

Learning with trans signs – a disruptive transetopoiesis¹

Aprendizagens com signos trans – uma transetopoiese disruptiva

Pablo Cardozo Rocon *

Maria Elizabeth Barros de Barros**

Heliana de Barros Conde Rodrigues***

ABSTRACT

Based on cartography of lines of formation, the article questions the hypothesis, raised by literature, of the presence of a training insufficiency of health workers as a cause of disrespect to the social name, discrimination, and pathologization of trans identities which prevent the access of this population to health services. Audio-recorded interviews were made with 7 (seven) workers at an outpatient clinic of the Transexualizador Process of the Unified Health System (SUS), who belonged to the university hospital staff, and with 2 (two) users. A set of training strategies that converge towards a normalizing formation that crosses molar lines in which workers are disciplined by diagnostic protocols and manuals to apply binary gender norms and heteronormativity in their work processes, producing the pathologization of trans identities and making access selective is also analyzed. The bet made in this work is that the encounter with trans people can make learning emerge with signs that catalyze the experience of the art of becoming trans, which culminates in the malaise of the mismatch with the truths about genders and sexuality. Being free of such malaise supposes experimenting with such signs – a transetopoietic experimentation with the production of a body with new contours, capable of supporting the difference

1 Translated by Danuza Fonseca. E-mail: danfonsek@yahoo.com.br

* Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso. Cuiabá, Mato Grosso, Brasil. Email: pablocardoz@gmail.com - <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2696-5786>

** Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo. Vitória, Espírito Santo, Brasil. Email: betebarros@uol.com.br - <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1123-4374>

*** Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro. Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil. Email: helianaconde@uol.com.br - <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4687-3646>

that requires passage, opening the way for the production of modes of living and working with trans people's health that affirms the difference.

Keywords: Transsexualities. Health. Training processes. Learning with signs. Health workers.

RESUMO

Através de uma cartografia das linhas de formação, o artigo problematiza a hipótese, levantada pela literatura, da presença de uma insuficiência formativa dos trabalhadores da saúde como causa do desrespeito ao nome social, discriminação e patologização das identidades trans que impedem o acesso dessa população aos serviços de saúde. Foram realizadas entrevistas gravadas em áudio com 7 (sete) trabalhadoras de um ambulatório do Processo Transexualizador do Sistema Único de Saúde (SUS) lotado em hospital universitário, e com 2 (dois) usuários. Analisa-se um conjunto de estratégias formativas que convergem para uma formação normalizadora que percorre linhas molares, nas quais os(as) trabalhadores(as) são disciplinados por protocolos e manuais de diagnóstico a aplicar as normas binárias de gênero e a heteronormatividade em seus processos de trabalho, produzindo a patologização das identidades trans e tornando seletivo o acesso aos serviços. A aposta feita no artigo é a de que o encontro com as pessoas trans pode fazer emergir aprendizados com signos que catalisam a experiência de uma arte de fazer-se trans, a qual culmina no mal-estar do desencontro com as verdades sobre gêneros e sexualidade. Sair de tal mal-estar supõe experimentar-se com tais signos - uma experimentação transtopoiética com a produção de um corpo com novos contornos, capaz de suportar a diferença que pede passagem, abrindo caminho para a produção de modos de viver e trabalhar com a saúde trans que afirmem a diferença.

Palavras-chave: Transexualidades. Saúde. Processos formativos. Aprendizagens com signos. Trabalhadores da saúde.

Introduction

Thinking is not above all reasoning or calculating or arguing, as we have been taught, now and then, but it is above all giving meaning to who we are and what happens to us (LARROSA, 2017, p. 16-17)

Disrespect for the social name, episodes of institutionalized transphobia, and pathologization of trans identities have been highlighted by the scientific

literature as the main causes of non-access to health services by the trans population (ROCON *et al.*, 2019). Given this scenario, a bet on the insufficient training on the part of health workers as a cause of the issue under consideration emerges in the same literature.

In this context, Arán and Murta (2009, p. 17) outline “it is noted that one of the main challenges for the implementation of this type of assistance is the professional training of the interdisciplinary team and humanization measures, aiming at guaranteeing quality and free of discrimination care. Sehnem *et al.* (2017, p. 1682) affirm that the “lack of qualification of health professionals to serve this part of the population [...]” is the problem related to guaranteeing access to health for the trans population, especially in primary care.

Souza *et al.* (2015, p. 774) suggest, on the other hand, that “perhaps, a first step is to rethink the training of professionals who assist transvestites, especially the training of health professionals. Who knows that if we multiply ways of debating themes like sexuality, gender, and difference [...]”. Spizzirri, Anker, and Abdo (2017, p. 176) state, in this regard, that “several studies have sought to identify how health professionals approach the particularities of this group of people. These surveys report attitudes that could appear or be considered discriminatory and phobic”, betting that, before this scenario, a plausible solution would be to train and specialize workers.

In this article, we present the learning ideas with trans and disruptive transtopoiesis signs. Starting from them, we problematize the hypothesis of insufficient training when it translates into a quantitative problem, soluble by an increase in the number of courses, specializations, etc., made available to workers. We stake that in the encounter between the actors and the actresses of the daily life of trans health, in the form of malaise, learning with the trans signs emerges a call for an ethical-political-methodological repositioning of the workers, a transtopoiesis that summons them to give way, in their bodies and lives, to what differs, co-emerging with the trans population in the production of an etopoetic knowledge.

Methodology

The environment appears disturbing, and not transmitting information. Disturbing means affecting, posing a problem (KASTRUP, 1999, p. 115).

The research that composes this article was based on a cartographic posture, assuming the methodological reversal of *hódos-meta*, betting on what, in the course of life, we assume to be a framework as an empirical field, and also that we produced data, never presented a priori, to analyze the proposed problems.

Here we raise the problem of going through the lines of training that cut health services producing ways of working, managing, and caring for trans health. Not necessarily trying to produce attention to everything that happens, since this would not be possible, but giving way to what disturbs and affects. “As cartographers, we approach the field as foreign visitors from a territory that we do not inhabit. The territory is being explored through looks, listening, sensitivity to odors, tastes and rhythms” (BARROS; KASTRUP, 2009, p. 61) and, then, a certain ethical posture in the research field is affirmed.

We produced a set of 9 (nine) *conversational interviews* with 7 (seven) workers (from nursing, social work, medicine, and psychology areas) and 2 (two) trans people (trans Man and Trans Woman) in an outpatient clinic of SUS transsexualizing process in a university hospital which offers hormone therapy services, sex reassignment surgeries (sex change) and clinical, psychological and social monitoring.

Betting on conversations as a research tool “[...] implies assuming, ethically and politically, an investigative action as an (inter) shared action [...]” (RIBEIRO; SOUZA; SAMPAIO, 2018, p. 175). That way, we experience a conversational interview, avoiding making it a set of pre-established questions, which takes the form of a structured, semi-structured, or open questionnaire with a triggering question. “Whatever the tone, the question-answer procedure is designed to feed dualisms” (DELEUZE; PARNET, 1998, p. 29). In this sense, differently,

Accompanying the experience of saying, considering, and feeding the intensive circularity between the content and expression planes, is the challenge posed to the cartographic handling of the interview, this is what we seek in the research of the processes that make use of interviews, being them either groups or individuals Assuming interviews as a shared experience of the saying that, as we have seen, in its performativity creates world, always. (TEDESCO; SADE; CALIMAN, 2013, p. 316).

In agreement with the participants, we recorded the conversations in digital audio and built notes in a diary to record sensations, discomfort, and emerging learning, that is, what escaped the recording. In the same line of thought, we record pauses, repetitions, changes in route, choking, tones and interruptions,

facial expressions, and singular elements of speech as well. Above all, perhaps, we pay attention to the effects of the encounter between researcher, participants, and the field in the production of the experience of the narrative, that is, accompanying the experience of saying what supposes an opening to the event, unknown, unpredictable, procedural and not repeatable (LARROSA, 2017), behaving like “a territory of passage, something like a sensitive surface that [...] inscribes some marks, leaves some traces, some effects [...]” (LARROSA, 2017, p. 25). Hence, only through this opening, it was possible to produce data, since “[...] these (which, by the way, are never “data”) do not emerge only after the process [...]” (RODRIGUES, 2018, p.7).

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee under the opinion n° 3.334.302, and CAEE n° 05625118.4.0000.5542, when the field research was initiated and all of the interviewees consented to participate by completing the Free and Informed Consent Term. Information such as names of the participants, occupations, and the hospital are not presented as a form to guarantee anonymity, as agreed with them.

Results / discussion

Modeling lines of ways of working, caring, and managing trans people's health

In a meeting with the management, they said: - “You need to have a protocol of how many appointments you will do before referring for surgery if the patient will have a suicidal reaction and if he will regret it later or not”. That (laughs). Exactly. So the desire is to speak like this: - “It doesn't work”. Because that guy needs 30 [calls], the other needs 01 [calls], and if he is going to be sorry or not, I don't have a crystal ball (Worker 1).

Among women I feel a little more challenged, I realize that there is something like that, I feel more pressured about giving a report, a referral to surgery, what the surgery will be like; as if they were following that script thing for me to grant a report. When I try to get out of it a little bit, it seems that I'm not welcoming them (Worker 5).

She asked me if I had a relationship or not, or even if it was something frequent, about people, how things happened. Also, how it was like with the family, here at work, how it worked... And, everything else. And, I think the next meetings were kind of based on what I was bringing, every time I went, which was bothering me somehow. So, I remember that my follow-up was much more in this sense, and concerning this issue of surgery, and to understand what I wanted, and why, and everything.... Kind of to justify, too, why you want a surgery (User 1).

These three reports show the effects of the training processes that discipline the health working process with the transsexual and transvestite population using transsexual services based on devices as protocols, therapeutic flows, diagnostic manuals, and legislation. We understand device as

An undoubtedly heterogeneous set that includes speeches, institutions, architectural organizations, regulatory decisions, laws, administrative measures, scientific statements, philosophical, moral, philanthropic propositions. In short, what was spoken and the unspoken are elements of the device. The device is the network that can be established between these elements (FOUCAULT, 2014a, p. 364).

The aforementioned devices cross the daily lives of trans people, configuring “[...] relations of forces, whether to develop them in one direction, to block, to stabilize, or to use them” (FOUCAULT, 2014b, p. 47), due to attempts to normalize the bodies. In this sense, they converge for the production of what BENTO (2006; 2008) named the device of transsexuality, which seeks to produce knowledge amid power relationships that aim to fix genders to body structures, according to truths for genders presented as universal and before the existence of the bodies themselves.

Such facts, more specifically, present genders from a binary perspective, according to which “gender reflects, mirrors, sex” (BENTO, 2006, p. 90), and sexualities, under the heteronormative norm: “heterosexuality [...] as the standard [...]. All other forms of sexuality are considered, at best, incomplete, accidental, and perverse; and, at worst, pathological, criminal, immoral and destructive of civilization” (BORRILLO, 2010, p. 31). Hence, “In a society whose predominant norms for the intelligibility of bodies reside in the gender binary and heteronormativity, all bodies that do not fit this standard might be considered ill, as is the case with trans bodies.” (ROCON *et al.*, 2016, p. 2524).

Under such perspectives, the participants present how the devices in question, when producing a normalizing formation, model ways of working, of putting themselves at work and, then, possibly listening, seeing, speaking, and relating to users. We perceive that such devices also play an important role in surveillance over the working bodies, insofar as, through the quantification and surveillance of the attendances and/or events, they exercise a central determination on the practices and the organization of the work.

It is not too much to recall, as Foucault (2014c) pointed out, that health workers are the first object of normalization inside a hospital that operates as a clinic; thus, they can operate disciplinary processes and normalizers on the bodies made up of patients, users, etc. This way of operating can also be understood as the effect of training based on the perspective of scientification, protocolization, and technification of health practices. A perspective that Camargo Jr. calls biomedicine and, consequently, analyzes it through three of his propositions:

It addresses the production of speeches with universal validity, proposing models and laws of general application, not dealing with individual cases: generalizing character; the models mentioned above tend to naturalize the machines produced by human technology, turning the Universe into a gigantic machine, subordinated to principles of linear causality translatable into mechanisms: a mechanistic character; the theoretical and experimental approach adopted to elucidate the general laws of the functioning of the universal machine presupposes the isolation of parts, assuming that the functioning of the whole is necessarily given by the sum of its parts: analytical character (CAMARGO JR, 2005, p. 178-179).

Biomedicine presents a pre-determined body and world to health workers in training, whose invariability is ensured by normality dictated by universal biological laws of operation. In this perspective of formation, knowledge and information transmission are preached, scientific truths about norms and universal laws that regulate the normal functioning of the body and the world to apply them when necessary, to combat the then conceived as pathological and social deviations.

The dialogue with Camargo Jr (2005) enables the possibility to think of the fourth proposition about biomedicine: inscribed in a discursive order, organized as a discipline, biomedicine will be involved in the production of truths about the functioning of bodies, from discourses on health and pathology, normality and anomaly, separating them from other teratologies referring to a so-called false knowledge. According to Foucault (2014d):

Within their limits, each discipline recognizes true and false propositions; but it repels, outside its margins, a whole teratology of knowledge [...] Discipline is a principle of control of discourse production. It sets the limits for the game of an identity that takes the form of a permanent updating of the rules (FOUCAULT, 2014d, p. 31, 34).

The author problematizes, from a genealogical perspective, the production of speeches in power games and the constitution of a field defined as true. In this context, not every speech will be assumed to be true, as its speech will be organized by games of interdiction and normalization. Then, Foucault (2014e) speaks of a political economy of truth in which “[...] the truth is centered on the form of scientific discourse and the institutions that produce it [...]” (FOUCAULT, 2014e, p. 33).

Therefore, not everyone will be able to say what is desired, when it is desired, and in the way in which it is intended to affirm something. Those classified by a medical power/knowledge as abnormal and crazy and, in the case of this text, transsexuals and transvestites will be under the aegis of a kind of logophobia, which according to Foucault (2014d, p. 48), presents itself as a fear of discourse that may be presented as possessing something “[...] violent, discontinuous, combative, disorderly [...]”.

To the extent that “the speeches are elements or tactical blocks in the field of the correlation of forces” (FOUCAULT, 2013, p. 112), the processes of normalizing training will impute to the set of health practices of workers a search to unveil the truths behind the symptoms, the pathological manifestations, deviating from possible diagnostic and therapeutic mistakes through the use of protocol diagnostic devices. Devices that are presented in everyday health as guiding a practice called based on scientific evidence, which would be delimited in the real plane. In this plan, the truth, when proposed as a universal, invariable element and produced based on scientific neutrality, excludes a set of other propositions, conceived as teratologies of knowledge.

User 1, in her report, showed tiredness. Perhaps because we talked after she left work at the end of the day; but I also believe it was due to the fact of having to repeat and reiterate the truth to the workers who accompanied the report. Therefore, she told us that, to have the surgery, it was necessary to correspond to a real discourse on transsexuality. In her speech, it became necessary to select the elements that corresponded to an idea of true transsexuality, produced by the operation of the transsexuality device. In this truth produced for transsexuality, we seek to model the dissident experiences of the binary genre from discourses, powers, and knowledge, framing them in a regime of truth that preaches the

impossibility of being, living, and existing in the world in perspectives not foreseen by gender binarisms and heteronormativity.

In a tone that mixed indignation and incomprehension, user 1 questioned why the service could not be differentiated for those who had document changes; that is, a recognition, by the legal power, of the veracity of their identities.

In this standardized way, a working process is configured in a way that sets back eventual possibilities of exercise of autonomy by the user population through discursive police. There is a demand for a correspondence between the users and the real transsexual identity thought by the workers. These seek a possible correspondence between the narratives of users and trans users about their experiences, in gender and sexuality, and the truths printed in legislation and diagnostic manuals, which present the measurement of the performance of the binary genre and heteronormativity, by the trans population, as a necessary component of the working process and as a condition of access to health services (ROCON *et al.*, 2019). In this context, a user tells us about the astonishment of a worker when reporting her affective experiences as gays: “But are you a trans man with a man? [...] *That thing, like this*: - “But, you... I thought you were with a woman, a trans man”. *That facial expression. that when you see it, you imagine it, you know* (User 2).

The user’s talk takes us back to the analysis that Bento (2008, p. 87) carries out on the process of working with health services for the trans population, pointing out that “the only map that guides the eyes of the doctor and the team members are the socially established truths for genders”. Another element to be highlighted is the interdiction of discourse that we can perceive in the narrative of user 1 as a transsexual woman. In the follow-up process, she was bombarded with questions to be answered, and these questions were repeated in other consultations to assess the veracity of the answers. Such an interdiction is perceived to the extent that the user cannot say what she might understand as important in her therapeutic follow-up process, or what she might simply like to say. A condition was placed on her to only manifest herself when required and through the words expected from a real transsexual.

In this scenario, researchers such as Bento (2006; 2008) and Rocon *et al.* (2016; 2019) show how the trans population organizes their discourses in line with the idea of true transsexuality expressed in the diagnostic manuals and the understandings that workers Transsexualizing services have gender, sexuality, and true transsexuality. The script, then, would be a resistance strategy in the face of attempts at discursive interdiction, from which a selection is made of what to say and what to omit about the experiences and experiences with genders and sexuality, to obtain the report which conditions access to hormone therapy services and sexual reassignment surgeries.

In this respect, in her speech, worker 5 envisions presenting her attempts not to make the report as a central element in her working process with the trans population. Nevertheless, she says she feels challenged, as, according to her, the users pressure her for the report, not allowing the decentralization of the issue of the report to occur in the therapeutic monitoring.

Her look, while speaking, seems to reflect doubts or even concern with what she is saying. Perhaps for her, at that moment, being in front of a gay researcher familiar to her, could put her at risk of being reprimanded by the others because of her speech about the collection in the report. Yet, what I thought during her narrative and what I analyze here is the strength with which the device of transsexuality operates, and not only in the production of true transsexuality and the related exclusion of transsexuals and transvestites from public health services. But, also, how such mechanisms ties, bars, and prevents attempts to create care devices that escape binary gender norms.

After years of interdiction by health services through the device of transsexuality, the discourse of the trans population about their experiences in genders and sexualities, the presence of practices that seek to overcome the normalizing, disciplining, medicalizing, and pathologizing form in which these services have been organized, it may eventually be perceived as new attempts to gain the presence, or not, of the so-called true transsexuality, sounding, as a result, as a search to surprise users in a discursive vacillation that would harm their access to health service.

It is not too much to expect such a response from the trans population. As user 1 narrated to us – and we can also verify in the literature –, the configuration of health workers as true inquirers about a truth produced for genders and sexes has been present in transsexual services since its creation in Brazil, in 1997, by the Federal Council of Medicine.

Such analysis helps us to understand that the requirement of making the working process standardized, as worker 1 tells us, not to mention the diagnostic process that goes through it – and that presents itself and/or becomes more evident in the statements of worker 5 and user 1 – configure a normalization. This normalization crosses the lives of the subjects that make up the existential setting of health services, as the truths that guide, like a map, the views of workers are those through which their bodies, genders, and sexuality have also been shaped.

It is from this set of processes in everyday health that Rocon (2020), in dialogue with Gilles Deleuze, Felix Guattari, and Michel Foucault, claims that the molar lines of a formation that normalizes gender, sexuality, and practices of health workers. According to Deleuze and Parnet (1998, p. 151), “[...] all the hard segmentarity, all the lines of hard segmentarity involve a certain plan that concerns, at the same time, the forms and their development, the subjects and

their formation”. From them, dichotomous machines (male/female, teacher/student, teacher/disciple, social classes, public/private) derive so that if you are not a or b, you will be c. (DELEUZE; GUATTARI, 2012; DELEUZE; PARNET, 1998). Such lines produce an organization plan that seeks to establish identities under normative perspectives of the binary and heteronormative genre, modulating ways of living, being, and being in the world. The training strategies that these lines follow will be configured in a normalizing formation: the set of their practices tend to control a normalization of the bodies of the workers, for there is also a control and normalization of the trans bodies under supposedly neutral, universal, and invariant truths.

The strategies that make up a formation as a normalizer constitute a kind of orthopedics by standardization. Thus, they operate by transmitting information and representations to be applied by workers in health services, shaping health practices – managing and caring for health services –, guided by diagnostic manuals articulated by transsexuality as truth, and operated by the device of transsexuality.

The practices that emerge from this training restrict eventual autonomy exercises by the trans population over their bodies, genders, and sexuality; over their stories and experiences as they produce a hierarchical relationship between workers(s) and users. Such practices, permeated by relations of power/knowledge, operate the interdiction of discourses of the trans population considered untrue, discourses capable of enunciating/announcing possibilities of living with genders, bodies, and sexuality beyond the normative limits established by biomedical discourses, for transsexuals and transvestites. In these terms, there is a glimpse of the control and/or dissipation of emergencies that create possibilities of experiences with genders and sexualities, both in workers and in trans users, as well as in health practices that affirm the difference.

In resistance to the normalizing formation, on account of a disruptive transetopoiesis: escape through learning with trans signs.

I also learned to deconstruct my body. When you're in this job, it's not a one-way job, right? You are there to rescue also who you are, your existence as a gender, as a black, my sexuality, my libido. It all came into play, right? That's why I think working with transsexuality, in terms of gender diversity, is difficult. It involves you in several issues, it's not just getting there, doing your service, picking up your bag, and leaving. No, it goes with you! It accompanies you home, in your relationship

with your partner, in your desires, and you also open your mind: “I can also do many other things” [...]. So I am also a woman built differently, but how much I had to repress it, even in front of my partner so that we could live better. And now, working with that, I find out, hello?! It’s not like that! [guffaws]. [...] So we need to be there all the time [observing ourselves]. And working with diversity, it does that to you, it makes you think about the world, and it seems that you can do it differently. [...]. As a black woman, in fact, I always say the opposite, right? How much I had to shape my body, my thinking, to be able to live better in society. When in reality, society had to try to be the opposite in this story, right? (Worker 4).

The worker tells us about her learnings in the meetings, conversations, looks, and listening in the daily health in which she works with users and trans users. According to Dias, Barros, and Rodrigues (2018, p. 956), in a meeting, we have the opportunity to dive into a “relationship in which thought enters into connection with that which does not depend on it”. Such a formative process, unlike a normalizing formation, is established in a convergence of affections that result from the presence of the trans population, with their bodies and experiences, in the existential territory of health services.

In this process, the worker speaks of a dip in thinking about herself, about the relationships she establishes with her body, genders, sexualities, and skin color. In this return to self-care in Foucaultian terms (FOUCAULT, 2010), in which thoughts, ways of conducting life and relating to others and the world are observed, the worker seems to perceive herself silencing and also shaping her color and the so-called male experiences to report to their partner and the world.

It is interesting to note that, among the workers, she is the only one who presents her color and experiences as a body that is often marginalized and charged for modeling of modes of existence – once again based on binary gender and heteronormativity. This circumstance calls us, even though this aspect is not further explored in this text, to analyze the norms for gender and sexuality linked to whiteness, which converges in an oppression “[...] white and European-based cishetropatriarcal [...]”(RIBEIRO, 2020, p. 14). The normalization processes that led worker 4 through self-techniques, to the production of a woman subjectivity corresponding to the dimensions of prescribed roles, both in the relationship with her partner and with society.

To look within, one own thought and way of living the relations with sex, gender, and sexuality, Rocon (2020) called lines of learning with trans signs – which, as lines of flight, can be “defined by decoding and deterritorialization (there is always something like a war machine working on these lines)”(DELEUZE;

GUATTARI, 2012, p. 112). The idea of trans signs and of learning from such signs is produced in dialogue with Deleuze (2003, p. 29), for whom, more important than thinking is “what makes you think”. So that “thinking is always experimenting, not interpreting, but experimenting and the experimentation is always current, the spring, the new, which is about to be created” (DELEUZE, 2003, p. 136). For the author, we think and learn something through violence with which the signs, through an encounter, summons us to decipher them by their experimentation as catalysts of experiences. For the author:

The unity of all worlds is that they form systems of signs emitted by people, objects, materials; [...]. But the plurality of worlds consists in the fact that these signs are not of the same type, they do not appear in the same form, they cannot be deciphered in the same way, they do not maintain an identical relationship with their sense (DELEUZE, 2003, p. 5).

We interact with the world and the world with us by the signs emitted by people, objects, and materials. We invented, created worlds through the systems of signs immersed in the encounters we held: “The sign implies in itself heterogeneity as a relationship. You never learn by doing as someone else, but by doing it with someone, who has no similar relationship with what you learn” (DELEUZE, 2003, p. 21). Deleuze (2003) discusses the existence of four groups of signs: the worldly signs, the signs of love, the sensitivity, and the signs of art. Signs force us to think because:

The sign is the object of an encounter, but it is precisely the contingency of the encounter that guarantees the need for what he makes us think. The act of thinking results from a simple natural possibility; on the contrary, it is the only true creation. Creation is the genesis of the act of thinking in thought itself. Now, this genesis implies something that violates thought, which takes it away from its natural stupor, from its only abstract possibilities. Thinking is always interpreting, that is, explaining, developing, deciphering, translating a sign. Translating, deciphering, developing is the form of pure creation. There are neither explicit meanings nor clear ideas, there are only meanings involved in signs. [...] Creation, as the genesis of the act of thinking, will always arise from signs (DELEUZE, 2003, p. 91).

It is through the violence of a sign, as a catalyst for an experience that forces us to think, that we experience learning from something and/or someone. Very different from a learning process planned for the accumulation and transmission of information and representations, normalizing training in which we learn by effects of recognition, storing in memory for later application. Learning with signs is to experience a temporal formative process, in the order of the encounter, summoned by the malaise that moves the thought.

Among the trans signs, a category proposed for our analysis, we privilege the signs of art proposed by Deleuze (2003, p. 13), dematerialized signs to which the mundane, love, and the sensitive converge, is the world of art that “[...] integrates them, gives them the color of an aesthetic sense and penetrates what they still had as opaque”. The trans signs are signs of an art of making yourself trans, of active experimentation of life as a work of art, a work opened by the manipulation of bodies to live and exist in genres and sexualities not normatively limited.

In the encounter with transsexuals and transvestites we have the opportunity to learn from these signs. But this does not mean that they belong to the subjects who experience transsexuality and transvestism; they do not originate from a given identity. Hence, the search for an objective interpretation of trans signs, linking them to subjects or identities, will cause disappointment.

Disappointment is a fundamental moment of search or learning: in each field of signs, we are disappointed when the object does not reveal the secret we expected. [...] Few things are not disappointing the first time we see them, because the first time is the turn of inexperience, we are still not able to distinguish the sign and the object: the object interposes and confuses the signs (DELEUZE, 2003, p. 32).

The disappointment of trying to objectify to understand – for instance, linking trans signs to trans identities – is the first moment in a line of learning. The second is “the attempt to remedy this disappointment by a subjective interpretation, in which we build associative sets” (DELEUZE, 2003, p. 34). How do we learn, then, with trans signs? Experiencing an encounter with them. Accordingly, perhaps the disappointment of the workers in meeting with the trans signs is also the disappointment in meeting with the absence of the so-called universal truth about their bodies, genders, and sexuality.

Another account of learning with trans signs portrays the problem of the social name, which, despite being provided for in a set of laws, ordinances, and technical notes that guide work with trans health, remains neglected.

We had a place to assist the patients at the clinic, people went there, but I started to do things differently, I started to see them at the social service, because I wanted this population to enter the hospital. And then it started to cause problems and I started to be called even by the coordination of the ordinance. [They questioned] why I allowed people to come in even though I was not wearing appropriate clothes. But, what are these suits that are not appropriate? So, then, girls who wear short shorts can enter, why, here, a transvestite who wears short shorts cannot get in? [...] Something they didn't do, they did not use to have access to this discussion, little by little it was brought up. Yes, it may not have been the complete acceptance of the person itself, but we promoted this discussion, she was taken there, they had to face it and they had to learn to deal with it: - "Is it a boy? It's a girl? What do I call it?" So, these basic things, from the treatment, we managed to bring them inside, inside the social service that they didn't bother to discuss since the reception the staff asked: - "How do I call them?" [...] So, this discussion went to the meal table, [...] they [other workers] ended up being instigated to this because people were looking for me, looking for interns. So, how are you going to deal with it? So, I think this was one of the strategies that we started to bring to work, right? (Worker 4).

The use of the social name and the reception of transgender people in health services are configured as an important problem of access to health for this population. Worker 4 presents, in her narrative, the effects of the meeting with the other workers in the hospital community, many of them not involved in the transsexualizing process, with the trans bodies traversing the hospital corridors.

Then, there is a kind of public appearance of trans bodies at the Hospital, without the control of clinics, offices, outpatient clinics, surgical centers, wards, among other delimited hospital spaces, through which trans bodies should walk under control and surveillance. When circulating through hospital corridors, places that did not foresee their presence, the bodies appear as they are, with the clothes they wear, disturbing an environment that feels they can no longer control them by defining acceptable clothing and behaviors to walk through that territory.

The encounter with trans signs, by producing malaise for forcing to think about what had not been an object of concern until then, makes the social name and discrimination emerge as a concrete problem in the daily practice of health. No longer restricted to transsexualizing services and their structures within the hospital, they provoke workers with their violence to produce a new body to give way to new forms of existence, managing, and working.

A problem that forces them, in this case, to an experience, promoted by the change of posture; which forces the emergence of a way of working, listening, and looking at this population from a relationship that is not foreseen or possibly based on the transmission of information, concepts or representations about gender, sexuality, transvestite, transsexuality, etc.

Learning from the trans signs is to produce, in the order of the encounter with the trans experiences an etopoietic knowledge that, according to Foucault (2010) in his analysis of self-care and self-knowledge of the Greeks, enables the production, modification or transformation of an *êthos* – something quite different from the truth though as universal, invariable and before subjectivities, present in normalizing formation.

Learning from trans signs is to experience a disruptive transetopoiesis, a trans-etho-poi-ethical movement of subjective repositioning through the production of an *ethos* that experiences uncomfortably experiencing gender and sexuality relations in face of binary and heteronormative norms. Thus, the truth is not a priori granted to the subject, as they find it in the operations of trans-figuration and trans-production of an *ethos* that gives way to difference, “a discontinuous, non-universal, dispersed truth that is produced as an event” (CANDIOTTO, 2007, p. 204).

The encounter with the trans signs produces the malaise of an encounter with what differs, with what is in the order of an event and causes truth to emerge which, in its violence, makes us think. A truth that, in its provisionality, breaks with an organized and established field of knowledge, enabling the emergence of “a field in thought that is the embodiment of the difference that worries us, making thought a work of art” (ROLNIK, 1995, p. 246). Also according to Rolnik:

What forces us is the malaise that invades us when forces from the environment in which we live, which are the very consistency of our subjectivity, form new combinations, promoting differences in the sensitive state concerning the states we knew and in which we were situated. At this moment it is as if we are out of focus, and regaining focus requires us to make an effort to constitute a new figure. This is where thought work comes in: with it, we cross these sensitive states which, despite being real, are invisible and unspeakable, for the visible and the saying. Thought, then, is at the service of life in its creative power. When this is the work of thought, what comes first is the ability to let ourselves be affected by the forces of our time and to withstand the strangeness we feel when we are pulled out of the contour through which we previously recognized and were recognized (ROLNIK, 1995, p. 245).

It is the power of the signs of life as an open work that forces workers to an ethical repositioning in their clinical practices. It is necessary more than previous readings on the knowledge to know that trans lives have been framed in an identity-diagnostic prison. In contrast, such learning takes place in an ethical and aesthetic dimension, of permanent creation of practices, of repositioning care, working and managing with health services; also from looking, listening, feeling and problematizing oneself and the world; and still with a political dimension, certainly, “because it is a struggle against the forces that obstruct the source of becoming in us: reactive forces, reactionary forces” (ROLNIK, 1995, p. 246).

The encounter with trans signs invites us for an ethical repositioning towards life, experiences with gender and sexuality; a disruptive transtopoietic movement, escaping the binary genre and heteronormativity, inviting ways of living, and working that affirm the difference.

Inconclusive considerations

Betting on learning with the trans signs and, consequently, the production of a trans-epistemic and disruptive knowledge with gender and sexuality is to affirm the impossibility of thinking about the training processes with health workers for work in transsexual services without the encounter with transsexual and transvestite experiences. But not only: such signs, with the malaise that calls us to reflect on what we have done with ourselves and our ways of existence with gender and sexuality, can help us produce devices. Devices that allow the implementation of health policies as effective public policies, whose public character is engendered by the affirmation of the right to difference and the defense of a life that, according to Deleuze (2002), emerges in the middle, as pure immanence.

Hence, maybe we can envision the creation of training devices that allow us, in games of power/knowledge/discourse, to break with the worker-patient hierarchy towards the production of lateralization of this relationship, thus engendering a “collective management and creative malaise to allow other worlds to germinate” (PRECIADO, 2018, p. 17). These formative devices, it must be insisted, make room for the subjects to turn to themselves, experiencing transtopoietic movements that problematize ways of living and working, producing an inventive and beautiful existence in the relationships we establish with genders and sexualities.

REFERENCES

- ARÁN, Márcia; MURTA, Daniela. Do diagnóstico de transtorno de identidade de gênero às redescrições da experiência da transexualidade: uma reflexão sobre gênero, tecnologia e saúde. *Physis: Revista de Saúde Coletiva*, Rio de Janeiro, v. 19, n. 1, p. 15-41, 2009.
- BARROS, Laura Pozzana; KASTRUP, Virgínia. O funcionamento da atenção no trabalho do cartógrafo. In: PASSOS, Eduardo; KASTRUP, Virgínia; ESCÓSSIA, Liliana da (org). *Pistas do método da cartografia: pesquisa-intervenção e produção de subjetividade*. Porto Alegre: Sulinas, 2009. p. 52-75.
- BORRILLO, Daniel. *Homofobia: história e crítica de um preconceito*. Belo Horizonte: Autêntica, 2010.
- BENTO, Berenice. *A (re)invenção do corpo: sexualidade e gênero na experiência transexual*. Rio de Janeiro: Garamond, 2006.
- BENTO, Berenice. *O que é transexualidade*. São Paulo: Brasiliense, 2008.
- CANDIOTTO, César. Verdade e diferença no pensamento de Michel Foucault. *Kriterion*, Belo Horizonte, n.115, p. 203-217, 2007.
- CAMARGO JR., Kenneth Rochel. A Biomedicina. *Physis: Revista de Saúde Coletiva*, Rio de Janeiro, v. 15, p. 177-201, 2005. (Suplemento).
- DIAS, Rosimeri; BARROS, Maria Elizabeth; RODRIGUES, Heliana de Barros Conde. A questão da formação a partir de ‘Proust e os Signos’ – O acaso do encontro e a necessidade do pensamento. *ETD – Educação Temática Digital*, Campinas, v. 20, n. 4, p. 947-962, 2018.
- DELEUZE, Gilles. A imanência: uma vida. *Educação & Realidade*, Porto Alegre, v. 27, n. 2, p. 10-18, 2002.
- DELEUZE, Gilles. *Proust e os signos*. Rio de Janeiro: Forense Universitária, 2003.
- DELEUZE, Gilles; GUATTARI, Felix. *Mil platôs: Capitalismo e esquizofrenia*. v. 3. São Paulo: Ed. 34, 2012.
- DELEUZE, Gilles; PARNET, Claire. *Diálogos*. São Paulo: Escuta, 1998.
- FOUCAULT, Michel. *A Hermenêutica do Sujeito: curso no Collège de France (1981-1982)*. São Paulo: WMF Martins Fontes, 2010.
- FOUCAULT, Michel. *História da sexualidade I: a vontade de saber*. 23. ed. São Paulo: Graal, 2013.
- FOUCAULT, Michel. Sobre a história da sexualidade. In. FOUCAULT, Michel. *Microfísica do poder*. 28. ed. Rio de Janeiro: Paz e Terra, 2014a. p. 363-406.

FOUCAULT, Michel. O jogo de Michel Foucault. In: MOTTA, Manoel Barros. *Ditos e Escritos IX: Genealogia da Ética, Subjetividade e Sexualidade* (org.). 28. ed. Rio de Janeiro: Forense Universitária, 2014b. p. 44-77.

FOUCAULT, Michel. O nascimento da medicina social. In: FOUCAULT, Michel. *Microfísica do poder*. 28. ed. Rio de Janeiro: Paz e Terra, 2014c. p. 143-170.

FOUCAULT, Michel. *A Ordem do Discurso*: aula inaugural no Collège de France, pronunciada em 2 de dezembro de 1970. 24. ed. São Paulo: Edições Loyola, 2014d.

FOUCAULT, Michel. Entrevista com Michel Foucault. In: MOTTA, Manoel Barros. *Ditos e Escritos IX: Genealogia da Ética, Subjetividade e Sexualidade* (org.). 28. ed. Rio de Janeiro: Forense Universitária, 2014e. p. 13-34.

KASTRUP, Virgínia. *A invenção de si e do mundo*: uma introdução do tempo e do coletivo no estudo da cognição. Campinas, SP: Papirus, 1999.

LARROSA, Jorge. *Tremores*: escritos sobre experiências. Belo Horizonte: Autêntica, 2017.

PRECIADO, Paul. La izquierda bajo la piel: um prólogo para Suely Rolnik. In: ROLNIK, Suely. *Esféras da insurreição*: notas para uma vida não cafetinada. São Paulo: N-1 edições, 2018.

RIBEIRO, Tiago; SOUZA, Rafael; SAMPAIO, Carmen Sanches. É possível a conversas como metodologia de pesquisa? In: RIBEIRO, Tiago; SOUZA, Rafael; SAMPAIO, Carmen Sanches (org). *Conversa como metodologia de pesquisa, porque não?* Rio de Janeiro: Ayvu, 2018. p. 163-180.

RIBEIRO, Djamilá. Apresentação. In: AKOTIRENE, Carla. *Interseccionalidade*. São Paulo: Sueli Carneiro; Editora Jandaíra, 2020. p.13-15.

ROCON, Pablo Cardozo *et al.* Difficulties experienced by trans people in accessing the Unified Health System. *Ciência & Saúde Coletiva*, Rio de Janeiro, v. 21, p. 2517-2525, 2016.

ROCON, Pablo Cardozo *et al.* Desafios enfrentados por pessoas trans para acessar o processo transexualizador do Sistema Único de Saúde. *Interface*, Botucatu, SP, v. 23, p. 1-14, 2019

ROCON, Pablo Cardozo. *Processos Formativos de trabalhadores da saúde que atravessam a clínica transexualizadora*. 2020. 160f. Tese (Doutorado em Educação) – Centro de Educação, Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Vitória, 2020.

RODRIGUES, Heliana de Barros Conde. Fazendo o caminho ao andar – Verdade, poder e subjetivação em Michel Foucault. *Ecopolítica*, São Paulo, n. 21, 2018.

ROLNIK, Suely. Ninguém é deleuziano. Despedir-se do absoluto. [Entrevista cedida a] Lira Neto e Silvio Gadelha. *O Povo*, Caderno de Sábado, n. 6, Fortaleza, 18 nov.1995.

SEHNEM, Graciela Dutra *et al.* Assistência em saúde às travestis na atenção primária: do acesso ao atendimento. *Revista de Enfermagem*, Recife, v. 11, n. 4, p. 1676-1684, 2017.

SOUZA, Martha Helena Teixeira de *et al.* Violência e sofrimento social no itinerário de travestis de Santa Maria, Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública*, Rio de Janeiro, v. 31, n. 4, p. 767-776, 2015.

SPIZZIRRI, Giancarlo; ANKIER, Cila; ABDO, Carmita Helena Najjar. Considerações sobre o atendimento aos indivíduos transgêneros. *Revista Diagnóstico e Tratamento*, São Paulo, v. 22, n. 4, p.176-179, 2017.

TEDESCO, Silvia Helena; SADE, Christian; CALIMAN, Luciana Vieira. A entrevista na pesquisa cartográfica: a experiência do dizer. *Fractal*, Rio de Janeiro, v. 25, n. 2, p. 299-322, 2013.

Text received on 06/26/2020.
Text approved on 09/21/2020.