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#### Abstract

$\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ catalisa a reação de acoplamento entre 2,4-diiodoquinolina e alquinos terminais em água, proporcionando uma síntese prática, de uma etapa, de 2,4-dialquinilquinolinas. Uma série de derivados de quinolinas relacionadas foi preparada em bons a excelentes rendimentos, usando esta metodologia baseada em água. O uso de outros catalisadores de paládio e solventes foi examinado e o mecanismo de reação discutido.


$\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ facilitated dual $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond forming reaction between 2,4-diiodoquinoline and terminal alkynes in water providing a practical and one-step synthesis of 2,4-dialkynylquinolines. A number of related quinoline derivatives were prepared in good to excellent yields using this water-based methodology. The use of other palladium catalysts and solvents was examined and the mechanism of the reaction has been discussed.
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## Introduction

The quinoline core (p-electron deficient heterocycle) being one of the privileged structures for the design and development of potential new drugs have found wide applications in pharmaceutical research. ${ }^{1-4}$ Though a wide variety of quinoline derivatives have been reported earlier a thorough literature search however revealed that 2,4-dialkynylquinolines surprisingly remained unexplored so far. As part of our ongoing program on building of quinoline-based library of small molecules we were in need of a wide range of 2,4-dialkynylquinolines and a convenient synthetic methodology to access these derivatives.

The palladium mediated alkynylation of aryl/ heteroaryl halides under Sonogashira condition ${ }^{5}$ has become a highly effective tool for the introduction of an alkynyl moiety to aryl or heteroaryl ring. ${ }^{6}$ The reactivity of 2,4-dihaloquinolines towards alkynylation reaction under

[^0]Sonogashira or modified conditions has been studied earlier (Scheme 1). For example 2,4-dibromoquinoline derivative provided the 2-alkynylated product $\mathbf{A}$ (Scheme 1) selectively when treated with a terminal alkyne. ${ }^{7}$ Similarly, 2,4-dichloroquinoline afforded a 2-alkynyl derivative when coupled with a terminal alkyne under $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cu}$ catalysis in water. ${ }^{8}$ Coupling of 2-bromo-4-iodoquinoline derivative with a terminal alkyne in the presence of $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}-\mathrm{CuI}$ though provided a 2,4-dialkynylated product $\mathbf{B}$ in $17 \%$ yield (Scheme 1) the 4-alkynyl derivative however was isolated as a major product in this case. ${ }^{7}$ Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge this is the only example known so far for the preparation of 2,4-dialkynylquinoline (B) and no detailed study on this reaction has been reported. Therefore, the development of a general method leading to compound B $(Z=H)$ exclusively was required. Herein, we report a direct and practical method for the preparation of 2,4-dialkynylquinoline under $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cu}$ catalysis in water. To the best of our knowledge this is the first example of $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}-$ mediated dual $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond forming reaction in water.


Scheme 1. Alkynylation of 2,4-dihaloquinolines under Sonogashira or modified conditions.

## Results and Discussion

Initially, we attempted to prepare the 2,4-dialkynylquinoline from its 2,4-dichloro precursor via a two-step method. Thus 2-alkynyl quinoline prepared via earlier method ${ }^{8,9}$ was treated with a terminal alkyne in the presence of a number of palladium catalysts e.g. $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ or $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$. However, none of these reactions provided the desired product in good yields. It is well known that the chloro group is less reactive than iodo group towards palladium catalysts under normal Sonogashira conditions. Hence we decided to use a 2,4-diiodoquinoline (2) for our synthesis which could be readily obtained from the corresponding 2,4-dichloro analogue (1) as shown in Scheme 2. ${ }^{10}$

Having prepared the 2,4-diiodoquinoline (2) we initially coupled it with 1-octyne in the presence of various palladium catalysts (Table 1). Because of our earlier success in the use of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{PPh}_{3}-\mathrm{CuI}$ as a catalyst system ${ }^{8}$ in water we decided to conduct the reaction of 2 ( 1.0 equiv.) with 1-octyne ( 3.0 equiv.) in the presence of same catalysts in water using $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ as a base. The reaction proceeded smoothly affording the desired product $\mathbf{3 a}$ in $85 \%$ yield (Entry 1, Table 1) and no monoalkynylated or other side product was detected in the reaction mixture. The reaction was carried out for 10 h and an increase of reaction time did not improve the product yield (Entry 2, Table 1). The product formation was almost suppressed in the absence of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ (Entry 3, Table 1). The use of other catalysts, e.g. $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ or $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ afforded the
product 3a albeit in inferior yield (Entries 4-6, Table 1). While the use of other solvents, e.g. 1,4-dioxane, DMF and EtOH provided 3a in good yield (Entries 7-9, Table 1), water was however the solvent of our choice.

We then decided to explore the scope and generality of this $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ mediated dual $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond forming reaction on a quinoline ring in water. Thus a variety of terminal alkynes were reacted with 2 in the presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and CuI in water (Scheme 2). The results of this study are summarized in Table 2. Terminal alkynes containing a range of groups in their side chain such as alkyl (Entries 1-3, Table 2), aryl (Entries 4-6, Table 2), cyano (Entry 7, Table 2) or hydroxyl alkyl moieties (Entries 8-10, Table 2) were reacted with the diiodo compound 2 and all these groups were well tolerated under the condition employed. The reaction proceeded well in water in all these cases affording the corresponding 2,4-dialkynylquinolines (3a-j$)$ in good to excellent yields. All the products isolated were well characterized by spectral (NMR, IR and MS) and analytical data. The presence of alkyne moieties was indicated by a sharp IR absorption shown by all the quinoline derivatives synthesized in the region $2200-2230 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. This was further supported by the appearance of signals corresponding to the sp-carbons at $\delta 70-96$ in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of compounds $\mathbf{3 a - j}$.

Mechanistically, the reaction may appeared to proceed via a simultaneous $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond forming reaction at $\mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-4$ of the quinoline ring as neither $\mathrm{C}-2$ nor $\mathrm{C}-4$ mono alkynyl derivative was isolated as a side product from the reaction mixture. However, such a reaction would


Scheme 2. Preparation of 2,4-diiodoquinoline (2) and its coupling with terminal alkynes under $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ - Cu catalysis in water.

Table 1. Effect of reaction conditions on the Pd-catalyzed coupling of 2,4-diiodoquinoline (2) with 1-octyne ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reaction conditions: 2 ( 1.0 equiv.), terminal alkyne ( 3.0 equiv.), Pdcatalyst ( 0.05 equiv.) or $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}\left(0.26\right.$ equiv.), CuI ( 0.05 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(3.0$ equiv.) in a solvent at $80-85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Isolated yield. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ used: 0.20 equiv.
mechanistically be difficult as it would involve third-order kinetics in the Pd-mediated C-I oxidative addition and/or transmetallation process. Moreover, because of the use of elevated reaction temperatures and the fact that theoretically, the second alkynylation could be kinetically more facile than the first one; the real step-by-step process was practically not observed during the reaction. Nevertheless, because of high reactivity of the actual catalytic species
$\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ generated ${ }^{11}$ in situ towards $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{sp2}}-\mathrm{I}$ bond the organopalladium intermediate $(\mathbf{X})$ formed as a result of oxidative addition of $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ to 2,4-diiodoquinoline would contain one $\mathrm{C}_{\text {sp2 }}$-Pd-I moiety initially (Scheme 3). It is likely that the oxidative addition of $\mathrm{Pd}(0)$ would take place initially at $\mathrm{C}-2$ of the quinoline ring ${ }^{8,9}$ due to the higher reactivity of iodo group at this position. The copper acetylide generated from the terminal alkyne and CuI would undergo trans metalation with the organo-palladium species and subsequent reductive elimination of $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ would produce the monoalkynyl quinoline intermediate ( $\mathbf{Y}$ ). A further alkynylation of this intermediate provided the dialkynyl product $\mathbf{3}$. Though the nature of catalytic species generated in situ is not known when the reaction was performed in the absence of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ affording the desired product in $15 \%$ yield (Entry 3, Table 1), $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}-$ mediated Sonogashira coupling of aryl iodides with terminal alkynes in the absence of ligand has been reported earlier. ${ }^{12}$ Nevertheless, a similar dialkynylation reaction was observed when 2,4-dichloroquinazoline was reacted with a terminal alkyne in the presence of $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CuI}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ for $20 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{13}$ Notably, 2,4-dichloroquinoline did not participate in a dual dialkynylation reaction due to the lack of reactivity of C-4 chloro group. ${ }^{8,9}$ We overcame this issue in the present reaction by opting for a more reactive iodo group over chloro on the quinoline ring. Thus judicious selection of quinoline halide can help in affording a product of particular choice.

## Conclusions

In conclusion, we have described a practical and one-step synthesis of 2,4-dialkynylquinoline from 2,4-diiodoquinoline and commercially available terminal alkynes under $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cu}$





Scheme 3. Proposed mechanism of $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cu}$ mediated dual $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond forming reaction between 2,4-diiodoquinoline (2) and terminal alkynes in water.

Table 2. Preparation of 2,4-dialkynylquinoline (3) under $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cu}$ catalysis in water ${ }^{a}$
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${ }^{\text {a }}$ All the reactions were carried out using 2,4-diiodoquinoline ( 1.0 equiv.), alkyne ( 3.0 equiv.), $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}\left(0.26\right.$ equiv.), CuI ( 0.05 equiv.), $\mathrm{PPh} \mathrm{P}_{3}(0.20$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (3.0 equiv.) in water ( 5.0 mL ). ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Isolated yield.
catalysis in water. The reaction proceeds via dual C-C bond forming reaction without generating any side products. All the desired products were isolated in excellent yields. The reaction does not involve the use of expensive catalysts or solvents. Since the product nature can be altered conveniently by changing the halide moiety of the quinoline ring hence overall, the present process would be complimentary to the methods previously reported for alkynylation of quinolines. Due to the operational simplicity and easy availability of starting materials we believe that this process would find wide usage in the preparation of quinoline-based libraries of pharmaceutical interest.

## Experimental

## General methods

Unless stated otherwise, reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) on silica gel plates
( $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ ), visualizing with ultraviolet light or iodine spray. Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel (60-120 mesh) using distilled petroleum ether and ethyl acetate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were determined in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution using 400 and 50 MHz spectrometers, respectively. Proton chemical shifts $(\delta)$ are relative to tetramethylsilane (TMS, $\delta 0.0$ ) as internal standard and expressed in parts per million. Spin multiplicities are given as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), and m (multiplet) as well as b (broad). Coupling constants $(J)$ are given in hertz. Infrared spectra were recorded on a FTIR spectrometer. Melting points were determined by using thermal analysis and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was generated with the help of DSC-60A detector. MS spectra were obtained on a mass spectrometer. Chromatographic (HPLC) purity was determined by using area normalization method and the condition specified in each case: column, mobile phase (range used), flow rate, detection wavelength, and retention times. Elemental analyses were performed using C,H,N
elemental analyzer. All the reagents used are commercially available.

## Preparation of 2,4-diiodoquinoline, $\mathbf{2}^{14}$

To a cold solution $\left(5-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of 2,4-dichloroquinoline ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 25.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in acetonitrile ( 50 mL ) was added acetyl chloride ( $6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 76.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and sodium iodide ( $30.0 \mathrm{~g}, 200.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 8.0$ equiv.). The mixture was stirred at $5-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and slowly heated to reflux. The mixture was stirred at refluxing temperature for 6 h under nitrogen atmosphere and the progress of reaction was monitored by TLC. After completion of the reaction the mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with $10 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ to adjust the pH to $8.0-8.5$. The solid precipitated out was filtered and was purified by column chromatography to give the desired product as a light brown solid ( 7.2 g , yield $75 \%$ ); mp 89-90 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit $\left.^{14} 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.98-7.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H), 7.75-7.71 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.65-7.61 (m, 1H,Ar-H); $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ES Mass) 382 (M+1, 100\%).

General procedure for the preparation of dialkynyl quinolines, 3

A mixture of 2,4-diiodoquinoline (2), $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ( 0.26 equiv.), CuI ( 0.05 equiv.), $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ( 0.20 equiv.) and triethylamine ( 3.0 equiv.) in water ( 5.0 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . To this mixture was added terminal alkyne ( 3.0 equiv.) with stirring. The mixture was then stirred at $80-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for time mentioned in Table 1. After completion of the reaction (indicate by TLC) the reaction mass was cooled to room temperature, filtered through celite and extracted with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were collected, washed with water $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated. The crude residue obtained was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, using light petroleum $\left(60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$-ethyl acetate to afford the desired product 3 .

## 2,4-di(Oct-1-ynyl)quinoline, $3 \boldsymbol{a}$

Light brown liquid; $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(20 \%$ ethyl acetate $/ n$-hexane) $0.18 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J 8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH arom), 8.04 (d, J $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), 7.71-7.67 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), 7.56-7.52 (m, 1H, CH arom), $7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.57\left(\mathrm{t}, J 7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.48\left(\mathrm{t}, J 7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.74-$ $1.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.25-1.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.99-0.82(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) ; IR (neat) $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2926,2227(-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}-), 1581$, 1463; m/z (ES Mass) $346(\mathrm{M}+1,100 \%) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $50 \mathrm{MHz}) 147.8(\mathrm{C}$ arom), 143.5 ( C arom), 130.8 ( C arom), 129.9 (2C, C arom), 128.3 (2C, C arom), 126.9 (C arom),
$125.7\left(\mathrm{C}\right.$ arom), $92.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{sp}}\right), 80.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{sp}}\right), 77.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{sp}}\right), 37.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 36.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 34.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $19.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI): Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 346.2535$; found 346.2542; Elemental analysis found: C, 86.85; H, 9.07; N, $4.14 \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}$; Requires C, 86.90, H, 9.04, N, 4.05.

## 2,4-di(Hept-1-ynyl)quinoline, $\mathbf{3 b}$

Light brown liquid; $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate $/ n$-hexane) 0.26 ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.2(\mathrm{~d}, J 8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH arom), 8.03 (d, $J 8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), 7.71-7.67 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), 7.56-7.52 (m, 1H, CH arom), $7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH arom), $2.57\left(\mathrm{t}, J 7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.48(\mathrm{t}, J 7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.75-1.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.50-1.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 0.97-0.86 (m, 6H, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $\mathrm{v}_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2929,2227$ (-C $\equiv \mathrm{C}-$ ), 1581, 1357; m/z (ES Mass) 318 ( $\mathrm{M}+1,100 \%$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right), 147.8$ (C arom), 143.4 (C arom), 130.7 (C arom), 129.8 (2C, C arom), 126.8 (3C, C arom), 125.5 (C arom), 92.1 (2C, Csp), 80.6 (Csp), 77.6 (Csp), $31.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.6,14.0$; HRMS (ESI): Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 318.2222$; found 318.2231; Elemental analysis found: C, 87.11; H, 8.29; N, 4.24 $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}$; Requires C, 87.02, H, 8.57, N, 4.41.

## 2,4-di(Hex-1-ynyl)quinoline, 3c

Light brown liquid; $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate $n$-hexane) 0.22 ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, J 8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH arom), 8.05 (d, $J 8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), 7.71-7.67 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), 7.57-7.52 (m, 1H, CH arom), $7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH arom), $2.58\left(\mathrm{t}, J 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.49(\mathrm{t}, J 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.69-1.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.99-0.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; IR (neat) $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2927,2227(-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}-), 1581,1357 ; ~ m / z$ (ES Mass) $290(\mathrm{M}+1,100 \%) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ 147.8 (C arom), 143.5 (C arom), 130.7 ( C arom), 129.8 (C arom), 129.5 (C arom), 126.9 (3C, C arom), 125.6 (C arom), 92.1 ( $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Csp}$ ), 80.7 (Csp), $77.6(\mathrm{Csp}), 34.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $33.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $19.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI): Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 290.1909$; found 290.1895; Elemental analysis found: C, 87.30; H, 8.00; N, $4.74 \mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}$; Requires C, 87.15, H, 8.01, N, 4.84.

## 2,4-bis(Phenylethynyl)quinoline, 3d

Pale yellow solid; $\mathrm{mp} 89-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate $/ n$ hexane) $0.20 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), 8.16 (d, J $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), 7.79-7.74 (m, 2H, CH arom), 7.69-7.61 (m, 5H, CH arom), 7.45-7.35 (m, 6H, CH arom); IR (neat) $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2926$, 2214 (-C $\equiv \mathrm{C}-$ ), 1579, 1369; m/z (ES Mass) 330 (M+1,
$100 \%) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 147.9$ (C arom), 142.9 ( C arom), 132.1 (4C, ( C arom), 131.8 (2C, C arom), 130.3 (2C, C arom), 129.5 (3C, C arom), 128.4 (2C, C arom), 127.5 (2C, C arom), 126.6 (2C, C arom), 125.6 (C arom), 121.9 (C arom), 90.3 (2C, Csp), 88.8 (2C, Csp); HRMS (ESI): calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 330.1439$; found 330.1459; Elemental analysis found: C, 91.30; H, 4.55; N, 4.21 $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}$; Requires C, 91.16, H, 4.59, N, 4.25.

## 2,4-bis(p-Tolylethynyl)quinoline, $3 \boldsymbol{e}$

Pale yellow solid; mp $150-153{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(20 \%$ ethyl acetate $/ n$-hexane) $0.24{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.34$ (d, J $8.07 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom) 8.14 (d, $J 8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), 7.77 (s, 1H, CH arom), 7.75 (d, J $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), 7.63-7.55 (m, 4H, CH arom), 7.25-7.18 (m, 5H, CH arom), $2.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; IR (neat) $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2918,2206(-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}-), 1577,1363 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ES Mass) 358 ( $\mathrm{M}+1,100 \%$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 148.13$ (C arom), 143.27 ( C arom), 139.4 ( $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}$ arom), 132.1 ( 2 C , C arom), 131.8 ( $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}$ arom), 130.2 ( C arom), 129.4 (4C, C arom), 127.39 (3C, C arom), 126.6 ( $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}$ arom), 125.7 (C arom), 118.9 (2C, C arom), 90.6 (2C, Csp), 88.5 (2C, Csp), $21.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI): calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$358.1596; found 358.1600; Elemental analysis found: C, $90.83 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.40 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.84 \mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}$; Requires C, 90.72, H, 5.36, N, 3.92.

## 2,4-bis(4-Phenylbut-1-ynyl)quinoline, $3 f$

Low melting solid; $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate $n$-hexane) $0.25 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J 8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), 7.9 (d, J $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), 7.7-7.68 (m, 1H, CH arom), 7.50-7.48 (m, 1H, CH arom), 7.42 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), 7.37-7.23 (m, 10H, CH arom), 3.04-2.99 $\left(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.91-2.87\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.80-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); IR (neat) $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2927,2227$ (-C $\equiv \mathrm{C}-$ ), 1581, 1359; $m / z$ (ES Mass) 386 (M+1, 100\%); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) 147.7$ (C arom), 143.1 (C arom), 140.2 (2C) (C arom), 130.0 ( $4 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}$ arom), 128.4 (3C, C arom), 127.0 (4C, C arom), 126.4 ( $4 \mathrm{C},(\mathrm{C}$ arom), 125.7 (2C, C arom), 91.2 (2C, Csp), 81.2 (2C, Csp), $34.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5$ (2C, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); HRMS (ESI): Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 386.1909$; found 386.1907; Elemental analysis found: C, 90.19; H, $6.00 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.94 \mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}$; Requires C, 90.35, H, 6.01, N, 3.63.

## 6,6'-(Quinoline-2,4-diyl)dihex-5-ynenitrile, $3 g$

Light brown oil; $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ( $20 \%$ ethyl acetate $/ n$-hexane) 0.19 ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), $8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J 8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), $7.75-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH arom), 7.61-7.58 (m, 1H, CH arom), $7.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), 2.82-2.78 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.71-2.64 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.62-2.59 (m, 4H, CH $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, 2.14-2.0 (m, 4H, CH $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$; IR (neat)
$v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 2927,2247,2229(-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}-), 1581,1359 ; m / z(\mathrm{ES}$ Mass) 312 (M+1, 100\%); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 147.6$ (C arom), 142.5 (C arom), 130.1 (C arom), 129.9 (2C, C arom), 128.5 (C arom), 127.4 (C arom), 126.3 ( C arom), 125.3 (C arom), 118.6 (2C, C arom), 88.6 (2C, Csp), 81.9 $(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Csp}), 38.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $28.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; HRMS (ESI): Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 312.1501$; found 312.1493; Elemental analysis found: C, 81.21; H, 5.49; N, $13.28 \mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$; Requires C, 81.00, H, 5.50, N, 13.49.

## 1,1'-(Quinoline-2,4-diylbis(ethyne-2,1-diyl)dicyclo-

 hexanol, $3 \boldsymbol{h}$Yellow solid, mp $154-163^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(20 \%$ ethyl acetate $/ n$ hexane); $0.19{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.19$ (d, J $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), 8.09 (d, $J 8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), 7.74-7.70 (m, 1H, CH arom), 7.61-7.59 (m, 1H, CH arom), 7.58 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), 2.36-2.31 (bs, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), 2.14-2.04 (m, 4H), 1.84-1.62 (m, 16H); IR (neat) $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3375$ (C arom), 3062, 2929, 2222 (-C $\equiv \mathrm{C}-$ ), 1583; $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ES Mass) 374 (M+1, 100\%); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 147.8$ (C arom), 142.6 ( C arom), 130.3 ( C arom), 129.7 ( $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}$ arom), 127.6 (2C, C arom), 126.6 (C arom), 125.5 (C arom), 94.3 (2C, Csp), 83.7 (2C, Csp), 39.8 (C-OH), 39.6 (C-OH), 29.7 $\left(3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.1\left(3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.4\left(3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; HRMS (ESI): Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 374.2120$; found 374.2115; Elemental analysis found: C, 80.56; H, 7.30; $\mathrm{N}, 3.67 \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$; Requires C, $80.40, \mathrm{H}, 7.29$, $\mathrm{N}, 3.75 .-$

## 1,1'-(Quinoline-2,4-diyl)dipent-1-yn-3-ol, 3i

Light brown oil; $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ (30\% ethyl acetate $/ n$-hexane) 0.19 ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), 8.07 (d, J 8.6 Hz, 1H, CH arom), 7.72-7.68 (m, 1H, CH arom), 7.58-7.56 (m, 1H, CH arom), 7.50 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), 3.98-3.90 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.70-2.65 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.65-2.69 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.30-2.05 (bs, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}\right), 1.95-$ $1.85\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; IR (neat) $v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3352,3091,2964$, 2225 (-C $\equiv \mathrm{C}-$ ), $1583 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ES Mass) 294 (M+1, 100\%); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 147.5$ ( C arom), 142.3 ( C arom), 130.6 ( $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}$ arom), 129.8 (C arom), 127.6 ( $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}$ arom), 126.6 (C arom), 125.5 ( C arom), 91.9 (2C, Csp), 84.0 (2C, $\mathrm{Csp}), 64.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 31.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $24.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; HRMS (ESI): calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ 294.1494; found 294.1480; Elemental analysis found: C, 77.82; H, 6.51; N, $4.70 \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$; Requires C, 77.79, H, 6.53, N, 4.77.

## 5,5'-(Quinoline-2,4-diyl)dipent-4-yn-1-ol, 3j

Light brown liquid; $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(30 \%$ ethyl acetate $n$-hexane) 0.19 ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, J 8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH arom), 8.05 (d, J $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), 7.7-7.67 (m,
$1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ arom), 7.55-7.52 (m, 1H, CH arom), $7.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH arom $), 4.89-4.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH})), 4.65-4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH})), 1.98-1.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.65-1.60(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH})$, 1.20-1.05 (m, 6H, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $\mathrm{v}_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3319$ (broad), 2927, 2229 (-C $\equiv \mathrm{C}-$ ), 1583, 1361; m/z (ES Mass) 294 (M+1, $100 \%) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 147.5$ (C arom), 143.0 ( C arom), 130.8 (2C, C arom), 128.7 ( C arom), 127.1 (C arom), 126.6 (2C, C arom), 125.6 ( C arom), 91.8 (2C, Csp), $80.8(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Csp}), 61.0(\mathrm{CH}), 60.9(\mathrm{CH}), 31.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI): Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 294.1494$; found 294.1480; Elemental analysis found: C, $77.53 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.52 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.79 \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$; Requires C, 77.79, H, 6.53, N, 4.77.
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