



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Heliox delivered by high flow nasal cannula improves oxygenation in infants with respiratory syncytial virus acute bronchiolitis[☆]



Wael Seliem^{a,*}, Amira M. Sultan^b

^a Mansoura University, Faculty of Medicine, Mansoura University Children Hospital, Pediatric Department, Mansoura, Egypt

^b Mansoura University, Faculty of Medicine, Medical Microbiology and Immunology Department, Mansoura, Egypt

Received 20 November 2016; accepted 31 January 2017

Available online 12 May 2017

KEYWORDS

Heliox;
High flow nasal
cannula;
RSV;
Bronchiolitis

Abstract

Objective: The objective of this study is to evaluate the hypothesis that use of heliox would result in improvement of gas exchange when used with high flow nasal cannula in infants with RSV acute bronchiolitis.

Methods: All patients that met the inclusion criteria were randomized to either heliox (70:30) or air-oxygen mixture 30% via high flow nasal cannula at 8 L/min for a continuous 24 h. Measurements were taken at baseline, after 2 h, and at the end of the 24 h.

Results: This prospective study included 48 patients. After 2 h of treatment with heliox, the oxygen saturation and PaO₂ significantly improved when compared with the air-oxygen group, 98.3% vs. 92.9%, 62.0 mmHg vs. 43.6 mmHg ($p=0.04$ and 0.01), respectively. Furthermore, PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio was significantly higher in the heliox group when compared with the air-oxygen group, 206.7 vs. 145.3. Nevertheless, CO₂ showed better elimination when heliox was used, without significance. MWCA score dropped significantly in the heliox group, 2.2 points vs. 4.0 points in air-oxygen ($p=0.04$), 2 h after starting the therapy.

Conclusion: Transient improvement of oxygenation in infants with RSV acute bronchiolitis during the initial phase of the therapy is associated with heliox when provided with HFNC, may provide a precious time for other therapeutic agents to work or for the disease to resolve naturally, avoiding other aggressive interventions.

© 2017 Sociedade Brasileira de Pediatria. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

[☆] Please cite this article as: Seliem W, Sultan AM. Heliox delivered by high flow nasal cannula improves oxygenation in infants with respiratory syncytial virus acute bronchiolitis. J Pediatr (Rio J). 2018;94:56–61.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail: wseliem@hotmail.com (W. Seliem).

PALAVRAS-CHAVE

Heliox;
Cânula nasal de alto fluxo;
VSR;
Bronquiolite

Heliox administrado por cânula nasal de alto fluxo melhora a oxigenação em crianças com bronquiolite aguda por vírus sincicial respiratório**Resumo**

Objetivo: O objetivo deste estudo é avaliar a hipótese de que o uso da mistura heliox resultaria em melhora da troca gasosa quando usado com cânula nasal de alto fluxo em crianças com bronquiolite aguda por VSR.

Métodos: Todos os pacientes que atenderam aos critérios de inclusão foram randomizados para receber a mistura heliox (70:30) ou a mistura ar/oxigênio a 30% por meio da cânula nasal de alto fluxo a 8 L/min por 24 horas contínuas. As medições foram feitas no início, depois de 2 horas e ao fim de 24 horas.

Resultados: Realizamos um estudo prospectivo em que foram incluídos 48 pacientes. Após 2 horas de tratamento com a mistura heliox, a saturação de oxigênio e a PaO₂ apresentaram melhora significativa em comparação ao grupo da mistura ar/oxigênio: 98,3% em comparação a 92,9%, 62,0 mmHg em comparação a 43,6 mmHg ($p=0,04$ e $0,01$), respectivamente. Além disso, a relação PaO₂/FiO₂ era significativamente mais alta no grupo da mistura heliox que no grupo da mistura ar/oxigênio, 2067 em comparação a 1453. Contudo, o CO₂ apresentou melhor eliminação quando a mistura heliox foi utilizada, sem relevância. O Escore MWCA caiu significativamente no grupo da mistura heliox, 2,2 pontos em comparação a 4,0 pontos da mistura ar/oxigênio ($p=0,04$) 2 horas após o início da terapia.

Conclusão: A breve melhora da oxigenação em crianças com bronquiolite aguda por VSR na fase inicial da terapia está associada à mistura heliox quando administrada pela CNAF e poderá fornecer um tempo precioso para outros agentes terapêuticos funcionarem ou para a própria doença se curar naturalmente e evitar outras intervenções agressivas.

© 2017 Sociedade Brasileira de Pediatria. Publicado por Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este é um artigo Open Access sob uma licença CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

Helium is an inert gas, and its density is almost 15% that of air. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) has the capability to diffuse through helium more easily than through air.¹ Helium inhalation results in maintenance of more laminar flow and decreases turbulence through narrowed airways, which subsequently lowers the resistance to gas flow.² Therefore, breathing an oxygen-helium mixture (heliox) will lead to a decline in resistance to the flow of that mixture within the airways, and in turn decrease the effort of breathing, especially in disorders that course with an increase in airway resistance.³ Therefore, the use of heliox in patients suffering from obstructive upper airways,⁴ bronchiolitis, and obstructive lower lung diseases is beneficial.^{5,6} Heliox can be delivered noninvasively in patients breathing spontaneously, or invasively, through mechanical ventilation.⁴

Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is a non-segmented enveloped RNA virus that belongs to the *Paramyxoviridae* family. Its incubation period reaches eight days. The virus initially replicates in nasopharyngeal lining, then spreads to the epithelial lining of bronchioles, triggering a lower respiratory tract infection. The main target of RSV is respiratory ciliated epithelial cells; however, other cells such as macrophages and dendritic cells can also be infected. RSV genome replication creates RNA intermediates that elicit an antiviral-mediated response, mediated by interferon and other cytokines.⁷ As infection occurs in the lower respiratory tract, a sequence of events occurs in epithelial cells

including edema and abundant mucus production, followed by cell necrosis then regeneration. Subsequently, a small airway obstruction leads to air trapping, increased resistance of lower airways, and hypoxemia.⁸

Based on its properties, heliox was considered as an adjuvant therapy to improve oxygenation in patients with RSV lower respiratory tract infection while they were mechanically ventilated; however, its use in these patients has been inconclusive.³

This study aimed to evaluate whether the use of heliox would result in an improvement of gas exchange when continuously delivered through a high-flow nasal cannula (HFNC) in infants diagnosed with acute bronchiolitis caused by RSV.

Methods

Participants

Eligible participants were infants aged 1 month up to 2 years. They were admitted to the pediatric intensive care unit, from September 2013 to May 2015, with RSV acute bronchiolitis clinically diagnosed and laboratory confirmed. The bronchiolitis diagnosis was based on criteria that included cough, increased respiratory rate, chest retraction, prolongation of expiratory time, sibilant rhonchi, and hyperinflated lungs on chest X-ray. RSV infection was confirmed by direct antigen detection test of nasopharyngeal discharges. The patients were eligible for inclusion into the study when they could not maintain their oxygen saturation $\geq 93\%$ in room air and required supplemental oxygen on

admission. The eligible patients, after obtaining a written consent from at least one of the parents, were randomized to receive either heliox therapy (70:30) or air–oxygen mixture at 8L/min through a HFNC, continuously for 24 h.

Subjects were excluded from the study if they required mechanical ventilation or had a hemodynamically significant congenital heart defect (significant left-to-right intracardiac shunt with or without the presence of pulmonary arterial hypertension or right-to-left shunting) or if the parents/guardians refused to sign the informed consent. Patients with chronic lung disease, including bronchopulmonary dysplasia, and those previously diagnosed with hyper-reactive airway diseases were also excluded.

Study design

This was an interventional, prospective, single-center study. All participants that met the inclusion criteria were randomized by block randomization, after the informed consent was signed, and subjected to either heliox (70:30) or air–oxygen mixture with starting 30% oxygen fraction via HFNC at 8L/min for a continuous 24 h. If the oxygen saturation was kept $\leq 93\%$ despite fraction of inspired oxygen of 30%, an increment of 5% was done to maintain saturation $> 93\%$.

The modified Wood's Clinical Asthma Score⁹ is a scoring system used to assess respiratory distress alterations over a period of time, with a maximum score is 11. Measurements of vital signs were taken at baseline then hourly through the whole study, while PaO₂, PaCO₂, and Modified Wood's Clinical Asthma Score were measured and reported at baseline, 2 h after starting the treatment, 12 h after starting the treatment, and at the end of the 24 h.

RSV detection

Detection of RSV in nasopharyngeal samples was done using the TRU RSV test (Meridian Bioscience, Inc., USA). The TRU RSV test is a rapid, qualitative capture immunoassay for the detection of RSV antigen in human samples. The test utilizes gold-linked monoclonal antibodies to RSV fusion protein and nucleoproteins (detector antibodies). Plastic-shafted cotton swabs were used to collect nasopharyngeal samples from study participants. Swabs were transported in a transport medium (0.85% saline) to the microbiology laboratory for further processing. Test procedure was performed according to the method provided by the manufacturer.

Logistics gas supply

Heliox (Advanced Technology Company, Kuwait) was administered via HFNC using the RT329 system, which was connected to the humidifier chamber's gas inlet port (MR850 Humidification system, Fisher & Paykel Healthcare, Madrid, Spain). The gas source for HFNC was heliox (30% oxygen and 70% helium) and, through the dry side of the humidifier chamber, a secondary source oxygen gas was added. The air–oxygen mixture was kept at 30% fraction of inspired oxygen (FIO₂) supplied via HFNC using the RT329 system.

Measurements

A chest radiograph was obtained for each participant before implementation of the study protocol to assess the presence of hyperinflation, which was demarcated on the chest X-ray as a diaphragmatic level below the sixth thoracic rib anteriorly. Arterial blood was collected to measure both partial pressure of oxygen in arterial blood (PaO₂) and partial pressure of carbon dioxide in arterial blood (PaCO₂) before starting the treatment; it was also collected at 2 h and 24 h after start of treatment. All patients were managed according to a unified management protocol.¹⁰

Oxygen saturation was continuously monitored with Masimo SET pulse oximeter (Masimo Corporation, Irvine, USA). Other vital signs were also monitored at hourly intervals for 24 h until discontinuation of the heliox therapy. The collected data was then analyzed.

Outcome measures

The measured outcomes were the improvement of arterial PaO₂ and the extent of respiratory distress changes measured by the Modified Wood's Clinical Asthma Score 2 h and 24 h after start treatment.

The study protocol has been approved by the Ethical Committee of the Faculty of Medicine of Mansoura University, and registered under Clinical trial No. ISRCTN99072045.

Statistical analysis

Student's *t*-test for independent sample was used for data analysis. Data was expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. Results were considered significant when $p < 0.05$. All the statistical analysis was made using SPSS version 15.0 (Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Throughout the study, 86 infants were diagnosed with acute bronchiolitis and admitted to the pediatric intensive care unit. Of these, 48 (22 boys and 26 girls) met the inclusion criteria and were randomized into two groups of 24 patients each: the received heliox (70:30) group or air–oxygen mixture 30% group, via HFNC. The mean age of patients was 6.4 months (± 1.2 months). Two patients with an underlying heart disease (known to have large ventricular septal defect), eight patients who were premature babies with chronic lung disease, two patients who had suffered from recurrent bronchiolitis, and 26 patients in whom RSV infection was discarded after nasopharyngeal swabs by direct antigen detection assay were excluded.

All baseline measurement of study subjects in both groups were similar (Table 1). In two patients in the air–oxygen group, FIO₂ had to be increased to 35% for only 3 h to maintain saturation $> 93\%$ then back to the 30%. No patient in heliox group required FIO₂ more than 30%.

After the initial 2 h of treatment, an improvement of all measured values was observed in both study groups. Oxygen saturation and PaO₂ improved significantly more in the heliox group than in air–oxygen group, 98.3% ($\pm 1.7\%$)

Table 1 Baseline study characteristics in both groups.

Variable	Air-oxygen	Heliox	<i>p</i>
Age/years	6.6 (± 1.1)	6.5 (± 1.3)	0.78
Baseline RR/minute	64 (± 3)	63 (± 5)	0.92
Baseline HR/minute	138 (± 25)	131 (± 35)	0.68
Baseline mean blood pressure/mmHg	58 (± 12)	52 (± 10)	0.60
Baseline saturation/%	88 (± 5)	87 (± 4)	0.88
Baseline PaO ₂ /mmHg	42 (± 6)	41 (± 4)	0.92
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ ratio	168	164	0.94
Baseline PaCO ₂ /mmHg	48 (± 11)	46 (± 14)	0.77
Baseline temp/°C	36.9 (± 0.7)	37.1 (± 0.4)	0.65
M-WCAS	5.4 (± 1.0)	5.5 (± 1.0)	0.81

RR, respiratory rate; HR, heart rate; PaO₂, partial arterial oxygen; FiO₂, fraction of inspired oxygen; PaCO₂, partial arterial carbon dioxide; M-WCAS, modified Wood's Clinical Asthma score.

Table 2 Differences between study groups 2 h after the start of intervention.

Variable	Air-oxygen	Heliox	<i>p</i>
RR/minute	57 (± 6)	55 (± 3)	0.78
HR/minute	128 (± 19)	124 (± 17)	0.82
Mean blood pressure/mmHg	52 (± 21)	53 (± 12)	0.90
Saturation %	92.9 (± 3.1)	98.3 (± 1.7)	0.04
PaO ₂ /mmHg	43.6 (± 7)	62.0 (± 11)	0.01
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ ratio	145.3	206.7	0.01
PaCO ₂ /mmHg	42.3 (± 11)	38.7 (± 9)	0.28
Temp/°C	36.6 (± 0.5)	37.0 (± 0.3)	0.85
M-WCAS	4.0 (± 0.7)	2.2 (± 0.4)	0.04

RR, respiratory rate; HR, heart rate; PaO₂, partial arterial oxygen; FiO₂, fraction of inspired oxygen; PaCO₂, partial arterial carbon dioxide; M-WCAS, modified Wood's Clinical Asthma score.

vs. 92.9% ($\pm 3.1\%$), 62.0 mmHg (± 11 mmHg) vs. 43.6 mmHg (± 7 mmHg), respectively ($p=0.04$ and 0.01, respectively). Furthermore, PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio was significantly higher in the heliox group than in the air-oxygen group, 206.7 vs. 145.3. Nevertheless, PaCO₂ improvement failed to show the same significance between the studied groups 38.7(± 9) mmHg vs. 42.3 (± 11) mmHg ($p=0.28$; **Table 2**).

The improvement in oxygen saturation, PaO₂, PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio, and PaCO₂ also was better in the heliox group after 12 h of treatment, but the difference was not statistically significant (98.1% [$\pm 2.0\%$] vs. 97.4% [$\pm 3.0\%$], 65.0 mmHg [± 12 mmHg] vs. 62.0 mmHg [± 7 mmHg], 216.7 vs. 206.7, and 42.0 mmHg [± 9 mmHg] vs. 42.0 mmHg [± 11 mmHg]; $p=0.66$, 0.70, and 0.95, respectively; **Table 3**). At the end of the 24 h after treatment onset, all the measured parameters in both groups, including PaO₂, oxygen saturation, and PaCO₂, improved from baseline but the difference was not statistically significant ($p=0.95$, 0.7, and 0.88 respectively; **Table 4**).

The modified Wood's Clinical Asthma Score did not show any significant difference between both groups before treatment initiation; however, it dropped significantly after 2 h of treatment, from 5.5 points (± 1.0 points) in the heliox group vs. 5.4 points (± 0.9 points) in the air-oxygen group, to 2.2 points (± 0.4 points) vs. 4.0 points (± 0.7 points), respectively ($p=0.04$). At the end of the 24 h of treatment, the modified Wood's Clinical Asthma Score decreased in both

groups, but the difference was not statistically significant ($p=0.88$).

No treatment-related adverse events were observed throughout the study period. All enrolled participants have completed the study. None of the enrolled participants required mechanical ventilation. Furthermore, all patients have fully recovered without any complications.

Discussion

Helium is a low molecular weight gas that, when mixed with oxygen, yields a mixture less dense than air.¹¹ Therefore, such mixture can be used in cases of airway obstruction, through reduction of flow resistance and consequently a reduction in respiratory effort.¹²⁻¹⁴ Furthermore, heliox has been proved to enhance alveolar ventilation through its high diffusion coefficient and CO₂ elimination.^{11,14,15}

In the literature, there are recent references for the non-invasive use of heliox in the management of acute bronchiolitis in infants.¹⁶ The present study has shown that heliox, when combined with HFNC, will increase its efficacy regarding gas exchange and significantly reduce clinical scores evaluating respiratory distress in the initial phase of treatment in patients with RSV acute bronchiolitis. The response seen with heliox regarding increase of oxygenation boomed rapidly within the first 2 h of the study intervention

Table 3 Differences between study groups 12 h after the start of intervention.

Variable	Air-oxygen	Heliox	p
RR/minute	55 (± 4)	54 (± 3)	0.88
HR/minute	110 (± 22)	112 (± 19)	0.77
Mean blood pressure/mmHg	48 (± 21)	49 (± 12)	0.92
Saturation/%	97.4 (± 3)	98.1 (± 2)	0.66
PaO ₂ /mmHg	62 (± 7)	65 (± 12)	0.70
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ ratio	206.7	216.7	0.68
PaCO ₂ /mmHg	42 (± 11)	42 (± 9)	0.95
Temp/°C	36.8 (± 0.5)	37.1 (± 0.3)	0.88
M-WCAS	2.3 (± 0.6)	2.0 (± 0.7)	0.86

RR, respiratory rate; HR, heart rate, PaO₂, partial arterial oxygen; FiO₂, fraction of inspired oxygen; PaCO₂, partial arterial carbon dioxide; M-WCAS, modified Wood's Clinical Asthma score.

Table 4 Differences between study groups 24 h after the start of intervention.

Variable	Air-oxygen	Heliox	p
RR/minute	55 (± 4)	56 (± 3)	0.90
HR/minute	110 (± 22)	112 (± 19)	0.77
Mean blood pressure/mmHg	48 (± 21)	49 (± 12)	0.92
Saturation/%	98 (± 3)	98 (± 2)	0.66
PaO ₂ /mmHg	62 (± 7)	65 (± 12)	0.70
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ ratio	206.7	216.7	0.68
PaCO ₂ /mmHg	42 (± 11)	42 (± 9)	0.95
Temp/°C	36.8 (± 0.5)	37.1 (± 0.3)	0.88
M-WCAS	0.69 (± 0.4)	0.67 (± 0.5)	0.88

RR, respiratory rate; HR, heart rate; PaO₂, partial arterial oxygen; FiO₂, fraction of inspired oxygen; PaCO₂, partial arterial carbon dioxide; M-WCAS, modified Wood's Clinical Asthma score.

and was maintained throughout therapy, coherent with its way of action. The degree of clinical and gas exchange improvement observed in the present study when heliox was used with HFNC is similar to previous findings where heliox was used with nasal continuous positive airway pressure (n-CPAP) ventilation.^{17,18} However, other authors referred the improvement in oxygenation to be most likely due to n-CPAP ventilation rather than the heliox effect itself.¹⁷ Moraa et al., in a recent Cochrane review, showed evidence of short-term benefit of heliox inhalation as an adjuvant therapy with oral or intramuscular dexamethasone in children suffering from moderate to severe croup.⁴ Chowdhury et al., in a multicenter trial, found that heliox therapy did not reduce length of treatment when given via nasal cannula. Nevertheless, they have stated that the heliox effect was greater in tight-fitting facemasks or CPAP.¹⁹

The lack of CO₂ clearance improvement in the present work was consistent with the earlier report by Gross et al.³ They failed to demonstrate any beneficial effect of various heliox mixtures on arterial CO₂ in infants with mechanical ventilation due to moderate to severe RSV lower respiratory tract disease. Kneyber et al. reported the same results; however, their participants probably had a more severe illness than those in the present study, with higher PaCO₂.²⁰ Previously, they observed a favorable effect of heliox in obstructive airway among infants.

None of the present patients showed any adverse effect, regardless of the administered therapy. The techniques of

gas delivery with HFNC and the inert nature of helium were well tolerated by all patients. However, the present study has some limitation. Randomization was not blind because of the discrepancy between both gas delivery systems. Discrimination between clinical phenotypes based on chest radiology was hindered by the small size of the study sample, but further research of this subject is encouraged.

The present data has shown the transient beneficial effect of heliox when provided with HFNC in terms of clinical condition and blood gas exchange in infants with RSV acute bronchiolitis during the initial phase of the non-invasive therapy. Moreover, such transient improvement may provide a precious time interval for other therapeutics to be effective or for the natural resolution of disease during the initial phase of therapy. Moreover, other interventions, such as intubation and mechanical ventilation may be avoided. Clearly, further work is needed to evaluate other aspects, such as ideal time for treatment onset, proper heliox mixture parentage to use, duration of therapy, and identification of non-responders. On the meantime, the mixture of helium and oxygen delivered through HFNC may be beneficial for RSV acute bronchiolitis management among infants.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Gupta VK, Cheifetz IM. Heliox administration in the pediatric intensive care unit: an evidence-based review. *Pediatr Crit Care Med.* 2005;6:204–11.
2. Papamoschou D. Theoretical validation of the respiratory benefits of helium–oxygen mixtures. *Respir Physiol.* 1995;99: 183–90.
3. Gross MF, Spear RM, Peterson BM. Helium–oxygen mixture does not improve gas exchange in mechanically ventilated children with bronchiolitis. *Crit Care.* 2000;4:188–92.
4. Moraa I, Sturman N, McGuire T, van Driel ML. Heliox for croup in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013. CD006822.
5. Braun Filho LR, Amantéa SL, Becker A, Vitola L, Marta VF, Kru menauer R. Use of helium–oxygen mixture (Heliox®) in the treatment of obstructive lower airway disease in a pediatric emergency department. *J Pediatr (Rio J).* 2010;86:424–8.
6. Wong JJ, Lee JH, Turner DA, Rehder KJ. A review of the use of adjunctive therapies in severe acute asthma exacerbation in critically ill children. *Expert Rev Respir Med.* 2014;8:423–41.
7. Cervantes-Ortiz SL, Zamorano Cuervo N, Grandvaux N. Respiratory syncytial virus and cellular stress responses: impact on replication and physiopathology. *Viruses.* 2016;8, pii: E124.
8. Dawson-Caswell M, Muncie HL Jr. Respiratory syncytial virus infection in children. *Am Fam Phys.* 2011;83:141–6.
9. Wood DW, Downes JJ, Lecks HI. A clinical scoring system for the diagnosis of respiratory failure Preliminary report on childhood status asthmaticus. *Am J Dis Child.* 1972;123:227–8.
10. Ralston SL, Lieberthal AS, Meissner HC, Alverson BK, Baley JE, Gadomski AM, et al. Clinical practice guideline: the diagnosis, management, and prevention of bronchiolitis. *Pediatrics.* 2014;134:e1474–502.
11. Martinón-Torres F, Rodríguez-Núñez A, Martinón-Sánchez JM. Heliox therapy in infants with acute bronchiolitis. *Pediatrics.* 2002;109:68–73.
12. Soong WJ, Hwang B, Tang RB. Continuous positive airway pressure by nasal prongs in bronchiolitis. *Pediatr Pulmonol.* 1993;16:163–6.
13. Beasley JM, Jones SE. Continuous positive airway pressure in bronchiolitis. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed).* 1981;283:1506–8.
14. Thia LP, McKenzie SA, Blyth TP, Minasian CC, Kozlowska WJ, Carr SB. Randomised controlled trial of nasal continuous positive airways pressure (CPAP) in bronchiolitis. *Arch Dis Child.* 2008;93:45–7.
15. Rodrigo G, Pollack C, Rodrigo C, Rowe B. Heliox for treatment of exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2002. CD003571.
16. Martinón-Torres F, Rodríguez-Núñez A, Martinón-Sánchez JM. Nasal continuous positive airway pressure with heliox versus air oxygen in infants with acute bronchiolitis: a crossover study. *Pediatrics.* 2008;121:e1190–5.
17. Martinón-Torres F, Rodríguez-Núñez A, Martinón-Sánchez JM. Nasal continuous positive airway pressure with heliox in infants with acute bronchiolitis. *Respir Med.* 2006;100:1458–62.
18. Liet JM, Ducruet T, Gupta V, Cambonie G. Heliox inhalation therapy for bronchiolitis in infants. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015. CD006915.
19. Chowdhury MM, McKenzie SA, Pearson CC, Carr S, Pao C, Shah AR, et al. Heliox therapy in bronchiolitis: phase III multi-center double-blind randomized controlled trial. *Pediatrics.* 2013;131:661–9.
20. Kneyber MC, van Heerde M, Twisk JW, Plötz FB, Markhors DG. Heliox reduces respiratory system resistance in respiratory syncytial virus induced respiratory failure. *Crit Care.* 2009;13. R71.