

Research Article

# A recombination point is conserved in the mitochondrial genome of higher plant species and located downstream from the *cox2* pseudogene in *Solanum tuberosum* L.

Susely F.S. Tada<sup>1</sup> and Anete P. Souza<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Centro de Biologia Molecular e Engenharia Genética, Campinas, SP, Brazil. <sup>2</sup>Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Instituto de Biologia, Departamento de Genética e Evolução, Campinas, SP, Brazil.

## Abstract

The potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) mitochondrial *cox3/sdh4/*pseudo-*cox2* gene cluster has previously been identified by heterologous hybridization using a *Marchantia polymorpha sdh4* probe. In our present study we used Southern blotting using *sdh4* and *cox2* probes to show that the *sdh4* and *cox2* genes are clustered in the mitochondria of potato, soybean and pea. Northern blotting revealed cotranscription of *sdh4* and *cox2* in potato but not in cauliflower, indicating that these genes are not clustered in cauliflower. A putative recombination point was detected downstream of the *cox2* pseudogene (pseudo-*cox2*) in potato mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA). This sequence corresponds to a 32 bp sequence which appears to be well-conserved and is adjacent to the terminals of some mitochondrial genes in *Citrullus lanatus*, *Beta vulgaris* and *Arabidopsis thaliana* and is probably involved in the genic rearrangements. It is possible the potato mtDNA pseudo-*cox2* gene was generated by recombination during evolution in the same way as that of several other mitochondrial genes and remains as an inactive partial copy of the functional *cox2* which was also detected in potato mtDNA.

Key words: mitochondrial DNA, rearrangement, plants, Solanum tuberosum, cox2.

Received: August 20, 2004; Accepted: May 10, 2005.

## Introduction

Mitochondrial genomes vary greatly in size, being about 5.7 to 76.5 kb in protists (Gray et al., 1998; Gray et al., 1999), 16 to 19 kb in vertebrates, 17 to 176 kb in fungi and 16 to 2400 kb in land plants (including algae) (Ohta et al., 1998). Angiosperm mitochondrial genomes are the biggest and most complex relative to other eukaryotes (Newton, 1998), their large size being due not only to the fact that plant mitochondria encode two to three times more genes than animals and fungi but also to the frequent recombination events, sequence rearrangements producing pseudogenes (Burger et al., 2003) and the integration of DNA from the nucleus or chloroplast (Schuster and Brennicke, 1988). Mitochondrial insertions in the nuclear genome have also been reported (Stupar et al., 2001). There is great variation in genome size and gene organization given that mitochondrial organization is quite variable among species due to the large amount of mitochondrial recombination which produces subgenomic molecules or isomer forms of

Send correspondence to Anete P. Souza. Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Instituto de Biologia, Caixa Postal 6109, 13083-970 Campinas, SP, Brazil. E-mail: anete@unicamp.br.

the master mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) genome by intra and interspecific recombination mechanisms via repeated sequences in direct or inverted orientation (Lonsdale, 1984; Newton, 1988; Hanson and Folkerts, 1992; Suzuki *et al.*, 1996). Repetitions of small size achieve intragenic recombination, which can result in the formation of new open reading frames (*ORFs*) or pseudogenes (Mackenzie and McIntosh, 1999).

The high level of recombination occurring in plant mtDNA results in great structural complexity of the mitochondrial genome; the advantage of this to the organelle perhaps being the maintenance of variation which results in different phenotypic subpopulations (Mackenzie and McIntosh, 1999). Recombination and amplification of sequences are responsible for the difference in size among mitochondrial genomes of the Bryophyte *Marchantia polymorpha*, algae and higher plants (Turmel *et al.*, 2002; Städler and Delph, 2002) because in *Marchantia* duplications do not recombine whereas in higher plants some sequences are several kilobases in size and participate in homologous recombination which results in a complex arrangement of molecules (Wissinger *et al.*, 1991). The frequency of homologous recombination is probably related to the abundance of repeated sequences able to recombine, large repetitions (0.7 to 120 kb) being considered responsible for the appearance of multipartite structures such as subgenomic circles while small repetitions recombine in response to stress and generate possible mutations, deletions and duplications as well as simple genic rearrangements (Nedelcu and Lee, 1998). Recombination may create chimeric genes and a duplicate repetitive region which contributes to genome expansion, as known to be the case in the mitochondrial genomes of cucumbers (Lilly and Havey, 2001).

It is known that in the mitochondria of some plants integrated sequences originated in the nucleus or chloroplast because the insertion point of these sequences are randomly distributed in the mitochondrial genome (Stern and Palmer, 1984; Brennicke et al., 1993). Sequences encoding tRNAs or other genes may or may not conserve their expression ability (Breiman and Galun, 1990). During transfer between different cell compartments a gene sequence duplicates either by RNA synthesis and reverse transcription to produce cDNA which inserts itself in the new compartment or by transposition without intermediate RNA synthesis in which the original gene sequence is replicated and the DNA copy migrates to the new cell compartment where it installs itself and becomes a functional gene. However, these are hypothetical mechanisms of genic transference being that the real process remains unclear (Schuster and Brennicke, 1988; Ayliffe et al., 1998). Moreover, transfer of sequences from mitochondria to the nucleus have been well-studied and it is accepted that not only do the transferred genes produce pseudogenes in the donor genome but they suffer a high proportion of nucleotide substitution in comparison to the normally encoded genes, meaning that the neutral mutations occur in high frequency in these pseudogenes due to its gradual loss of functionality (Laroche et al., 1997).

The study reported in this paper concerned the cyclooxygenase (COX) pseudogene *cox2* occurring in the cyclooxygenase/succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) gene cluster *cox3/sdh4*/pseudo-*cox2* of potato mtDNA and other species. We investigated the relationship of the *cox3/sdh4*/pseudo-*cox2* gene cluster not only to its genomic localization and organization in some angiosperms species but also to its cotranscription profile and the relationship of the cluster to the 32 nt sequence (AAGCAATGCCCAAAGACT CCCATTTCTTTCTT) located downstream from it and which is conserved in the mitochondria of some species and appears to be involved in rearrangement and/or recombination events. This small sequence is presumed to be involved in the formation of pseudogene *cox2* and its consequent location downstream to *sdh4* in potato.

#### Material and Methods

Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) was isolated from etiolated seedlings of coix (*Coix lacryma-jobi*, cv Adlay), maize (*Zea mays*, cv AGF352), pea (*Pisum sativum*, cv Mikado), soybean (*Glycine max*, cv IAC-5), potato tubers (*Solanum tuberosum*, cv Binje) and cauliflower inflorescence (*Brassica oleracea*, from a local market). The mitochondria were lysed and the mtDNA separated by cesium chloride-ethidium bromide centrifugation, being after this digested using the *Bam*HI restriction enzyme as described by Siqueira *et al.* (2001). Standard procedures (Sambrook *et al.*, 1989) were used for restriction enzyme digestions and agarose gel electrophoresis. Potato and cauliflower mitochondrial RNAs (mtRNAs) were prepared as described by Stern and Newton (1986), treated with DNAse I (Sigma, USA) to remove any residual DNA and then phenolextracted and precipitated.

Restriction-digested mtDNAs were transferred to Hybond-N filters (Amersham, UK) by standard procedures (Sambrook et al., 1989). The DNA fragments used as probes were purified from gel-slices by electroelution and labeled by random hexamer priming. Homologous hybridizations were carried out using as probes the potato sdh4 gene, exon 2 of the wheat cox2 gene, and the potato sdh4/pseudo-cox2 cluster against BamHI-digested mtDNA from the angiosperms potato, cauliflower, maize, coix, soybean and pea and the posterior procedures being performed under the conditions described by Sambrook et al. (1989). The mtRNAs isolated from potato and cauliflower were treated with DNase I in order to eliminate DNA traces, fractionated by electrophoresis onto 1.2% (w/v) agarose gel (5 µg mtRNA per lane) and blotted onto Hybond-N filters. Probe labeling, hybridization, solutions to wash the membrane and the full procedures are described in Siqueira et al. (2001).

To detect similar sequences to the potato 32 nt sequence (*i.e.* downstream from pseudo-*cox2*) we used the BLAST program (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST).

#### Results

Probes consisting of *cox2* gene (only exon 2) from wheat and *sdh4* and *sdh4*/pseudo-*cox2* genes from potato have been used in homologous hybridization in order to detect the conserved *sdh4*/pseudo-*cox2* genic cluster in the *Bam*HI-digested mtDNAs from potato, cauliflower, maize, coix, soybean and pea. Only one of the *cox2* exons from wheat was used device to the high conservation degree of this gene sequence in different plant species. Several bands showing the same sizes were obtained in the hybridizations performed with the three probes (*cox2*, *sdh4* and *sdh4*/pseudo-*cox2*, Figure 1). The *sdh4*/pseudo-*cox2* probe consisted of these two clustered genes so, the hybridization bands obtained with this probe corresponded to the sum of all bands obtained in hybridization approaches using the *cox2* or *sdh4* probes separately.

Potato mtDNA hybridized with the *cox2* probe resulted in three bands of which the largest produced a weak



**Figure 1** - Hybridizations between mtDNA from some plant species and cox2/sdh4, sdh4 and cox2 probes (indicated at the top of the autoradiographs). Legends: A = potato, B = cauliflower, C = maize, D = coix, E = soybean, F = pea, M = molecular size markers ( $\lambda$ *Hind*III/ $\phi$ x*Hae*III). The black points indicate bands of the same size.

autoradiographic signal representing a fragment of about 10 kb. This is the same fragment that appeared in hybridizations using the sdh4 probe and corresponds to the cox2-containing fragment located downstream from the potato sdh4 gene. It thus appears that both the *cox2* and *sdh4* genes are located in the same BamHI fragment detected by cox2 and sdh4 probes in the performed hybridizations. This same 10 kb fragment has appeared in previous hybridization studies with the cox3 probe, indicating that the cox3 gene is located on the same BamHI fragment that contains the cox2 and sdh4 genes, in a clustered arrangement of genes (Siqueira et al., 2001). The other two bands showing a strong autoradiographic signal may each correspond to two copies of the cox2 gene in the potato mtDNA. There is also the possibility that the BamHI enzyme cut the cox2 gene in two fragments if there is a restriction site for this enzyme inside this gene. In this case, each part of the digested cox2 gene strongly hybridized with the *cox2* probe.

Hybridization performed with cauliflower mtDNA resulted in two bands with a strong intensity in the autoradiography, but neither of which corresponded to the ~12 kb fragment appearing in hybridizations with the *sdh4* probe. This demonstrates that in cauliflower mtDNA the *cox2* gene is located far from the *sdh4* gene and does not form a genic cluster in this plant. These two bands may point to the existence of two copies of the *cox2* gene in the mitochondrial genome or they may reflect again the existence of a *Bam*HI restriction site inside the *cox2* gene. This is supported by the same fact that may occur in potato: each *Bam*HI fragment containing a piece of *cox2* gene strongly hybridized with the used probe.

Soybean mtDNA resulted in only single band of  $\sim$ 8 kb size in the hybridizations with the *sdh4* and *cox2* probes. Probably, this fragment is corresponding to an intact *cox2* gene, indicating that in soybean the *cox2* gene is located close to the *sdh4* gene.

Pea mtDNA also produced one intense band ( $\sim$ 6.6 kb) when hybridizing with the *cox2* probe and this band is corresponding to the same band that appeared in hybridization

with the *sdh4* probe, indicating that these genes are located next between them.

Maize and coix mtDNAs produced bands in the hybridization with the cox2 probe that were not appeared in the hybridization with the sdh4 probe, indicating that in these plants the cox2 and sdh4 genes are located in distant positions along the mitochondrial genome. Moreover, in these species, this result indicates that there is only one cox2 sequence without any copies.

Northern blots containing total mtRNA from potato and cauliflower were performed in order to detect *sdh4*/pseudo-*cox2* cotranscription. MtDNAs from these plants were hybridized against the same probes used in the previous hybridizations (Figure 2). The results show that in potato, there is a 4.4 kb transcript common to the *sdh4* and *cox2* probes; a fact that indicates cotranscription of these genes. It is noteworthy again that the transcripts observed in



**Figure 2** - Hybridization between mtRNA from potato and cauliflower with the following probes (indicated at the top of the pictures): A = sdh4, B = sdh4/cox2 and C = cox2. The numbers on the left of the pictures indicate the transcripts size in kb based on an Invitrogen 0.24 to 9.5 kb RNA Ladder molecular size marker (M). (•) indicates the common transcripts for cox2 and sdh4 probes. The observed transcripts in the hybridization with the cox2/sdh4 probe correspond to the same transcripts observed in the separate hybridizations with sdh4 and cox2.

the hybridizations with the *sdh4*/pseudo-*cox2* probe correspond to the same obtained in each separate hybridization with the *sdh4* or *cox2* probes. In the hybridization with cauliflower mtRNA a single 1.6 kb band was obtained with the *cox2* gene which did not appear in the hybridizations with the *sdh4* probe, indicating that in these plants, cotranscription does not occur between *sdh4* and *cox2* and that *sdh4* and *cox2* genes are not clustered. This observation is in agreement with the conclusions obtained from the Figu-

re 1. Downstream from the partial cox2 sequence, itself placed downstream from the *sdh4* gene in the potato, there is a small sequence (Figure 3.A) corresponding to 32 nucleotides (AAGCAATGCCCAAAGACTCCCATTTCTT TCTT GenBank: AF280607) which are highly (93-100% similarity) conserved in other mitochondrial genomes. Employing the BLAST program for sequence identity search, it was revealed the presence of this small sequence in other mtDNAs besides potato (Figure 3.B). This sequence was found in watermelon (Citrullus lanatus) (AF288042), sugar beet (Beta vulgaris) (Kubo et al., 2000), Arabidopsis thaliana (Unseld et al., 1997) and Brassica napus (GenBank: AP006444) and seems to be involved in mitochondrial genome rearrangement. In Citrullus, this sequence locates upstream to nad9 gene. In sugar beet the same sequence is located in different regions upstream to the atp6 and atpA genes, to a direct repeat of the mitochondrial genome and downstream to the rps7 gene and cox2pseudogene. In A. thaliana, this sequence is located upstream to nad9 and downstream to the rpl16 and atp9 genes, while in B. napus the sequence appears upstream to c-type cytochrome synthesis gene (ccmFN2 or cycK) and downstream to the nad9 gene. These results indicate conservation of this sequence between some plant species. In some cases, the 32 nt potato sequence is not totally conserved but appears as a partial sequence in various plants. In sugar beet and some other plants there are several small fragments that share partial homology with the potato fragment (data not shown) which may be involved in recombination events in the mitochondrial genome of these species throughout evolution.

#### Discussion

Our hybridization results (Figure 1) show the presence of the cox3/sdh4/pseudo-cox2 cluster (Siqueira *et al.*, 2001) in potato mtDNA but this sequence was not identified in the other plants analyzed in this study (cauliflower, coix, maize, pea and soybean), which is another example of the complexity and diversity of plant mitochondrial genomes due to their inherent dynamism and high level of recombination. The sdh4/cox2 cluster (the pseudo-cox2cluster) was encountered in potato, pea and soybean but not in cauliflower, coix and maize, where these genes were encountered not as a cluster but separately. Moreover, if in potato the putative single copy of cox2 was not cut by *Bam*HI there is a possibility that the potato genome contains a second copy of this gene.

As mentioned above, the *sdh4/cox2* cluster is absent from the mitochondrial genome of cauliflower, coix and maize, where there were single bands for each gene indicating that they were unclustered, but, however, another approach is necessary in order to detect the presence or absence of cox2 in the nucleus. If this gene is encountered in this compartment, this could indicate that genic transfer occurred during evolution and perhaps the mitochondrial copy could have been inactivated and replaced by a pseudogene. Multiple transference processes have been described for structural and respiratory chain genes in plant mitochondria, especially for the respiratory chain genes sdh4 (Adams et al., 2001) and cox2 (Kanazawa et al., 1998; Adams et al., 1999; Subramanian et al., 2001). However, as was observed by us in pea and soybean, the cited studies gave no evidence for the evolution of a recombined sequence or site that could have allowed the separation of cox2 and sdh4 or of fragments of these genes (pseudogenes) dispersed in the rest of the genome.

We found that in maize and coix the cox2 and sdh4 genes are dispersed in the mitochondrial genome and appear as a single copy, indicating the absence of a recombination event that placed these sequences in close together or created pseudogenes. In cauliflower mtDNA, however, the cox2 probe revealed two bands which may corresponding either to cox2 cut by BamHI or two copies of the cox2 gene, although the sdh4/cox2 cluster was absent. Adams et al., (1999) has shown that in some plant species the cox2gene has been transferred from the mitochondria to the nucleus while in other species it remains in the mitochondria or still it can exists like a functional nuclear copy in addition to a pseudogene that remains located in the mitochondria. Moreover, Lupold et al. (1999) reported that rearrangement of sequences influences the activity of cox2 promoters in maize mitochondria.

Northern Blotting performed with total mtRNA from the potato and cauliflower against *sdh4* and *cox2* probes indicated that cotranscription of the *sdh4*/pseudo-*cox2* cluster occurred only in potato and not cauliflower (Figure 2). This observation was to be expected because *sdh4* and *cox2* are separated in cauliflower (Figure 1) which means that cotranscription between these genes is not to be expected. In cauliflower mtDNA there were no transcript bands common to both the probes, while in potato there was a common 4.4 kb transcript that was obtained with both probes. Unseld *et al.* (1997) stated that cotranscription of mitochondrial genes in plants is a frequent event because many mitochondrial genes are clustered.

The 32 nt sequence we detected alongside pseudocox2 sequence is a further example of the small sequences commonly involved in the frequent rearrangements which occur in the mitochondrial genome of plants. The conservation of the this sequence in some plant species may point to



**Figure 3** - Diagrams (not to scale) showing the position of the conserved potato mitochondrial sequence. (A) Linear nucleotide potato sequence. The *atga* sequence at site 795 indicates the *atg* start codon for *sdh4* and the *tga* stop codon for *cox3*. Nucleotide numbers are shown on the right and square brackets indicate the start/stop for each gene. The 32 nt recombinatory sequence conserved in some genomes is underlined and in bold. (B) Representative diagram showing the total sequence analyzed in this research. Numbers above the bar indicate the start and stop positions for the *cox3* and *sdh4* genes, pseudo-*cox2* and the 32 nt conserved sequence (in evidence below the diagram). Each cm in the bar corresponds to 50 nt.

it having a useful function, its association with various genes in diverse plant species reflects its ability to recombine during evolution. In *Beta vulgaris*, p. ex. these conserved sequence appears to be dispersed along the genome in association with different genes (Kubo *et al.*, 2000) and may be involved in the rearrangement process. In potato

this sequence may be responsible for the interruption of the cox2 sequence and could be associated with the relatively high recombination rate of the cox2 genic region, which may result in a partial cox2 sequence located downstream from the *sdh4* gene. Ceci *et al.* (1993) have reported that in sunflower a recombination event occurred downstream

from the *sdh4* gene and that this event inserted a 417 bp chloroplast DNA fragment which included a ct-tRNA<sup>Val</sup> sequence. This recombination event took place four nucleotides upstream from the insertion point of the *cox2* partial sequence in potato mtDNA, illustrating that this region seems to be a 'hot spot' for recombination events in various species. An interesting fact is that BLAST analysis (data not shown) showed that in *Arabidopsis* and sugar beet mtDNA the *cox2* pseudogene is conserved adjacent to the 32 nt sequence just as in potato mtDNA.

Our analysis of the cox2 gene and the associated recombinatory sequence is another example of the very complex and dynamic structure of plant mitochondrial genomes. Further analysis should be carried out with the plant species investigated by us to establish whether or not transcription initiation and processing sites exist and to determine the transcript promoter region and also to verify the presence or absence of the cox2 sequence in the nucleus.

### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Dr. Bernard Lejeune (Institute de Biotechnologie des Plantes, Université de Paris-Sud, Orsay, France) for helpful suggestions and comments. This work was supported by grants to A.P.S. from the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP, process n. 96/03520-8) and Fundo de Apoio à Pesquisa, UNICAMP (FAEP-UNICAMP, 012/96, 0409/97). A.P.S. was also the recipient of a research fellowship from the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq); S.F.S.T. was supported by a graduate fellowship from FAPESP (process n. 98/12723-5).

#### References

- Adams KL, Song K, Roessler PG, Nugent JM, Doyle JL, Doyle JJ and Palmer JD (1999) Intracellular gene transfer in action: Dual transcription and multiple silencings of nuclear and mitochondrial *cox2* genes in legumes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:13863-13868.
- Adams KL, Rosenblueth M, Qiu Y-L and Palmer JD (2001) Multiple losses and transfers to the nucleus of two mitochondrial succinate dehydrogenase genes during angiosperm evolution. Genetics 158:1289-1300.
- Ayliffe MA, Scott NS and Timmis JN (1998) Analysis of plastid DNA-like sequences within the nuclear genomes of higher plants. Mol Biol Evol 15:738-745.
- Breiman A and Galun E (1990) Nuclear-mitochondrial interrelation in angiosperms. Plant Science 71:3-19
- Brennicke A, Grohmann L, Hiesel R, Knoop V and Schuster W (1993) The mitochondrial genome on its way to the nucleus: Different stages of gene transfer in higher plants. FEBS Lett 325:140-5.
- Burger G, Gray MW and Lang BF (2003) Mitochondrial genomes: Anything goes. Trends Genet 19:709-716.

- Ceci LR, Saiardi A, Siculella L and Quagliariello C (1993) A tRNA<sup>Val</sup>(GAC) gene of chloroplast origin in sunflower mitochondria is not transcribed. Plant Mol Biol 23:727-736.
- Gray MW, Lang BF, Cedergren R, Golding GB, Lemieux C, Sankoff D, Turmel M, Brossard N, Delage E, Littlejohn TG, Plante I, Rioux P, Saint-Louis D, Zhu Y and Burger G (1998) Genome structure and gene content in protist mitochondrial DNAs. Nucl Acids Res 26:865-878.
- Gray MW, Burger G and Lang BF (1999) Mitochondrial evolution. Science 283:476-1481.
- Hanson MR and Folkerts O (1992) Structure and function of the higher plant mitochondrial genome. Internat Rev Citol 141:129-165.
- Kanazawa A, Tozuka A and Shimamoto Y (1998) Sequence variation of chloroplast DNA that involves *Eco*RI and *Cla*I restriction site polymorphisms in soybean. Genes Genet Syst 73:111-119.
- Kubo T, Nishizawa S, Sugawara A, Itchoda N, Estiati A and Mikami T (2000) The complete nucleotide sequence of the mitochondrial genome of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) reveals a novel gene for tRNA<sup>Cys</sup>(GCA). Nucl Acids Res 28:2571-2576.
- Laroche J, Li P, Maggia L and Bousquet J (1997) Molecular evolution of angiosperm mitochondrial introns and exons. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:5722-5727.
- Lilly LW and Havey MJ (2001) Small, repetitive DNAs contribute significantly to the expanded mitochondrial genome of Cucumber. Genetics 159:317-328.
- Lonsdale DM (1984) A review of the structure and organization of the mitochondrial genome of higher plants. Plant Mol Biol 3:201-206.
- Lupold DS, Caoile AGFS and Stern DB (1999) Genomic context influences the activity of maize mitochondrial *cox2* promoters. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:11670-11675.
- Mackenzie S and McIntosh L (1999) Higher plant mitochondria. Plant Cell 11:571-585.
- Nedelcu AM and Lee RW (1998) Short repetitive sequences in green algal mitochondrial genomes: Potential roles in mitochondrial genome evolution. Mol Biol Evol 15:690-701.
- Newton KJ (1988) Plant mitochondrial genomes: Organization, expression and variation. Ann Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 39:503-532.
- Ohta N, Sato N and Kuroiwa T (1998) Structure and organization of the mitochondrial genome of the unicellular red alga *Cyanidioschyzon merolae* deduced from the complete nucleotide sequence. Nucl Acids Res 26:5190-5198.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF and Maniats T (1989) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, V. 1.
- Schuster W and Brennicke A (1988) Interorganellar sequence transfer: Plant mitochondrial DNA is nuclear, is plastid, is mitochondrial. Plant Sci 54:1-10.
- Siqueira SF, Dias SMG, Lejeune B and Souza AP (2001) Marchantia polymorpha mitochondrial *orf* identifies transcribed sequence in angiosperm mitochondrial genome. Biochim Biophys Acta 1520:203-11.
- Städler T and Delph LF (2002) Ancient mitochondrial haplotypes and evidence for intragenic recombination in a gynodioecious plant. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99:11730-11735.

A new recombination point in plant mitochondrial DNA

- Stern DB and Newton KJ (1986) Isolation of plant mitochondrial RNA. Methods Enzymol 118:488-496.
- Stupar RM, Lilly JW, Town CD, Cheng Z, Kaul S, Buell CR and Jiang J (2001) Complex mtDNA constitutes an approximate 620-kb insertion on *Arabidopsis thaliana* chromosome 2: Implication of potential sequencing errors caused by largeunit repeats. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98:5099-5103.
- Subramanian S, Fallahi M and Bonen L (2001) Truncated and dispersed *rp12* and *rps19* pseudogenes are co-transcribed with neighboring downstream genes in wheat mitochondria. Curr Genet 39:264-272.
- Suzuki T, Kawano S, Sakai A, Hirai A and Kuroiwa T (1996) Variability of mitochondrial subgenomic molecules in the

meristematic cells of higher plants. Genes Genet Syst 71:329-333.

- Turmel M, Otis C and Lemieux C (2002) The chloroplast and mitochondrial genome sequences of the charophyte *Chaetosphaeridium globosum*: Insights into the timing of the events that restructured organelle DNAs within the green algal lineage that led to land plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99:11275-11280.
- Unseld M, Marienfeld JR, Brandt P and Brennicke A (1997) The mitochondrial genome of *Arabidopsis thaliana* contains 57 genes in 366924 nucleotides. Nature Genet 15:57-61.
- Wissinger B, Schuster W and Brennicke A (1991) Trans splicing in *Oenothera* mitochondria: *nad1* mRNAs are edited in exon and trans-splicing group II intron sequences. Cell 65:473-482.

Associate Editor: Marcio de Castro Silva Filho