Allozyme and cytogenetic analysis in two species of *Hypostomus* (Siluriformes: Loricariidae) from the Paraguai River basin, Brazil: occurrence of B microchromosome and intrapopulation heterochromatic polymorphism in *H. boulengeri*

[®]Suzana de Paiva¹, [®]Fernanda Errero Porto², [®]Flávio José Codognotto¹,
[®]Carlos Alexandre Fernandes^{1,3,4,5}, [®]Margarida Maria Vieira Rossi⁶,
[®]Luciana Andreia Borin-Carvalho^{1,5}, [®]Ana Luiza de Brito Portela-Castro^{1,3,5},
[®]Cláudio Henrique Zawadzki^{3,7}, [®]Erasmo Renesto^{1,3} and
[®]Isabel Cristina Martins-Santos^{1,3}

Hypostomus is distributed by Central and South America basins, with diverse species with taxonomic conflicts. This way, the integration of auxiliary techniques contributes to understanding the systematics and phylogeny of the group. Thus, this study aimed to investigate the *Hypostomus cochliodon* and *H. boulengeri* from the Onça stream (Paraguai River basin) by allozyme and cytogenetic techniques. *Hypostomus boulengeri* showed a diploid number of 68 chromosomes (14m+14sm+18st+22a), multiple NOR revealed by Ag-NOR and 18S rDNA FISH, a polymorphism of heterochromatin in acrocentrics and the presence of B microchromosome. *Hypostomus cochliodon* showed a diploid number of 64 chromosomes (16m+26sm+14st+8a); despite the single NOR, some individuals showed NOR in both telomeres detected by Ag-NOR and 18S rDNA FISH. Isozyme identified two diagnostic loci (Idh-A and Gdh-A)

7 Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Departamento de Biologia (DBI), Maringá, PR, Brazil.

Correspondence: Fernanda Errero Porto fepsaparolli@uem.br

9

Submitted October 24, 2023 Accepted May 21, 2024 by Claudio Oliveira Epub July 22, 2024

Online version ISSN 1982-0224 Print version ISSN 1679-6225

Neotrop. Ichthyol.

vol. 22, no. 2, Maringá 2024



¹ Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Biotecnologia, Genética e Biologia Celular (DBC). Av. Colombo, 5790, 87020-900 Maringá, PR, Brazil. (SDP) su.paiva101@gmail.com, (FJC) flaviobiologic@gmail.com, (CAF) cafernandes@uem.br, (LABC) labcarvalho@uem. br, (ALBPC) albpcastro@nupelia.uem.br, (ER) erenesto@uem.br, (ICMS) icmdsantos@uem.br.

² Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Departamento de Ciências do Movimento Humano (DMO), Ivaiporã, PR, Brazil. (FEP) fepsaparolli@uem.br (corresponding author).

³ Núcleo de Pesquisas em Limnologia, Ictiologia e Aquicultura (Nupélia), Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Av. Colombo, 5790, 87020-900 Maringá, PR, Brazil.

⁴ Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biologia Comparada, Centro de Ciências Biológicas (CCB), Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Maringá, PR, Brazil.

⁵ Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biotecnologia Ambiental, Departamento de Biotecnologia, Genética e Biologia Celular (DBC), Centro de Ciências Biológicas (CCB), Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Maringá, PR, Brazil.

⁶ Universidade Estadual do Mato Grosso do Sul (UEMS), Unidade Universitária de Coxim, Rua General Mendes de Moraes, 370, 79400-000 Coxim, MS, Brazil. (MMVR) margaridav@yahoo.com.

between the two species and multiple loci with unique alleles in *H. boulengeri*. The genetic variability indicated by the mean heterozygosity (He) was 0.2461 and 0.0309 in *H. boulengeri* and *H. cochliodon*, respectively. Thus, this study reports the first cytogenetic data for *H. boulengeri* and the first isozymatic data for *H. boulengeri* and *H. cochliodon*. The two species presented evident cytogenetic and isoenzymatic differences with the obtaining of exclusive genetic markers providing support for future evolutionary studies in the group.

Keywords: Ribosomal DNA, C-Banding, Diagnostic Loci, Isoenzymes.

Hypostomus está distribuído por bacias da América Central e do Sul, com grande diversidade de espécies com conflitos taxonômicos. Desta forma, a integração de técnicas auxiliares contribui para a compreensão da sistemática e filogenia do grupo. Assim, este estudo teve como objetivo investigar Hypostomus cochliodon e H. boulengeri do riacho Onça (bacia do rio Paraguai) por meio de técnicas aloenzimáticas e citogenéticas. As análises citogenéticas em H. boulengeri mostraram número cromossômico igual a 2n = 68 (14m+14sm+18st+22a), sistema de NOR múltiplo revelado por Ag-NOR e 18S-FISH, um polimorfismo de heterocromatina em acrocêntricos e a presença de microcromossomos Bs. Hypostomus cochliodon mostrou um número diploide de 64 cromossomos (16m+26sm+14st+8a); apesar do sistema de NOR simples, alguns indivíduos apresentaram NOR em ambos os telômeros detectados por Ag-NOR e 18S-FISH. Uma isozima identificou dois loci diagnósticos (Idh-A and Gdh-A) entre as duas espécies e múltiplos loci com alelos únicos em H. boulengeri. A variabilidade genética indicada pela heterozigosidade média (He) foi de 0,2461 e 0,0309 em H. boulengeri e H. cochliodon, respectivamente. Assim, este estudo relata os primeiros dados citogenéticos para H. boulengeri e os primeiros dados isoenzimáticos para H. boulengeri e H. cochliodon. As duas espécies apresentaram evidentes diferenças citogenéticas e isoenzimáticas com a obtenção de marcadores genéticos exclusivos fornecendo suporte para futuros estudos evolutivos no grupo.

Palavras-chave: DNA Ribossomal, Banda C, Loco diagnóstico, Isoenzimas.

INTRODUCTION

Loricariidae is the most prominent family among the Siluriformes, distributed throughout Central and South America, and it is composed of fish popularly known as catfish. Among the subfamilies, Hypostominae has 500 species and 45 valid genera (Fricke *et al.*, 2023), that despite the monophyly, present phylogenetic conflicts, mainly in genus *Hypostomus* Lacepède, 1803 which presents a large number of species with significant morphological variation (Zawadzki *et al.*, 2001, 2008a; Armbruster, 2003, 2004; Reis *et al.*, 2006; Ferraris, 2007; Cramer *et al.*, 2011; Lujan *et al.*, 2015; Roxo *et al.*; 2015; Roxo *et al.*; 2015; Roxo *et al.*; 2015; Roxo

al., 2019). Thus, the integration of phylogenetic techniques can elucidate taxonomic uncertainties; for example, integrative taxonomy associates molecular, cytogenetic, and morphological methods, which together contribute to aspects related to genetic variability and cryptic diversity of the genus (Pugedo *et al.*, 2016; Dias, Zawadzki, 2018; Azevedo *et al.*, 2021).

Studies using the allozyme technique to identify species of *Hypostomus* were carried out mainly in specimens present in the Paraná River basin, contributed to the evaluation of the genetic variability of populations, identification, and distinction of species, in addition to the inference of phylogenetic relationships and systematic approach of this genus that represents a complex subject (Zawadzki *et al.*, 1999, 2002, 2004, 2008a; Paiva *et al.*, 2005; Ito *et al.*, 2009).

On the other hand, cytogenetic studies in Hypostomus show wide variation in chromosome number, ranging from 2n = 64 in H. faveolus Zawadzki, Birindelli & Lima, 2008, H. cochliodon Kner, 1854, H. soniae Hollanda Carvalho & Weber, 2005 (Bueno et al., 2013; Oliveira et al., 2019) to 2n = 84 in H. perdido Zawadzki, Tencatt & Froehlich, 2014 (Cereali et al., 2008; Zawadzki et al., 2014). In addition, interspecific and intraspecific karyotypic variations have been reported in different populations. This diversity has been attributed to chromosomal rearrangements throughout the karyotypic evolution of *Hypostomus* (Artoni, Bertollo, 2001; Bueno et al., 2012; Ferreira et al., 2019). In addition, inferences about the taxonomy and phylogeny have been made from the physical mapping of some regions of the chromosomes, such as the nucleolus organizer region (NOR), where the single NOR is considered a pleisiomorphic character, while multiple NOR located in the terminal region is the most commonly found character and deemed apomorphic character in the genus (Artoni, Bertollo, 1996, 2001; Alves et al., 2006; Rubert et al., 2016; Lorscheider et al., 2018). Moreover, constitutive heterochromatin co-localized with NOR sites may be involved in the dispersion of extra copies of rDNA genes along the genome in *Hypostomus*, where unequal mating events and amplification of heterochromatin would explain the occurrence of multiple NORs. Additionally, transposable elements have also been suggested as agents of dispersion of copies of these genes throughout the genome of the group (Bueno et al., 2014; Rubert et al., 2016; Lorscheider et al., 2018).

In addition, variations in the distribution of constitutive heterochromatin across the karyotype have made it possible to discuss the dispersion mechanisms of heterochromatin in different populations of *Hypostomus* (Artoni, Bertollo, 1999; Bitencourt *et al.*, 2011; Traldi *et al.*, 2012; Baumgärtner *et al.*, 2014). Conspicuous blocks of heterochromatin located on the long arm of acrocentric chromosomes have been commonly found in the group, and even reports of polymorphism involving these chromosomes have been detected intra- and interpopulationally (Artoni, Bertollo, 1999, 2001; Rubert *et al.*, 2011; Bitencourt *et al.*, 2012). The hypothesis that the amplification of heterochromatic segments could have caused the dispersion of heterochromatin along the karyotype, in addition to other elements such as transposable elements and chromosomal rearrangements, was also suggested (Bitencourt *et al.*, 2012; Traldi *et al.*, 2012, 2019; Baumgärtner *et al.*, 2014; Oliveira *et al.*, 2015; Ferreira *et al.*, 2019).

In this article, we aimed to expand on the cytogenetic and isozymatic data of *H. cochliodon* and *H. boulengeri* (Eigenmann & Kennedy, 1903) collected in a tributary of the Paraguai River. Here are the first cytogenetic data for *H. boulengeri* and the first isoenzymatic data for both species.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study area and sampling. The individuals of *Hypostomus boulengeri* and *H. cochliodon* (Figs. 1A, B) were collected in the Onça stream, a tributary of the Taquari River, upper Paraguai River basin, Mato Grosso do Sul (Coxim-MS; 18°30'S 54°40'W and 18°32'S 51°25'W). Specimens were anesthetized and sacrificed by immersion in eugenol, fixed in 10% formalin solution, and later preserved in 70% ethanol (Griffiths, 2000). Specimens of both species were deposited in the ichthyological collection of the Núcleo de Pesquisas em Limnologia, Ictiologia e Aquicultura (Nupélia) of the Universidade Estadual de Maringá (NUP 9821, *H. boulengeri*; NUP 9822, *H. cochliodon*).

Cytogenetic analysis. Ten individuals of *H. boulengeri* (three males; five females; two unidentified) and sixteen of *H. cochliodon* (seven males; eight females; one unidentified) were analyzed. Mitotic chromosomes were obtained from kidney cells, according to the technique described by Bertollo *et al.* (1978). The Nucleolar Organizer Regions (NOR) were detected by the silver nitrate staining (Howell, Black, 1980) and Fluorescent *in situ* Hybridization (FISH) technique, using 18S rDNA probes obtained



FIGURE 1 | Specimens of *Hypostomus boulengeri* (**A**) and *H. cochliodon* (**B**) from the Onça stream, upper Paraguai River basin. Scale bar = 100 mm.

from 18S rDNA fragments of *Prochilodus argenteus* Spix & Agassiz, 1829 (Hatanaka, Galetti, 2004), following the methodology described by Pinkel *et al.* (1986). The C-banding technique determined the heterochromatin distribution (Sumner, 1972) and stained with propidium iodide (Lui *et al.*, 2012). The arms ratio, as proposed by Levan *et al.* (1964), established chromosome morphology and classified it as metacentric (m), submetacentric (sm), subtelocentric (st), and acrocentric (a).

Allozyme analysis. Muscle, liver, and heart samples were collected from both species and preserved at low temperatures (-20°C). Starch gels (15%) were prepared using three different buffer systems in pH 7.4 (Murphy et al., 1996), each one specific for the other enzymatic systems and tissues (Tab. 1). Tissue samples were homogenized with 0.02 M Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.5, and centrifuged at 25.000 rpm for 30 min at a low temperature. The protein extract was applied to the gel, subjected to continuous horizontal electrophoresis, and subsequently incubated in specific histochemical solutions (Murphy et al., 1996). The enzymatic systems were analyzed (Tab. 1), and the genetic interpretation of the zymograms was based on the quaternary structure of the enzymes, according to Ward et al. (1992). Data were analyzed using Popgene 1.31 (Yeh et al., 1999). Loci and alleles were named according to Simonsen (2012), and data was analyzed using Popgen 1:32 software (Yeh et al., 1997). Genetic variability was determined by calculating heterozygosity (expected and observed) according to Nei (1978). The identity (I) and the genetic distance (D) were calculated with the values of the allele frequencies. We employed the dendrogram (grouping method by the algorithm UPGMA- Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Means) of the populations, assuming Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

TABLE 1 | Allozymes analyzed in species Hypostomus boulengeri and H. cochliodon: enzyme name, enzymecommission (EC) number, tissues, and buffers. EC n - Enzyme Commission Number; L - liver; M - muscle;H - heart; TBE - Tris-borate-EDTA; TC - Tris-citrate; TEM - Tris -EDTA-maleate.

Enzyme (abbreviation)	nº EC	Tissues	Buffers
Alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH)	1.1.1.1	L	TBE
Aspartate aminotransferase (AAT)	2.6.1.1	L	TEM
Acid Phosphatase (ACP)	3.1.3.2	L	TC
Glucose-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (G3PDH)	1.1.1.8	L	TC
Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase (GPI)	5.3.1.9	М, Н	TC
Glucose dehydrogenase (GCDH)	1.1.1.118	L	TEM
Isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH)	1.1.1.42	L, M, H	TC
Malate dehydrogenase (MDH)	1.1.1.37	L, M, H	TC
Superoxide dismutase (SOD)	1.15.1.1	L	TBE

RESULTS

Cytogenetic data. Individuals of *Hypostomus boulengeri* presented a diploid number of 2n = 68 distributed in 14m+22sm+10st+22a and a fundamental number (FN) equal to 114 (Fig. 2A). In addition to the basic karyotype, all male and female individuals presented a variation from zero to one B microchromosomes in the somatic cells without homology with the other chromosomes (Fig. 2A, in the box). These elements are smaller than any chromosome of the standard A complement and presented 6% of frequency in the cells analyzed (Tab. 2). *Hypostomus cochliodon* showed 2n = 64 with the karyotypic formula of 16m+22sm+18st+8a and FN = 120 (Fig. 3A). There were no karyotypic differences between males and females in both species.

FIGURE 2 | Karyotypes of *Hypostomus* boulengeri subjected to A. Giemsa, B. C-banding and G. FISH with 18S rDNA probe (yellow). Polymorphism of the heterochromatin in pairs 24, 25, and 26 are shown in C, D, E, and F. The Ag-NORbearing chromosomes are boxed beside the karyotype stained with Giemsa. The B microchromosomes are boxed beside the karyotypes stained with Giemsa and C-banding. Scale bar = 10 µm.





2 sm 9 10 st 20 21 22 a 30 31

> Analysis of the nucleolus organizer region performed with Ag-NOR and 18S rDNA FISH techniques in *H. boulengeri* showed a multiple NOR located on the short arm of three pairs of submetacentric chromosomes (pairs: 9, 10, and 14; Fig. 2A, in the box) and in the telomere region on the long arms of pair 24 (Fig. 2A, in the box). In H. cochliodon, the single NOR was detected on the long arm of the first pair of acrocentric chromosomes at the telomeric position (pair 29; Figs. 3A, C, in the boxes). However, in some individuals of this species, additional staining was detected in the short arm in one of the homologs of the NOR organizing pair (NORs in both telomeres, Figs. 3A, C, in the boxes).

12

23

32

13

24

25

8

16

27

28

19

29

15

26

Individual	Cells analyzed	Cells with B microchromosomes
1	17	2 (11.76%)
2	4	0 (0%)
3	30	2 (6.7%)
4	35	1 (2.86%)
5	19	0 (0%)
6	62	4 (6.45%)
7	23	3 (13.04%)
8	3	0 (0%)
9	4	0 (0%)
10	3	0 (0%)
Total (Frequency)	200	12 (6.0%)

 TABLE 2 | Frequency of B microchromosomes in Hypostomus boulengeri.

In *H. boulengeri*, the C-banding revealed pericentromeric heterochromatin blocks in most metacentric, submetacentric, and subtelocentric chromosomes and the short arm of pairs 9, 10, and 14 coinciding with the NOR regions (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, a conspicuous heterochromatic segment can also be observed in the long arm of some acrocentric chromosomes in both sexes (pairs: 24, 25, and 26), and the number of chromosomes containing this type of heterochromatin varied among individuals in the population (two to six chromosomes; Figs. 2C–F), characterizing a numerical polymorphism. Additionally, some individuals have heterochromatic B microchromosome (Fig. 2B, in the box). Heterochromatin in *H. cochliodon* was evidenced mainly in the pericentromeric regions of metacentric and submetacentric chromosomes (Fig. 3B). Further, the NOR region was C-banding positive (Fig. 3B, in the box).

Allozyme data. Nine enzymatic systems allowed the analysis of 15 loci of the species *H. boulengeri* and *H. cochliodon*, presenting 30 alleles; among these were diagnoses (Idh-A and Gcdh-A; Tab. 3). In *H. boulengeri*, several exclusive alleles were detected with variable frequencies at the loci: Aat-A-a and c, Adh-A-b and c, Gpi-B-a and d, G3pdh-A-b and c, G3pdh-B-b and Idh-B-a. Regarding the genetic variability of the two populations, values of 0.2461 and 0.0309 were found for the average expected heterozygosity (H₂) (Tab. 3) for *H. boulengeri* and *H. cochliodon*, respectively.

TABLE 3 I Allelic frequencies were obtained from the polymorphic loci of the species analyzed in this study. Number of *Hypostomus boulengeri* analyzed - n (*H. b.*), number of *H. cochliodon* analyzed - n (*H. c.*), Loci - polymorphic loci, percentage of polymorphic loci (P%), number of alleles per locus (K), average heterozygosity obtained (Ho) and expected (He). In parentheses are the respective standard deviations.

Loci	Allelic	Hypostomus boulengeri	n <i>(H. b.)</i>	Hypostomus cochliodon	n <i>(H. c.)</i>
	а	0.0526	19	_	24
Aat-A	b	0.5789		1.0000	
	с	0.3684		—	
Aat-B	а	1.0000	20	1.0000	22
Acp-A	а	1.0000	20	1.0000	24
	а	0.3000	20	1.0000	24
Adh-A	b	0.6500		_	
	С	0.0500		_	
0 11 4	а	1.0000	20	_	24
Gcdh-A	b	_		1.0000	
Gpi-A	а	1.0000	20	1.0000	24
	а	0.2250	20	_	24
	b	0.4000		0.6522	
Gpi-B	С	0.2000		0.3478	
	d	0.1750		_	
	а	0.5750	20	1.0000	24
G3pdh-A	b	0.3250		_	
	с	0.1000		_	
	а	0.2000	20	1.0000	24
G3pdh-B	b	0.8000		_	
	а	_	20	1.0000	24
	b	0.2000		_	
Idh-A	с	0.6500		_	
	d	0.1500		_	
	а	0.6000	20	_	22
Idh-B	b	0.4000		1.0000	
Mdh-A	а	1.0000	20	1.0000	24
Mdh-B	а	1.0000	20	1.0000	24
Mdh-C	а	1.0000	20	1.0000	24
Sod-A	а	1.0000	20	1.0000	24
Р		7		1	
P%		46.67		6.67	
К		1.8667		1.0667	
H		0.0981 (0.1702)		0.0000 (0.0000)	
H		0.2461 (0.2836)		0.0309 (0.1171)	

DISCUSSION

Cytogenetics analysis. Hypostomus cochliodon from the Onca stream showed a diploid number (2n = 64) similar to other cytogenetically characterized populations. However, the karyotype formula, FN, nucleolar organizer pair's location, and constitutive heterochromatin distribution detected in the present study differed (Tab. 4). Although this species belongs to the *H. cochliodon* group (Ambruster, 2003), considered a monophyletic clade with 20 valid species distributed throughout South America (Tencatt et al., 2014), cytogenetic studies are scarce. Bueno et al. (2013) related cytogenetic data of *Hypostomus* species with their respective geographic distributions along the watershed, considering that *H. cochliodon* is one of the species with the highest diploid number widely distributed in the North basin (Paraguai and Amazonia), despite the great diversity of species spread across these basins, cytogenetic data on karyotypic variety are also scarce for the genus. The present study extends the cytogenetic data of Hypostomus belonging to the Paraguai basin; in addition, H. boulengeri presented 68 chromosomes. Therefore, it corroborates recent studies that demonstrate that in the southern basins, the species of Hypostomus contain a high number of chromosomes (Bueno et al., 2013; Becker et al., 2014; Rubert et al., 2016; Ferreira et al., 2019). Thus, it is necessary to increase such data to understand the karyotypic evolution of the group; in addition, together with morphological and molecular studies, they can help to understand the systematics and phylogeny of this species (Becker et al., 2014; Rubert et al., 2016; Ferreira et al., 2019).

Furthermore, the present study shows the first cytogenetic description of *H. boulengeri* by detecting a constitutive heterochromatin polymorphism involving acrocentric chromosomes that presented conspicuous heterochromatin blocks (Figs. 2C–F). This type of heterochromatin pattern in acrocentrics was also found in other species of *Hypostomus* (Artoni, Bertollo, 1999; Kavalco *et al.*, 2004; Baumgärtner *et al.*, 2014; Oliveira *et al.*, 2015; Ferreira *et al.*, 2019). In addition, in some populations, polymorphisms related to this type of heterochromatin distribution pattern in acrocentric chromosomes were also observed, suggesting that the amplification of heterochromatic regions originated the intra and interpopulational variations in the genus (Traldi *et al.*, 2012; Baumgärtner *et al.*, 2014; Oliveira *et al.*, 2015; Ferreira *et al.*, 2015; Ferreira *et al.*, 2019). In *H. regani* (Ihering, 1905), also

TABLE 4 Comparison amon	g cytogenetic studies	s in the species	Hypostomus	cochliodon.	FN: F	undamental	number;	m: metacen	tric; sm:
submetacentric; st: subtelocent	ric; a: acrocentric.								

Species/ Sampling site	Diploid Number	Karyotype	FN	NOR System/ NOR pair	Heterochromatin distribution	References
H. cochliodon/ Iguaçu River	64	12m+16sm+16st+20a	108	Simple/ 28	-	Bueno <i>et al.</i> (2013)
H. aff. <i>cochliodon/</i> Esparramo stream	64	18m+20sm+26st/a	102	Multiple/ 22, 26	large heterochromatic blocks in pairs: 20, 21 e 22	Becker et al. (2014)
<i>H.</i> aff. <i>cochliodon/</i> Pitaluga stream	64	18m+20sm+26st/a	102	Multiple/ 22, 26	large heterochromatic blocks in pairs: 20, 21 e 22	Becker et al. (2014)
<i>H. cochliodon/</i> Piraputanga River	64	16m 20sm 28st-a	100	Multiple	-	Rubert et al. (2016)
<i>H. cochliodon/</i> Onça stream	64	16m+22sm+18st+ 8a	120	Simple/ 29	absence of large heterochromatic blocks in pairs	Present study

collected from the Onça stream, a chromosomal heteromorphism was detected by the C-banding technique, which allowed the distinction of two karyotypes, suggesting that the origin of this heteromorphism occurred from the amplification of heterochromatin that allowed the difference of two karyotypes (Ferreira *et al.*, 2019). In *H. strigaticeps* (Regan, 1908), from the upper Paraná River basin, heterochromatin amplification supposedly caused the interpopulation polymorphism, considered that the unequal crossing over processes and the proximity of homologous segments in the interphase nucleus would probably facilitate unequal exchanges and dispersion of heterochromatin and that such events could be involved in the amplification process of this region by the genome (Baumgärtner *et al.*, 2014).

In addition, the association of transposable elements (TEs) to heterochromatin would promote its reorganization due to the ability of TEs to disperse throughout the genome, thus contributing to chromosomal evolution (Baumgärtner *et al.*, 2014). Rex1 transposable elements (TEs) were associated with heterochromatin in *H. ancistroides* (Ihering, 1911), and *H. nigromaculatus* (Schubart, 1964). Transposable elements (TEs) Rex1 were found to be associated with heterochromatin in *H. ancistroides* and *H. nigromaculatus*. Accumulation of TEs in some chromosomes of *Hypostomus* species indicates the involvement of these elements with the organization of constitutive heterochromatin (Pansonato–Alves *et al.*, 2013; Traldi *et al.*, 2019). Thus, the heterochromatin polymorphism involving acrocentric chromosomes detected in *H. boulengeri* in the present study that could occurred by amplifying the constitutive heterochromatin, unequal crossing–over and/ or transposable elements associated with heterochromatin, which plays an essential role in the karyotypic evolution of *Hypostomus*.

Regarding the B microchromosome detected in *H. boulengeri* in the present study, this type of chromosome is uncommon in *Hypostomus*, with B chromosomes being observed only in *Hypostomus* sp. from Xingu-3 (Milhomem *et al.*, 2010) and *Hypostomus* sp. 3 (Cereali *et al.*, 2008). In both studies described previously, the frequency of this chromosome in the populations analyzed was not mentioned. In five individuals of *H. boulengeri*, these chromosomes were present in 6% of the cells analyzed (Tab. 2), showing an inter and intra-individual variabilities of these elements, suggesting mitotic instability, probably due to their non-Mendelian behavior that may be related to chromosomal non-disjunction during meiosis, leading to uneven segregation of genetic material between germ cells (Rosa *et al.*, 2014).

Furthermore, the B microchromosome observed in *H. boulengeri* were completely heterochromatic, while in *Hypostomus* sp. from Xingu-3 (Milhomem *et al.*, 2010) and *Hypostomus* sp. 3 (Cereali *et al.*, 2008), these B microchromosomes were neither heterochromatic. This indicates that these B microchromosomes can have a different DNA composition, mainly concerning repetitive sequences. In other species of the family Loricariidae, B chromosomes are rarely found, having been reported only in *Hisonotus leucofrenatus* (Miranda Ribeiro, 1908) (Andreata *et al.*, 1993), *Loricaria* sp. and *Proloricaria prolixa* (Isbrücker & Nijssen, 1978) (Scavone, Júlio–Jr, 1994), *Neoplecostomus paranensis* Langeani, 1990 (Alves *et al.*, 1999), *Rineloricaria pentamaculata* Langeani & de Araujo, 1994 (Porto *et al.*, 2010) and *Harttia longipinna* Langeani, Oyakawa & Montoya-Burgos, 2001 (Blanco *et al.*, 2012).

Regarding the nucleolar organizer region, although most individuals of *H. cochliodon* presented a number and location of the NOR, considered conserved in *Hypostomus* (Artoni, Bertollo, 1996, 2001; Kavalco *et al.*, 2005; Alves *et al.*, 2006; Cereali *et al.*, 2008; Milhomem *et al.*, 2010; Bitencourt *et al.*, 2011, Martinez *et al.*, 2011; Rubert *et al.*, 2011; Lorscheider *et al.*, 2018), some individuals showed an additional NOR site (NORs in both telomeres) in one of the homologs of the NOR organizer pair. *Hypostomus* with NORs in both telomeres has been reported in *H. cochliodon*, *H. hermanni* (Ihering, 1905), *H. albopunctatus* (Regan, 1980), and *H. aff. paulinus* (Ihering, 1905) (Rubert *et al.*, 2016). In some fish species such as in the genus *Psalidodon* Eigenmann, 1911 (Mantovani *et al.*, 2005; Fernandes, Martins-Santos, 2006; Fernandes *et al.*, 2010), *Pyrrhulina* cf. *australis* (Oliveira *et al.*, 1991) and *Poecilia latipunctata* Meek, 1904 (Galetti, Rash, 1993), NOR in both telomeres has been reported.

For the variation in the distribution of 18S rDNA sites in the Loricariidae, it has been suggested that the dispersion of such sites throughout the genome could jointly or/ and separately have contributed to the karyotypic evolution of the group (Porto *et al.*, 2011, 2014a,b; Rubert *et al.*, 2016). Rubert *et al.* (2016) observed interspecific variation in four species of *Hypostomus* (*H. cochliodon, H. hermanni, H. albopunctatus,* and *H.* aff. *paulinus*); it was proposed that the association between heterochromatin and rDNA sites contributes to the occurrence of unequal crossing-over generating new rDNA loci. In addition, the proximity between telomeres in the interphase nucleus would facilitate the translocation/transfer of genetic material among the chromosomes (Schweizer, Loidl, 1987; Fernandes, Martins-Santos, 2006; Cioffi *et al.*, 2010; Porto *et al.*, 2014a; Rubert *et al.*, 2016). The transposable elements associated with rDNA copies may also contribute to the dissemination of these genes due to their ability to disperse throughout the genome in fish (Silva *et al.*, 2011; Piscor *et al.*, 2013; Bueno *et al.*, 2014; Rubert *et al.*, 2016).

We suggest that in *H. cochliodon*, the NOR in both telomeres is a derived character. The NOR sites on the long arm probably occurred duplication or amplification and were later inserted in a new region of the same chromosome (a short arm of pair 29). Thus, the NOR in both telomeres in *H. cochliodon* and the multiple NOR in *H. boulengeri* corroborate the data for other species, characterized as apomorphies in *Hypostomus* (Lorscheider *et al.*, 2018). Rubert *et al.* (2016) suggest that intrinsic genus factors led to different karyoevolutionary mechanisms and would explain the NOR variability, the chromosomal behavior, and the dispersion of specific rearrangements that occurred differently in each population.

Allozyme analysis. Concerning the isozyme analysis, the two diagnostic loci and the exclusive alleles for *H. boulengeri* showed a distinction between the two species. Allozyme studies among populations of this group have made it possible to identify genetic differences that contribute to the differentiation between them. Renesto *et al.* (2007) identified diagnostic loci for species *H. boulengeri* and *H. cochliodon* from the upper Paraguai River basin (sAat-2, Idh-2 and Mdhp-B) that differed from those found in the present work (Idh-A and Gdh-A). Furthermore, the sAat-2 locus separated *H. boulengeri* and *H. cochliodon* from seven other species (*H. latifrons* Weber, 1986, *H. regani*,

Hypostomus sp. 1, *Hypostomus* sp. 2, *Hypostomus* sp. 3, *H*. cf. *latirostris*, and *Pterygoplichthys ambrosettii* (Holmberg, 1893) from the Manso River (Manso Reservoir) and the Cuiabá River. The Idh–2 locus reported by Renesto *et al.* (2007) is equivalent to the Idh–B locus of the present study, while the Mdhp (malic enzyme) was not analyzed.

The average expected heterozygosity (He) of *H. boulengeri* (He = 0.2461) was the highest ever verified among the species of this genus studied by isozyme analysis. The highest He values previously found was 0.199 for *H. hermanni* from the Ivaí River (upper Paraná River basin; Paiva, 2006). For *H. boulengeri* in the present work, the value of He represents more than four times the expected average heterozygosity value for fish (He = 0.051), obtained by Ward *et al.* (1992). However, Renesto *et al.* (2007) verified in *H. boulengeri* from the upper Paraguai River basin that He equals 0.078. Distinct values were found between populations of *H. margaritifer* (Regan, 1908) from the Itaipu reservoir in Paraná (He = 0.104) and from the Corumbá Reservoir in Goiás (He = 0.061) (Zawadzki *et al.*, 2002). *Hypostomus cochliodon* revealed a He value of 0.0309, similar to that found for the population of this same species collected in the Itaipu reservoir (0.039) (Zawadzki *et al.*, 2005), however with a lower He value (0.070) described for *H. cochliodon* of the upper Paraguai River (Renesto *et al.*, 2007).

A study of three populations of *H. regani* from the Corumbá, Itaipu, and Manso reservoirs showed that they differed in terms of heterozygosity values, 0.0527, 0.0712, and 0.0317, respectively (Zawadzki *et al.*, 2008b). Thus, heterozygosity is a measure of genetic variability, which can be similar or variable between populations of the same species of *Hypostomus*. Several biotic and abiotic factors are proposed in the literature. They may be involved in the process of genetic differentiation of this group, such as natural inbreeding barriers that impede gene flow, differences in temperature, water velocity, food resources, and reproductive strategies (Zawadzki *et al.*, 1999, 2002, 2005, 2008b; Paiva, 2006; Ito *et al.*, 2009).

There are few studies on the biology of Neotropical fish, especially those from the Paraguai River basin. Thus, there is difficulty in correlating multivariate biological factors with greater or lesser heterozygosity in these fish. Although it is impossible to confirm the causes of this high genetic variability, it is known that it is crucial because, as expressed by Vida (1994), "the future of maintaining species diversity lies in the genetic diversity of species. Generally, the greater genetic diversity maintained, the greater adaptability and the probability of species survival in a changing environment".

The present study presents cytogenetic and isozymatic data of *H. cochliodon* and *H. boulengeri* collected in a tributary of the Paraguai River offered the first cytogenetic data for *H. boulengeri* and the first isozymatic data for both species, with the detection of two diagnostic loci, exclusive alleles and high genetic variability for *H. boulengeri*, in addition, the two species presented evident cytogenetic and isoenzymatic differences with the obtaining of exclusive genetic markers providing support for future evolutionary studies in the group.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We authors thank Núcleo de Pesquisas em Limnologia, Ictiologia e Aquicultura (Nupélia) for logistic support. This study was supported by Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq).

REFERENCES

- Abelini E, Martins-Santos IC, Fernandes CA. Cytogenetic analysis in three species from genus *Astyanax* (Pisces; Characiformes) with a new occurrence of B chromosome in *Astyanax paranae*. Caryologia. 2014; 67(2):160–71. http://doi.or g/10.1080/00087114.2014.931638
- Armbruster JW. The species of the *Hypostomus cochliodon* group (Siluriformes: Loricariidae). Zootaxa. 2003; 249(1):1–60. https://doi.org/10.11646/ zootaxa.249.1.1
- Armbruster JW. Phylogenetic relationships of the suckermouth armoured catfishes (Loricariidae) with emphasis on the Hypostominae and the Ancistrinae. Zool J Linn Soc. 2004; 141(1):1–80. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2004.00109.x
- Alves AL, Oliveira C, Foresti F. Ocorrência de microcromossomos B em *Neoplecostomus paranensis* (Siluriformes, Loricariidae). Genet Mol Biol. 1999; 22:67.
- Alves AL, Oliveira C, Nirchio M, Granado A, Foresti F. Karyotypic relationships among the tribes of Hypostominae (Siluriformes: Loricariidae) with description of XO sex chromosome system in a Neotropical fish species. Genetica. 2006; 128:1–09. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10709-005-0715-1
- Andreata AA, Almeida-Toledo LF, Oliveira C, Toledo-Filho SA. Chromosome studies in Hypoptopomatinae (Pisces, Siluriformes, Loricariidae) II ZZ/ZW sexchromosome system, B chromosomes, and constitutive heterochromatin differentiation in *Microlepidogaster leucofrenatus*. Cytogenet Cell Genet. 1993; 63(4):215–20. https://doi. org/10.1159/000133538

- Artoni RF, Bertollo LAC. Cytogenetic studies on Hypostominae (Pisces, Siluriformes, Loricariidae): considerations on Karyotype evolution in the genus *Hypostomus*. Caryologia. 1996; 49(1):81–90. https://doi.org/10.1080/00087114.1996.107 97353
- Artoni RF, Bertollo LAC. Nature and distribution of constitutive heterochromatin in fishes, genus *Hypostomus* (Loricariidae). Genetica. 1999; 106:209–14. https://doi. org/10.1023/A:1003957719178
- Artoni RF, Bertollo LAC. Trends in the karyotype evolution of Loricariidae fish (Siluriformes). Hereditas. 2001; 134(3):201–10. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.2001.00201.x
- Azevedo FM, Zawadzki CH, Soria TV, Fabrin TMC, Oliveira AV, Prioli SMAP *et al.* Integrative taxonomy reveals the historically poorly defined armoured catfish *Hypostomus variipictus* (Ihering 1911), from the upper rio Paraná basin, Brazil (Siluriformes, Loricariidae). J Fish Biol. 2021; 99(1):143-52. https://doi. org/10.1111/jfb.14706
- Baumgärtner L, Paiz LM, Zawadzki CH, Margarido VP, Portela-Castro ALB. Heterochromatin polymorphism and physical mapping of 5S and 18S ribosomal DNA in four populations of *Hypostomus strigaticeps* (Regan, 1907) from the Paraná River basin, Brazil: Evolutionary and Environmental Correlation. Zebrafish. 2014; 11(5):115. http://doi.org/10.1089/ zeb.2014.1028
- Becker QMC, Castro RJ, Silva AM, Vizzotto PC. Cytogenetic characterization of two species of *Hypostomus* (Siluriformes, Loricariidae) from tributaries of the Vermelho river, upper Paraguay river basin. Biodiversidade. 2014; 13(1):2-13.

- Bertollo LAC, Takahashi CS, Moreira-Filho O. Cytotaxonomic consideratinos on *Hoplias lacerdae* (Pisces, Erythrinidae). Rev Braz Genet. 1978; 2:103–20.
- Bitencourt JA, Affonso PRAM, Giuliano-Caetano L, Carneiro PLS, Dias AL. Population divergence and peculiar karyoevolutinary trends in the loricariid fish *Hypostomus* aff. *unae* from northeastern Brazil. Genet Mol Res. 2012; 11(2):933–43. https://doi.org/10.4238/2012. April.13.1
- Bitencourt JA, Affonso PRAM, Giuliano-Caetano L, Dias AL. Heterochromatin heterogeneity in *Hypostomus* prope *unae* (Steindachner, 1978) (Siluriformes, Loricariidae) from Northeastern Brazil. Comp Cytogenet. 2011; 5(4):329–44. https:// doi.org/10.3897/CompCytogen.v5i4.1149
- Blanco DR, Lui RL, Bertollo LAC, Margarido VP, Moreira-Filho O. Karyotypic diversity between allopatric populations of the group *Hoplias malabaricus* (Characiformes: Erythrinidae): evolutionary and biogeographic considerations. Neotrop Ichthyol. 2010; 8(2):361–68. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1679-62252010000200015
- Blanco DR, Vicari MR, Artoni RF, Traldi JB, Moreira-Filho O. Chromosomal characterization of armored catfish *Harttia longipinna* (Siluriformes, Loricariidae): first report of B chromosomes in the genus. Zool Sci. 2012; 29(9):604–09. http://doi. org/10.2108/zsj.29.604
- Bueno V, Venere PC, Konerat JT, Zawadzki CH, Vicari MR, Margarido VP. Research article physical mapping of the 5S and 18S rDNA in ten species of *Hypostomus* Lacépède 1803 (Siluriformes: Loricariidae): evolutionary tendencies in the genus. Sci World J. 2014; 2014:943825. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/943825
- Bueno V, Venere PC, Zawadzki CH, Margarido VP. Karyotypic diversification in *Hypostomus* Lacépède, 1803 (Siluriformes, Loricariidae): biogeographical and phylogenetic perspectives. Rev Fish Biol Fish. 2013; 23:103–12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-012-9280-8
- Bueno V, Zawadzki CH, Margarido VP. Trends in chromosome evolution in the genus *Hypostomus* Lacépède, 1803 (Osteichthyes, Loricariidae): a new perspective about the correlation between diploid number and chromosomes types. Rev Fish Biol Fish. 2012; 22:241–50. https:// doi:10.1007/s11160-011-9215-9

- Cereali SS, Pomini E, Rosa R, Zawadzki CH, Froehlich O, Giuliano-Caetano L. Karyotype description of two species of *Hypostomus* (Siluriformes, Loricaridae) of the Planalto da Bodoquena, Brazil. Genet Mol Res. 2008; 7(3):583–91. https://doi. org/10.4238/vol7-3gmr404
- Cioffi MB, Martins C, Bertollo LAC. Chromosome spreading of associated transposable elements and ribosomal DNA in the fish *Erythrinus erythrinus*. Implications for genome change and karyoevolution in fish. BMC Evol Biol. 2010; 10:271. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-10-271
- Cioffi MB, Martins C, Centofante L, Jacobina U, Bertollo LAC. Chromosomal variability among allopatric populations of Erythrinidae fish *Hoplias malabaricus*: mapping of three classes of repetitive DNAs. Cytogenet Geno Res. 2009; 125(2):132–41. https://doi. org/10.1159/000227838
- Cramer CA, Bonatto SL, Reis R. Molecular phylogeny of the Neoplecostominae and Hypoptopomatinae (Siluriformes: Loricariidae) using multiple genes. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2011; 59(1):43–52. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2011.01.002
- Dias A, Zawadzki CH. Identification key and pictures of the *Hypostomus* Lacépède, 1803 (Siluriformes, Loricariidae) from the rio Ivaí, upper rio Paraná basin. Check List. 2018, 14(2):393–414. https://doi. org/10.15560/14.2.393
- Fernandes CA, Martins-Santos IC. Chromosomal location of 5S and 18S rRNA genes in three sympatric cytotypes of *Astyanax scabripinnis* (Characiformes, Characidae) from the Ivaí river basin, state of Paraná, Brazil. Caryologia. 2006; 59(3):253–59. https://doi.org/10.1080/00087 114.2006.10797923
- Fernandes CA, Martins-Santos IC, Bailly D. Karyotype analysis and mapping of the 18S and 5S ribosomal genes in *Astyanax fasciatus* (Teleostei, Characiformes) from Paranapanema River basin. Cytologia. 2009; 74(3):295–300. https://doi.org/10.1508/ cytologia.74.295
- Ferraris CJ. Checklist of catfishes, recent and fossil (Osteichthyes: Siluriformes), and catalogue of siluriform primary types. Zootaxa. 2007; 1418(1):1–628. https://doi. org/10.11646/ZOOTAXA.1418.1.1

- Ferreira GEB, Barbosa LM, Prizon-Nakajima AC, Paiva S, Vieira MMR, Gallo RB *et al*. Constitutive heterochromatin heteromorphism in the Neotropical armored catfish *Hypostomus regani* (Ihering, 1905) (Loricariidae, Hypostominae) from the Paraguay River basin (Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil). Comp Cytogen. 2019; 13(1):27–39. https://doi. org/10.3897/CompCytogen.v13i1.30134
- Fricke R, Eschmeyer WN, Fong JD. Eschmeyer's catalog of fishes: genera/ species by family/subfamily [Internet]. San Francisco: California Academy of Sience; 2023. Available from: https:// researcharchive.calacademy.org/research/ ichthyology/catalog/fishcatmain.asp
- Galetti PM, Rash EM. NOR variability in diploid and triploid forms at the Amazon molly *Poecilia formosa* as show by silver nitrate and chromomycin A3 staining. Brazil J Genet. 1993; 16:927–38.
- Griffiths SP. The use of clove oil as an anaesthetic and method for sampling intertidal rockpool fishes. J Fish Biol. 2000; 57:1453–64. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1095?8649.2000.tb02224.x
- Hatanaka T, Galleti PM. Mapping of the 18S and 5S ribosomal RNA genes in the fish *Prochilodus argenteus* Agassiz, 1829 (Characiformes, Prochilodontidae). Genetica. 2004; 122(3):239–44. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10709-004-2039-y
- Howell WM, Black DA. Controlled silverstaining of nucleolus organizer regions with a protective colloidal developer: a 1-step method. Experimentia. 1980; 8:1014– 15. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01953855
- Ito KF, Renesto E, Zawadzki CH. Biochemical comparison of two *Hypostomus* populations (Siluriformes, Loricariidae) from the Atlântico stream of the upper Paraná river basin, Brazil. Genet Mol Biol. 2009; 32(1):51–57. https://doi. org/10.1590/S1415-47572009000100008
- Kavalco KF, Pazza R, Bertollo LAC, Moreira-Filho O. Heterochromatin characterization of four fish species of the famlily Loricariidae (Siluriformes). Hereditas. 2004; 141(3):237–42. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.2004.01850.x
- Kavalco KF, Pazza R, Bertollo LAC, Moreira-Filho O. Karyotypic diversity and evolution of Loricariidae (Pisces, Siluriformes). Heredity. 2005; 94:180–86. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.hdy.6800595

- Levan A, Fredga K, Sandberg AA. Nomenclature for centromeric position on chromosomes. Hereditas. 1964; 52(2):201– 20.
- Lorscheider CA, Oliveira JIN, Dulz TA, Nogaroto V, Martins-Santos IC, Vicari MR. Comparative cytogenetics among three sympatric *Hypostomus* species (Siluriformes: Loricariidae): an evolutionary analysis in a high endemic region. Braz Arch Biol Technol. 2018; 61:e18180417. http://doi.org/10.1590/1678-4324-2018180417
- Lui RL, Blanco DR, Moreira-Filho O, Margarido VP. Propidium iodide for making heterochromatin more evident in the C-banding technique. Biotech Histochem. 2012; 87(7):433–38. https://doi. org/10.3109/10520295.2012.696700
- Lujan NK, Armbruster JW, Lovejoy NR, López-Fernández H. Multilocus molecular phylogeny of the suckermouth armored catfishes (Siluriformes: Loricariidae) with a focus on subfamily Hypostominae. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2015; 82:269–88. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2014.08.020
- Mantovani M, Abel LDS, Moreira-Filho O. Conserved 5S and variable 45S rDNA chromosomal localization revealed by FISH in *Astyanax scabripinnis* (Pisces, Characidae). Genetica. 2005; 123:211–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10709-004-2281-3
- Martinez ERM, Zawadzki CH, Foresti F, Oliveira C. Cytogenetic analysis of five *Hypostomus* species (Siluriformes, Loricariidae). Genet Mol Biol. 2011; 34(4):562–68. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1415-47572011005000038
- Milhomem S, Castro R, Nagamachi C, Souza A, Feldberg E, Pieczarka J. Different cytotypes in fishes of the genus *Hypostomus* Lcépède, 1803, (Siluriformes: Loricariidae) from Xingu River (Amazon region, Brazil). Comp Cytogenet. 2010; 4(1):45–54. https://doi.org/10.3897/ compcytogen.v4i1.31
- Murphy RW, Sites JW, Buth DG. Haufler CH Proteins: Isozyme. Electrophoresis. In: Hillis DM, Moritz C, Mable BM, editors. Massachusetts: Mol Systemat. Sinauer Associates; 1996. p.51–120.
- Nei M. Estimation of average of heterozygosity and genetic distance from small number of individuals. Genetics. 1978; 89(3):583–90. https://doi.org/10.1093/ genetics/89.3.583

- Oliveira C, Andreata AA, Almeida-Toledo LF, Toledo-Filho AS. Karyotype and nucleolus organizer regions *Pyrrhulina* cf. *australis* (Pisces, Characiformes, Lebiasinidae). Brazil J Genet. 1991; 14:685– 90.
- Oliveira LC, Ribeiro MO, Costa GM, Zawadzki CH, Prizon-Nakajima AC, Borin-Carvalho LA et al. Cytogenetic characterization of *Hypostomus soniae* Hollanda-Carvalho & Weber, 2004 from the Teles Pires River, southern Amazon basin: evidence of an early stage of an XX / XY sex chromosome system. Comp Cytogenet. 2019; 13(4):411–22. https://doi.org/10.3897/ CompCytogen.v13i4.36205
- Oliveira LC, Ribeiro MO, Dutra ES, Zawadzki CH, Portela-Castro ALB, Martins-Santos IC. Karyotype structure of *Hypostomus* cf. *plecostomus* (Linnaeus, 1758) from Tapajós River basin, Southern Amazon: occurrence of sex chromosomes (ZZ/ZW) and their evolutionary implications. Genet Mol Res. 2015; 14(2):6625–34. https://doi.org/10.4238/2015. June.18.5
- Paiva S. Variabilidade genética em populações de *Hypostomus* (Siluriformes: Loricariidae) do rio Ivaí, bacia do alto rio Paraná, Brasil. [Master Dissertation]. Maringá: Universidade Estadual de Maringá; 2006.
- Paiva S, Renesto E, Zawadzki CH. Genetic variability of *Hypostomus* (Teleostei, Loricariidae) from the Ribeirão Maringá, a stream of the upper rio Paraná basin, Brazil. Genet Mol Biol. 2005; 28(3):370–75. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1415-47572005000300005
- Pansonato-Alves JC, Serrano EA, Utsunomia R, Scacchetti PC, Oliveira C, Foresti F. Mapping five repetitive DNA classes in sympatric species of *Hypostomus* (Teleostei: Siluriformes: Loricariidae): analysis of chromosomal variability. Rev Fish Biol Fish. 2013; 23:477–89. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11160-013-9303-0
- Pinkel D, Straume T, Gray JW. Cytogenetic analysis using quantitative high-sensitivity, fluorecense hybridization. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 1986; 83(9):2934–38. https://doi. org/10.1073/pnas.83.9.2934

- Piscor D, Ribacinko-Piscor DB, Fernandes CA, Parise-Maltempi PP. Cytogenetic analysis in three *Bryconamericus* species (Characiformes, Characidae): first description of the 5S rDNA-bearing chromosome pairs in the genus. Mol Cytogenet. 2013; 6:13. https:// doi.org/10.1186/1755-8166-6-13
- Porto FE, Gindri BS, Vieira MMR, Borin LA, Portela-Castro ALB, Martins-Santos IC. Polymorphisms of the nucleolus organizing regions in *Loricaria cataphracta* (Siluriformes, Loricariidae) of the upper Paraguay River basin indicate an association with transposable elements. Genet Mol Res. 2014b; 13(1):1627–34. https://doi.org/10.4238/2014.March.12.15
- Porto FE, Portela-Castro ALB, Martins-Santos IC. Possible origins of B chromossomes in *Rineloricaria pentamaculata* (Loricariidae, Siluriformes) from the Paraná River basin. Genet Mol Res. 2010; 9(3):1654–59. https://doi. org/10.4238/vol9-3gmr859
- Porto FE, Portela-Castro ALB, Martins-Santos IC. Chromosome polymorphism in *Rineloricaria pentamaculata* (Loricariidae, Siluriformes) of the Paraná River basin. Ichthyol Res. 2011; 58:225–31. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10228-011-0215-5
- Porto FE, Vieira MMR, Barbosa LG, Borin-Carvalho LA, Vicari MR, Portela-Castro ALB *et al.* Chromosomal polymorphism in *Rineloricaria lanceolata* Günther, 1868 (Loricariidae: Loricariinae) of the Paraguay basin (Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil): evidence of fusions and their consequences in the population. Zebrafish. 2014a; 11(4):318–24. https://doi.org/10.1089/ zeb.2014.0996
- Pugedo ML, Andrade-Neto FR, Pessali TC, Birindelli JLO, Carvalho DC. Integrative taxonomy supports new candidate fish species in a poorly studied Neotropical region: the Jequitinhonha River basin. Genetica. 2016; 144:341–49. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10709-016-9903-4
- Reis RE, Pereira EHL, Armbruster JW. Delturinae, a new loricariid catfish subfamily (Teleostei, Siluriformes), with revisions of *Delturus* and *Hemipsilichthys*. Zool J Linn Soc. 2006; 147:277–99. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2006.00229.x

- Renesto E, Zawadzki CH, Paiva S. Allozyme differentiation and relationships within *Hypostomus* Lacépède, 1803 (Osteichthyes: Loricariidae) from the upper Paraguay river basin, Brazil. Bioch Syst Ecol. 2007; 35(12):869–76. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.bse.2007.06.002
- Rosa R, Giuliano L, Dias AL. Meiotic studies in Teleosts: an approach for studying the behavior of chromosomes and its application. In: Carone S, editor. Teleosts: evolutionary development, diversity and behavioral ecology. New York: Nova Science Publishers; 2014. p.73–96.
- Roxo FF, Ochoa LE, Sabaj MH, Lujan NK, Covain R, Silva GSC *et al.* Phylogenomic reappraisal of the Neotropical catfish family Loricariidae (Teleostei: Siluriformes) using ultraconserved elements. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2019; 135:148–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ympev.2019.02.017
- Rubert M, Rosa R, Jerep FC, Bertollo LAC, Giuliano-Caetano L. Cytogenetic characterizations of four species of the genus *Hypostomus* Lacépède, 1803 (Siluriformes, Loricariidae) with comments on its chromosomal diversity. Comp Cytogenet. 2011; 5(5):397–410. https://doi. org/10.3897/compcytogen.v5i5.1589
- Rubert M, Rosa R, Zawadzki CH, Mariotto S, Moreira-Filho O, Giuliano-Caetano L. Chromosome mapping of 18S ribosomal RNA genes in eleven *Hypostomus* species (Siluriformes, Loricariidae): diversity analysis of the sites. Zebrafish. 2016; 13(4):360–68. https:// doi.org/10.1089/zeb.2016.1279
- Scavone MDP, Júlio-Jr HE. Cytogenetic analysis and probable supernumerary chromosomes of *Loricaria prolixa* and *Loricaria* sp. females (Loricariidae -Siluriformes) from the Paraná River basin. Rev Ictiol. 1994; 2–3:41–47.
- Schweizer D, Loidl J. A model for heterochromatin dispersion and the evolution of C-band patterns. Chrom Today. 1987; 9:61–74. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-94-010-9166-4_7
- Silva M, Matoso DA, Vicari MR, Almeida MC, Margarido VP, Artoni RF. Physical mapping of 5S rDNA in two species of knifefishes: *Gymnotus pantanal* and *Gymnotus paraguensis* (Gymnotiformes). Cytogenet Geno Res. 2011; 134(4):303–07. https://doi.org/10.1159/000328998

- **Simonsen V.** Isozymes: application for population genetics. In: Ghowsi K, editor. Electrophoresis. London: InTech; 2012. p.97–114.
- Sumner AT. A simple technique for demonstrating centromeric heterochromatin. Exp Cell Res. 1972; 75(1):304–06. https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4827(72)90558-7
- Tencatt LFC, Zawadzki CH, Froehlich O. Two new species of the *Hypostomus cochliodon* group (Siluriformes: Loricariidae) from the rio Paraguay basin, with a redescription of *Hypostomus cochliodon* Kner, 1854. Neotrop Ichthyol. 2014; 12(3):585–602. https://doi. org/10.1590/1982-0224-20130162
- Traldi JB, Lui RL, Martinez JF, Vicari MR, Nogaroto V, Moreira-Filho O et al. Chromosomal distribution of the retroelements Rex1, Rex3 and Rex6 in species of the genus *Harttia* and *Hypostomus* (Siluriformes: Loricariidae). Neotrop Ichthyol. 2019; 17(2):e190010. https://doi.org/10.1590/1982-0224-20190010
- Traldi JB, Vicari MR, Blanco DR, Martinez JF, Artoni RF, Moreira-Filho O. First karyotype description of *Hypostomus iheringii* (Regan, 1908): a case of heterochromatic polymorphism. Comp Cytogenet. 2012; 6(2):115–25. https://doi. org/10.3897/CompCytogen.v6i2.2595
- Vida G. Global issues of genetic diversity. In: Loeshcke V, Tomiuk J, Jain SK, editors. Conservation genetics. 1994; 68:9–19. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-8510-2_2
- Ward RD, Skibinski DOF, Woodwark M. Protein heterozygosity, protein structure, and taxonomic differentiation. In: Hecht MK, Wallace B, Macintyre RJ, editors. Evolutionary biology. Evol Biol. 1992; 26:73–159. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-3336-8_3
- Yeh FC, Yang R, Boyle T. Popgene version 1.31. Microsoft Window-based freeware for population genetic analysis. University of Albert and Center for International Forestry Research; 1999.
- Yeh FC, Yang RC, Boyle TBJ, Ye ZH, Mao JX. POPGENE, the user-friendly shareware for population genetic analysis molecular biology and biotechnology centre. Alberta: Edmonton: University of Alberta; 1997.

- Zawadzki CH, Renesto E, Bini LM. Genetic and morphometric analysis of three species of the genus *Hypostomus* Lacépède, 1803 (Osteichthyes: Loricariidae) from the Rio Iguaçu basin (Brazil). Rev suisse Zool. 1999; 106:91–105.
- Zawadzki CH, Machado MFPS, Renesto E. Differential expression for tissuespecific isozymes in the three species of *Hypostomus* Lacépède, 1803 (Teleostei: Loricariidae). Bioch Syst Ecol. 2001; 29(9):911–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0305-1978(00)00101-0
- Zawadzki CH, Weber C, Pavanelli CS, Renesto E. Morphological and biochemical comparison of two allopatrid populations of *Hypostomus margaritifer* (Regan, 1907) (Osteichthyes, Loricariidae) from the upper Paraná River basin, Brazil. Acta Scient. 2002; 24:499–505.
- Zawadzki CH, Renesto E, Mateus RP. Allozyme analysis of *Hypostomus* (Teleostei: Loricariidae) from the rio Corumbá, upper rio Paraná basin, Brazil. Biochem Genet. 2008a; 46:755–69. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10528-008-9191-5
- Zawadzki CH, Renesto E, Paiva S, Lara-Kamei MCS. Allozyme differentiantion of four populations of *Hypostomus* (Teleostei: Loricariidae) from Ribeirão Keller, a small stream in the upper Rio Paraná basin, Brazil. Genetica. 2004; 121:251–57. https://doi.org/10.1023/ b:gene.0000039852.65610.4f

- Zawadzki CH, Renesto E, Peres MD, Paiva S. Allozyme variation among three populations of the armored catfish *Hypostomus regani* (Ihering, 1905) (Siluriformes, Loricariidae) from the Paraná and Paraguay river basins, Brazil. Genet Mol Biol. 2008b; 31(3):767–71. https:// doi.org/10.1590/S1415-47572008000400025
- Zawadzki CH, Renesto E, Reis RE, Moura MO, Mateus RP. Allozyme relationships in Hypostomines (Teleostei: Loricariidae) from the Itaipu Reservoir, Upper Rio Paraná basin, Brazil. Genetica. 2005; 123:271–83. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10709-004-5418-5
- Zawadzki CH, Tencatt LFC, Froehlich O. A new unicuspid-toothed species of *Hypostomus* Lacépède, 1803 (Siluriformes: Loricariidae) from the rio Paraguai basin. Neotrop Ichthyol. 2014; 12(1):97– 104. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1679-62252014000100010

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Suzana de Paiva: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing.

Fernanda Errero Porto: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing.

Flávio José Codognotto: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology.

Margarida Maria Vieira Rossi: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology.

Carlos Alexandre Fernandes: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writingoriginal draft, Writing-review and editing.

Luciana Andreia Borin-Carvalho: Project administration, Resources, Visualization, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing.

Ana Luiza de Brito Portela-Castro: Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Visualization, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing.

Claudio Henrique Zawadzki: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing.

Erasmo Renesto: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing.

Isabel Cristina Martins-Santos: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing.

ETHICAL STATEMENT

Fishes were collected under permits from the Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade (ICMBio nº 72521/2019). The procedures followed the 'Ethical Principles in Animal Research' guidelines adopted by the National Council of Control of Animal Experimentation (CONCEA).

COMPETING INTERESTS

The author declares no competing interests.

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE

• Paiva S, Porto FE, Codognotto FJ, Fernandes CA, Rossi MMV, Borin-Carvalho LA, Portela-Castro ALB, Zawadzki CH, Renesto E, Martins-Santos IC. Allozyme and cytogenetic analysis in two species of *Hypostomus* (Siluriformes: Loricariidae) from the Paraguay River basin, Brazil: occurrence of B microchromosomes and intrapopulation heterochromatic polymorphism in *H. boulengeri*. Neotrop Ichthyol. 2024; 22(2):e230117. https://doi.org/10.1590/1982-0224-2023-0117

Neotropical Ichthyology



This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

© 2024 The Authors. Diversity and Distributions Published by SBI



20/20

Official Journal of the Sociedade Brasileira de Ictiologia