

ISSN 1807-1929 Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental

v.23, n.7, p.506-510, 2019

Campina Grande, PB, UAEA/UFCG – http://www.agriambi.com.br

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1807-1929/agriambi.v23n7p506-510

# Intensity-duration-frequency relationships of rainfall through the technique of disaggregation of daily rainfall

Viviane R. Dorneles<sup>1</sup>, Rita de C. F. Damé<sup>2</sup>, Claudia F. A. Teixeira-Gandra<sup>2</sup>, Letícia B. Méllo<sup>1</sup>, Mario A. A. Ramirez<sup>3</sup> & Emanuele B. Manke<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidade Federal de Pelotas/Centro de Desenvolvimento Tecnológico/Programa de Pós-Graduação em Recursos Hídricos, Pelotas, RS, Brasil. E-mail: vivianerdorneles@gmail.com (Corresponding author) - ORCID: 0000-0001-6095-5042; leticia-burkert@hotmail.com - ORCID: 0000-0001-9182-7457

**ABSTRACT:** Rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (IDF) relationships are a tool that can be used in modeling the transformation of rainfall to runoff, required for the design of hydraulic works. The objective of this study was to verify if there is a significant difference between the intensity-duration-frequency relationships generated using pluviographic records and those determined from pluviometric data. Maximum annual rainfall intensity values were obtained from the disaggregation of maximum daily rainfall and rainfall records in the durations of 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 60, 120, 360, 720 and 1440 min and for the return periods of 2, 5, 10, 20, 25, 50 and 100 years, in the locality of Pelotas, Rio Grande do Sul state, Brazil (31° 46' 34" S; 52° 21' 34" W, altitude of 13.2 m). By Student's t-test, it was verified that there is no significant difference between the values of maximum rainfall intensity obtained from pluviographic records and those determined from pluviographic records and those determined from pluviographic records and those determined from pluviographic records and the set of maximum rainfall intensity obtained from pluviographic records and those determined from pluviographic records and those determined from pluviometric data.

Key words: intense rains, pluviometric data, pluviographic records

# Relações intensidade-duração-frequência da precipitação mediante a técnica da desagregação da chuva diária

**RESUMO:** A relação intensidade-duração-frequência de ocorrência de precipitação é uma técnica que pode subsidiar estudos hidrológicos em modelos de transformação chuva-vazão, muitas vezes, utilizada no dimensionamento de obras hidráulicas. Objetivou-se verificar se há diferença significativa entre as relações, cujos valores de intensidades máximas anuais foram obtidos a partir da desagregação da chuva máxima diária e registros pluviográficos, nas durações de 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 60, 120, 360, 720 e 1440 min e para os períodos de retorno de 2, 5, 10, 20, 25, 50 e 100 anos, na localidade de Pelotas/RS, Brasil (31° 46' 34" S; 52° 21' 34" O, altitude de 13,2 m). Pelo teste t de Student, constatou-se que não há diferença significativa entre os valores de intensidades máximas obtidos a partir de registros pluviográficos e àqueles mediante a desagregação de dados pluviométricos diários.

Palavras-chave: chuvas intensas, dados pluviométricos, registros pluviográficos

Ref. 198990 - Received 14 May, 2018 • Accepted 13 May, 2019 • Published 04 Jun, 2019



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Universidade Federal de Pelotas/Centro de Engenharias, Pelotas, RS, Brasil. E-mail: ritah2o@hotmail.com - ORCID: 0000-0001-9962-7855; cfteixei@gmail.com - ORCID: 0000-0002-7482-0189

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Fundación TecniAgro. Orito, Putumayo, Colombia. E-mail: maarcosr@unal.edu.co - ORCID: 0000-0002-1213-3332

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Universidade Federal de Pelotas/Faculdade de Agronomia Eliseu Maciel/Programa de Pós-Graduação em Manejo e Conservação do Solo e da Água, Pelotas, RS, Brasil. E-mail: manumanke@gmail.com - ORCID: 0000-0001-8002-3840

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Rainfall is an element of weather and climate that varies in space. To characterize rainfall, it is necessary to know its intensity, duration and frequency of occurrence or return period (RP). This characterization is typically presented as an intensity-duration-frequency (IDF) relationship, which is a tool used in modeling the transformation of rainfall to runoff (Damé et al., 2008).

The intensity-duration-frequency relationship is traditionally determined from observations of intense rainfalls over a long period of time, which are representative of extreme events. When constructing a rainfall series for statistical analysis, two routes can be followed: the use of rainfall records or the disaggregation of daily rainfall data into individual rainfall events.

Rainfall records are scarce, while daily rainfall data is readily available (Back et al., 2011; Aragão et al., 2013; Damé et al., 2014), since in Brazil, there is an extensive pluviometric monitoring network.

To use daily rainfall data, it is necessary to apply a disaggregation model, from which it is possible to obtain the values of water depths within shorter durations of time from the value of rainfall accumulated in one day (Aragão et al., 2013).

The objective of this study was to establish if there is a significant difference between the intensity-durationfrequency relationships obtained from the disaggregated daily maximum rainfall data in comparison to those determined by rainfall records, in the locality of Pelotas, Rio Grande do Sul state, Brazil.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

A rainfall series for the region of Pelotas, RS state, Brazil (31° 46' 34" S; 52° 21' 34" W, altitude of 13.2 m), from the period 1982 to 2015 was obtained from the Agroclimatological Station of EMBRAPA/INMET (Instituto Brasileiro de Meteorologia) and UFPEL (Universidade Federal de Pelotas). The daily maximum rainfall values were selected in the series to make the annual maximum daily rainfall series. The period from 1982 to 2015 had important extreme events related to the occurrence of the El Niño Southern Oscillation phenomenon, which directly affected the maximum intensity of the rainfalls.

The disaggregation of the annual maximum daily rainfall was performed for the durations of 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 60, 120, 360 and 720 min following Silveira (2000) (Eq. 1) and for 1440 min by the method of relations (CETESB, 1979).

$$Pt = 1.1 \exp \frac{1.5 \ln \left[ \ln \left( t60 \right) \right]}{7.3} Pday$$
(1)

where:

Pt - maximum rainfall with t h of duration, mm; and, Pday - maximum rainfall with one day of duration, mm.

The values of maximum annual rainfall intensity  $(mm h^{-1})$  for each of the pre-established durations were obtained from the

relation between precipitated water (mm) and the respective durations (h).

In the present study, the relations between the durations of the pluviographic data for Pelotas compared to ones from CETESB (1979) were not determined, since Teixeira et al. (2011) determined them, and their results showed that there is no significant difference between them.

The resulting series of maximum rainfall intensity were evaluated for independence using the sample autocorrelation function (Groppo et al., 2005), and for stationarity, using the non-parametric Mann-Kendall test (Rodrigues & Santos, 2007).

In order to obtain the values of maximum rainfall intensity associated with the return periods of 2, 5, 10, 20, 25, 50 and 100 years, which were later used to determine the intensity-duration-frequency relationships, the empirical model of Weibull and a theoretical model with Normal, Log-Normal, Gumbel and Gamma probability distributions were used.

From this methodology, the intensity-duration-frequency hybrid relationships were obtained (empirical model, 2 to 25 years and theoretical model, 50 and 100 years) and the conventional intensity-duration-frequency relationships (theoretical model of probability for return periods of 2, 5, 10, 20, 25, 50 and 100 years).

In the empirical model, the exceedance probability  $P(X \ge x)$  and return period (RP) were determined using Eq. 2 from Weibull.

$$P(X \ge x) = \frac{i}{n+1} \tag{2}$$

where:

i - order number of each element of the series; and,

n - total number of elements in the series.

The parameters of the Normal, Log-Normal, Gumbel and Gamma probability models were adjusted using the maximum likelihood method (Blain & Camargo, 2012; Pereira et al., 2014). Then, the model showing the best fit to the values of maximum rainfall intensity was selected using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (Silva et al., 2013; Ramos & Moala, 2014).

The null hypothesis  $(H_0)$  means that the data comes from a population with values which come from the tested distribution, to a level of probability (p) of 0.05.

The rainfall intensity-duration-frequency relationships were represented according to Eq. 3 (Borga et al., 2005; Silva et al., 2006, 2013):

$$I = \frac{K RP^{a}}{(t+b)^{c}}$$
(3)

where:

I - intensity of rainfall, mm h<sup>-1</sup>;

RP - return period, years;

t - rainfall duration, min; and,

K, a, b, c - parameters of the equation that must be adjusted to the observed data.

In order to obtain the parameters of the intensity-durationfrequency relationships (K, a, b and c), the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) (Eq. 4) was used:

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{obs} - X_{eq})^{2}}{n}}$$
(4)

where:

 $\rm X_{obs}\,$  - observed values of maximum rainfall intensity, mm  $\rm h^{-1};$ 

 $X_{eq}$  - estimated values of maximum rainfall intensity, mm h<sup>-1</sup>, obtained by the intensity-duration-frequency relationships with coefficients in which the RMSE value reached its minimum; and,

n - total number of elements in the series.

The hybrid and conventional intensity-duration-frequency relationships obtained from daily disaggregated data were validated by comparing the predicted values of maximum rainfall intensity with those obtained by hybrid and conventional intensity-duration-frequency relationships determined using rainfall records for the same locality (Eqs. 5 and 6, respectively):

$$I = \frac{1000 RP^{0.170}}{\left(t + 20.00\right)^{0.735}}$$
(5)

$$I = \frac{1100RP^{0.163}}{(t+16.469)^{0.766}}$$
(6)

In order to evaluate whether or not there is a significant difference, with a probability level ( $\alpha$ ) of 0.05, between the values of maximum rainfall intensity obtained by the hybrid and conventional intensity-duration-frequency relationships, and by the daily rainfall disaggregation, comparing to rainfall data, the Student's t-test was used for the angular coefficient  $\beta$ 1 of the simple linear regression model.

The null hypothesis (H<sub>0</sub>) was established for the angular coefficient  $\beta_1$ ; H<sub>0</sub>:  $\beta_1 = 0$  and the alternative, H<sub>1</sub>:  $\beta_1 \neq 0$ .

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results show that the values of maximum rainfall intensity that were obtained by disaggregation are independent, since the sample autocorrelation coefficients ( $r_1$ ) are inserted in the confidence interval of 0.95, higher than 0.306 and lower than -0.366 (Souza et al., 2009; Teixeira et al., 2011).

Considering the durations of 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 60, 120, 360, 720 and 1440 min, the values of  $r_1$  were: 0.196; 0.124; 0.121; 0.120; 0.106; 0.091; 0.079; 0.551; 0.208 and 0.072, respectively, showing the independence of the values of maximum rainfall intensity.

The values of maximum rainfall intensity in the different durations can be considered stationary, since the Mann-Kendall test (Z) ranged from -0.999 to -0.986, which was lower than the critical value,  $Z_{\alpha}$ , of 1.96, for a confidence interval of 0.95 ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) (Pinheiro et al., 2013; Silva & Streck, 2014).

Table 1 presents the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) statistic test values for the tested probability distributions. All of them are observed to fit properly, but Gumbel was selected, because of the lower value of the calculated statistic, as well as its adequacy in the adjustment of extreme values (Sansigolo, 2008).

Pereira et al. (2014) estimated the intensity-durationfrequency equation for the state of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil, by the relations method of CETESB, adjusting to the Gumbel distribution by the maximum likelihood method. Using the KS test ( $\alpha = 0.20$ ), the authors concluded that the Gumbel distribution was adequate to estimate the intense rainfalls in the studied areas.

However, there is no consensus in the literature about the most appropriate probability distribution to use to adjust the maximum daily rainfall. When investigating the robustness of several typical probability distributions in the analysis of extreme hydrological events, Back (2006) and Sansigolo (2008) verified that the distribution of Gumbel was the one that best adjusted to the maximum daily rainfall values.

Table 2 shows the values of maximum rainfall intensity for the durations of 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 60, 120, 360, 720 and 1440 min obtained by the Gumbel distribution for the return periods of 2, 5, 10, 20, 25, 50 and 100 years for conventional intensityduration-frequency relationships, as well as for return periods of 50 and 100 years for hybrid intensity-duration-frequency relationships.

The equations representing the hybrid and conventional intensity-duration-frequency relationships (Table 3) were obtained from the values of maximum rainfall intensity presented in Table 2.

The established equations are applicable to durations from 5 to 1440 min, for the period from 1982 to 2015. The justification for the use of the selected durations relates to the time of concentration of the drainage area for possible hydraulic projects, since the intensity-duration-frequency represents the values of the intense rainfalls in such durations (Damé et al., 2005; Ben-Zvi, 2009).

To confirm the null hypothesis, the values of the coefficient  $\beta_1$ , the statistic t ( $\beta_1$ ) values, p and r obtained from the historical

**Table 1.** Calculated values of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test  $(D_{max})$  for the probability distributions adjusted to the annual maximum series obtained by the maximum daily rainfall disaggregation for Pelotas, RS state, Brazil, from 1982 to 2015

Drobobility	Rainfall duration (min)									
distribution	5	10	15	20	30	60	120	360	720	1440
uistribution	D <sub>max</sub>									
Normal	0.211	0.211	0.210	0.211	0.211	0.211	0.212	0.215	0.215	0.207
Log-Normal	0.148	0.148	0.147	0.148	0.148	0.148	0.150	0.153	0.152	0.144
Gumbel	0.124	0.124	0.123	0.124	0.124	0.124	0.126	0.129	0.129	0.119
Gamma	0.170	0.170	0.169	0.169	0.170	0.170	0.172	0.174	0.174	0.166

 $D_{crit} = 0.227 \ p \le 0.05$ 

Table 2. Maximum rainfall intensity values (mm h <sup>-1</sup> ) for return periods of 2, 5, 10, 20, 25, 50 and 100 years, obtained by adjusting	
the Gumbel probability distribution to the conventional and hybrid series, for the locality of Pelotas, RS state, Brazil	

Rainfall duration	Return period (years)						
(min)	2	5	10	20	25	50	100
	Conventional serie						
5	116.79	147.37	167.62	187.04	193.20	212.18	231.02
10	99.92	126.09	143.41	160.03	165.30	181.54	197.66
15	84.96	107.22	121.96	136.09	140.57	154.39	168.10
20	74.14	93.56	106.41	118.74	122.65	134.70	146.66
30	59.80	75.47	85.84	95.79	98.95	108.67	118.32
60	39.49	49.84	56.69	63.26	65.35	71.77	78.14
120	24.96	31.51	35.84	39.99	41.31	45.37	49.40
360	11.35	14.32	16.29	18.18	18.77	20.62	22.45
720	6.69	8.45	9.61	10.72	11.08	12.17	13.25
1440	3.88	4.89	5.56	6.20	6.40	7.03	7.66
				Hybrid serie			
5	113.70	150.00	190.81	214.77	228.91	212.18	231.02
10	97.25	128.40	163.29	183.74	195.83	181.54	197.66
15	82.70	109.20	138.81	156.24	166.53	154.39	168.10
20	72.15	95.30	121.12	136.39	145.36	134.70	146.66
30	58.20	76.80	97.74	109.93	117.19	108.67	118.32
60	38.45	50.70	64.51	72.60	77.40	71.77	78.14
120	24.30	32.10	40.77	45.91	48.94	45.37	49.40
360	11.05	14.60	18.53	20.89	22.26	20.62	22.45
720	6.50	8.60	10.93	12.31	13.14	12.17	13.25
1440	3.75	5.00	6.36	7.14	7.63	7.03	7.66

**Table 3.** Equations for intensity-duration-frequency (IDF) obtained from the hybrid empirical method and the conventional method, from 1982 to 2015, for the city of Pelotas, RS state, Brazil

Series	IDF Equations			
Hybrid pluviometric	1100 RP <sup>0.150</sup>			
	$T = \frac{1}{(t + 12.44)^{0.757}}$			
Conventional pluviometric	1100 RP <sup>0.162</sup>			
oonventional pluvionretile	$I = \frac{1}{(t + 13.39)^{0.779}}$			

I - Mean maximum rainfall intensity (mm  $h^{\cdot 1});\, RP$  - Return period (years); t - Rainfall duration (min)

values of maximum rainfall intensities  $(I_{max})$  are presented in Table 4, as well as those resulting from hybrid and conventional intensity-duration-frequency.

The results in Table 4 show that the values of maximum rainfall intensity obtained from the hybrid and conventional intensity-duration-frequency relationships do not differ from the historical values, since the values calculated from the statistic "t" for the angular coefficients ( $\beta_1$ ) were lower than the critical value of t, for  $p \le 0.05$ .

The results presented in Table 4 show that the equations generated by the disaggregation of daily rainfall data present a good correlation when compared to the intensity-durationfrequency determined from rainfall records, with r values of 0.9915 and 0.9983, respectively. This demonstrates that the disaggregation of daily rainfall can be used in places where rainfall records are not available.

Silva et al. (2012) determined the intensity-durationfrequency relationships from rainfall data for a selection of localities that represented the climatic variability of the state. The authors show that the equations generated by rainfall data present adequate adjustments, with values of the correlation coefficient r varying from 0.9849 to 0.9999, comparatively to the intensity-duration-frequency relationships obtained with rainfall records. **Table 4.** Values of  $\beta_1$  coefficient, student t-test probability and correlation coefficient (r), obtained between the historical values of maximum rainfall intensity ( $I_{max}$ ) and those obtained from the empirical and conventional methods, for the city of Pelotas, RS state, Brazil, for the return periods (RP) of 2, 5, 10, 20, 25, 50 and 100 years

RP (years)	β1	<b>t(</b> β1)	p	r					
Imax IDF hybrid pluviometric versus Imax IDF hybrid pluviographic									
2	1.3071	0.23	0.41						
5	1.2833	0.22	0.42						
10	1.2657	0.21	0.42						
20	1.2483	0.20	0.42	0.9915					
25	1.2427	0.19	0.43						
50	1.2256	0.18	0.43						
100	1.2087	0.17	0.43						
Imax IDF convent	I <sub>max</sub> IDF conventional pluviometric versus I <sub>max</sub> IDF conventional pluviographic								
2	1.0794	0.074	0.47						
5	1.0784	0.072	0.47						
10	1.0776	0.072	0.47						
20	1.0769	0.071	0.47	0.9983					
25	1.0766	0.071	0.47						
50	1.0759	0.071	0.47						
100	1.0752	0.069	0.47						
I <sub>max</sub> IDF con	Imax IDF conventional pluviometric versus I max IDF hybrid pluviometric								
2	1.0912	0.084	0.47						
5	1.0793	0.074	0.47						
10	1.0703	0.066	0.47						
20	1.0615	0.058	0.48	0.9992					
25	1.0586	0.055	0.48						
50	1.0499	0.048	0.48						
100	1.0412	0.040	0.48						

 $\beta_1$  - Angular coefficient of the linear adjustment;  $t_{tab~(5\%)}$  = 2.26; p = 0.05 IDF - Intensity-duration-frequency

The values obtained from the hybrid and conventional intensity-duration-frequency relationships for the return period of 10 years, which is recommended for hydroagricultural projects (Damé et al., 2005), are 60.73 and 56.51 mm  $h^{-1}$ , respectively. For hydraulic projects, which have a recommended return period of 100 years, the values are 85.78 and 82.06 mm  $h^{-1}$ ,

respectively. In the case of the return period of 10 years, the percentage difference of the values of maximum rainfall intensity is around 8%, while for return period of 100 years, this value is minimized to 4.5%. The values presented as an example, were obtained from the comparison between the conventional and hybrid intensity-duration-frequency relationships, in the duration of 60 min.

Therefore, it is possible to observe that there is an indication that the conventional intensity-duration-frequency relationship can be used for return periods of more than 10 years, in which the percentage differences are lower.

# Conclusions

1. Comparative analysis of the results obtained on applying daily rainfall disaggregation using the equations generated by the rainfall records showed that there is no significant difference in terms of intensity, duration, and frequency between the maximum intensities; therefore, this method can be used in locations where rainfall records are not available.

2. Both hybrid and conventional intensity, duration and frequency relationships adequately represented the values of maximum historical rainfall intensity, such that the values of maximum rainfall intensity for return periods of up to 25 years can be obtained both by empirical and theoretical models.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Aragão, R. de; Santana, G. R. de; Costa, C. E. F. F. da; Cruz, M. A. S.; Figueiredo, E. E. de; Srinivasan, V. S. Chuvas intensas para o estado de Sergipe com base em dados desagregados de chuva diária. Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental, v.17, p.243-252, 2013. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1415-43662013000300001
- Back, A. J. Relações intensidade-duração-frequência de chuvas intensas de Chapecó, Estado de Santa Catarina. Acta Scientiarum. Agronomy, v.28, p.575-581, 2006. https://doi.org/10.4025/ actasciagron.v28i4.931
- Back, A. J.; Hen, A.; Oliveira, J. L. R. Heavy rainfall equations for Santa Catarina, Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo, v.35, p.2127-2134, 2011. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-06832011000600027
- Ben-Zvi, A. Rainfall intensity-duration-frequency relationships derived from large partial duration series. Journal of Hydrology, v.367, p.104-114, 2009. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.01.007
- Blain, G. C.; Camargo, M. B. P. de. Probabilistic structure of an annual extreme rainfall series of a coastal area of the State of São Paulo, Brazil. Engenharia Agrícola, v.32, p.552-559, 2012. https://doi. org/10.1590/S0100-69162012000300014
- Borga, M.; Vezzani, C.; Fontana, G. D. Regional rainfall depthduration-frequency equations for an Alpine Region. Natural Hazards, v.36, p.221-235, 2005. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-004-4550-y
- CETESB Companhia Ambiental do Estado de São Paulo. Drenagem urbana: Manual de projeto. São Paulo: CETESB, 1979. 476p.
- Damé, R. de C. F.; Teixeira-Gandra, C. F. A.; Moura, C.; Machado, R.; Beskow, S. Análise do impacto de um evento de precipitação ocorrido na cidade de Pelotas/RS. Revista de Ciências Agro-Ambientais, v.3, p.15-25, 2005.

- Damé, R. de C. F.; Teixeira-Gandra, C. F. A.; Terra, V. S. S. Comparação de diferentes metodologias para estimativa de curvas intensidadeduração-freqüência para Pelotas - RS. Engenharia Agrícola, v.28, p.245-255, 2008. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-69162008000200005
- Damé, R. de C. F.; Teixeira-Gandra, C. F. A.; Villela, F. A.; Santos, J. P. dos; Winkler, A. S. Analysis of the relationship intensity, duration, frequency of disaggregated daily rainfall in Southern Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. Engenharia Agrícola, v.34, p.660-670, 2014. https:// doi.org/10.1590/S0100-69162014000400006
- Groppo, J. D.; Moraes, J. M. de; Beduschi, C. E.; Martinelli, L. A. Análise de séries temporais de vazão e precipitação em algumas bacias do estado de São Paulo com diferentes graus de intervenções antrópicas. Geociências, v.24, p.181-193, 2005.
- Pereira, S. B.; Nóia, C. P. Z.; Almeida, R. A.; Coelho, C. D. Method adjustment and equation set of maximum rainfall intensity, duration and frequency in the Mato Grosso do Sul state. Engenharia Agrícola, v.34, p.716-726, 2014. https://doi. org/10.1590/S0100-69162014000400011
- Pinheiro, A.; Graciano, R. L. G.; Severo, D. L. Tendência das séries temporais de precipitação da região sul do Brasil. Revista Brasileira de Meteorologia, v.28, p.281-290, 2013. https://doi. org/10.1590/S0102-77862013000300005
- Ramos, P. L.; Moala, F. A. A aplicação da distribuição exponencial geométrica estendida para modelagem de dados pluviométricos. Revista Brasileira de Meteorologia, v.29, p.613-620, 2014. https:// doi.org/10.1590/0102-778620130612
- Rodrigues, R. de A.; Santos, R. S. dos. Estudo de tendência climática na série temporal de precipitação pluviométrica em Araguari (MG). Revista Geográfica Acadêmica, v.1, p.20-27, 2007. https:// doi.org/10.18227/1678-7226rga.v1i1.2964
- Sansigolo, C. A. Distribuições de extremos de precipitação diária, temperatura máxima e mínima e velocidade do vento em Piracicaba, SP (1917-2006). Revista Brasileira de Meteorologia, v.23, p.341-346, 2008. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-77862008000300009
- Silva, B. M.; Montenegro, S. M. G. L.; Silva, F. B. da; Araújo Filho, P. F. de. Chuvas intensas em localidades do Estado de Pernambuco. Revista Brasileira de Recursos Hídricos, v.17, p.135-147, 2012. https://doi.org/10.21168/rbrh.v17n3.p135-147
- Silva, F. O. E. da; Palácio Júnior, F. F. R.; Campos, J. N. B. Equação de chuvas para Fortaleza-CE com dados do pluviógrafo da UFC. Revista DAE, v.192, p.48-59, 2013. https://doi.org/10.4322/dae.2014.106
- Silva, J. M. A.; Pruski, F. F.; Silva, D. D.; Cecílio, R. A. Metodologia para obtenção do hidrograma de escoamento superficial em encostas e canais - Parte I: Desenvolvimento e avaliação. Engenharia Agrícola, v.26, p.695-703, 2006. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-69162006000300005
- Silva, S. D. da; Streck, N. A. Tendências das séries históricas do índice de calor no município de Santa Maria - RS. Ciência Rural, v.44, p.1360-1366, 2014. https://doi.org/10.1590/0103-8478cr20131345
- Silveira, A. L. L. da. Equação para os coeficientes de desagregação de chuva. Revista Brasileira de Recursos Hídricos, v.5, p.143-147, 2000. https://doi.org/10.21168/rbrh.v5n4.p143-147
- Souza, A. M.; Georgen, R.; Ferraz, S. E. T. Previsão de precipitação e temperatura em Santa Maria por meio de um modelo estatístico. Ciência e Natura, v.31, p.49-64, 2009.
- Teixeira, C. F. A.; Damé, R. de C. F.; Rosskoff, J. L. C. Intensityduration-frequency ratios obtained from annual records and partial duration records in the locality of Pelotas-RS, Brazil. Engenharia Agrícola, v.31, p.687-694, 2011. https://doi. org/10.1590/S0100-69162011000400007