

SHORT COMMUNICATION

Detection of Pathogenic Bacteria in Skin Lesions of Patients with Chiclero's Ulcer. Reluctant Response to Antimonial Treatment

Angélica Patricia Isaac-Márquez, Claudio Manuel Lezama-Dávila⁺

Centro de Investigaciones en Enfermedades Tropicales, Universidad Autónoma de Campeche, Av. Agustín Melgar s/n, 24030 Campeche, México

We investigated the bacterial flora present in skin lesions of patients with chiclero's ulcer from the Yucatan peninsula of Mexico using conventional culture methods (11 patients), and an immunocolorimetric detection of pathogenic *Streptococcus pyogenes* (15 patients). Prevalence of bacteria isolated by culture methods was 90.9% (10/11). We cultured, from chiclero's ulcers (60%), pathogenic bacteria such as *Staphylococcus aureus* (20%), *S. pyogenes* (1.6%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (1.6%), *Morganella morganii* (1.6%), and opportunist pathogenic bacteria such as *Klebsiella spp.* (20.0%), *Enterobacter spp.* (20%), and *Enterococcus spp.* (20%). We also cultured coagulase-negative staphylococci in 40% (4/10) of the remaining patients. *Micrococcus spp.* and coagulase-negative staphylococci constituted the bacterial genera more frequently isolated in the normal skin of patients with chiclero's ulcer and healthy individuals used as controls. We also undertook another study to find out the presence of *S. pyogenes* by an immunocolorimetric assay. This study indicated that 60% (9/15) of the ulcerated lesions, but not normal controls, were contaminated with *S. pyogenes*. Importantly, individuals with purulent secretion and holding concomitant infections with *S. pyogenes*, *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa*, *M. morganii*, and *E. durans* took longer to heal *Leishmania (L.) mexicana* infections treated with antimonial drugs. Our results suggest the need to eliminate bacterial purulent infections, by antibiotic treatment, before starting antimonial administration to patients with chiclero's ulcer.

Key words: chiclero's ulcer - bacterial flora - antimonial treatment - Mexico

Chiclero's ulcer, one of the existing forms of cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL), is due to infection mainly by *Leishmania (Leishmania) mexicana* and is mostly present in Southern Mexico and Central America (Pérez Mutul et al. 1994). The disease mechanisms responsible for healing or chronicity of experimental and human leishmaniasis are essentially confined to the immune system (Shankar & Titus 1995, Lezama-Dávila et al. 1998). Bacterial, fungal, viral and parasitic infections sometimes result as illnesses affecting the integrity of skin and immune system (Couppie 1997, Grasa et al. 2000, Nega et al. 2001). When nodules due to infection with *Leishmania* parasites ulcerate, they become susceptible to colonization with a number of microorganisms, such as pathogenic fungus and bacterial that could provoke secondary infections. Studies carried out in Iran and Ecuador have documented the presence of bacterial infections associated with cutaneous lesions in patients with CL that include a number of pathogenic bacteria (Edrissian et al. 1990, Kawabata et al. 1995).

The aim of the present work was to investigate the presence of pathogenic bacteria in lesions of patients with chiclero's ulcer, in relation to effectiveness of antimonial treatment and the pattern of susceptibility to antimicrobials. The criteria for inclusion of patients in this work was based on a positive clinical diagnosis of chiclero's ulcer together with laboratory analysis, as reported elsewhere (Lezama-Dávila et al. 1998). These laboratory tests included the induction of a DTH response and parasite identification by lesion smears stained with Giemsa. Bacterial culture was performed in different groups: one group included bacteriological culture of samples from different ulcerated lesions or normal skin of 11 patients with chiclero's ulcer. Another group consisted of 11 samples from normal skin of healthy controls. An additional group under study included samples from ulcerated lesions from 15 patients with chiclero's ulcer that were not submitted to bacteriological culture, but were tested by a more sensitive immunocolorimetric assay: Group A Strep SMARTTM (Sensitive membrane antigen rapid test, New Horizons Diagnostics, Co.), aimed to detect pathogenic *Streptococcus pyogenes*. Culture and identification of bacteria present in chiclero's ulcers was performed as follows: after appropriate cleaning, ulcer's specimens were obtained by rubbing two sterile cotton swabs moistened with sterile saline solution over the edge of ulcerated lesions. One of which was inserted in Stuart's transport medium and, with the remaining swab, we performed two smears over glass slides that were stained with Gram to detect bacterial. Specimens were

Financial support: Secretaría de Educación Pública (FOMES 94-04-03-01) and University of Campeche

⁺Corresponding author. Present address: Av. Universidad No. 6, Fraccionamiento Bosques de Campeche, 24030 Campeche, México.

Fax.: +52-981-81-2-02-68. E-mail: vanity@prodigy.net.mx

Received 3 June 2003

Accepted 13 October 2003

cultured in tryptic soy agar (Difco, Mexico) supplemented with 5% sheep blood (In Vitro, Mexico) and MacConkey agar (Difco) and were then incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Smears from each of the morphologically different colonies selected from culture mediums were treated with Gram's stain and were microscopically examined as reported by Cheesbrough (1989). The catalase test (Difco) was practiced to gram-positive organisms, whereas the cytochrome oxidase test (Difco) was practiced to gram-negative organisms. The final identification of genres, species, biotypes and pattern of antibiotic resistance of the isolated microorganisms was carried out with the PASCO system (Difco). Medical care of patients was performed by general practitioners appointed by the local health authorities. Once diagnosis was performed and confirmed by laboratory tests, patients received daily intramuscular injections of 1.5 g of antimoniate of meglumine (Glucantime™) for 20 days. This course of treatment was repeated up to three times (as needed) if cutaneous lesions showed no signs of healing.

Results from this work showed that 50% of patients presented lesions located in the ear and, in the remaining cases, the anatomical location of lesions varied including the back, the eyelid, and the extremities. Parasites were detected in lesion smears in all the 26 patients and all of

them displayed a positive DTH (e.g. ≥ 0.5 cm of skin induration; mean \pm sem = 0.75 ± 0.083 cm, range = 0.5-1.2 cm). The presence of cultured bacterial flora was documented in lesions of 10 out of 11 patients with chiclero's ulcer included in this study. Table shows the bacterial flora isolated from ulcerated lesions, normal skin near the lesion, and normal skin from healthy donors. The distribution patterns of bacterial families that we found in this study is as follows: Micrococcaceae family pre-dominated, 47% (8/17) of the isolated strains corresponded to the genus *Staphylococcus*, whereas 29% and 17% belonged to Enterobacteriaceae and Streptococcaceae family, respectively. The presence of pathogenic bacteria was documented in samples taken from *Leishmania* skin lesions, and when purulent secretions were present antimonial treatment needed two more schedules of 20 injections each one to cure the parasite infection. The pattern of resistance of isolated strains to common antibiotics for gram-positive and gram-negative bacterial was also performed. Species of *Staphylococcus* from ulcerated lesions including *S. aureus* were resistant to ampicillin, penicillin, and cefixime. Forty four percent of these microorganisms also presented resistance to erythromycin. *S. pyogenes* presented resistance to the aminoglycosides, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, and lomefloxacin. This strain was also

TABLE
Genus, species and biotype of bacterial flora isolated

Nr patient	Humans with chiclero's ulcer		Nr of healthy control	Healthy controls
	Ulcerated lesions	Normal skin near the lesion		Normal skin from the same anatomical location of lesions
1	<i>E. faecalis</i> 273 077 0 <i>E. agglomerans</i> 773 202 511	<i>S. hominis</i> 004 236 2	1	<i>Micrococcus</i> spp. 004 432 2 <i>Micrococcus</i> spp. 000 210 2
2	ND	<i>S. hominis</i> 004 216 2	2	<i>S. hominis</i> 104 216 2
3	<i>S. auricularis</i> 002 013 2 <i>S. cohnii sub. I</i> 010 437 2	<i>Micrococcus</i> spp. 004 432 2	3	<i>S. epidermidis</i> 114 656 2 <i>P. aeruginosa</i> 202 034 016
4	<i>K. ozaenae</i> 377 700 400	<i>K. ozaenae</i> 377 700 400	4	<i>S. epidermidis</i> 410 616 2 <i>S. haemolyticus</i> 010 236 2
5 ^a	<i>S. aureus</i> 152 636 2	<i>S. epidermidis</i> 114 676 2	5	<i>S. epidermidis</i> 114 676 2 <i>K. ozaenae</i> 377 700 400
6	<i>E. cloacae</i> 777 737 753 <i>K. pneumoniae</i> 777 727 311	<i>E. agglomerans</i> 377 700 040 <i>K. rhinoscleromatis</i> 377 700 401	6	<i>S. haemolyticus</i> 000 332 2
7 ^a	<i>E. durans</i> 373 037 0 <i>M. morgani</i> 600 014 027 <i>P. aeruginosa</i> 242 434 056	<i>Micrococcus</i> spp. 000 210 2	7	<i>S. hominis</i> 000 216 2
8	<i>S. cohnii sub. I</i> 010 437 2 <i>S. xylosus</i> 154 637 3	<i>S. warneri</i> 650 216 2 <i>P. putida</i> 202 034 012	8	<i>S. simulans</i> 114 336 2 <i>S. hominis</i> 004 236 2
9	<i>S. epidermidis</i> 110 656 2	<i>Micrococcus</i> spp. 000 632 2	9	<i>S. hominis</i> 004 216 2 <i>S. warneri</i> 014 216 2 <i>S. warneri</i> 650 216 2
10 ^a	<i>S. aureus</i> 150 636 3 <i>S. pyogenes</i> 310 630 1	<i>S. simulans</i> 112 236 2	10	<i>E. agglomerans</i> 377 700 040 <i>K. rhinoscleromatis</i> 377 700 401
11	<i>S. saprophyticus</i> 044 237 2	<i>S. saprophyticus</i> 044 237 2	11	<i>P. putida</i> 202 034 012 <i>P. fluorescens</i> 202 014 002 <i>P. shigelloides</i> 342 734 012

a: patients with purulent lesions; ND: not detected

resistance to three clinically important antibiotics (penicillin, vancomycin, and erythromycin). *S. pyogenes*, was detected in cutaneous lesions of 60% (9/15) of patients but was not found in normal skin suggesting the development of a concomitant bacterial infection in patients with chiclero's ulcer. *S. aureus* was isolated in 20% (2/10) of ulcerated lesions, but was not found in normal skin of healthy individuals. This bacteria was also isolated from a purulent secretion located in a typical *Leishmania* skin lesion, and in two other purulent lesions a mixed flora was found and was represented in one case by *S. pyogenes* and *S. aureus* and, in the other, by *P. aeruginosa*, *M. morgani*, and *E. durans*. We also isolated from skin lesions *Enterobacter* spp., *Klebsiella* spp., and *Enterococcus* spp. which could play an opportunist role. We concluded that presence of pathogenic bacteria, in purulent chiclero's ulcers, is strongly suggestive of a concomitant bacterial infection. However, in normal skin, only coagulase negative staphylococci and *Micrococcus* spp. were isolated. The presence in CL patients of *Staphylococcus* spp., *Streptococcus* β -haemolyticus, *Enterococcus* spp., and gram-negative organisms as *Enterobacter* spp., *Klebsiella* spp., and *Pseudomonas* spp., has been reported by Edrissian et al. (1990) and Kawabata et al. (1995). These results coincide with those obtained in this study. However, in contrast to our results, these authors also reported the presence of *E. coli*, *Serratia* spp., *Proteus* spp., *Acinetobacter* spp., and *Alcaligenes* spp. Bacteria such as *S. aureus* and *P. multocida* have also been found contaminating CL lesions in golden hamsters infected with *L. brasiliensis* (Potter et al. 1983) and in BALB/c mice infected with *L. major* (El-On et al 1992) that worsened the disease and interfered with the healing process. *S. pyogenes* constitute a pathogenic bacteria commonly found in the throat and skin (Cheesbrough 1989). *S. aureus* is responsible for abscesses, styes, and impetigo, it may also cause secondary infections provoked by insect bites, ulcers, burns, wounds, and skin disorders (Cheesbrough 1989). Identification of *S. epidermidis* also presents clinical relevance as reported by Roth and James (1988). According to the results of this work, ulcerated lesions characteristic of patients with chiclero's ulcer are frequently colonized with intestinal or environmental bacterial that might affect the development of infections with *L. mexicana*. The bacterial flora isolated from humans infected with *L. mexicana* could be the result of interactions with their environment that are determined by farming and other occupations performed in the rain forest. El-On et al. (1992) reported that *Leishmania* parasites and their metabolites might induce a local immunosuppression in the CL lesion experimentally produced by *L. major*. It is possible that in the human infection due to *L. mexicana* this phenomenon of immunosuppression could be present and may facilitate concomitant bacterial infections. Chiclero's ulcer affects residents of rural areas which, in most cases, live far away from health care centres. It is common that they undertake empirical remedies and sometimes receive antibiotic drugs indiscriminately. This favor the phenomenon of multiple resistance to antibiotics. In this study 50% (9/18) of isolated strains from lesions of patients with

chiclero's ulcer showed resistance to five or more drugs. This could favor the indication of antibiotic susceptibility test to improve drug therapy.

Finally, results obtained in this study suggest the need to consider bacterial purulent infections in the treatment of patients with CL, in order to receive a comprehensive treatment of their disease. Important and exciting work is on the way in endemic areas for cutaneous leishmaniasis that will improve quality live of those who unfortunately suffer from this disease.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To health authorities of the State of Campeche, to the former Health Minister of Campeche Dr Fernando Sandoval for their valuable assistance provided to develop this work and the staff of the University of Campeche for their technical assistance. To Dr Gustavo Acosta A for the gift of kits for immunocolorimetric detection of *Streptococcus* group A.

REFERENCES

- Cheesbrough M 1989. *Medical Laboratory Manual for Tropical Countries*, Vol. II, *Microbiology*, Butterworths, Great Britain.
- Couppie P, Pradinaud R, Grosshans E, Sainte-Marie D, Benoist B 1997. Rapid diagnosis of cutaneous leishmaniasis and histoplasmosis by direct microscopic tests. *Ann Dermatol Venerol* 124: 849-851.
- Edrissian GH, Mohammad M, Kanani A, Afshar A, Hafezi R, Ghorbani M, Gharagozloo AR 1990. Bacterial infections in suspected cutaneous leishmaniasis lesions. *Bull WHO* 68: 473-477.
- El-On-J, Sneier R, Elias-E 1992. *Leishmania major*. Bacterial contamination of cutaneous lesions in experimental animals. *Isr J Med Sci* 28: 847-851.
- Grasa JM, Lorente J, Crego F, Naches S, Subirana FX, Calderon JR, Pollan C, Encarnacion LF, Quesada P 2000. Nasal leishmaniasis in an HIV-positive patient. *Acta Otorinolaringol Esp* 51: 169-173.
- Kawabata M, Mimori T, Gomez EA, Hashiguchi Y 1995. Flora bacteriana aislada de dos tipos de úlceras leishmaniásicas en Ecuador. In Y Hashiguchi, *Estudios sobre la Leishmaniasis en el Nuevo Mundo y su Transmisión, con Especial Referencia al Ecuador, Serie de Reportes de Investigación No. 1*, Kyowa Printing, Japan, p. 68-71.
- Lezama-Dávila CM, Isaac-Márquez AP, Padierna-Olivos J, Aguilar-Torrentera F, Chapa-Ruiz R 1998. Immunomodulation of chiclero's ulcer. Role of eosinophils, T cells, tumour necrosis factor and interleukin-2. *Scand J Immunol* 47: 502-508.
- Nega B, Asrat H, Yodit A, Yewondwosen T, Knut B, Yegeremu A 2001. Inter-current and nosocomial infections among visceral leishmaniasis patients in Ethiopia: an observational study. *Acta Trop* 80: 87-95.
- Pérez-Mutul J, Balam TL, Canto LS 1994. Identificación de protozoarios del género leishmania con sondas biotinadas de kDNA en la península de Yucatán. *Rev Biomed* 5: 60-69.
- Potter ME, Chapman WL, Hanson WL, Blue JL 1983 *Leishmania braziliensis*: effect of bacteria (*S. aureus*, *P. multocida*) on the developing cutaneous leishmaniasis lesions in the golden hamster. *Exp Parasitol* 56: 107-118.
- Roth RR, James WD 1988. Microbial ecology of the skin. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 42: 441-464.
- Shankar AH, Titus RG 1995. T-cell and non-T cell compartments can independently determine resistance to *L. major*. *J Exp Med* 181: 845-855.