a nonlinear $\rho$-semimonotone operator which fails to be both premonotone and $\lambda$-hypomonotone for all $\lambda \geq 0$.

## 3 Prox-regularity properties

The surjectivity properties of $T+\lambda I$ for a $\rho$-semimonotone operator $T$ are related to its connection with the operator $[T+\beta I]^{-1}+\gamma I$, presented in the next theorem.

Theorem 2. Let I be the identity operator in H. Take $\rho \in(0,1)$ and $\beta, \gamma, \eta \in$ $\mathbb{R}_{++}$as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\beta=\beta(\rho)=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}}{\rho},  \tag{7}\\
\gamma=\gamma(\rho)=\frac{\rho}{2 \sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}}  \tag{8}\\
\eta=\eta(\rho)=\frac{1}{\gamma}+\beta=\frac{1+\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}}{\rho} . \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

i) An operator $T: H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ is $\rho$-semimonotone if and only if the operator $(T+\beta I)^{-1}+\gamma I$ is monotone.
ii) An operator $T: H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ is maximal $\rho$-semimonotone if and only if the operator $(T+\beta I)^{-1}+\gamma I$ is maximal monotone.

Proof. Consider $A: H \times H \rightarrow H \times H$ defined as $A(x, u)=(u-\gamma x,(1+$ $\beta \gamma) x-\beta u)$. It is elementary that $A$ is invertible, with $A^{-1}(x, u)=(u+\beta x,(1+$ $\beta \gamma) x+\gamma u)$. Let $(\bar{x}, \bar{u})=A(x, u)$ and $\bar{T}=(T+\beta I)^{-1}+\gamma I$. We claim that $(x, u) \in G(\bar{T})$ if and only if $(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \in G(T)$. We proceed to prove the claim: $(x, u) \in G(\bar{T})$ iff $u \in(T+\beta I)^{-1}(x)+\gamma x$ iff $\bar{x}=u-\gamma x \in(T+\beta I)^{-1}(x)$ iff $x \in(T+\beta I)(\bar{x})=T(\bar{x})+\beta \bar{x}$ iff $\bar{u}=x-\beta \bar{x} \in T(\bar{x}) \operatorname{iff}(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \in G(T)$.

The claim is established and we proceed with the proof of (i). Consider pairs $(x, u),(y, v) \in G(\bar{T})$ and let $(\bar{x}, \bar{u})=A(x, u)$ as before, and also $(\bar{y}, \bar{v})=$
$A(y, v)$. Observe that $\bar{T}$ is monotone if and only if, for all $(x, u),(y, v) \in G(\bar{T})$, it holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad 0 \leq\langle x-y, u-v\rangle \\
& =\langle(\bar{u}+\beta \bar{x})-(\bar{v}+\beta \bar{y}),[(1+\gamma \beta) \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{u}]-[(1+\gamma \beta) \bar{y}+\gamma \bar{v}]\rangle  \tag{10}\\
& =(1+2 \gamma \beta)\langle\bar{x}-\bar{y}, \bar{u}-\bar{v}\rangle+(1+\gamma \beta) \beta\|\bar{x}-\bar{y}\|^{2}+\gamma\|\bar{u}-\bar{v}\|^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

using the definition of $(\bar{x}, \bar{u}),(\bar{y}, \bar{v})$ and the formula of $A^{-1}$ in the first equality. Note that the inequality in (10) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle\bar{x}-\bar{y}, \bar{u}-\bar{v}\rangle \geq & -\frac{(1+\gamma \beta) \beta}{1+2 \gamma \beta}\|\bar{x}-\bar{y}\|^{2}-\frac{\gamma}{1+2 \gamma \beta}\|\bar{u}-\bar{v}\|^{2}=  \tag{11}\\
& -\frac{\rho}{2}\left(\|\bar{x}-\bar{y}\|^{2}+\|\bar{u}-\bar{v}\|^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

using (7), (8) in the equality. In view of the claim above and the invertibility of $A,(\bar{x}, \bar{u}),(\bar{y}, \bar{v})$ cover $G(T)$ when $(x, u),(y, v)$ run over $G(\bar{T})$. Thus, we conclude from (1) that the inequality in (11) is equivalent to the $\rho$-semimonotonicity of $T$.

We proceed now with the proof of (ii): In view of (i), if we can add a pair $(x, u)$ to $G(\bar{T})$ while preserving the monotonicity of $\bar{T}$, then we can add the pair $(\bar{x}, \bar{u})=A(x, u)$ to $G(T)$ and preserve the $\rho$-semimonotonicity of $T$, and viceversa. It follows that the maximal monotonicity of $\bar{T}$ is equivalent to the maximal $\rho$-semimonotonicity of $T$.

Corollary 1. If $T: H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ is maximal $\rho$-semimonotone then the operator $(T+\beta I)^{-1}+\mu I$ is onto for all $\mu>\gamma(\rho)$, where $\gamma(\rho)$ is given by (8).

Proof. By Theorem 2(ii), $\bar{T}=(T+\beta I)^{-1}+\gamma I$, with $\beta(\rho)$ as in (7), is maximal monotone. Since

$$
(T+\beta I)^{-1}+\mu I=\left[(T+\beta I)^{-1}+\gamma I\right]+(\mu-\gamma) I=\bar{T}+(\mu-\gamma) I
$$

and $\mu-\gamma>0$, the result follows from Minty's Theorem.

Corollary 2. If $T: H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ is maximal $\rho$-semimonotone then the operator $T+\lambda I$ is onto for all $\lambda \in(\beta(\rho), \eta(\rho))$, where $\beta(\rho)$ and $\eta(\rho)$ are given by (7) and (9) respectively.

Proof. Fix $\beta(\rho), \gamma(\rho)$ and $\eta(\rho)$ as in (7)-(9). Given $\lambda \in(\beta, \eta)$, define $\mu=$ $(\lambda-\beta)^{-1}>0$. In view of (9), $\lambda<\eta$ implies that $\mu>\gamma$. By Corollary 1 , $(T+\beta I)^{-1}+\mu I$ is onto. Fix $y \in H$. We must exhibit some $z \in H$ such that $y \in(T+\lambda I)(z)$. Since $(T+\beta I)^{-1}+\mu I$ is onto, there exists $x \in H$ such that $\mu y \in\left[(T+\beta I)^{-1}+\mu I\right](x)$, or equivalently, $\mu(y-x) \in(T+\beta I)^{-1}(x)$, that is to say,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in(T+\beta I)[\mu(y-x)] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $z=\mu(y-x)$. In view of (12), $y-\frac{1}{\mu} z=x \in(T+\beta I)(z)$, which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \in\left[T+\left(\beta+\frac{1}{\mu}\right) I\right](z)=(T+\lambda I)(z) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in view of the definition of $\mu$. It follows from (13) that the chosen $z$ is an appropriate one, thus establishing the surjectivity of $T+\lambda I$.

We prove next that if $T$ is $\rho$-semimonotone then $[T+\lambda I]^{-1}$ is point-to-point and continuous for an apropriate $\lambda$.

Theorem 3. Let $\beta(\rho)$ and $\eta(\rho)$ be given by (7) and (9) respectively. If $T$ : $H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ is $\rho$-semimonotone then the operator $(T+\lambda I)^{-1}$ is Lipschitz continuous for all $\lambda \in(\beta(\rho), \eta(\rho))$, with Lipschitz constant $L(\lambda)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\lambda)=\frac{|1-\rho \lambda|+\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}}{2 \lambda-\rho\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and henceforth point-to-point.

Proof. Take $u, v \in H, x \in(T+\lambda I)^{-1}(u)$ and $y \in(T+\lambda I)^{-1}(v)$. We must prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x-y\| \leq L(\lambda)\|u-v\| \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $u-\lambda x \in T(x), v-\lambda y \in T(y)$, so that, applying Definition 2,

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\frac{\rho}{2}\left[\|x-y\|^{2}+\|u-v-\lambda(x-y)\|^{2}\right] \leq  \tag{16}\\
\langle(u-\lambda x)-(v-\lambda y), x-y\rangle=\langle u-v, x-y\rangle-\lambda\|x-y\|^{2} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Expanding the last term in the leftmost expression of (16) and rearranging, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\lambda-\frac{\rho}{2}\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)\right]\|x-y\|^{2}-\frac{\rho}{2}\|u-v\|^{2} \leq}  \tag{17}\\
(1-\lambda \rho)\langle u-v, x-y\rangle \leq|1-\lambda \rho|\|u-v\|\|x-y\| .
\end{gather*}
$$

From the fact that $\lambda \in(\beta, \eta)$, it follows easily that $\lambda-\frac{\rho}{2}\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)>0$, so that, taking $u=v$ in (17), we obtain that $x=y$, and henceforth (15) holds when $u=v$. Otherwise, define

$$
\omega=\frac{\|x-y\|}{\|u-v\|}
$$

and observe that the inequality in (17) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[2 \lambda-\rho\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)\right] \omega^{2}-2|1-\lambda \rho| \omega-\rho \leq 0 . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, the fact that $\lambda \in(\beta, \eta)$ guarantees that the coefficient of $\omega^{2}$ in the left hand side of (18) is positive, so that (18) holds iff $\omega$ belongs to the interval whose extrems are the two roots of the quadratic in the left hand side of (18), namely

$$
\omega_{1}=\frac{|1-\rho \lambda|-\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}}{2 \lambda-\rho\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)}, \quad \omega_{2}=\frac{|1-\rho \lambda|+\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}}{2 \lambda-\rho\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)}
$$

It is not hard to check that $\omega_{1}<0<\omega_{2}$; the right inequality is immediate, and the left one follows easily from the fact that $\lambda$ belongs to $(\beta(\rho), \eta(\rho))$. Since $\omega=\|x-y\| /\|u-v\|$ is positive, we conclude that (18) is equivalent to $\omega \leq \omega_{2}$, which is itself equivalent to (15), in view of the definition of $L(\lambda)$, given in (14). The fact that $(T+\lambda I)^{-1}$ is point-to-point is an immediate consequence of (15).

Corollary 3. If $T: H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ is $\rho$-semimonotone then the operator $\left(T^{-1}+\right.$ $\lambda I)^{-1}$ is Lipschitz continuous for all $\lambda \in(\beta(\rho), \eta(\rho))$, with Lipschitz constant $L(\lambda)$ given by (14). If in addition $T$ is maximal, then $T^{-1}+\lambda I$ is onto for all $\lambda \in(\beta(\rho), \eta(\rho))$.

Proof. The result follows from Proposition 1, Corollary 2 and Theorem 3.

Remark 2. Note that $\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 1^{-}} \beta(\rho)=\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 1^{-}} \eta(\rho)=1$, and that $\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0^{+}} \beta(\rho)=0, \lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0^{+}} \eta(\rho)=+\infty$, so that the "regularity window" of a $\rho$-semimonotone operator $T$ (i.e., the interval of values of $\lambda$ for which $T+\lambda I$ is onto and its inverse is Lipschitz continuous), approaches the whole positive halfline when $\rho$ approaches 0 , i.e., when $T$ approaches plain monotonicity, and reduces to a thin interval around 1 when $\rho$ approaches 1 (remember that when $\rho=1$ the inequality in (1) holds for any operator $T$, meaning that no "regularity window" can occur for $\rho=1$ ).

Remark 3. Observe that

$$
0<\beta(\rho)<\rho<1<\frac{1}{\rho}<\eta(\rho)
$$

for all $\rho \in(0,1)$, so that $1, \rho$ and $\rho^{-1}$ always belong to the "regularity window" of a $\rho$-semimonotone operator $T$. We present next the values of the Lipschitz constant $L(\lambda)$ of $(T+\lambda I)^{-1}$ for the case in which $\lambda$ takes these three special values:

$$
\begin{gathered}
L(1)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}}\right), \quad L(\rho)=\frac{1}{\rho}\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}}\right) \\
L\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)=\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

We state next that the characterization of semimonotonicity presented in Lemma 1 for the one dimensional case is a necessary condition for the general case.

Corollary 4. If $T: H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ is $\rho$-semimonotone then the operator $(T+$ $\left.\rho^{-1} I\right)^{-1}$ is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant equal to $\theta(\rho)^{-1}$, where $\theta(\rho)$ is given by (2).

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 3 and Remark 3 with $\lambda=\rho^{-1}$.
A sufficient condition can be stated in terms of expansivity of $T$. We prove next that if $T$ is expansive, with expansivity constant larger than or equal to
$\eta(\rho)$ as given by (9) (an assumption stronger than Lipschitz continuity of $(T+$ $\left.\rho^{-1} I\right)^{-1}$ with Lipschitz constant equal to $\left.\theta(\rho)^{-1}\right)$, then $T$ is $\rho$-semimonotone.

Proposition 7. Take $\rho \in(0,1)$. If $T: H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ is $v$-expansive with $v \geq \eta(\rho)$, then $T$ is $\rho$-semimonotone.

Proof. Fix $u \in T(x)$ and $v \in T(y)$, with $x \neq y$. Define $t=\frac{\|u-v\|}{\|x-y\|}$. Then $t \geq v$ because $T$ is $\nu$-expansive. Therefore $t \geq t_{2}=\frac{1+\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}}{\rho}$, where $t_{2}$ is the largest root of the quadratic $\frac{\rho}{2} t^{2}-t+\frac{\rho}{2}$, as in the proof of Lemma 1. Thus,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\rho}{2}\left(t^{2}+1\right) \geq t \Longrightarrow \frac{\rho}{2}\left(\|x-y\|^{2}+\|u-v\|^{2}\right) \geq \\
\|u-v\|\|x-y\| \geq-\langle x-y, u-v\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $x \neq y$. Since the inequality in (1) is trivially valid when $x=y$, the result holds.
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