



## Article

MAHMOOD, A.<sup>1</sup>

ATHAR, M.<sup>1,2\*</sup>

## TAXONOMIC APPRAISAL OF NODULATION IN THE LEGUMINOSAE OF PAKISTAN

*Avaliação Taxonômica da Nodulação em Leguminosas do Paquistão*

**ABSTRACT** - Rhizobia are gram negative bacteria that infect roots of leguminous plants and form root nodules. Legume-rhizobia symbioses are of practical importance in providing sustainable food supply and increased agricultural productivity. Existing lists published on the nodulating ability of Pakistani legumes were merged to compile a comprehensive list. The list contained 225 species distributed in 75 genera that were native to Pakistan. Legumes were arranged according to the recommendations of International Legume Database and Information Center (ILDIS) for legumes of south Asia. Out of 225 species examined, 28 belonged to Caesalpinioideae, 29 belonged to Mimosoideae and 168 belonged to Papilionoideae. The percentage of nodulation in Caesalpinioideae, Mimosoideae and Papilionoideae was 0%, 96%, 99% respectively. Conflicting reports on the nodulation status of some Caesalpiniod legumes have been discussed. Doubtful reports on nodulation may arise from inaccurate identification of root nodules. This is particularly due to the fact that structures like galls, tumors, knots, hypertrophies and mycorrhizae that grow on the roots bear superficial resemblance with nodules. It is interesting to note that major cases of doubtful nodulation reported in the past for Leguminosae concern Caesalpinioideae. The matter needs investigation at the molecular level. Present results confirm early findings that nodule formation is more commonly present than absent in Mimosoideae and Papilionoideae, the reverse is true for Caesalpinioideae.

**Keywords:** nodulated legumes, taxonomy, international database.

**RESUMO** - Os rizóbios são bactérias gram-negativas que infectam raízes de plantas leguminosas e formam nódulos radiculares. As simbioses entre leguminosas e rizóbios são de importância prática no fornecimento sustentável de alimentos e no aumento da produtividade agrícola. As listas existentes publicadas sobre a capacidade de nodulação das leguminosas paquistanesas foram mescladas para a compilação de uma lista abrangente. A lista continha 225 espécies, distribuídas em 75 gêneros que eram nativos do Paquistão. As leguminosas foram organizadas de acordo com as recomendações do Centro Internacional de Informações e Bases de Dados sobre Leguminosas (ILDIS) para espécies leguminosas do sul da Ásia. Das 225 espécies examinadas, 28 pertenciam à subfamília Caesalpinioideae; 29, à subfamília Mimosoideae; e 168, à superfamília Papilionoideae. A porcentagem de nodulação em Caesalpinioideae, Mimosoideae e Papilionoideae foi de 0%, 96% e 99%, respectivamente. Foram discutidos relatórios com dados conflitantes sobre o estado de nodulação de algumas leguminosas da subfamília Caesalpinioideae. Relatos imprecisos sobre nodulação podem surgir devido à identificação incorreta de nódulos radiculares. Isso ocorre especialmente porque estruturas como galhas, tumores, nós, hipertrofias e micorrizas, que crescem nas raízes, guardam alguma semelhança com os nódulos. É interessante notar que os

\* Corresponding author:

<[atariq@cdfa.ca.gov](mailto:atariq@cdfa.ca.gov)>

**Received:** November 10, 2016

**Approved:** January 16, 2017

**Planta Daninha** 2018; v36:e018171872

**Copyright:** This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original author and source are credited.



<sup>1</sup> Department of Botany, University of Karachi, Karachi-75270, Pakistan; <sup>2</sup> California Department of Food and Agriculture, Sacramento, CA 95832, USA.

*principais casos de nodulação duvidosa relatados previamente para leguminosas dizem respeito à subfamília Caesalpinioideae. A questão precisa ser investigada em nível molecular. Os resultados atuais confirmam achados anteriores de que há maior presença do que ausência de formação de nódulos em Mimosoideae e Papilionoideae, e o inverso ocorre para Caesalpinioideae.*

**Palavras-chave:** legumes com nódulos, taxonomia, base de dados internacional.

## INTRODUCTION

Leguminosae is the third largest family of Angiosperms, with 16000-19000 species distributed in about 750 genera (Allen and Allen, 1981). Leguminous plants grow throughout the world but their greatest diversity is found in the tropics (Polhill and Raven, 1981). Many members of the group form nitrogen fixing symbiosis with root nodule rhizobia bacteria and other newly discovered non-rhizobial genera (Graham, 2008). Information on the occurrence of nodules in Leguminosae is very incomplete and even the comprehensive lists published (Allen and Allen, 1981; Halliday and Nakao, 1982; Faria et al., 1989) contain no information on the nodulating ability of legumes growing in many parts of the world. The global records of nodulation compiled by Allen and Allen (1981) and Faria et al. (1989) indicate that only 15% and 20% of species have been examined, respectively. Since the publication of second global data (Faria et al., 1989), substantial data on nodulation in Leguminosae has accumulated (Moreira et al., 1992; Aguilar et al., 1994; Faria et al., 1994, 1998; Athar and Harding, 2000). A number of reports have also appeared and they describe the nodulation status of Pakistani legumes. These include Athar (1993, 1996, 1997, 2005), Athar and Mahmood (1990), Mahmood and Iqbal (1994), and Mahmood and Qadri (2004). The present paper presents a comprehensive list on the nodulating behavior of Pakistani legumes.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Pakistan lies between 33°-40° N and 73°-10° E with a total area of 881,913 sq km (Ali and Qaiser, 1986). About 86% of the total area of Pakistan consists of arid lands (Quraishi et al., 1993). There is much diversity of terrain and temperature at any given time. Pakistan has a continental climate. Precipitation varies widely from less than 125 mm to over 1,500 mm per annum (Ali and Qaiser, 1986).

Legumes form a prominent and widespread part of flora of Pakistan, where 107 genera and 530 species have been reported (Ali, 1973a,b; 1977). Since 1977, many more legume genera and species from Pakistan have been identified. An account of these plants has been published in Legumes of South Asia: a Check-List (Kumar and Sane, 2003). Legume treatments in the book were used to compile a list of Pakistani legume genera, species, subspecies and varieties. One hundred ten (110) genera and 666 species were recorded. Extensive studies to examine root systems of leguminous plants for nodules have been conducted in Pakistan. Legumes were collected from all over Pakistan from diverse and geographically widely separated localities. Legumes examined for nodulation included both cultivated and wild or naturally occurring species ranging from small herbaceous weeds to woody shrubs and large trees. Plants were uprooted carefully not to damage or lose nodules on the root system and then examined with a hand lens.

Reports published on nodulation status of Pakistani legumes by Athar (1993, 1996, 1997, 2005), Athar and Mahmood (1978, 1980, 1985, 1990), Mahmood and Iqbal (1994) and Mahmood and Qadri (2004) were merged to compile a comprehensive list containing 75 genera and 225 species. The list was then compared with worldwide (Allen and Allen, 1981; Halliday and Nakao, 1982; Faria et al., 1989; Athar and Vasileva, 2013) reports on nodulation or non-nodulation. Results are shown in Table 1. The general taxonomy used here unless specified follows the one by Kumar and Sane (2003) where Leguminosae is divided into three subfamilies, Caesalpinioideae, Mimosoideae and Papilionoideae. The salient feature of the present contribution is that the taxa included in the Pakistani lists of nodulation/non nodulation but not described in Kumar and Sane (2003) have not been included in the current list.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A consolidated account of the results of nodulation surveys carried out in Pakistan are presented in Table 1. Nodulation studies are not only the source of increasing database of nodulating or non-nodulating legumes throughout the world (Allen and Allen, 1981) but they also provide an indirect means of exploring new symbiotic nitrogen fixing associations operating in natural ecosystems (Rao, 2014). As a result, diverse groups of Gram-negative bacteria have been identified that are involved in forming nodules on the roots and sometimes on stems of leguminous plants (Graham, 2008). Nodule-forming bacteria are divided into 8 genera among Alpha-protobacteria: *Azorhizobium*, *Mesorhizobium*, *Methylobacterium*, *Rhizobium*, *Sinorhizobium* (Einsfer), *Devosia* and *Blastobacter* and 2 genera (*Burkholderia* and *Cupriavidus*) within betaprotobacteria (Zahran, 2009). There is plenty of evidence that *Burkholderia* forms nitrogen-fixing symbiosis with *Mimosa* spp. (Mimosoideae) and *Cyclopia* spp. (Elliot et al., 2007a) and *Rynchosia* spp. (Garau et al., 2009) – both members of Papilionoideae. *Cupriavidus taiwanensis* is also reported to form symbiosis with *Mimosa* spp. (Chen et al., 2001; Elliot et al., 2007b). Out of 110 genera and 666 legume species reported from Pakistan (Kumar and Sane, 2003), 14 genera and 59 species belonged to Caesalpinioideae, 14 genera and 58 species belonged to Mimosoideae and 82 genera and 549 species belonged to Papilionoideae. Out of 110 genera, 75 genera (68%) and out of 666 species, 225 species, (34%) have been examined for nodulation (Table 1). The table included four tribes of Caesalpinioideae, three tribes of Mimosoideae and 17 tribes of Papilionoideae. The four tribes of Caesalpinioideae included Caesalpineae, Cassieae, Cercideae and Detarieae. Together, they formed 9 genera and 28 species all of which were found to be non-nodulated (zero% nodulation). Factors contributing to non-nodulating behavior of Caesalpinioideae have been discussed by Allen and Allen (1976) and Sprent (2009).

Contradictory reports on the nodulation status of six Caesalpinoid legumes were found in Pakistani research reports on nodulation. Positive nodulation was found on the roots of *Delonix regia*, *Caesalpinia pulcherima*, *Cassia roxburgii*, *Tamarindus indicus* and *Senna occidentalis* (Athar and Mahmood, 1980) and *Senna holosericea* (Mahmood and Qadri, 2004). Faria et al. (1989) have researched and discussed the literature on the nodulation behavior of the genera *Caesalpinia*, *Cassia*, *Senna*, *Delonix* and *Tamarindus* and concluded that they were non-nodulated. In the light of this report, the nodule-like structures observed by Athar and Mahmood (1980) and Mahmood and Qadri (2004) were reinvestigated by Mahmood and Iqbal (1994) and Athar (2005), respectively. Smears and sections of doubtful structures were prepared and viewed under the microscope (Somasegaran and Hoben, 1994). The internal structure of these bodies did not conform to the internal structure of a legume nodule (Sprent and Sprent, 1990). These species have been included as non-nodulating in Table 1. Doubtful reports on nodulation may arise from inaccurate identification of root nodules. This is particularly due to the fact that structures like galls, tumors, knots, hypertrophies and mycorrhizae that grow on the roots have superficial resemblance with nodules (Faria et al., 1989). It should be noted that maximum cases of doubtful nodulation reported in the past for Leguminosae refer to Caesalpinioideae. The matter needs research at the molecular level. The study species of Mimosoideae belonged to the tribes Acacieae (12 species), Ingeae (9 species) and Mimoseae (8 species). Most of the nodulating species in Papilionoideae were distributed into the tribes Phaseoleae (35 species), Trifolieae (25 species), Viceae (21 species), Desmodieae (17 species), Indigofereae and Galeageae (12 species each), Crotonarieae (10 species), Genisteae (9 species), Milleteae (7 species), Robineae (5 species), Hedasereae (4 species), Dalbergieae and Sophoreae (3 species each), Aeschynomeneae (2 species), and Cicerae, Loteae and Thermopsoideae (one species each). The plants examined for nodulation that are members of the subfamily Papilionoideae were the largest group. Out of 225 species examined, 168 species belonged to Papilionoideae, 29 to Mimosoideae and 28 to Caesalpinioideae. Out of 168 species, 167 species of Papilionoideae except for *Ebnus stellata* and 28 out of 29 species of Mimosoideae except for *Adenantha pavonina* were found to be nodulated, whereas all the 28 members of Caesalpinioideae were found to be non-nodulated. Absence of nodulation recorded in the Caesalpinioideae of Pakistan is related to the non-occurrence of well-known nodulating tribes and genera from the flora of Pakistan (Ali in Kumar and Sane, 2003). The non-nodulating Caesalpinoid taxa have been figured out by Allen and Allen (1981) and Faria et al. (1989). The percentages of nodulation in Caesalpinioideae, Mimosoideae and Papilionoideae were 0%, 96%, and 99% respectively. These research findings are similar to the existing data on nodulation

summarized by Allen and Allen (1981), Faria et al. (1989, 1994), Mahmood and Iqbal (1994), Athar and Harding (2000) and Sprent (2009). The present results confirm early findings that nodule formation is more commonly present than absent in Mimosoideae and Papilionoideae, while the reverse is true for Caesalpinoideae.

**Table 1** - Legume species examined for nodulation in Pakistan

Legume Species	Nodulation data	Legume Species	Nodulation data
<b>CAESALPINOIDEAE</b>		<b>INGEAE</b>	
<b>CAESALPINEAE</b>		<i>Albizia chinensis</i> (Osbeck) Merr.	+
<i>Caesalpenia bonduc</i> (L.) Roxb.	-	<i>A. julibrissin</i> Durazz.	+
<i>C. gilliesii</i> (Hook) D. Dieter.	-	<i>A. Julibrissin</i> var <i>mollis</i> (Wall.) Benth.	+
<i>C. pulcherrima</i> (L.) Sw.*	-	<i>A. lebbeck</i> (L.) Benth.	+
<i>Delonix elata</i> (L.) Gamble.	-	<i>A. odoratissima</i> (L.f.) Benth.	+
<i>D. regia</i> (Hook) Raf. *	-	<i>A. procera</i> (Roxb.) Benth.	+
<i>Parkinsonia aculeata</i> L.	-	<i>Enterolobium contortisiliquum</i> (Vell.) Morong.	+
<i>Peltophorum pterocarpum</i> (DC) K. Heyne.	-	<i>Pithecellobium dulce</i> (Roxb.) Benth.	+
<b>CASSIEAE</b>		<i>Samanea saman</i> (Jacq.) Merr.	+
<i>Cassia fistula</i> L.	-	<b>MIMOSEAE</b>	
<i>C. roxburgii</i> DC*	-	<i>Adenanthera pavonina</i> L.	-
<i>Senna alata</i> (L.) Roxb.*	-	<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> (Lam) de Wit.	+
<i>S. alexandrina</i> Mill.	-	<i>Mimosa himalayana</i> Gamble.	+
<i>S. auriculata</i> (L.) Roxb.	-	<i>M. pudica</i> L.	+
<i>S. corymbosa</i> (Lam.) H.S Irwin and Barneby.	-	<i>Prosopis cineraria</i> (L) Druce.	+
<i>S. holosericea</i> (Fresen) Greuter*	-	<i>P. farcata</i> (Bank & Sol) J. F. Macbr.	+
<i>S. italic</i> Mill.	-	<i>P. glandulosa</i> Torr.	+
<i>S. italica</i> Mill. Subsp. <i>italica</i> .	-	<i>P. juliflora</i> (Sw) DC.	+
<i>S. italica</i> subsp. <i>mirantha</i> (Brenen) Lock.	-	<b>PAPILIONOIDEAE</b>	
<i>S. occidentalis</i> (L.) Link.*	-	<b>AESCHYNOMENEAE</b>	
<i>S. siamea</i> (Lam.) H.S. Irwin & Barneby	-	<i>Aeschynomene indica</i> L.	+
<i>S. sophera</i> (L.) Roxb.	-	<i>Arachis hypogea</i> L.	+
<i>S. sophera</i> (L.) var <i>purpurea</i> (Lindl.) V. Singh.	-	<b>CICERAE</b>	
<i>S. surrattensis</i> (Burm. f.) H. S. Irwin & Barneby.	-	<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.	+
<b>CERCIDEAE</b>		<b>CROTALARIEAE</b>	
<i>Bauhinia purpurea</i> (L.)	-	<i>Crotalaria albida</i> Roth.	+
<i>B. racemosa</i> Lam.	-	<i>C. burhia</i> Benth.	+
<i>B. tomentosa</i> L.	-	<i>C. Juncea</i> L.	+
<i>B. variegata</i> L.	-	<i>C. medicaginea</i> Lam.	+
<i>Cercis siliquastrum</i> L.	-	<i>C. medicaginea</i> Lam. var <i>medicaginea</i> .	+
<b>DETARIEAE</b>		<i>C. prostrata</i> Willd.	+
<i>Tamarindus indica</i> L.*	-	<i>C. sessiflora</i> L.	+
<b>MIMOSOIDEAE</b>		<i>C. sessiflora</i> L. subsp. <i>hazarensis</i> Ali.	+
<b>ACACIEAE</b>		<i>C. sessiflora</i> L. subsp. <i>sessiflora</i> .	+
<i>Acacia aneura</i> Benth.	+	<i>C. spectabilis</i> Roth.	+
<i>A. farnesiana</i> (L.) Willd.	+	<b>DALBERGIEAE</b>	
<i>A. leucophloea</i> (Roxb.) Willd.	+	<i>Dalbergia lanceolaria</i> L.f.	+
<i>A. modesta</i> Wall.	+	<i>D. latifolia</i> Rox.	+
<i>A. nilotica</i> (L.) Delile.	+	<i>D. sissoo</i> DC.	+
<i>A. nilotica</i> subsp. <i>hemispherica</i> Ali & Faruqi	+	<b>DESMODIEAE</b>	
<i>A. nilotica</i> subsp. <i>cupressiformis</i> (Stewart) Ali & Faruqi.	+	<i>Alysicarpus bupleurifolius</i> (L.) DC.	+
<i>A. nilotica</i> subsp. <i>indica</i> (Benth.) Brenan.	+	<i>A. heterophyllus</i> (Baker) Jafri & Ali	+
<i>A. nilotica</i> subsp. <i>subalata</i> (Vatke.) Brenan.	+	<i>A. longifolius</i> (Spreng) Wight & Arn.	+
<i>A. saligna</i> (Labill) H. Wendl.	+	<i>A. monilifer</i> (L.) DC.	+
<i>A. senegal</i> (L.) Willd.	+	<i>A. ovalifolius</i> (Schumach) J. Leonard.	+
<i>Faidherbia albida</i> (Delile) A. Chev.	+	<i>A. rugosus</i> (Willd) DC.	+
		<i>A. Scariosus</i> (Spreng) Thwaites.	+



Legume Species	Nodulation data	Legume Species	Nodulation data
<b>DESMODIEAE</b>		<b>MILLETTIEAE</b>	
<i>A. tetragonolobus</i> Edgew.	+	<i>T. subtriflora</i> Baker	+
<i>Camphylotropis meeboldii</i> (Schindl.) Schindl.	+	<i>T. uniflora</i> Pers.	+
<i>Codariocalyx motorius</i> (Houlst) H. Ohashi.	+	<i>T. villosa</i> (L.) Pers.	+
<i>Desmodium gangeticum</i> (L.) DC.	+	<i>Wisteria sinensis</i> (Sims) Sweet.	+
<i>D. laxiflorum</i> DC.	+	<b>PHASEOLEAE</b>	
<i>D. podocarpum</i> DC.	+	<i>Cajanus cajan</i> (L.) Millsp.	+
<i>D. triflorum</i> (L.) DC.	+	<i>C. mollis</i> (Benth.) Maesen.	+
<i>Lespedeza floribunda</i> Bunge.	+	<i>C. platycarpus</i> (Benth.) Maesen.	+
<i>L. juncea</i> (L.f) Pers.	+	<i>Canavalia africana</i> Dunn.	+
<i>Uraria picta</i> (Jacq) DC.	+	<i>Clitoria ternatea</i> L.	+
<b>GALEGEAE</b>		<i>Erythrina herbacea</i> L.	+
<i>Alhagi maurorum</i> Medik.	+	<i>E. suberosa</i> Roxb.	+
<i>Astragalus amherstianus</i> Benth.	+	<i>Flemingia strobilifera</i> (L.) W.T. Aiton.	+
<i>A. leucocephalus</i> Benth.	+	<i>F. strobilifera</i> (L.) var <i>strobilifera</i> .	+
<i>A. psilocentros</i> Fisch.	+	<i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merr.	+
<i>A. subumbellatus</i> Klotzch.	+	<i>Lablab purpureus</i> (L.) Sweet subsp <i>purpureus</i> .	+
<i>A. tribuloides</i> Delile.	+	<i>L. purpureus</i> (L.) Sweet subsp <i>bengalensis</i> (Jack) Verdec.	+
<i>A. trichocarpus</i> Benth.	+	<i>Macropitulum lathyroides</i> (L.) Urban.	+
<i>Caragna ambigua</i> Stocks,	+	<i>Mucuna nigricans</i> (Lour.) Steud.	+
<i>Colutea nepalensis</i> Sims.	+	<i>M. puriens</i> (L.) DC.	+
<i>Galega officinalis</i> L.	+	<i>Phaseolus coccineus</i> L.	+
<i>Gueldenstaedtia verna</i> (Georgi.) Boriss.	+	<i>P. lunatus</i> L.	+
<i>Oxytropis mollis</i> Benth.	+	<i>P. vulgaris</i> L.	+
<b>GENISTEAE</b>		<i>Puraria lobata</i> (Willd) Sanjappa & Pradeep.	+
<i>Argyrobium flaccidum</i> (Royle) Jaub. & Spach.	+	<i>P. tuberosa</i> (Willd) DC.	+
<i>A. roseum</i> (Camb.) Jaub.& Spach.	+	<i>Ryncosia minima</i> (L.) DC.	+
<i>A. roseum</i> subsp <i>ornithopodiodes</i> (Jaub & Spach) Jafri & Ali	+	<i>R. pulverulenta</i> Stocks.	+
<i>A. roseum</i> (Camb.) Jaub.& Spach, subsp. <i>roseum</i> .	+	<i>R. pseudo-cajan</i> Cambess.	+
<i>A. stenophyllum</i> Boiss.	+	<i>R. rothii</i> Aitch.	+
<i>Lupinus albus</i> L.	+	<i>Vigna aconitifolia</i> (Jacq.) Marecel.	+
<i>L. pilosus</i> L.	+	<i>V. dalzelliana</i> (Kuntze.) Verdec.	+
<i>L. polyphyllus</i> Lindl.	+	<i>V. mungo</i> (L.) Hepper.	+
<i>Spartium junceum</i> L.	+	<i>V. radiata</i> (L.) Wilczek.	+
<b>HEDYSAREAE</b>		<i>V. radiata</i> (L.) Willcazek var. <i>radiata</i> .	+
<i>Ebnus stellata</i> Boiss.	-	<i>V. radiata</i> (L.) Willcz. var <i>setulosa</i> Ohwi & Ohashi.	+
<i>Onobrychis cornuta</i> (L.) Desv.	+	<i>V. trilobata</i> (L.) Verdec.	+
<i>O. dealbata</i> Stocks.	+	<i>V. umbellata</i> (Thumb) Ohwi & Ohashi.	+
<i>Tavernieria lappacea</i> (Forssk) DC.	+	<i>V. unguiculata</i> (L.) Walp.	+
<b>INDOGOFEREAE</b>		<i>V. unguiculata</i> subsp. <i>ungiculata</i> (L.) Walp.	+
<i>Cyamopsis tetragonoloba</i> (L.) Taub.	+	<i>V. unguiculata</i> subsp <i>vexillata</i> (L.) A Rich.	+
<i>Indigofera argentea</i> Burm.	+	<b>ROBINEAE</b>	
<i>I. cordifolia</i> Roth.	+	<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> L.	+
<i>I. hebepetala</i> Baker.	+	<i>Sesbania bispinosa</i> (Jacq.) W.F. Wight.	+
<i>I. hebepetala</i> var <i>glabra</i> Ali.	+	<i>S. concolor</i> J.B. Gillet.	+
<i>I. hebepetala</i> (Benth.) Baker var <i>hebepetala</i>	+	<i>S. grandiflora</i> (L.) Poir.	+
<i>I. himalayensis</i> Ali.	+	<i>S. sesban</i> (L.) Merr.	+
<i>I. hochstetteri</i> Baker.	+	<b>SOPHOREAE</b>	
<i>I. linifolia</i> (L.f) Retz.	+	<i>Sophora alopecuroides</i> L.	+
<i>I. oblongifolia</i> Forssk.	+	<i>S. mollis</i> (Royle) Baker	+
<i>I. sessisiflora</i> DC.	+	<i>S.mollis</i> (Royle) Baker subsp. <i>griffithii</i> (Stocks) Ali.	+
<i>I. tinctoria</i> L.	+	<b>THERMOPSIDEAE</b>	
<b>LOTEAE</b>		<i>Thermopsis inflata</i> Cambess.	+
<i>Lotus corniculatus</i> Benth.	+	<b>TRIFOLIEAE</b>	
<b>MILLETTIEAE</b>		<i>Medicago edgeworthii</i> Sirj.	+
<i>Millettia pinnata</i> (L.) Panigrahi.	+	<i>M. falcata</i> L.	+
<i>Tephrosia purpusea</i> (L.) Pers.	+	<i>M. laciniata</i> (L.) Mill.	+
<i>T. strigosa</i> (Dalzell) Santapau & Mahesh.	+	<i>M. laciniata</i> (L.) Mill var <i>lancinata</i> .	+

Legume Species	Nodulation data
<i>TRIFOLIEAE</i>	
<i>M. lanciniata</i> (L.) Mill var <i>brachycantha</i> Boiss.	+
<i>M. lupulina</i> L.	+
<i>M. minima</i> L.	+
<i>M. monatha</i> (C.A. Mey) Trautv.	+
<i>M. polymorpha</i> L.	+
<i>M. Sativa</i> L.	+
<i>Melilotus albus</i> Medik.	+
<i>M. indicus</i> (L.) All.	+
<i>M. officinalis</i> (L.) Pall.	+
<i>Trifolium alexandrinum</i> L.	+
<i>T. dubium</i> Sibth.	+
<i>T. fragiferum</i> L.	+
<i>T. incarnatum</i> L.	+
<i>T. pretense</i> L.	+
<i>T. repense</i> L.	+
<i>T. resupinatum</i> L.	+
<i>Trigonella corniculata</i> (L.) L.	+
<i>T. emodi</i> Benth.	+
<i>T. foenum-graceum</i> L.	+
<i>T. gracilis</i> Benth.	+
<i>T. uncatata</i> Boiss & Noe	+
<i>VICEAE</i>	
<i>Lathyrus aphaca</i> L.	+
<i>L. emodi</i> (Fritsch) Ali.	+
<i>L. hirsutus</i> L.	+
<i>L. humulis</i> (Ser.) Spreng.	+
<i>L. latifolius</i> L.	+
<i>L. odoratus</i> L.	+
<i>L. pratensis</i> L.	+
<i>L. sativus</i> L.	+
<i>L. sphaericus</i> Retz.	+
<i>Lens culinaris</i> Medik.	+
<i>Pisum sativum</i> (L.)	+
<i>Vicia bakeri</i> Ali	+
<i>V. benthamiana</i> Ali.	+
<i>V. faba</i> (L.)	+
<i>V. hirsuta</i> (L.) S.F Gray.	+
<i>V. monantha</i> Retz. Subsp. <i>Monantha</i>	+
<i>V. perigrina</i> L.	+
<i>V. rigidula</i> Royle.	+
<i>V. sativa</i> L.	+
<i>V. sepium</i> L.	+
<i>V. tenuifolia</i> Roth.	+

+ indicates nodules present, - denotes nodules absent.

\* indicates doubtful nodulation.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Special thanks are due to Dr S.I. Ali, Professor Emeritus, Department of Botany, University of Karachi, Pakistan, for his help in checking the nomenclature and classification of legumes and for useful discussions and suggestions throughout the preparation of the manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- Aguilar N.O., Pitargue F.C., Cajano M.O. Nodulation of legumes in Philippines. In: Sprent J.I and Mckey D., editors. *Advances in legume systematic*, 5: The nitrogen factor. Kew: Royal Botanic Gardens England, 1994. p.25-31.
- Ali S.I. Mimosaceae. **Flora of Pakistan**. 1973a;36:1-41.
- Ali S.I. Caesalpiniaceae. **Flora of Pakistan**. 1973b;54:1-47.
- Ali S.I. Papilionaceae. **Flora of Pakistan**. 1977;100:1-389.
- Ali S.I., Qaiser M. A phytogeographical analysis of the phanerogams of Pakistan and Kashmir. **Proc Royal Soc Edinburgh**. 1986;89B:89-101.
- Allen O.N., Allen E.K. The nodulation profile of the genus *Cassia*. In: Nutman P.S., editor. **Symbiotic nitrogen fixation**. London: Cambridge University Press, 1976. p.113-23.
- Allen O.N., Allen E.K. **The Leguminosae**. A source book of characteristics, uses and nodulation. Madison/ London: University of Wisconsin Press/Macmillan Publishing Company, 1981.
- Athar M. Nodulation status of some tree legumes of Pakistan. **Nit Fix Tree Res Report**. 1993;11:32-4.
- Athar M. New nodulating legume species from natural ecosystem of Pakistan. **Phytologia**. 1996;80:385-8.
- Athar M. A qualitative study of the nodulating ability of legumes of Pakistan. List 5. **Acta Bot. Gallica**. 1997;144:67-72.
- Athar M. Nodulation of native legumes in Pakistani rangelands. **Agric Consp Sci**. 2005;70:49-54.
- Athar M., Harding J. Nodulating legumes from the Tahoe Basin California. **Sida**. 2000;19:205-10.
- Athar M., Mahmood A. A qualitative study of nodulating ability of legumes of Pakistan. List 1. **Pak J Bot**. 1978;10:95-9.
- Athar M., Mahmood A. A qualitative study of the nodulating ability of legumes of Pakistan. List 2. **Trop Agric (Trinidad)**. 1980;57:319-24.
- Athar M., Mahmood A. A qualitative study of the nodulating ability of legumes of Pakistan. List 3. **Trop. Agric (Trinidad)**. 1985; 62:49-51.
- Athar M., Mahmood A. A qualitative study of the nodulating ability of legumes of Pakistan. List 4. **Trop Agric (Trinidad)**. 1990;67:53-6.
- Athar M., Vasileva V. Taxonomy and nodulating ability of Papilionoid legumes of Bulgaria and their potential use in forage production. **FUFAST J Biol**. 2013;3:1-9.
- Chen W.M. et al. *Ralstonia taiwanensis* sp. nov. isolated from root nodules of *Mimosa* species and sputum of a cystic fibrous patient. **Int J Syst Evol Microbiol**. 2001;51:1729-35.
- Elliot G.N. et al. Nodulation of *Cyclopia* spp. (Leguminosae, Papilionoideae) by *Burkholderia tuberosum*. **Ann Bot**. 2007a;100:1403-11.
- Elliot G.N. et al. *Burkholderia phymatum* is a highly effective nitrogen fixing symbiont of *Mimosa* spp. and fixes nitrogen ex planta. **New Phytol**. 2007b;173:168-80.
- Faria S.M. et al. Occurrence of nodulation in Leguminosae. **New Phytol**. 1989;111:607-19.

- Faria S.M. et al. Occurrence of nodulation in legume species from Bahia, Minas Gerais and Espírito Santo states of Brazil. In: Sprent J.I., Mcmeyer D., editors. **Advances in legume systematic**. 5. The nitrogen factor. Kew: Royal Botanic Gardens, 1994. p.17-23.
- Faria S.M., Lima H.C. Additional studies of the nodulation status of legume species in Brazil. **Plant Soil**. 1998;200:185-92.
- Garau G. et al. Novel strains of nodulating *Burkholderia* have a role in nitrogen fixation with Papilionoid herbaceous legumes adapted to acid, infertile soils. **Soil Biol Biochem**. 2009;41:125-34.
- Graham P.H. Ecology of the root nodule bacteria of legumes. In: Dilworth M.J. editors. Nitrogen fixing legume symbiosis. Dordrecht: Springer, 2008. p.23-58.
- Halliday J., Nakao P.L. The symbiotic affinities of woody species under consideration as nitrogen fixing trees. A resource document. Hawaii: NifTAL Project and MIRCEN University of Hawaii, 1982.
- Kumar S., Sane P.V. Legumes of South Asia. - A check list. Kew: Royal Botanic Gardens, 2003.
- Mahmood A., Iqbal P. Nodulation status of legumes of Sindh. **Pak J Bot**. 1994;26:7-19.
- Mahmood A., Qadri R. A qualitative study of the nodulating ability of legumes of Pakistan. List 5. **Pak J Bot**. 2004;36:167-71.
- Moreira F.M.S., Silva M.F., Faria S.M. The occurrence of nodulation in legume species in the Amazon region of Brazil. **New Phytol**. 1992;191:563-70.
- Polhill R.M., Raven P.H., editors. Advances in legume systematic. Parts 1 and 2. Kew: Royal Botanic Gardens, 1981.
- Quraishi M.A.A., Khan G.S., Yaqoob M.S. **Range Management in Pakistan**. Lahore: Qazi Publications, 1993.
- Rao D.I. Recent advances in biological nitrogen fixation. **Proc Indian Nat Sci Acad**. 2014;80:359-78.
- Somasegaran P., Hoben H.J. **Handbook for Rhizobia. Methods in legume - Rhizobium Technology**. New York: Springer Verlag, 1994.
- Sprent J.I. **Legume Nodulation: a global perspective**. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell, 2009.
- Sprent J.I., Sprent P. **Nitrogen Fixing Organisms: pure and applied aspects**. London: Chapman and Hall, 1990.
- Zahran H.H. Enhancement of rhizobia-legume symbiosis and nitrogen fixation for crops productivity improvement. In: Khan M.S. et al., editor. **Microbial strategies for crop production**. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2009.