


# INCORPORATION OF SERVICES IN NATURAL RESOURCE-INTENSIVE GOODS: DESCRIPTION AND MEASUREMENT



MARCEL VAILLANT

Universidad de la República, Montevideo – Uruguay.

ENRIQUE GILLES

Universidad EAN, Bogotá – Colombia.

**To cite this paper:** Vaillant, M., & Gilles, E. (2017). Incorporation of services in natural resource-intensive goods: description and measurement. *Revista de Administração Mackenzie*, 18(6), 15-46. doi 10.1590/1678-69712017/administracao.v18n6p15-46

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Guillermo Valles and Mina Mashayekhi from UNCTAD Trade Division for the support and the relevant comments in earlier versions of this paper. We also thank Nanno Mulder and other seminar participants at the V Conference of Redlas in 2016 in São Paulo, Brazil. All remaining errors are the responsibility of the authors.

## ABSTRACT

**Purpose:** To understand how services can add value to the production and exports of NRIGs, in which South American countries have comparative advantages.

**Originality/value:** South American countries play a key role in international markets of the so-called Natural Resource Intensive Goods (NRIGs), particularly, mining and food. It has been discussed that this specialization pattern has disadvantages in terms of economic development, and both policy makers and academia repeatedly sustain the need to search for new international insertion schemes. In this paper, we discuss that one such avenue could be based on these comparative advantages themselves, through Services and in a particular infrastructure.

**Design/methodology/approach:** To measure the relevance of economic infrastructure services on the competitiveness of NRIGs, we develop a sectoral approach using domestic input-output tables for six Latin American countries. In particular, we measure Services value added incorporated in NRIGs, and we also analyse the impacts of productivity changes in infrastructure services on NRIGs producer prices.

**Findings:** Results allow to identify which infrastructure services are more important to each NRIG in each country.

## KEYWORDS

Natural resource-intensive Goods. Services. Input-output Analysis. International trade.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The specialization pattern of South American countries is concentrated in NRI (Vaillant, 2015), and the region's insertion in the international economy is limited to a small set of goods: only 52 products account for 70% of total exports.<sup>1</sup> The region has actually the highest rate of concentration of the first decile in the average period 2009-2012 compared to other regions of the global economy. Almost all goods in the first decile are NRIGs, the share of manufactured products is very low. Moreover, the set of products with revealed comparative advantage is also reduced: less than 500 products, which is much less than the world average of 800 products (Vaillant, 2015).

In other words, South American countries have economies with very low levels of export diversification. However, this long tradition also implies a huge accumulation and learning experience on how to intensify the exploitation of this type of resources in a sustainable way. In particular, the last stage of development, characterised by an important process of technical change in natural resources exploitation, has been very relevant. The market size provides a sufficient scale for incorporating service-related activities (specialised knowledge business services – KIBS) and through this mechanism, it creates new types of trade specialisation patterns.

The servicification process can be widely defined as a business strategy that entails a shift from pure manufacturing to the production of goods and services. The term can be tracked back to Vandermerwe and Rada (1988). One of the consequences of this phenomenon is for national systems of economics statistics: if manufacturing firms increasingly become service providers, then figures potentially involve errors and omissions. At firm level, many of them have been undertaking such a path, mainly as a response to increased competition in their original product markets. This is the case of IBM, for example, which turned from computer manufacturer to a supplier of a whole range of system business solutions (Ahamed, Inohara, & Kamoshida, 2013), or the case of Rolls Royce that changed from an airplane engine supplier to a supplier of “power-by-the-hour”, i.e., their customers sign a contract through which Rolls Royce ensures engines functioning all along the year by providing the proper maintenance. In Latin America, we also find evidence of servicification, where, by incorporating specialized services, some traditional natural resources may upgrade in value chains. In particular,

<sup>1</sup> According to the Harmonized System at 6 digits (second edition). DESA/UNSD, United Nations (2016) Comtrade database.



we can mention some examples of KIBS around seed innovation in Argentina and Brazil, the salmon industry in Chile or cattle traceability in Uruguay.

The incorporation of services into commodities is a complementary approach to their industrial processing, e.g. the more traditional use of logistics, transportation, communications, etc. in the production of goods. Both strategies yield simultaneous benefits in terms of job creation, technical progress, product diversification and quality improvement. However, policy recommendations may be different for each of them. Indeed, while downstream, traditional services associated with the production of goods (infrastructure, logistics, communications, insurances, etc.) may have a role in job creation and overall economic activity, servicification may also define new paths of sustainable growth in a highly integrated world. As mentioned above, services also play a key role in the technological upgrading of value chains created in or around natural resources.

How can services add value to the production and exports of natural resource intensive goods? Incorporating services into the production of goods allows both differentiation and consolidation in accessing new markets, as well as to generate an increment in the domestic value added, with higher contents of skilled labour. This productive strategy can achieve similar results in terms of creating greater domestic employment compared to conventional alternatives that focus on increasing production levels and industrial processing. Increasing domestic value added through service incorporation is particularly relevant for natural resources-intensive goods (NRIG), as these products dominate the export baskets of Latin American countries, especially those in South America.

## 1.1. Review of the literature

The most recent phase of the globalization process has led to a deep transformation in the international economy. One of the main characteristics is the permanent increase in both the intensive and extensive margins over the tradability of economic activities (goods and services). One of the sources behind this phenomenon is the acceleration of technical change in information and communication technologies (ICTs), together with a general reduction in trade costs (transport costs and other distance-related costs, plus trade policy variables), facilitating the fragmentation of production at a world scale with an increase in trade specialization.

The different stages of productive processes are now spread over different national jurisdictions, giving rise to the so-called Global Value Chains



(GVC). A new international division of labor has emerged, that a new international trade theory names as trade in tasks (Grossman & Rossi-Hansberg, 2006). This process started in the modern manufacturing sector (transport equipment, electronics, optic machines, chemicals, and pharmaceuticals) but is now extended to other economic activities (in particular, services).

Trade in intermediate goods and business services was traditionally relevant in international trade (Sturgeon & Memedovic, 2010; Hummels & Klenow, 2005), and the same phenomenon happens with services oriented to satisfy a demand of inputs in the production process (production services). Trade in intermediates is also an important channel through which technology is transferred from developed to developing countries. Amiti and Konings (2007) show that imports of intermediates and capital goods may improve the firm productivity.

Services are at the core of these new fragmentation phenomena as they have incremented their participation in world trade. Nowadays, trade in commercial services – only considering current account Balance of Payments data – represents more than 20% of the world trade; however, if the trade is measured in value added, this figure achieves half of the world trade (Esaith, 2008).

The conventional theoretical approach associates services to low rates of innovation and a low productivity path. In particular, this applies to services that satisfy final demand, which also gradually increases its weight in total expenditure. Examples of these services are typically haircuts, automotive repairs, travel, and tourism, or personal services such as day care, laundry or the like. This sustains the prediction of stagnation in total productivity (“Baumol disease” and Balassa-Samuelson effect). However, the changes described challenge this conclusion and give a very different picture (Francois & Hoekman, 2010). First, services associated with production as intermediate inputs increase its productivity and so the set of the others activities they are related to by intersectoral linkages. Second, many services associated with final demand changed positively their pattern of innovation and productivity, influenced by the same fundamentals, i.e. the ICT revolution.

The Kaldorian tradition claims that growth is related to the size of the manufacturing sector because it is associated with its positive externality over technological change and the productivity path (through different explicit and implicit mechanisms). However, there is evidence that things are changing. Meglio, Gallego, Maroto, and Savona (2015) highlight three elements:



1. Evidence of “premature deindustrialization” of many developing economies<sup>2</sup> in a context of good growth performance.
2. The tradability of services has progressively increased, and value chains of production have globally expanded.
3. High productivity gains are being experienced by certain service branches.

It is also important to differentiate between services associated with final consumption and services as intermediate inputs. The trade in tasks phenomenon is mainly associated with the latter, which are those demanded as inputs by other production processes. The fragmentation process requires an intensification in the use of services, mainly in the form of specialized services production. The fragmentation of production needs standardization and implies an intensive use of information technology, which is associated with the development of specialized production services.

The result is an increase in the intensity in the use of specialized production services that participate as an intermediate input in many different activities and types of firms. The empirical evidence goes from small firms to large multinationals, and from basic and food industries to the engineering industry. Three main factors explain this outcome in manufacturing (Lodefalk, 2015):

1. Service is a relevant factor to the increment in productivity, in particular through the use of services in logistics, management or engineering that save time, materials and improve coordination (Nordas cited by Lodefalk, 2015). Furthermore, these services are more intense in the use of skilled labour, which means the ability to absorb technical change is higher than in other sectors, with positive effects in terms of productivity.
2. Adding services to goods can also be a profitable strategy: firms may differentiate their supply (augmenting their value) by bundling services with products or offer them in connection to their sales. By doing so, it will be easier for them to deal with the increasing international regulation on environmental and social issues. Many different types of barriers to trade require diverse types of certification that are associated with specialized services. With this strategy, the firms fulfil the norm and obtain a certification that is crucial to jump the trade barrier.

---

<sup>2</sup> “The share of manufacturing in employment and value added is shrinking at levels of income per capita that are much lower of those at which the advanced nations began to de-industrialize” (Meglio, Gallego, Maroto, & Savona (2015).





3. Manufacturing firms need services to establish, join and manage international production networks and value chains, including headquarter or business partner services, such as data processing and R&D services (Kelle cited by Lodefalk, 2015). All of them are associated with the coordination cost dimension related to the process of fragmentation of production in many different stages (Grossman & Rossi-Hansberg, 2012).

Finally, Arbache and Moreira (2015) propose a classification of services in two groups based on their role as inputs in the manufacturing sector:

1. Specialized production services. This includes value and innovation services, and refers to functions that contribute to adding value, differentiating and customizing products and, therefore, raising their market price and increasing labour and global productivity and the return on capital – usually composed of services that require relatively high levels of human capital (R&D, design, engineering and architecture projects, consulting services, software, specialized technical services, high-end IT services, branding, marketing, trading, among others).
2. Cost services. This refers to activities that affect production costs through the use of economic infrastructure services (logistics and transportation, general infrastructure services, financial services, etc.).

Vaillant (2015) analyses the first type of services, whereas here, we deal with cost services by developing and applying a methodology of value added service contents in commodities exports in a selected group of South American countries.

Coherently with the globalization of service production, it is possible to observe a process of expanding the scope of trade rules and topics in different types of trade agreement covering service activities.<sup>3</sup> Typically, services are a non-tradable, so regulations are established on a domestic perspective, i.e. covering national jurisdictions. When trade increasingly involves service activities, the demand for new international rules and the treatment of related topics also increase.

All these phenomena have an impact on the international trade policy agenda, which is usually dominated by market-access issues. How much

---

<sup>3</sup> There are 120 preferential trade agreements in services notified to the WTO and actually in force. Almost all of them cover also goods and complementary matters, which shows through international agreements and disciplines the linkages between them.



access should be provided in my own market in order to get better access conditions in the neighbors' market? The fragmentation of international economic activity has strengthened the link between protection of one's own market and foreign market access conditions. Baldwin and Robert-Nicoud (2015) have pointed out that this is good news from the perspective of expected results related to the endogenous mechanisms of trade liberalization. The more it is perceived that reducing own barriers will also reduce the neighbor's barriers, the more open unilateral domestic trade policy will be. This mechanism seems to have started gradually acting in the trade of goods and particularly in certain manufacturing sectors that tend to converge to a trade of "zero tariff for zero tariff". However, a negotiating effort is required to get improvements in some markets characterized by deeper distortions (certain sectors of agriculture and manufacturing, services, complementary matters).

Considering the new specialization phenomena that we contribute to identify in this paper, in the near future, the role of the service sector will be seen as an essential topic of the trade agreement negotiations agenda. Given the heterogeneous nature of this sector, which, as we mentioned before, includes final demand services but also specialized production services, domestic trade policies should take a differentiated approach.

Besides trade policies, these new developments call for renovated public policies for the development of specialized service providers that add value to natural resources, or increase their productivity capacity through innovation activities in a sustainable way. Traditionally, public policies for both the service sectors and the NRIGs are designed without any link, and their implementation is frequently in charge of different government agencies. The new phenomena imply a challenge to associate and to integrate different sectors in policies and regulations, new institutions, trade agreements, specific incentives, and specialized education at technological and university levels.

## 2. ECONOMIC INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES AND NRIG COMPETITIVENESS

### 2.1. Methodological approach

In this section we link economic infrastructure services (EIS) to the set of commodities exported by South American countries, identified in Vaillant (2015). We will address the role of services, such as communications, finance,





transport, distribution and logistics in the exports of natural resources. One way to measure their contribution is through the value added of those services embodied in the exports of NRIGs. At the same time, we will also look at the impact of the Service sector productivity and performance on the exports of natural resource intensive products. This type of services is included in the second category (cost services) defined by Arbache and Moreira (2015) and may be studied using conventional Input-output techniques.

Within that framework, a first approach to assess the role of services in NRIG can be conducted by analysing the intensity in production. This allows identifying industries which use services as intermediate inputs more intensively. A second approach to tackle the same objective is to analyse the value-added content of services included in the exports of NRIGs.

It is also possible to study the role of Services in the competitiveness of NRIG. To that end, we develop the Leontief price model as proposed in Gilles and Vallecilla (2015), which the main result is the change in sectorial prices as a result of changes in the value added (VA) of a given sector. We will use this framework to simulate the effects of an increase in productivity in the Services sector: such a process would translate into a decrease in value added. Indeed, as a sector becomes more productive we should observe a decrease in its share of VA in gross output. As noted in Gilles and Vallecilla (2015), “the model has been used in several environments to analyse different objectives. For example, Bazzazan et al. (2003) use it in the context of energy assessment policy; Davar (2006) discusses price discrimination issues with the model, while Folloni et al. (1993) evaluate different price formation hypothesis”.

It is worth noting that this approach may fail to capture some part of price variations in case of non-competitive markets. Indeed, the methodology assumes a perfect pass-through going from productivity impulses to price reactions, an assumption that may be too strong in case of markets characterized by monopolies, oligopolies or other forms of imperfect competition. For the case of a heterogeneous sector, such as Services, in which we have both tradable and non-tradable activities and a variety of situations, we should be aware of this shortcoming.

We perform the above three analyses for six South American countries: Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Peru, and Uruguay. In order to have a unified framework, we use the input-output tables provided by Global Trade Analysis Project (Narayanan et al., 2012), which correspond to 2007 and are expressed in millions of US dollars. The full description and details of the methodologies can be found in the Appendix A.

Table 1 presents the group of analysed commodities and their correspondences to GTAP sectors.

**(Table 1)**  
**PRODUCTS AND CORRESPONDING GTAP SECTORS**

HS	Products	Countries	Sectors GTAP
<b>a) Foods and raw materials</b>			
20721	Chicken meat frozen	Brazil; Argentina	20
150710	Soya crude oil	Argentina	21
230400	Soya oilcake	Argentina	21
170111	Cane sugar	Brazil	24
120100	Soya beans	Brazil	5
90111	Coffee	Colombia	8
20230	Bovine meat boneless	Uruguay	19
240120	Tobacco	Argentina; Brazil	8
80610	Fresh grapes	Chile	4
220710	Ethyl alcohol	Brazil	26
100590	Maize	Argentina	3
170199	Other sugar	Brazil	24
<b>b) Minerals</b>			
720293	Ferroniobium	Brazil	35
261610	Silver	Peru	18
260300	Copper	Chile, Peru	18
740311	Copper cathodes	Chile	36
260112	Agglomerated iron	Brazil	18
260700	Lead	Peru	18
260111	Non-agglomerated iron	Brazil	18
720712	Iron and steel	Brazil	35

Source: Vaillant (2015) and correspondence tables with GTAP sectors.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>4</sup> cf. Hutcheson (2006).

Services are going to be aggregated into six main categories (big sectors), which include infrastructure economic services (transport, trade, financial, public services), plus construction and other business services (see Table B2).

## 2.2. Results

### 2.2.1. Domestic Value-added contents of NRIG exports in EIS

The results on direct requirements in the use of economic infrastructures services (EIS) by NRIGs can be seen in Chart B1. With this information, we compute the domestic value-added contents of exports, considering the aggregate use of the different EIS (cf. Chart 1).

(Chart 1)

#### NRIG DOMESTIC VALUE-ADDED (VA) CONTENTS OF SERVICES (MILLION DOLLARS IN 2007 AND %)

Countries	Sectors	(1) Exports	(2) VA contents	(3) % Services VA	(4) VAX ratio % (VA/Exports)	(5) Import contents (%)
Argentina	3	2706	2253	14,5	83,3	16,7
	8	432	403	4,7	93,3	6,7
	20	488	355	22,8	72,6	27,4
	21	10716	8688	23,0	81,1	18,9
	Rest	49612	37552	27,5	75,7	24,3
	<b>Total</b>	<b>63953</b>	<b>49250</b>	<b>25,9</b>	<b>77,0</b>	<b>23,0</b>
Brazil	5	6889	6334	16,5	91,9	8,1
	8	5844	5378	16,8	92,0	8,0
	18	19804	17829	34,5	90,0	10,0
	20	7090	6645	23,7	93,7	6,3
	24	5013	4631	23,7	92,4	7,6
	26	1610	1471	31,9	91,4	8,6
	35	8491	6980	39,9	82,2	17,8
	Rest	121470	100689	43,6	82,9	17,1
<b>Total</b>	<b>176209</b>	<b>149957</b>	<b>38,6</b>	<b>85,1</b>	<b>14,9</b>	

(continue)

**(Chart 1 (conclusion))**

**NRIG DOMESTIC VALUE-ADDED (VA) CONTENTS OF SERVICES  
(MILLION DOLLARS IN 2007 AND %)**

Countries	Sectors	(1) Exports	(2) VA contents	(3) % Services VA	(4) VAX ratio % (VA/Exports)	(5) Import contents (%)
Uruguay	19	901	771	18,5	85,5	14,5
	Rest	5772	3970	36,8	68,8	31,2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6673</b>	<b>4741</b>	<b>33,8</b>	<b>71,0</b>	<b>29,0</b>
Chile	4	3014	2698	19,8	89,5	10,5
	18	17285	14113	27,1	81,7	18,3
	36	24820	19611	26,0	79,0	21,0
	Rest	23980	16899	40,5	70,5	29,5
	<b>Total</b>	<b>69098</b>	<b>53321</b>	<b>30,6</b>	<b>77,2</b>	<b>22,8</b>
Peru	18	9685	8377	19,6	86,5	13,5
	Rest	19893	17943	22,5	90,2	9,8
	<b>Total</b>	<b>29577</b>	<b>26320</b>	<b>21,6</b>	<b>89,0</b>	<b>11,0</b>
Colombia	8	2429	2276	4,2	93,7	6,3
	Rest	29030	24592	27,8	84,7	15,3
	<b>Total</b>	<b>31458</b>	<b>26868</b>	<b>25,8</b>	<b>85,4</b>	<b>14,6</b>

Note: (2) Definition in equation A10; (3) see equation A9 and Chart B1; (4) = (2)/(1), see equation A11; (5) see equation A4.

**Source:** Elaborated by the authors based on GTAP.

The share of these types of sectors is less than in the rest of the economy in almost all the sectors associated with NRIGs (see Table 1). Only for Brazil, in sector 35 (Ferrous metals) the share is greater than in the average global economy. In the mineral sectors, the intensity is typically greater than in the other commodities and close to the average of the economy (see the cases of Chile, Peru, and Brazil). In the NRIG sectors, the VAX ratio is typically high or the import contents of exports are low. This result is natural considering that this type of sectors is defined by the intensive use of domestic factors (natural resources).

(Chart 2)

**SHARE OF DOMESTIC VALUE-ADDED BY  
ECONOMIC INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES (%)**

Countries	Sectors	Service domestic value-added content						VAS/VA
		I	II	III	IV	V	VI	
Argentina	3	0,2	1,1	2,7	2,0	1,5	7,0	14,5
	8	0,2	0,4	1,2	0,8	0,8	1,4	4,7
	20	0,4	0,6	9,4	5,5	1,9	4,9	22,8
	21	0,4	1,0	6,2	7,2	1,5	6,7	23,0
	Rest	0,8	1,0	5,8	8,0	2,1	9,8	27,5
	<b>Total</b>	<b>0,7</b>	<b>1,0</b>	<b>5,7</b>	<b>7,5</b>	<b>2,0</b>	<b>9,0</b>	<b>25,9</b>
Brazil	5	2,2	0,1	5,8	3,6	2,4	2,3	16,5
	8	2,7	0,1	5,6	3,6	2,4	2,3	16,8
	18	5,3	0,2	7,0	11,2	5,2	5,6	34,5
	20	3,5	0,1	8,2	5,0	2,8	4,0	23,7
	24	3,9	0,2	4,8	6,5	3,5	4,9	23,7
	26	4,2	0,2	9,7	7,6	4,1	6,1	31,9
	35	11,9	0,2	6,7	10,6	5,7	4,9	39,9
	Rest	5,3	0,5	8,9	10,2	5,4	13,4	43,6
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,2</b>	<b>0,4</b>	<b>8,2</b>	<b>9,4</b>	<b>4,9</b>	<b>10,5</b>	<b>38,6</b>	
Uruguay	19	1,5	1,4	4,2	3,3	6,3	1,9	18,5
	Rest	3,6	1,2	7,5	9,3	7,0	8,2	36,8
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3,3</b>	<b>1,2</b>	<b>6,9</b>	<b>8,4</b>	<b>6,9</b>	<b>7,2</b>	<b>33,8</b>
Chile	4	0,5	0,3	6,0	3,6	0,9	8,5	19,8
	18	5,6	0,3	2,8	3,9	0,9	13,6	27,1
	36	2,5	0,3	3,9	3,9	1,3	14,1	26,0
	Rest	2,3	0,5	7,0	10,8	3,3	16,5	40,5
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3,2</b>	<b>0,3</b>	<b>4,7</b>	<b>6,1</b>	<b>1,8</b>	<b>14,5</b>	<b>30,6</b>

(continue)

**(Chart 2 (conclusion))**

**SHARE OF DOMESTIC VALUE-ADDED BY  
ECONOMIC INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES (%)**

Countries	Sectors	Service domestic value-added content						VAS/VA
		I	II	III	IV	V	VI	
Peru	18	2,5	0,3	1,0	2,4	3,7	9,7	19,6
	Rest	2,1	0,5	1,8	5,1	4,4	8,6	22,5
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2,2</b>	<b>0,4</b>	<b>1,5</b>	<b>4,2</b>	<b>4,2</b>	<b>9,0</b>	<b>21,6</b>
Colombia	8	0,4	0,1	1,0	0,6	1,2	0,8	4,2
	Rest	2,1	0,6	5,2	6,6	5,9	7,3	27,8
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2,0</b>	<b>0,6</b>	<b>4,9</b>	<b>6,1</b>	<b>5,5</b>	<b>6,8</b>	<b>25,8</b>

Source: Elaborated by the authors.

It is also important to highlight that we are only measuring the EIS (cost services, in Arbache and Moreira (2015) terminology) because conventionally specific product services are embodied in the gross value of each commodity sector.<sup>5</sup>

However, there are three cases where direct and indirect intensity in the use of import is high: meat products (sector 20), in Argentina; ferrous metals (sector 35), in Brazil; other minerals (sector 18), in Peru.

Results on the total value added generated by NRIG exports disaggregated by type of EIS are presented in Chart 2 according to equation (A8) in Appendix A. In this case, it is possible to identify an association between the type of EIS service and the NRIG sector. We summarize the results in Chart 3. For Argentina, an intensive use of trade services and transport services is verified in chicken meat (20) and vegetable oils (21), respectively. In Brazil, the minerals sector (18) is associated with public services, as electricity, gas, and water (I); the beverage sector (26) in trade services (III); and, finally, in ferrous metals (sector 35) the intensity link is with public services (I), transport (IV) and financial services (V). For Chile, in minerals (18) the link is with public services (I). Finally, in Peru, also in minerals (18), the association is with other business services.

<sup>5</sup> It is restrict to work with a high level of aggregation of the IO analysis, therefore, it could only be solved with more detailed and deep product case studies.

(Chart 3)

ASSOCIATION BETWEEN EIS AND NRIG BY COUNTRY

Countries	Sectors	EIS VA contents intensity				
		I	III	IV	V	VI
Argentina	20		+			
	21			+		
Brazil	18	+				
	26		+			
	35	+		+	+	
Chile	18	+				
Peru	18					+

Source: Elaborated by the authors.

2.2.2. EIS productivity and prices competitiveness of NRIG

Finally, we present the results of the Leontief price model. As mentioned before, we are interested in analysing the effects of a 10% increase in EIS productivity on the prices of NRIGs. The definition of productivity we adopt is the one that relates total production to factor use (i.e. the reciprocal of the value added to gross output ratio); thus, the increase in productivity is modelled through a *ceteris paribus* decrease in value added in services, as detailed in equation (A17) in the Appendix. It can be discussed that such a decrease could be in turn the result of changes in technical coefficients (either domestic or imported). However, and for the purpose of simulations, the approach taken here is that there is independence between both variations, i.e. the change in productivity is not the result of changes in direct requirement coefficients. In Chart 4, we show the results of applying that productivity increase to each of the six different groups of EIS, with a final simulation of a simultaneous increase of 10% in all of them. Results should be interpreted as the price effect of that change on each of the selected NRIG and on the total production basket of the economy (using gross sectorial output as weights).

**(Chart 4)**

**PERCENT CHANGE ON COMMODITIES' PRICES AS A RESULT OF A 10% INCREASE IN ECONOMIC INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES PRODUCTIVITY (%)**

Country	Sector	Economic infrastructure services						
		I	II	III	IV	V	VI	All
Argentina	3	-0.05	-0.12	-0.32	-0.32	-0.21	-0.68	-1.70
	8	-0.02	-0.05	-0.15	-0.12	-0.10	-0.17	-0.60
	20	-0.07	-0.11	-0.83	-0.59	-0.24	-0.47	-2.30
	21	-0.09	-0.12	-0.63	-0.73	-0.22	-0.65	-2.44
	<b>All</b>	<b>-0.13</b>	<b>-0.39</b>	<b>-0.98</b>	<b>-0.78</b>	<b>-0.43</b>	<b>-0.80</b>	<b>-3.51</b>
Brazil	5	-0.25	-0.02	-0.59	-0.40	-0.27	-0.32	-1.86
	8	-0.29	-0.02	-0.58	-0.40	-0.27	-0.32	-1.88
	18	-0.54	-0.02	-0.70	-1.10	-0.54	-0.67	-3.56
	20	-0.37	-0.02	-0.82	-0.53	-0.30	-0.47	-2.50
	24	-0.41	-0.02	-0.50	-0.67	-0.38	-0.58	-2.55
	26	-0.44	-0.02	-0.95	-0.76	-0.43	-0.70	-3.31
	35	-1.11	-0.03	-0.67	-1.04	-0.57	-0.63	-4.05
<b>All</b>	<b>-0.51</b>	<b>-0.34</b>	<b>-1.26</b>	<b>-1.12</b>	<b>-0.79</b>	<b>-1.14</b>	<b>-5.16</b>	
Uruguay	19	-0.19	-0.13	-0.46	-0.37	-0.65	-0.25	-2.06
	<b>All</b>	<b>-0.42</b>	<b>-0.55</b>	<b>-1.21</b>	<b>-0.74</b>	<b>-0.86</b>	<b>-0.61</b>	<b>-4.37</b>
Chile	4	-0.12	-0.03	-0.61	-0.38	-0.12	-0.91	-2.16
	18	-0.64	-0.03	-0.32	-0.43	-0.16	-1.35	-2.93
	36	-0.34	-0.03	-0.42	-0.42	-0.21	-1.42	-2.85
	<b>All</b>	<b>-0.44</b>	<b>-0.43</b>	<b>-0.83</b>	<b>-0.91</b>	<b>-0.55</b>	<b>-1.88</b>	<b>-5.04</b>
Peru	18	-0.34	-0.04	-0.10	-0.24	-0.36	-0.96	-2.03
	<b>All</b>	<b>-0.34</b>	<b>-0.53</b>	<b>-0.22</b>	<b>-0.52</b>	<b>-0.50</b>	<b>-1.14</b>	<b>-3.25</b>
Colombia	8	-0.05	-0.01	-0.13	-0.09	-0.15	-0.12	-0.55
	<b>All</b>	<b>-0.30</b>	<b>-0.49</b>	<b>-1.08</b>	<b>-0.80</b>	<b>-0.80</b>	<b>-0.65</b>	<b>-4.12</b>

Source: Elaborated by the authors.



As the first comment on these results, it can be noticed that the average impact of services on the prices of the economy is reduced. Moreover, this is particularly true for the selected commodities, which feature below-of-the-average changes. In fact, these goods are generally in the low tale of the distribution of price impacts along the economy (minerals being the exception). The group of services which produces the largest impacts on prices in most countries is Trade services (III), followed by Transport (IV) and Other business services (VI).

For the Argentinean case, the impacts in sectors three (cereals); eight (other crops); and 21 (vegetable oils and fats) are low and are mainly explained by improvements in Trade and Other business service productivities. Sector eight presents a very low reaction (to any service improvement), whereas sector 20 (meat products) is affected by Trade and Transport services.

In Brazil, we find the highest impacts on prices. For example, sectors 18 (minerals), 26 (beverage and tobacco) and 35 (ferrous metals) are the most influenced, mainly by Transport, Trade and Other business services, as well as Financial services. Importantly, minerals and ferrous metals price reactions to changes in electricity, gas and water services productivity lie above the price impact of the latter on the whole economy prices. This is consistent with the fact that these NRIG are closely related to group I services, as mentioned in Chart 4.

The same over-reaction is also found in the Mineral sector in both Chile and Peru, whereas the figures for Colombia are extremely low. In Uruguay, the most important service group in terms of its impacts on NRIG competitiveness is Financial services; however, the size of the impact is low.

Overall, we could present results of the association of service productivities and NRIG competitiveness by simply using Chart 4 and changing its title. The main intuition is that commodities benefit from productivity changes of services according to the intensity in their respective production functions.

### 3. CONCLUSIONS

The initial motivation of this paper is that NRIGs are an important feature of the South America trade pattern. This continent is also a relevant supplier of this type of products in the world market (Vaillant, 2015). Policy makers in the region repeatedly sustain the need to search a path for the transformation of this pattern of specialization. The question is: how can services add value to the production and exports of NRIGs, and could the



region benefit from the improvement of services to eventually become their net exporter? In this paper, we give some new information that will be necessary to answer this question.

One of the characteristics of the current era of globalization is the increase of the intensity in the use of specialized production services that participate as an intermediate input in many different activities and types of firms. The ability of the new technologies to penetrate in all kinds of activities is one of the most important features of the changes to the production functions all over the world. This means servicification may be possible in many different activities and not only in dynamic manufacturing sectors. Our paper focuses on the identification of the phenomenon in the case of natural resources-intensive goods (NRIG) in South America.

Following Arbache and Moreira (2015), we distinguish two types of services: specialized production services and cost services (mainly from economic infrastructure services). Two different methodologies are used to study the servicification process in each type of services.

An indirect methodology is applied to identify the group of products and countries in which an increment in the use of specialized production services could be observed, based on the performance of the trade data in a recent period (2000s). Food and raw materials is the first subset composed of 12 products that are identified with dynamic behaviour combined upon relevance in the world market. For minerals sectors, eight products fulfil the set criteria. Six South American countries export this set of 20 NRIGs.

Additionally, a review of recent literature about specialized production services in a set of NRIG is proposed. This shows that the big scale of regional markets in NRIG, the intensification of production combined with the acceleration of technical change together with the increase requirements in standardization and certification (both at the domestic and international levels) are the environment where specialized production services (in particular new KIBS) are developed. Sectors with strong RCA (NRIG) generate domestic interlinkages, which imply an incentive to develop new economic activities. These markets are huge at international level and they can create a representative demand in particular for the regional countries. Based on this critical mass, new competitive services activities, which have the potential to be internationalized, could be developed. It seems like a combined Hirschman and Linder mechanism, as referred by Gonzalez, Meliciani y Savona (2015).

For the analysis of the impact of the second type of services (EIS), we used a conventional input-output methodology. The analysis is developed for the six South American exporters in twelve sectors (the 20 NRIGs mapped in 12 sectors, which nine are food and raw materials, and three are



minerals), which implies 17 different bilateral country-sector matches. Three countries (Argentina, Colombia, and Uruguay) are linked to food and raw materials sectors. Peru is associated only to mineral sectors. Finally, Brazil and Chile export products in both sectors.

We developed two types of indicators to approximate the relevance of EIS in NRIG. First, we measure the domestic value-added contents (by subtype of EIS) in each combination country-NRIG sector export. Naturally, in NRIG sectors, the use of EIS is lower than in the rest of the economy for all countries. However, the relevance of EIS is greater in minerals sectors and, in some cases, it is close to the average of the economy. The disaggregated results show different types of associations between EIS and specific NRIG sectors.

Finally, we present the results of the price model, which produces price effects on commodities following the increases in EIS productivity. The general line of the association between EIS services and NRIG sectors is the same. However, we give evidence about the size of the effects considering how prices are affected by an increase in the productivity of transport services. The main impact is observed in the case of Brazil with the public service (I) productivity increasing, what implies a decrease in the price of ferrous metals (35). The effect of transport service (IV) is relevant in the price of the vegetable oil sector in Argentina, and a positive shock in productivity of other business services (VI) has a positive impact on competitiveness in metals (36), in Chile, and minerals (18), in Peru.

These results can also be interpreted from a global value chain perspective. Although South American countries have a very low integration to global production, their role is found in the supply of raw materials and commodities, which as it is well known, has a low potential for employment, human development, and innovation. The challenge for these countries is to use their comparative advantages in NRIGs to develop specialized production services in turn that may be successfully integrated into other global value chains. In the case of economic infrastructure services, they are more related to domestic economic and structural conditions, but they may give rise to regional value chains, another aspect in which South America is lagged behind compared to the experience in other regions’.

New instruments in trade and industrial policy must be developed to promote the specialized products services in NRIG. It is necessary to know the nature of the process that generates these new comparative advantages. A deep understanding of the dynamic process of interaction between scale economies and the internationalization of this type of firms is required to reinforce this new structure of production. More case studies must be done to know the mechanism of this development process. Finally, the results



over EIS shows that the effect of Cost Services over the competitiveness of the commodities is idiosyncratic for each country. This fact revealed a heterogeneous development of infrastructure among South American countries combined with different productive specialization patterns, which imply different intensities in the use of the EIS.

## REFERENCES

- Ahamed, Z., Inohara, T., & Kamoshida, A. (2013). The servitization of manufacturing: An Empirical case study of IBM corporation. *International Journal of Business Administration*, 4(2), 18-26. doi 10.5430/ijba.v4n2p18
- Amiti M., & J. Konings, (2007). Trade liberalization, intermediate inputs, and productivity: Evidence from Indonesia. *The American Economic Review*, 97(5), 1611-1638. doi /10.1257/aer.97.5.1611
- Anlló, G., Bisang, R., & Katz, J. (2015). Aprendiendo con el agro argentino: de la ventaja comparativa a la ventaja competitiva. *Banco Interamericano de Desarrollo*. Retrieved from <https://publications.iadb.org/handle/11319/6932>
- Arbache, J., & Moreira, R. (2015). *How can services improve productivity? The case of Brazil*. Proceedings of the IV Congress Redlas, Montevideo, Uruguay.
- Baldwin, R., & Robert-Nicoud, F. (2015). A simple model of the juggernaut effect of trade liberalisation. *International Economics*, 143, 70-79. doi 10.1016/j.inteco.2015.04.008
- Baldwin, R. (2012). *WTO 2.0: Global governance of supply chain trade*. Switzerland: University of Oxford: CEPR.
- Baldwin, R. (2013). *Global supply chains: why they emerged, why they matter, and where they are going in Global value chains in a changing world*. Switzerland: WTO: Fund Global Institute.
- Bazzazan, F., & Batey, P. (2003). The Development and Empirical Testing of Extended Input-Output Price Models. *Economic Systems Research*, 15(1), 68- 86.
- Cattaneo, O., Gereffi, G., & Staritz, C. (Eds.). (2010). *Global value chains in a post-crisis world: A development perspective*. Washington, DC: The World Bank.
- Davar, E. (1993). Price discrimination in Input-Output. *Economic Systems Research*, 5(1), 3-10.
- Escaith, H. (2008). Measuring trade in value added in the new industrial economy: Statistical implications. *MPRA Paper*, (14454).

- Folloni, G., & Miglierina, C. (1994). Hypothesis of Price Formation in Input-Output Tables. *Economic Systems Research*, 6(3), 249-264.
- Gallego, J. M., Jaramillo, H., & Patiño, A. (2015). *Servicios intensivos en conocimiento en la industria del petróleo en Colombia*. Retrieved from <https://publications.iadb.org/handle/11319/7265>
- Gana J. & Meller, P. (2015). *El cobre chileno como plataforma de innovación tecnológica*. Santiago: Cieplan.
- Gilles, E., & Vallecilla, J. (2015). *Infraestructura y exportaciones de commodities agrícolas en Colombia*. Retrieved from <http://www.redlas.net/materiali/priloge/slo/gilles-vallecilla-2015.pdf>
- Grossman, G. M., & Rossi-Hansberg, E. (2006). The rise of offshoring: it's not wine for cloth anymore. Retrieved from <https://www.princeton.edu/~erossi/RO.pdf>
- Grossman, G. M., & Rossi-Hansberg, E. (2012). Task trade between similar countries. *Econometrica*, 80(2), 593-629. doi 10.3982/ECTA8700
- Francois, J., & Hoekman, B. (2010). Services trade and policy. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 48(3), 642-692. doi 10.1257/jel.48.3.642
- Hutcheson, T. (2006). *HS2002-CPC 1.1-ISIC, Rev3-GTAP Concordance*. West Lafayette: Purdue University: Global Trade Analysis Project (GTAP). Retrieved from [https://www.gtap.agecon.purdue.edu/resources/res\\_display.asp?RecordID=1916](https://www.gtap.agecon.purdue.edu/resources/res_display.asp?RecordID=1916)
- Hummels, D., & Klenow, P. J. (2005). The variety and quality of a nation's exports. *American Economic Review*, 95(3), 704-723. doi 10.1257/0002828054201396
- Johnson, R. C., & Noguera, G. (2012). Accounting for intermediates: Production sharing and trade in value added. *Journal of International Economics*, 86(2), 224-236. doi 10.1016/j.jinteco.2011.10.003
- Lodefalk, M. (2015). *Servicification of manufacturing firms makes divides in trade policy –Making antiquated*. Örebro: University School of Business. Retrieved from [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/270875940\\_Servicification\\_of\\_Manufacturing\\_Firms\\_Makes\\_Divides\\_in\\_Trade\\_Policy-Making\\_Antiquated](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/270875940_Servicification_of_Manufacturing_Firms_Makes_Divides_in_Trade_Policy-Making_Antiquated)
- Marin, A., Stubrin, L., & Silva Junior, J. J. Da. (2015). KIBS associated to natural resource based industries: Seeds innovation and regional providers of the technology services embodied in seeds in Argentina and Brazil, 2000-2014. Inter-American Development Bank. Retrieved from <https://publications.iadb.org/handle/11319/6955>



- Meglio, G. di, Gallego, J., Maroto, A., & Savona, M. (2015). Services in developing economies: A new chance for catching-up? Retrieved from [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/284720189\\_Services\\_in\\_Developing\\_Economies\\_A\\_New\\_Chance\\_for\\_Catching-Up](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/284720189_Services_in_Developing_Economies_A_New_Chance_for_Catching-Up)
- Miroudot, S., Lanz, R., & Ragoussis, A. (2009). Trade in intermediate goods and services. *OECD Trade Policy Papers*, (93). doi 10.1787/5kmlcxtldk8r-en
- Narayanan, B., Aguiar, A., & McDougall, R. (Eds.). (2012). Global trade, assistance, and production: The GTAP 8 data base, center for Global Trade Analysis. Retrieved from [www.gtap.agecon.purdue.edu/databases/v8/v8\\_doco.asp](http://www.gtap.agecon.purdue.edu/databases/v8/v8_doco.asp)
- Nordås, H. K. (2010). Trade in goods and services: Two sides of the same coin? *Economic Modelling*, 27(2), 496-506. doi 10.1016/j.econmod.2009.11.002
- Sturgeon, T., & Memedovic, O. (2010). *Mapping global value chains: Intermediate goods trade and structural change in the world economy*. Vienna: United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO).
- Vandermerwe, S., & Rada, J. (1988). Servitization of business: Adding value by adding services. *European Management Journal*, 6(4), 314-324. doi 10.1016/0263-2373(88)90033-3
- Vaillant, M. (2015, preprint). Servicios y bienes intensivos en recursos naturales en Sudamérica: nuevas oportunidades de transformación productiva. CEPAL, División de Comercio.

## ABOUT THE AUTHORS

### MARCEL VAILLANT

PhD in Applied Economics from the Faculty of Applied Economics,  
Antwerpen University.  
Professor at the Department of Economy,  
Universidad de la República.  
Constituyente, 1502 – Centro – Montevideo – Uruguay – CEP 11200  
*E-mail:* marcel@decon.edu.uy

### ENRIQUE GILLES

PhD in Economics from the Toulouse School of Economics,  
Université Toulouse Capitole.  
Professor at the Department of Economy,  
Universidad EAN.  
Calle 79 #11-43, Bogotá D.C.– Cundinamarca – Colombia – CEP 110121  
*E-mail:* eegilles@universidadean.edu.co

## (APPENDIX A)

### METHODOLOGICAL

In this section, we briefly present the input-output model used in this document. It is important to clarify the use of notation and conventions beforehand. To begin with, upper case letters denote matrices, whereas lower case letters denote vectors; finally, letters with subscripts denote real numbers. There are  $n$  sectors in the economy. The  $(n \times 1)$  final demand vector is denoted by  $f$ ; the use of domestic input  $i$  by industry  $j$  in monetary terms is denoted by  $z_{ij}$ ; the direct coefficient matrix, of dimension  $n$ , is called  $A$  with elements  $a_{ij}$ ; the corresponding Leontief inverse is  $L$  with elements  $l_{ij}$ . The import coefficient matrix is named  $A^m$  with elements  $m_{ij}$ , which express the share of foreign input  $i$  in terms of domestic sector  $j$ 's gross output. Finally, the gross output vector is  $x$ ; and the value-added vector is  $v$ . The standard representation of the input-output model is given by:

$$x = (I - A)^{-1} f = Lf. \tag{A1}$$

#### A1. Domestic value-added contents of infrastructure service in NRIGs exports

Whenever there is an export of a NRIG (in fact, of every goods), this implies an increase in gross output and value added, not only in the sector, which the good comes from, but throughout all the economy via sectorial linkages. In this section, we present the methodology to assess the magnitude of those effects as a way to weight their relative importance in the economy.

We start by considering the fact that final demand  $f$  can be decomposed into domestic ( $f^d$ ) and the foreign final demand ( $e$ ):

$$f = fd + e. \tag{A2}$$

According to Hummels et al. (2001), the imports content of total exports can be calculated as:

$$m^e = A^m Le. \tag{A3}$$



This is a vector of all imports contents in global exports. If we sum over sectors and subtract from exports, we obtain the total domestic value-added contents of exports

$$vdt^e = ie - im^e = \sum_s e_s - \sum_s m_s^e \quad (A4)$$

where  $i$  is a vector of ones. We can also express the import contents as a proportion of total exports,

$$sm^e = D(e)^{-1} A^m Le, \quad (A5)$$

where  $D()$  is the diagonal operator.

However, what we want to know is the composition of domestic value added by sector of origin and linked to foreign demand (exports). So, we need to use the vector of the ratio of domestic value added to gross output, defined as

$$v_s^r = \frac{v_s}{x_s} = \frac{x_s - \sum_j a_{js} x_s - \sum_j m_{js} x_s}{x_s} = 1 - \sum_j a_{js} - \sum_j m_{js} \quad (A6)$$

In matrix terms

$$v^r = (D(x))^{-1} v = i - A'i - M'i \quad (A7)$$

Then, the domestic value-added contents in total exports (by sector) is given by:

$$vd^e = D(v^r)Le \quad (A8)$$

In turn, we disaggregate this value added by each sector of the foreign demand (export vector). Then the domestic value added by sector in exports is:

$$VD^e = D(v^r)LD(e), \quad (A9)$$

where  $VD^e$  is a square matrix of dimension  $n$ , in each column we have the domestic value added generated in a specific sector as a result of exports of each sector (rows). Given the above, it holds that:

$$vd^e = VD^e i \quad (A10)$$



Also, the total sum of domestic value added is  $vdte = i'vd^e$  (see equation A4). The ratio between domestic value-added contents and exports is:

$$vax = (D(e))^{-1} vd^e \quad (A11)$$

$$VAX = (D(e))^{-1} VD^e \quad (A12)$$

Where:  $vax$  and  $VAX$  are the well-known value added to export ratios of the Global Value Chain literature (see for example, Johnson and Noguera, 2012). We assume that the domestic value-added contents in imports is zero, so one minus the  $vax$  ratio is the import contents associated with total exports ( $sm^e = (i - vax)$  see equation A4). This could be also defined by sector and also expressed as a proportion of foreign demand (exports).

## A2. Leontief price model

If we assume that it is not quantities but prices that adjust in the economic system, then the standard input-output model can be interpreted as a price model (Miller and Blair, 2009). Looking at sectors from a column perspective, we have that gross sectorial output can be decomposed into the use of intermediate inputs (both domestic and imported) and value added generated,

$$x_j = \sum_i z_{ij} + \sum_i m_{ij} + v_j. \quad (A13)$$

Next, dividing each side of the above equation by gross sectorial output, we have

$$1 = \sum_i a_{ij} + \sum_i am_{ij} + v_j^r \quad (A14)$$

where technical coefficients for domestic and imported intermediates now appear explicitly. The equation reflects the equality between the per-gross-output costs (right hand side) and the unit price (left hand side). Adopting matrix notation, these prices can be interpreted as a price index of the economy:

$$p' = p'(A + Am) + v^r \quad (A15)$$

$$p = (A + Am)'p + v^r = (At)'p + v^r \quad (A16)$$

Where  $\mathbf{p}'$  is a row vector of industry prices,  $\mathbf{A}$  is the square matrix of domestic direct coefficients,  $\mathbf{A}^m$  is the corresponding square matrix for imported intermediates, and  $\mathbf{v}'_c$  is a  $1 \times n$  vector of value added to gross output ratios. Solving for prices and transposing the result, the Leontief price model can then be expressed as:

$$\mathbf{p} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}t')^{-1} \mathbf{v}_c \equiv \mathbf{L}'\mathbf{v}_c \quad (\text{A17})$$

In this equation,  $\mathbf{A}t$  is the sum of matrices  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{A}^m$ , whereas  $\mathbf{L}'$  is the transpose of the corresponding Leontief inverse. A given equation of this system writes:

$$p_i = \sum_j l_{ij}^t v_j = l_{i1}^t v_1 + \dots + l_{ij}^t v_i + \dots + l_{in}^t v_n. \quad (\text{A18})$$

In other words, every change in sectorial value added will have effects all along the economy prices, according to the Leontief total effect coefficients,  $l_{ij}^t$  which denote the change in industry  $i$  price following a change in unit value added sector  $j$ .

## (APPENDIX B) STATISTICAL

### (Table B1)

#### SECTORS OF THE INPUT-OUTPUT MATRIX

Sector	Title	Name
1	PDR	Paddy rice
2	WHT	Wheat
3	GRO	Cereal grains nec
4	V_F	Vegetables, fruit, nuts
5	OSD	Oil seeds
6	C_B	Sugar cane, sugar beet
7	PFB	Plant-based fibers
8	OCR	Crops nec
9	CTL	Bovine cattle, sheep and goats, horses

*(continue)*

**(Table B1 (continuation))**  
**SECTORS OF THE INPUT-OUTPUT MATRIX**

Sector	Title	Name
10	OAP	Animal products nec
11	RMK	Rawmilk
12	WOL	Wool, silk-worm cocoons
13	FRS	Forestry
14	FSH	Fishing
15	COA	Coal
16	OIL	Oil
17	GAS	Gas
18	OMN	Minerals nec
19	CMT	Bovine meat products
20	OMT	Meat products nec
21	VOL	Vegetable oils and fats
22	MIL	Dairy products
23	PCR	Processed rice
24	SGR	Sugar
25	OFD	Food products nec
26	B_T	Beverages and tobacco products
27	TEX	Textiles
28	WAP	Wearing apparel
29	LEA	Leather products
30	LUM	Wood products
31	PPP	Paper products, publishing
32	P_C	Petroleum, coal products
33	CRP	Chemical, rubber, plastic products

(continue)

**(Table B1 (conclusion))**

**SECTORS OF THE INPUT-OUTPUT MATRIX**

Sector	Title	Name
34	NMM	Mineral products nec
35	LS	Ferrous metals
36	NFM	Metals nec
37	FMP	Metal products
38	MVH	Motor vehicles and parts
39	OTN	Transport equipment nec
40	ELE	Electronic equipment
41	OME	Machinery and equipment nec
42	OMF	Manufactures nec
43	ELY	Electricity
44	GDT	Gas manufacture, distribution
45	WTR	Water
46	CNS	Construction
47	TRD	Trade
48	OTP	Transport nec
49	WTP	Water transport
50	ATP	Air transport
51	CMN	Communication
52	OFI	Financial services nec
53	ISR	Insurance
54	OBS	Business services nec
55	ROS	Recreational and other services
56	OSG	Public Administration, Defense, Education, Health
57	DWE	Dwellings

Source: Narayanan et al. (2012).

**(Table B2)**

**ECONOMIC INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES**

Big sector	Services	Sectors GTAP
I	Electricity, gas and water	43;44;45
II	Construction	46
III	Trade	47
IV	Transport and communications	48;49;50;51
V	Financial and insurance	52 and 53
VI	Other business services	54

Source: Elaborated by the authors.

**(Chart B1)**

**COUNTRIES AND SECTORS DIRECT REQUIREMENTS OF SERVICES/GROSS OUTPUT BY BIG SECTORS (2007)**

Countries	Sectors	Direct domestic services requirements/ gross output						Services as intermediate inputs	Domestic intermediate use
		I	II	III	IV	V	VI		
Argentina	3	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.12	0.39
	8	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.18
	20	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.10	0.78
	21	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.09	0.00	0.01	0.17	0.88
Brazil	5	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.10	0.39
	8	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.10	0.40
	18	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.13	0.04	0.03	0.29	0.53
	20	0.02	0.00	0.05	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.14	0.74
	24	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.17	0.69
	26	0.02	0.00	0.07	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.21	0.75
Uruguay	35	0.10	0.00	0.03	0.08	0.03	0.01	0.26	0.63
	19	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.09	0.79

(continue)

**(Chart B1 (conclusion))**

**COUNTRIES AND SECTORS DIRECT REQUIREMENTS OF SERVICES/GROSS OUTPUT BY BIG SECTORS (2007)**

Countries	Sectors	Direct domestic services requirements/ gross output						Services as intermediate inputs	Domestic intermediate use
		I	II	III	IV	V	VI		
Chile	4	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.04	0.01	0.07	0.22	0.29
	18	0.08	0.00	0.03	0.04	0.00	0.11	0.27	0.46
	36	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.08	0.16	0.56
Peru	18	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.07	0.15	0.49
Colombia	8	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.14

Source: Elaborated by the authors.

**(Chart B2)**

**ECONOMIC INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES DOMESTIC VALUE-ADDED CONTENTS BY SELECTED SET OF NRIG IN SOUTH AMERICA**

(millions of dollars, 2007)

Countries	Commodity	Exports	Services domestic value-added content						VA contents of selected services	Total VA contents
			I	II	III	IV	V	VI		
Argentina	3	2706	5	24	61	45	34	158	327	2253
	8	432	1	2	5	3	3	6	19	403
	20	488	2	2	33	20	7	17	81	355
	21	10716	34	83	542	628	128	579	1995	8688
	Rest	49612	290	391	2178	3000	797	3687	10341	37552
	<b>Total</b>	<b>63953</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>501</b>	<b>2819</b>	<b>3695</b>	<b>969</b>	<b>4446</b>	<b>12763</b>	<b>49250</b>
Brazil	5	6889	142	7	369	228	154	146	1048	6334
	8	5844	147	6	302	194	129	123	902	5378
	18	19804	949	32	1254	1995	927	999	6157	17829
	20	7090	233	9	546	335	185	265	1573	6645
	24	5013	182	8	220	299	162	228	1099	4631
	26	1610	62	3	142	111	60	90	469	1471
	35	8491	830	15	467	737	395	340	2784	6980
	Rest	121470	5294	513	8965	10236	5391	13481	43880	100689
<b>Total</b>	<b>176209</b>	<b>7840</b>	<b>592</b>	<b>12266</b>	<b>14135</b>	<b>7404</b>	<b>15673</b>	<b>57910</b>	<b>149957</b>	

(continue)

**(Chart B2 (conclusion))**

**ECONOMIC INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES DOMESTIC VALUE-ADDED CONTENTS BY SELECTED SET OF NRIG IN SOUTH AMERICA**

(millions of dollars, 2007)

Countries	Commodity	Exports	Services domestic value-added content						VA contents of selected services	Total VA contents
			I	II	III	IV	V	VI		
Uruguay	19	901	11	10	33	25	48	14	143	771
	Rest	5772	143	47	297	371	277	327	1461	3970
	<b>Total</b>	<b>6673</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>396</b>	<b>325</b>	<b>341</b>	<b>1604</b>	<b>4741</b>
Chile	4	3014	14	7	163	96	25	231	535	2698
	18	17285	791	36	402	552	129	1917	3827	14113
	36	24820	486	62	763	770	256	2765	5103	19611
	Rest	23980	389	78	1190	1827	559	2794	6837	16899
	<b>Total</b>	<b>69098</b>	<b>1681</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>2518</b>	<b>3245</b>	<b>969</b>	<b>7707</b>	<b>16302</b>	<b>53321</b>
Peru	18	9685	206	28	81	200	314	816	1644	8377
	Rest	19893	378	89	314	911	795	1549	4036	17943
	<b>Total</b>	<b>29577</b>	<b>584</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>396</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1109</b>	<b>2365</b>	<b>5680</b>	<b>26320</b>
Colombia	8	2429	8	1	24	15	28	19	95	2276
	Rest	29030	519	151	1280	1630	1463	1795	6838	24592
	<b>Total</b>	<b>31458</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>1304</b>	<b>1644</b>	<b>1491</b>	<b>1814</b>	<b>6933</b>	<b>26868</b>

Source: Elaborated by the authors.

**(Chart B3)**

**SHARE OF EACH ECONOMIC INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICE IN TOTAL DOMESTIC VALUE ADDED OF SERVICES (%)**

Countries	Sectors	Services domestic value-added content in NRIG exports						Intermediate use of services
		I	II	III	IV	V	VI	
Argentina	3	1.6	7.3	18.7	13.8	10.4	48.2	100.0
	8	3.2	8.1	25.3	16.4	17.3	29.7	100.0
	20	1.9	2.8	41.3	24.2	8.3	21.4	100.0
	21	1.7	4.2	27.2	31.5	6.4	29.0	100.0
	Rest	2.8	3.8	21.1	29.0	7.7	35.6	100.0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>22.1</b>	<b>29.0</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>34.8</b>	<b>100.0</b>

(continue)

**(Chart B3 (conclusion))**

**SHARE OF EACH ECONOMIC INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICE  
IN TOTAL DOMESTIC VALUE ADDED OF SERVICES (%)**

Countries	Sectors	Services domestic value-added content in NRI&G exports						Intermediate use of services
		I	II	III	IV	V	VI	
Brazil	5	13.6	0.7	35.2	21.8	14.7	13.9	100.0
	8	16.3	0.7	33.5	21.5	14.3	13.7	100.0
	18	15.4	0.5	20.4	32.4	15.1	16.2	100.0
	20	14.8	0.6	34.7	21.3	11.8	16.8	100.0
	24	16.5	0.7	20.0	27.2	14.8	20.7	100.0
	26	13.3	0.6	30.3	23.7	12.8	19.3	100.0
	35	29.8	0.5	16.8	26.5	14.2	12.2	100.0
	Rest	12.1	1.2	20.4	23.3	12.3	30.7	100.0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>13.5</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>21.2</b>	<b>24.4</b>	<b>12.8</b>	<b>27.1</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Uruguay	19	8.0	7.4	22.9	17.7	34.0	10.0	100.0
	Rest	9.8	3.2	20.3	25.4	18.9	22.4	100.0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>9.6</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>20.5</b>	<b>24.7</b>	<b>20.3</b>	<b>21.3</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Chile	4	2.6	1.4	30.5	17.9	4.6	43.1	100.0
	18	20.7	0.9	10.5	14.4	3.4	50.1	100.0
	36	9.5	1.2	14.9	15.1	5.0	54.2	100.0
	Rest	5.7	1.1	17.4	26.7	8.2	40.9	100.0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>10.3</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>15.4</b>	<b>19.9</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>47.3</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Peru	18	12.5	1.7	4.9	12.2	19.1	49.6	100.0
	Rest	9.4	2.2	7.8	22.6	19.7	38.4	100.0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>10.3</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>19.6</b>	<b>19.5</b>	<b>41.6</b>	<b>100.0</b>
Colombia	8	9.0	1.2	25.1	15.5	29.6	19.6	100.0
	Rest	7.6	2.2	18.7	23.8	21.4	26.3	100.0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>18.8</b>	<b>23.7</b>	<b>21.5</b>	<b>26.2</b>	<b>100.0</b>

Source: Elaborated by the authors.