

On the Homotopy Type of the Clique Graph*

F. Larrión^{a,1} V. Neumann-Lara^{a,1} M. A. Pizaña^b

^aInstituto de Matemáticas, U.N.A.M.
Circuito Exterior, C.U. México 04510 D.F. MÉXICO.
{paco, neumann}@matem.unam.mx

^bUniversidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Depto. de Ingeniería Eléctrica.
Av. Michoacán y Purísima s/n México 09340 D.F. MÉXICO.
map@xanum.uam.mx, <http://xamanek.uam.mx/map>

Abstract

If G is a graph, its clique graph $K(G)$ is the intersection graph of all its (maximal) cliques. The complex G^\dagger of a graph G is the simplicial complex whose simplexes are the vertex sets of the complete subgraphs of G .

Here we study a sufficient condition for G^\dagger and $K(G)^\dagger$ to be homotopic. Applying this result to Whitney triangulations of surfaces, we construct an infinite family of examples which solve in the affirmative Prisner's open problem 1 in *Graph Dynamics* (Longman, Harlow, 1995): Are there finite connected graphs G that are periodic under K and where the second modulo 2 Betti number is greater than 0?

Keywords: clique graphs, clique convergence, Whitney triangulations, clean triangulations, simplicial complexes, modulo 2 Betti numbers.

1 Introduction and terminology

All our graphs are simple. If G is a graph, a *complete* of G is a complete subgraph of G and a *clique* is a maximal complete of G . The clique number $\omega(G)$ is the maximum order of a clique of G . We shall often identify induced subgraphs with their vertex sets. In particular, we shall often write $x \in G$ instead of $x \in V(G)$.

We say that G is *locally H* if the subgraph $N_G(x)$ induced in G by the (open) neighbourhood of any vertex $x \in G$ is isomorphic to H . We say

that G is *locally* $\mathcal{H} = \{H_1, H_2, \dots\}$ if for every $x \in G$, $N_G(x) \cong H_i$ for some $H_i \in \mathcal{H}$. C_n and P_n are, respectively, the cyclic and path graphs on n vertices. We say that G is *locally cyclic* if it is locally $\{C_n : n \geq 3\}$.

The *clique graph* $K(G)$ of G has all cliques of G as vertices, two of them being adjacent iff they (are different and) share some vertex of G . We call K the *clique operator*. Iterated clique graphs are inductively defined by $K^0(G) = G$ and $K^{n+1}(G) = K(K^n(G))$. G is *K-periodic* if $G \cong K^n(G)$ for some $n \geq 1$. Extensive bibliography on clique graphs can be found in [14].

A graph G is *clique-Helly* if whenever $X = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\} \subseteq V(K(G))$ is a family of pairwise intersecting cliques, then $\bigcap X \neq \emptyset$. We say that $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\} \in V(K^2(G))$ is a *star* of G if $\bigcap Q \neq \emptyset$, otherwise it is a *necktie* of G . Obviously, a graph is clique-Helly iff it has no necktie.

If G is a graph, G^\dagger is the simplicial complex whose simplexes are the completes of G . We say that two simplicial complexes are homotopic ($\mathbb{K} \simeq \mathbb{L}$) when their geometric realizations are homotopic ($|\mathbb{K}| \simeq |\mathbb{L}|$). The behaviour of topological invariants of G^\dagger under several graph operators (including the clique operator) has been studied in [9, 10, 11]. In particular, Prisner proved in [10] that if G is clique-Helly, $G^\dagger \simeq K(G)^\dagger$. Our main result (Theorem 2.4) states that this is also true for many non-clique-Helly graphs. As an application of this, we will show (Theorem 2.5) that if G is free of tetrahedra and induced octahedra, then $G^\dagger \simeq K(G)^\dagger$.

An interesting particular case is when the realization $|\mathbb{K}|$ is a compact surface (with or without border), i.e. \mathbb{K} is a triangulation of a compact surface. If G is the underlying graph (or 1-

*Dedicated to Prof. J. L. Szwarcfiter in his 60th Anniversary.

¹Partially supported by CONACyT, Grant 400333-5-27968E.

skeleton) of a surface triangulation \mathbb{K} , every face of \mathbb{K} is a triangle of G but the converse may not be true. We shall be interested in surface triangulations where every triangle of G is a face of \mathbb{K} : such a triangulation is a *Whitney triangulation* [17]. Thus, if \mathbb{K} is Whitney it is determined by G , and we tend to identify G with \mathbb{K} , and sometimes even with $|\mathbb{K}|$. If \mathbb{K} is Whitney, (except for the tetrahedron K_4) the cliques of G are precisely the faces of the triangulation. Whitney triangulations have other names and have been studied before [2, 5, 8, 16, 17]. In particular, the description of the dynamical behaviour under the clique operator of the regular Whitney triangulations has been completed in [8]. As a corollary to our Theorem 2.5, we will have that the only Whitney triangulation of a compact surface which is not homotopic to its clique graph is the octahedron. We shall use the following two theorems:

Theorem 1.1 [8] *G is the underlying graph of a Whitney triangulation of a closed surface (resp. compact surface) if and only if G is locally cyclic (resp. G is locally $\{C_n, P_m : n \geq 3, m \geq 2\}$).* \square

Theorem 1.2 [8] *For every Whitney triangulation G of a closed surface with minimum degree at least 7 we have $K(G) \cong K^3(G)$.* \square

We refer to [1], [10] and [13] for undefined concepts.

2 Homotopy

If \mathcal{H} is a hypergraph, \mathcal{H}^* denotes its dual hypergraph, and \mathcal{H}^\downarrow is the smallest simplicial complex containing the hyperedges of \mathcal{H} as simplexes. The following reformulation is due to Prisner [9, 10]:

Theorem 2.1 (Dowker, [3]) *For every hypergraph \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{H}^\downarrow and $\mathcal{H}^{*\downarrow}$ are homotopic.* \square

If G is a graph, $\mathcal{K}(G)$ is its *clique hypergraph*: $\mathcal{K}(G)$ has the same vertex set as G and its hyperedges are the cliques of G . It follows immediately from the definitions that $G^\uparrow = \mathcal{K}(G)^\downarrow$.

The *star hypergraph* $\mathcal{S}(G)$ of G has the same vertex set as $K(G)$ and the hyperedges are the cliques $Q = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_r\}$ of $K(G)$ satisfying $\bigcap Q \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $\mathcal{K}(G)^{*\downarrow} = \mathcal{S}(G)^\downarrow$ and that $\mathcal{S}(G)^\downarrow \subseteq K(G)^\uparrow$. The equality $\mathcal{S}(G)^\downarrow = K(G)^\uparrow$ holds precisely when G is clique-Helly.

Then, as pointed out by Prisner [10, Proposition 2.2], it follows from Dowker’s theorem that G^\uparrow and $K(G)^\uparrow$ are homotopic for every clique-Helly graph G . A reformulation of this result will be useful to us:

Theorem 2.2 (Prisner, [10]) *For every graph G , we have $G^\uparrow = \mathcal{K}(G)^\downarrow \simeq \mathcal{K}(G)^{*\downarrow} = \mathcal{S}(G)^\downarrow \subseteq K(G)^\uparrow$. In particular, if G is clique-Helly, then $G^\uparrow \simeq K(G)^\uparrow$.* \square

Prisner provided examples of graphs G (namely the n -dimensional octahedra, for $n \geq 3$) such that G^\uparrow and $K(G)^\uparrow$ are not homotopic. As we shall see shortly, this property of the octahedra is tightly connected to the fact that octahedra contain neckties without a center.

Definition 2.3 *If X is a complete of $K(G)$ satisfying $\bigcap X = \emptyset$, then $q_0 \in K(G)$ is called a center of X if:*

$$Y \subseteq X \text{ and } \bigcap Y \neq \emptyset \text{ imply } \bigcap (Y \cup \{q_0\}) \neq \emptyset.$$

Note that $X \cup \{q_0\}$ is always a complete of $K(G)$. Also, when such an X is a clique of $K(G)$, X must contain all its centers.




Figure 1: Two neckties of the octahedron, with center (above) and without center (below).

Many non-Helly graphs G satisfy $G^\uparrow \simeq K(G)^\uparrow$. Indeed we shall show that for many non-Helly graphs G , $\mathcal{S}(G)^\downarrow$ is a strong deformation retract of $K(G)^\uparrow$.

Let's rename $\mathbb{S} = \mathcal{S}(G)^\downarrow$ and $\mathbb{K} = K(G)^\uparrow$. We know that $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \mathbb{K}$. Note that the 0-simplexes of \mathbb{S} and \mathbb{K} are the same. In order to easily define the required mappings, we take the barycentric subdivision \mathbb{K}' of \mathbb{K} relative to \mathbb{S} as used in [12, page 19].

Equivalently, we define the complex \mathbb{K}' whose vertices are those of \mathbb{K} (denoted by q_i) plus a (formal) barycenter $b(s)$ for each $s \in \mathbb{K} - \mathbb{S}$, and whose simplexes are of the form $\{q_1, \dots, q_n, b(s_1), \dots, b(s_m)\}$ and satisfy:

1. $\{q_1, \dots, q_n\} \in \mathbb{S}$.
2. $s_j \in \mathbb{K} - \mathbb{S}$ for all j .
3. $q_i \in s_1$ for all i .
4. $s_j \subseteq s_{j+1}$ for all j .

and then we may prove that this is indeed a subdivision of \mathbb{K} using Theorem 3.3.4 in [13]. Of course, we still have $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \mathbb{K}'$.

The idea behind this is to grab the offending simplexes (those in $\mathbb{K} - \mathbb{S}$) by its barycenters and retract them into \mathbb{S} . Now we can prove our main result:

Theorem 2.4 *Let G be a graph. Assume that any complete X of $K(G)$ with $\bigcap X = \emptyset$ has a center which belongs to every necktie containing X . Then $\mathcal{S}(G)^\downarrow$ is a strong deformation retract of $K(G)^\uparrow$. In particular, $G^\uparrow \simeq K(G)^\uparrow$.*

Proof. For every simplex s in $\mathbb{K} - \mathbb{S}$ select, using the hypothesis, a fixed center $q(s)$ of s belonging to every maximal simplex (i.e. necktie) that contains s . Also, for each $s \in \mathbb{K} - \mathbb{S}$, define

$$\widehat{s} = \bigcap \{Q \in K^2(G) : s \subseteq Q\}.$$

Note: $s \subseteq \widehat{s} \in \mathbb{K} - \mathbb{S}$, and $s \subseteq s'$ implies $q(\widehat{s}) \in \widehat{s} \subseteq \widehat{s}'$.

Now define the map $\varphi_1 : \mathbb{K}' \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by $\varphi_1(q_i) = q_i$ and $\varphi_1(b(s_j)) = q(\widehat{s}_j)$. Then for any simplex of \mathbb{K}' we have that $\varphi_1(\{q_1, \dots, q_n, b(s_1), \dots, b(s_m)\}) = \{q_1, \dots, q_n, q(\widehat{s}_1), \dots, q(\widehat{s}_m)\}$. This is a simplex of \mathbb{K} because there is a clique Q of $K(G)$ such that $q_i \in Q$ and $s_j \subseteq Q$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$ (take a Q with $s_m \subseteq Q$). Therefore $q_i, q(\widehat{s}_j) \in Q$ for all i and j . It follows that $\varphi_1 : \mathbb{K}' \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is a simplicial map, so $|\varphi_1| : |\mathbb{K}'| \rightarrow |\mathbb{K}|$ is continuous.

We claim now that $\text{Im}(\varphi_1) = \mathbb{S}$: As $q_1 \cap \dots \cap q_n \neq \emptyset$ and $\{q_1, \dots, q_n\} \subseteq s_1 \subseteq \widehat{s}_1$, we obtain that $q_1 \cap \dots \cap q_n \cap q(\widehat{s}_1) \neq \emptyset$. Using that $\widehat{s}_1 \subseteq \widehat{s}_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \widehat{s}_m$ and $q(\widehat{s}_j) \in \widehat{s}_j$ for all j , it follows by induction that $\{q_1, \dots, q_n, q(\widehat{s}_1), \dots, q(\widehat{s}_m)\}$ is a simplex of \mathbb{S} . Now we know that $\text{Im}(|\varphi_1|) = |\mathbb{S}|$ and that the restriction of $|\varphi_1|$ to $|\mathbb{S}|$ is the identity in $|\mathbb{S}|$.

On the other hand, consider the canonical homeomorphism $\varphi_0 : |\mathbb{K}| \rightarrow |\mathbb{K}'|$. Let $\varphi = |\varphi_1| \circ \varphi_0$. Note that for all $x \in |\mathbb{K}|$ there is a simplex $s \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $x, \varphi(x) \in |s|$ (any maximal simplex $s \in \mathbb{K}$ satisfying $x \in |s|$ will do). Then it follows that $\varphi \simeq 1_{|\mathbb{K}|}$ via the homotopy $H(x, t) = tx + (1 - t)\varphi(x)$ (see, for example [6, Prop. 1.7.5]). Since $\varphi|_{|\mathbb{S}|} = 1_{|\mathbb{S}|}$, we have that $H(x, t) = x$ for all $x \in |\mathbb{S}|$. Therefore $|\mathbb{S}|$ is a strong deformation retract of $|\mathbb{K}|$. \square

An interesting consequence is the following:

Theorem 2.5 *If G is a graph free of induced octahedra and $\omega(G) \leq 3$, then $G^\uparrow \simeq K(G)^\uparrow$*

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume G to be connected and non-trivial. Then we observe that every clique of G is a triangle or an edge.

Let X be a complete of $K(G)$ satisfying $\bigcap X = \emptyset$, and let $Z = \{q_1, \dots, q_r\}$ be a minimal subset of X also satisfying $\bigcap Z = \emptyset$.

Since Z is minimal and necessarily $r \geq 3$, we may take $x_{23} \in \bigcap (Z - \{q_1\})$, $x_{13} \in \bigcap (Z - \{q_2\})$ and $x_{12} \in \bigcap (Z - \{q_3\})$. Hence, $q_0 = \{x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{23}\}$ is a clique of G . This very construction was used by J. L. Szwarcfiter in his celebrated characterization of clique-Helly graphs [15].

It follows that $q_1 = \{x_{12}, x_{13}, a\}$, $q_2 = \{x_{12}, x_{23}, b\}$ and $q_3 = \{x_{13}, x_{23}, c\}$ for some three (different) vertices $a, b, c \in G$. Since $q_1 \cap q_2 \cap q_3 = \emptyset$ it follows that $Z = \{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$.

Let $Q \in K^2(G)$ be a necktie containing Z , and let $q \in Q$. If $q \cap q_0 = \emptyset$, then $q = \{a, b, c\}$ and the set of vertices $\{x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{23}, a, b, c\}$ induces an octahedron in G , contradicting our hypotheses. If $|q \cap q_0| = 1$, say $q \cap q_0 = \{x_{12}\}$, then $q \cap q_3 = \{c\}$ and $\{x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{23}, c\}$ would contradict $\omega(G) \leq 3$. Therefore $|q \cap q_0| \geq 2$ for every $q \in Q$.

Since the set $\{q \in K(G) : |q \cap q_0| \geq 2\}$ is a complete of $K(G)$ it follows that $Q = \{q \in K(G) : |q \cap q_0| \geq 2\}$. Now the condition on the clique number implies that q_0 is a center of Q . Then Q is the unique necktie containing Z , so it is also unique containing X . Therefore q_0 is a center of X which belongs to every necktie containing X , and we apply the previous theorem. \square

The following result is an immediate consequence:

Corollary 2.6 *The only Whitney triangulation of a compact surface (with or without border) which is not homotopic to its clique graph is the octahedron. \square*

Now let's denote the i -th modulo 2 Betti number of a complex \mathbb{K} by $\hat{\beta}_i(\mathbb{K})$. Take any locally $\{C_t : t \geq 7\}$ graph H . By Theorem 1.1 H is a Whitney triangulation of a closed surface, so we have $\hat{\beta}_2(H^\uparrow) = 1$. Since $H^\uparrow \simeq K(H)^\uparrow$, we have $\hat{\beta}_2(K(H)^\uparrow) = 1$. Then Theorem 1.2 tells us that $G := K(H)$ is K -periodic, thus solving Prisner's open problem 1 in [11].

As a concrete example, it is shown in [8] that $I \times K_3$ is a locally C_{10} graph (here I is the icosahedron and $\{(a, b), (a', b')\} \in E(A \times B)$ iff $\{a, a'\} \in E(A)$ and $\{b, b'\} \in E(B)$). In fact, Brown and Connelly [2] proved that for every t there is at least one finite locally C_t graph. Next, we shall construct an explicit infinite family of locally C_7 graphs.

3 Whitney triangulations

Let's start with an infinite graph T : $V(T) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ and put $N = \{\pm(1, 0), \pm(0, 1), \pm(1, -1)\}$, then define $\{x, y\} \in E(T)$ if and only if $y - x \in N$.

Each vector $u \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ gives rise to a translation $x \mapsto u + x$ which is an automorphism of T . Every finite locally C_6 graph triangulating the torus is a quotient T/Γ where Γ is the translation group generated by the translations given by two linearly independent vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. The group Γ must satisfy the following admissibility condition: for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $v \in V(T)$, the distance in T from v to $\gamma(v)$ is at least 4 (otherwise, the resulting triangulation is not Whitney, see [7]).

Let $u = (4, 1)$, fix $r \geq 2$, and let $v_r = (2r, 4r)$. Let Γ_r be the translation group defined by u and v_r , and let \mathcal{P}_r be the parallelogram defined by these two vectors. The locally C_6 graph $G_r = T/\Gamma_r$ defines a Whitney triangulation of the torus with $14r$ vertices: G_r is obtained by identifying the parallel edges of \mathcal{P}_r .

Now consider the $2r$ vertices w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2r} of G_r which correspond to the vertices $(2, 1), (3, 3), \dots, (2r + 1, 4r - 1)$ in \mathcal{P}_r , i.e. $w_i = (i + 1, 2i - 1)$. The vertices of G_r are the disjoint union of the closed neighbourhoods $N[w_i]$ of these vertices, and removing these vertices from G_r we obtain a locally P_5 graph G'_r of order




Figure 2: The parallelogram \mathcal{P}_r , for $r = 2$.

$12r$. Let us call S_r the surface triangulated by G'_r , which is a torus with $2r$ open disks removed. All the vertices of G'_r lie in the border of S_r . The connected components of the border of S_r are the hexagons H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2r} which were the open neighbourhoods of the removed vertices w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2r} of G_r .

Consider the locally P_4 graph C_{12}^2 in figure 3. This graph gives us a Whitney triangulation of a cylinder, all the vertices lie in the border whose connected components B_1 and B_2 are induced hexagons of C_{12}^2 .




Figure 3: The graph C_{12}^2

Now, take the surface \mathcal{S}_r (with its Whitney triangulation given by the graph G'_r) and r different copies of the cylinder (with the Whitney triangulation given by C_{12}^2). For the first copy, identify B_1 with H_1 and B_2 with H_{r+1} in an orientable manner, so a handle is glued to \mathcal{S}_r . For the second copy, identify B_1 with H_2 and B_2 with H_{r+2} , so a second handle is glued to \mathcal{S}_r . Continuing in this way, we obtain at the end a closed surface \mathcal{S}'_r which is a sphere with $r + 1$ handles. The graph \overline{G}_r obtained from G'_r and the r copies of C_{12}^2 by the above method has $12r$ vertices and is the 1-skeleton of a triangulation of our surface \mathcal{S}'_r .

As we want \overline{G}_r to be locally C_7 we have to take care so that the triangles in \overline{G}_r are exactly the triangles already present ($16r$ in G'_r and 12 in each copy of C_{12}^2). This fails when two vertices $x \in H_i$ and $y \in H_{i+r}$ with $d(x, y) < 3$ in G'_r are identified with adjacent vertices in the i -th copy of C_{12}^2 . Since $d(H_i, H_{r+i}) = r$, there is no problem for $r \geq 3$.

In case $r = 2$, there is an essentially unique way to glue the 2 copies of C_{12}^2 in such a way that no new triangles are created, and this produces a triangulation of the orientable closed surface of genus 3 (the “triple torus”). We verified this by computer using GAP [4]. It can be shown that the double torus does not admit a locally C_7 triangulation.

Notice that for $r \geq 3$ the construction allows more freedom at the time of gluing (so in principle more than one example may have been constructed at each genus $g > 3$) and that even non-orientable surfaces are obtained gluing one handle in a non-orientable manner. So we have proved:

Theorem 3.1 *Every orientable surface of genus at least 3, and every non-orientable surface with even Euler characteristic $\chi \leq -6$ admits a locally C_7 triangulation G . For any such G , $K(G)$ is a positive answer to Prisner’s open problem 1 in [11]. \square*

References

- [1] Claude Berge. *Hypergraphs*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1989. Combinatorics of finite sets, Translated from the French.
- [2] Morton Brown and Robert Connelly. On graphs with a constant link. In *New directions in the theory of graphs (Proc. Third Ann Arbor Conf., Univ. Michigan, Ann Arbor, Mich., 1971)*, pages 19–51. Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- [3] C. H. Dowker. Homology groups of relations. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 56:84–95, 1952.
- [4] The GAP Group, Aachen, St Andrews. *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.2*, 2000. (<http://www-gap-system.org>).
- [5] Nora Hartsfield and Gerhard Ringel. Clean triangulations. *Combinatorica*, 11(2):145–155, 1991.
- [6] P. J. Hilton and S. Wylie. *Homology theory: An introduction to algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1960.
- [7] F. Larrion and V. Neumann-Lara. Locally C_6 graphs are clique divergent. *Discrete Math.*, 215(1-3):159–170, 2000.
- [8] F. Larrion, V. Neumann-Lara, and M. A. Pizaña. Whitney triangulations, local girth and iterated clique graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 258(1-3):123-135, 2002.
- [9] Erich Prisner. Homology of the line graph and of related graph-valued functions. *Arch. Math.*, 56(4):400–404, 1991.
- [10] Erich Prisner. Convergence of iterated clique graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 103(2):199–207, 1992.
- [11] Erich Prisner. *Graph dynamics*. Longman, Harlow, 1995.
- [12] C. P. Rourke and B. J. Sanderson. *Introduction to piecewise-linear topology*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982. Reprint.
- [13] Edwin H. Spanier. *Algebraic topology*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981. Corrected reprint.
- [14] Jayme L. Szwarcfiter. A survey on clique graphs. In *Recent Advances in Algorithms and Combinatorics*. C. Linhares and B. Reed, eds., Springer-Verlag. To appear.
- [15] Jayme L. Szwarcfiter. Recognizing clique-Helly graphs. *Ars Combin.*, 45:29–32, 1997.
- [16] W. T. Tutte. A census of plane triangulations. *Canad. J. Math.*, (14):21–28, 1962.
- [17] H. Whitney. A theorem on graphs. *Ann. Math.*, 32(2):378–390, 1931.