



Translation, Transcultural Adaptation, and Validation of the Brazilian Portuguese Version of the Body Image Disturbance Questionnaire for Scoliosis (BR-BIDQ-S)

Tradução, adaptação transcultural e validação da versão para o português brasileiro do Body Image Disturbance Questionnaire para escoliose (BR-BIDQ-S)

Marcos Almeida Matos^{1,2} Maria Clara Freitas¹ Rony Britto Fernandes³ Luís Fernando Weber de Oliveira³ Robert Meves^{4,5}

Rev Bras Ortop 2024;59(2):e220-e227.

Address for correspondence Marcos Almeida Matos, Rua Guilhard Muniz, 175, Itaigara, 41810-110, Salvador-Bahia, Brazil (e-mail: marcos.almeida@hotmail.com).

Abstract

Objective The Body Image Disturbance Questionnaire for Scoliosis (BIDQ-S) for scoliosis derives from the Body Image Disturbance Questionnaire (BIDQ) with specific adaptation for scoliosis patients. Despite its significance and applicability, this instrument has never been translated into Brazilian Portuguese. The current study aimed to translate, transculturally adapt, and validate the BIDQ-S into Brazilian Portuguese. Methods BIDQ-S was translated and culturally adapted into Brazilian Portuguese using the American Association of Orthopedic Surgeons (AAOS) criteria. The questionnaire validation relied on internal consistency and comparison with the Cobb angle, Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory (PedsQL), and Scoliosis Research Society (SRS-22). The Brazilian version (BR-)BIDQ-S validation occurred in a sample of 35 adolescents with scoliosis waiting for specialized treatment.

Keywords

- scoliosis
- ► evaluation
- ▶ diagnosis
- quality of life

Results Internal consistency of the BR-BIDQ-S was 0.899 according to the Cronbach's index (i.e., virtually perfect). Although BR-BIDQ-S did not correlate with the Cobb angle, it presented correlations with the Physical, Emotional, and Social domains from the PedsQL and the Function/Activity domain from the SRS-22.

Work developed at the Spine Group from Santa Casa da Bahia -Hospital Santa Izabel, Salvador, BA, Brazil.

received July 4, 2023 accepted September 19, 2023

DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0044-1785463. ISSN 0102-3616.

© 2024. The Author(s).

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Thieme Revinter Publicações Ltda., Rua do Matoso 170, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, CEP 20270-135, Brazil

¹ Escola Bahiana de Medicina e Saúde Pública, Salvador, BA, Brazil ²Universidade do Estado da Bahia, Salvador, BA, Brazil

³Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Programa de Coluna Vertebral da Santa Casa da Bahia, Hospital Santa Izabel, Salvador, BA,

⁴Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology (DOT), Santa Casa de São Paulo, Pavilhão Fernandinho Simonsen, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

⁵Continuous Educational Commitee (CEC), Sociedade Brasileira de Coluna, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Conclusion BR-BIDQ-S was reliable in evaluating the body image of adolescents with scoliosis, presenting an internal consistency of 0,899 (virtually perfect). Moreover, similar to the original instrument, it correlated with PedsQL and SRS-22.

Resumo

Objetivo O questionário BIDQ-S para escoliose se originou a partir do Body Image Disturbance Questionnaire (BIDQ) e representa a versão adaptada e validada especificamente em pacientes com escoliose. Este instrumento, a despeito da sua aplicabilidade e importância, ainda não tem versão para uso no Brasil. O presente estudo teve como objetivo traduzir, adaptar transcuturalmente e validar o BIDQ-S para o português brasileiro.

Método Foi realizado estudo de tradução adaptação transcultural e validação do instrumento Body Image Disturbance Questionnaire-Scoliosis version (BIDQ-S) para o português brasileiro, utilizando os critérios recomendados pela American Association of Orthopedic Surgeon (AAOS). A validação do instrumento foi realizada por meio de consistência interna e confrontando-se com ângulo de Cobb, Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory (PedsQL) e Scoliosis Research Society (SRS-22). O BR-BIDQ-S (versão brasileira) foi validado em um grupo de 35 adolescentes portadores de escoliose idiopática do adolescente que se encontravam na fila de espera para tratamento.

Resultados A consistência interna do Br-BIDQ-S foi de 0,899 medido pelo coeficiente Alfa de Cronbach (considerada quase perfeita). Não houve correlação com o ângulo de Cobb, contudo o Br-BIDQ-S teve correlação com os domínios Dimensão Física, Dimensão Emocional e Dimensão Social do PedsQL e também com a Dimensão Função/Atividade do SRS-22.

Conclusão O Br-BIDQ-S mostrou-se confiável para avaliar a imagem corporal de adolescentes com escoliose, com validade interna de 0,899 (considerada quase perfeita), similar àquela do instrumento original, havendo correlação com o PedsQL e com o SRS-22.

Palavras-chave

- escoliose
- ► avaliação
- ► diagnóstico
- qualidade de vida

Introduction

Scoliosis is a lateral curvature of the spine greater than 10° associated with a rotational component, while spinal asymmetry refers to deviations lower than 10°. Scoliosis classification relies on etiology, curvature location, age of onset, or curvature. Secondary scoliosis or scoliosis of known etiology represents around 20% of all causes, while idiopathic scoliosis is the most common clinical type. Aliopathic scoliosis classification may also rely on age as infantile (0–3 years old), juvenile (4–9 years old), and adolescent (over 10 years old).

It is worth highlighting that scoliosis does not change the appearance of the back alone but may extend to the anterior region of the trunk, scapulae (shoulder imbalance or elevation), and pelvic and hip tilt. Tones et al. Concluded that adolescents with scoliosis can suffer a significant psychosocial impact, especially when presenting prior associated emotional factors. Among psychosocial disorders, concern about body image has become a growing problem impacting the lives and mental health of adolescents. Schwieger et al. analyzed patients from The Bracing in Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis Trial (BrAIST) and reported that those with a Cobb angle $\geq 40^\circ$ had worse scores on the Spinal Appearance Questionnaire (SAQ) and the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory

(PedsQOL) 4.0 Generic Scales even after 2 years of treatment follow-up. These scores reflect a significant loss of self-esteem and quality of life.

The BIDQ-S questionnaire originated from the Body Image Disturbance Questionnaire (BIDQ) proposed by Cash et al. BIDQ-S is an adapted and validated BIQS version by Auerbach et al. to assess body image disorders, especially in scoliosis patients. The version validated by Auerbach et al. is in English, with translations into Korean, German, Turkish, and simplified Chinese. However, there is no validated version in Brazilian Portuguese. Therefore, considering the applicability and significance of this questionnaire, this study aimed to translate the BIDQ-S into Brazilian Portuguese, culturally adapt it, and validate it in this language.

Casuistry and Methods

This study transcultural adapted and validated BIDQ-S into Brazilian Portuguese using the translation and transcultural adaptation criteria proposed by Beaton et al.⁸ (2007and recommended by the American Association of Orthopedic Surgeons (AAOS).⁷ After translation, the instrument was validated using a generic questionnaire, a quality of life-specific questionnaire, and the relationship with the Cobb angle.^{1–3}

The study occurred from September 2021 to June 2022 and is part of a larger project approved by the institutional Research Ethics Committee under number CAAE 27816320.0000.5520. All subjects agreeing to participate in the study and their guardians signed the Free and Informed Consent (ICF) and Assent forms.

We performed all stages of translation and cross-cultural validation proposed by AAOS. In the first stage, three bilingual Portuguese-English authors experienced in medicine freely translated (BIDQ-S), from English to Brazilian Portuguese. The second stage consisted of translation harmonization and synthesis. The third stage was an adaptation based on the opinion of three experts in spine who were fluent in English and evaluated the harmonized version. The final version was the result of the second harmonization based on experts' suggestions.

The final version of the BIDQ-S for Brazilian Portuguese underwent a pre-test for cross-cultural adaptation with ten adolescents reporting a complete and sufficient understanding of the instrument. Next, the validation of this version employed 35 patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis who were on the waiting list for treatment, using data obtained directly from managers of the Unified Health System (SUS, for its acronym in Portuguese). All patients' contact occurred by letters, telephone calls, electronic means, through patient associations, or in person by the study team.

The research subjects were from the Hospital's Orthopedics Service. The study included all subjects identified as having adolescent idiopathic scoliosis aged from 10 to 18 years old who were awaiting treatment at a service with potential access. Patients with non-idiopathic scoliosis (congenital or neuromuscular), scoliosis lower than 10 degrees, or waiting for a second spinal procedure were excluded.

Sociodemographic Questionnaire and Radiological Information

The applied sociodemographic and clinical questionnaire asked about age, gender, self-declared ethnicity/race, weight, height, body mass index (BMI), education, and origin. Next, we collected information regarding illness duration, associated conditions, development of secondary sexual characteristics, etc. We also analyzed panoramic radiographs of the patient's spine to determine the Cobb angle of the main curvature and, as a result, the degree of scoliosis (ranging from 1 to 3).

The instrument: The Body Image Disturbance Questionnaire-Scoliosis (BIDQ-S)⁶

The questionnaire had seven items. Items 1, 2, 5, 6, and 7 were subdivided into A and B. Items 1A, 2A, 3, 4, 5A, 6A, and 7A were questions with objective answers about image disturbances. Each question had five answer options, ranging from "not worried" to "extremely worried." Each answer received a score from 1 ("not worried") to 5 ("extremely worried"). The final BIDQ-S score was the average of the points obtained on these questions. The higher the score, the

greater the body image concern/disturbance level. As mentioned, items 1, 2, 5, 6, and 7 had subdivisions into A and B, with B being subjective characterizations (open responses) of the objective response from subdivision A. In this way, the BIDQ-S instrument presented a quantitative and qualitative analysis of the image disturbances in the patient with scoliosis.⁷

Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory (PedsQL) version for adolescent report (13–18 years old)^{9,10}

PedsQL has several versions, subdivided by age $(5-7, 8-12, and 13-18, 18-25 \, years \, old)$ and by patient or parent report (for patients up to 18 years old). The questionnaire consists of four scales: physical dimension, emotional dimension, social dimension, and educational dimension, and the final scores are divided into these dimensions. The answers range from never (0) to virtually always (4), and the scores undergo a reversible transformation in percentages, i.e., 0=100, 1=75, 2=50, 3=25, and 4=0. Therefore, when calculating the result, the higher the percentage, the better the quality of life. The dimension cannot be computed if more than 50% of items are incomplete. The result from each domain is the average of the items answered, while the final result is the average of the four separate domains. 9,10

Scoliosis Research Society (SRS-22r)^{11,12}

The revised version of the SRS-22 consists of 22 questions divided into five domains, i.e., function/activity, pain, personal image/appearance, mental health, and treatment satisfaction. Answers are objective and range from 1 (worst answer) to 5 (best answer). This questionnaire is validated in Brazilian Portuguese. Data analysis evaluates subtotal and mean values from each domain. The percentage of the result is calculated, considering 100% the best possible answer. 11,12

Data Analysis

We used the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 25.0 for Windows for data tabulation and analysis. Quantitative variables were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation or median and interquartile range (IQR). Qualitative variables were shown as simple and relative frequencies. Statistical analysis consisted of independent samples t-tests, Pearson correlation, ANOVA, and Cronbach's alpha. The significance level was p < 0.05.

Results

Most subjects were female, around 14 years old, mixed race, attending elementary level II, from the state capital, and with an average Cobb angle above 50 degrees (**Table 1**).

The BIDQ-S had the worst scores related to questions 1,2,3, and 7, in that order, demonstrating concern about appearance, difficulty stopping thinking about appearance, sadness associated with scoliosis, and the need to avoid situations because of scoliosis. There was no difference in the responses of girls compared with boys (~Table 2). The internal consistency of the BIDQ-S in the Brazilian Portuguese version was 0.899 per Cronbach's alpha coefficient. This internal

Table 1 Sociodemographic of the sample

Characteristics (n = 35)	N (%) or mean (±standard deviation)
Gender	
Female	27 (77.1%)
Male	8 (22.9%)
Age	14.14 (±1.7)
Weight	51.3 (±11.31)
Height	1.62 (±0.10)
Body mass index	19.76 (±3.81)
Race	
Black	9 (25.7%)
Mixed	22 (62.9%)
White	4 (11.4%)
Education	
Fundamental II	24 (68.6%)
High school	11 (31.4%)
Origin	
State capital	18 (51.4%)
Countryside	17 (48.6%)
Cobb angle from the main curvature	55.50 (±21.16)
Cobb angle lower than 20°	2 (6.7%)
Cobb angle ranging from 20° to 49°	6 (20%)
Cobb angle equal or higher than 50°	22 (73.3%)

consistency is virtually perfect according to the Lands and Koch criteria. ¹³

There was no significant correlation between BIDQ-S and the Cobb angle ($r\!=\!0.312$ with $p\!=\!0.094$) using Pearson's correlation. Regarding health-related quality of life, measured by PedsQL, the lowest scores were in the Emotional Dimension domain. The PedsQL did not correlate with the Cobb angle ($r\!=\!-0.259$ with p 0.168); there was a correlation between the BIDQ-S and the Physical Dimension, Emotional

Dimension, and Social Dimension domains of the PedsQL (**Table 3**).

There was a significant correlation between the BIDQ-S and the SRS-22 in the function/activity dimension. The SRS-22 was also related to the Cobb angle in the function/activity and pain dimensions through Pearson correlation (**Table 4**).

Discussion

The Brazilian Portuguese version of the BIDQ-S had an internal consistency of 0.899 according to Cronbach's alpha coefficient, considered virtually perfect. The worst scores were in the domains concerned with appearance, difficulty stopping thinking about appearance, sadness associated with scoliosis, and the need to avoid situations due to scoliosis. Despite not correlating with the Cobb angle, the BIDQ-S questionnaire significantly correlated with the participants' health-related quality of life in the Physical Dimension, Emotional Dimension, and Social Dimension domains of the PedsQL. The BIDQ-S correlated only with the Function/Activity Dimension of the SRS-22.

Our internal consistency is similar to that obtained in equivalent studies described previously and to the original BIDQ and BIDQ-s versions, confirming the validity of the Brazilian Portuguese version of the BIDQ-S. The original BIDQ questionnaire, a generic instrument originating a version for scoliosis created by Cash et al., in 2004, presented a Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.89. The Australian version of the BIDQ presented a Cronbach's alpha of 0.92. He adapted version and validated for scoliosis (BIDQ-S), presented by Auerbach et al., 2014, had a coefficient of 0.82. The validation of the BIDQ-S questionnaire in its Korean, Turkish, German, and Simplified Chinese had Cronbach's alpha of 0.88, 15, 0.88, 16, 0.87, 17, and 0.877, respectively.

Our study did not find a significant correlation between the Brazilian Portuguese version of the BIDQ-S and the Cobb angle, even when analyzing patients with and without surgical indication as subgroups. The BIDQ-S validation study from Auerbach et al. $^6(N=49)$ also found no correlation between the Cobb angle of the main curvature and the BIDQ-S questionnaire scores. The validation study of the BIDQ-S in its Simplified Chinese version, by Bao et al. apud Wetterkamp et al. 17

Table 2 Body Image Disturbance Questionnaire for Scoliosis (BIDQ-S) scores per questions and participants' genders

BIDQ-S	Total	Female	Male	p
BIDQ-S – Question 1	2.66 (±1.21)	2.70 (±1.17)	2.50 (±1.41)	0.718
BIDQ-S – Question 2	2.40 (±1.14)	2.33 (±1.11)	2.63 (±1.30)	0.578
BIDQ-S – Question 3	2.43 (±1.17)	2.48 (±1.15)	2.25 (±1.28)	0.656
BIDQ-S – Question 4	1.51 (±0.74)	1.48 (±0.70)	1.63 (±0.92)	0.691
BIDQ-S – Question 5	1.54 (±1.09)	1.63 (±1.18)	1.25 (±0.71)	0.275
BIDQ-S – Question 6	1.63 (±1.06)	1.67 (±1.07)	1.50 (±1.07)	0.706
BIDQ-S – Question 7	2.20 (±1.39)	2.30 (±1.43)	1.88 (±1.25)	0.433
BIDQ-S – Total	2.05 (±0.84)	2.08 (±0.85)	1.95 (±0.85)	0.695

Table 3 Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory (PedsQL) score and its correlation with the Body Image Disturbance Questionnaire for Scoliosis (BIDQ-S) and Cobb angle

PedsQL domains	PedsQL (n = 35)	BIDQ-S versus PedsQL (n = 35)	BIDQ-S versus Cobb (n = 35)
Physical dimension	70,09 (±22,67)	$-0,666 \ (p < 0,001)$	-0,300 (p=0,107)
Emotional dimension	64,71 (±24,37)	$-0,672 \ (p < 0,001)$	-0,060 (p=0,752)
Social dimension	82,43 (±25,30)	$-0.741 \ (p < 0.001)$	$-0,261 \ (p=0,163)$
Educational dimension	69,42 (±12,41)	-0,245 (p=0,156)	-0,053 (p=0,779)
Total PedsQL score	71,67 (±16,68)	-0,798 (<i>p</i> < 0,001)	-0,259 (p=0,168)

Questionnaire comparison used the Pearson correlation.

Table 4 Scoliosis Research Society (SRS-22) score and its correlation with the Body Image Disturbance Questionnaire for Scoliosis (BIDQ-S) and Cobb angle

SRS-22 domains	SRS-22 (n = 27)	BIDQ-S (n = 35)	Cobb (n = 30)
Function/activity dimension	3.57 (±0.78)	-0.484 (p=0.011)	-0.434 (p=0.039)
Pain dimension	3.63 (±0.96)	-0.234 (p=0.240)	-0.431 (p=0.040)
Personal image/appearance dimension	3.27 (±0.68)	-0.022 (p=0.914)	-0.305 (p=0.156)
Mental health dimension	3.53 (±0.80)	-0.303 (p=0.125)	-0.118 (p=0.593)
Treatment satisfaction dimension	3.76 (±1.08)	-0.067 (p=0.738)	-0.094 (p=0.670)
SRS-22 in percentage	70.37 (±11.35)	-0.342 (p = 0.081)	-0.467 (p=0.025)

Questionnaire comparison used the Pearson correlation.

(N=100) also did not observe a significant correlation between the BIDQ-S questionnaire and the Cobb angle. The same study, in a subanalysis, grouped patients with Cobb \geq 40° and < 40°, observing that those with a Cobb angle \geq 40° had a higher (worse) C-BIDQ-S result than subjects with a Cobb < 40°. The Korean validation study by Bae et al. (N=113) indicated that patients with larger Cobb angles tend to present worse body image. The German validation study from Wetterkamp et al. Correlation between the Cobb angle and G-BIDQ-S. Wetterkamp et al. Hypothesized that the study by Auerbach et al. did not find a significant correlation between the Cobb angle and the BIDQ-S due to a smaller sample size. This can be extrapolated to our study, raising the possibility of a lack of correlation due to the small sample size.

The mean BIDQ-S score in our study was 2.05 (\pm 0.84), and it was calculated by the average points from questions 1 to 7, ranging from 1 (best possible scenario) to 5 (worst possible scenario). Compared with other studies, the German version had a mean score of 2.04 (\pm 0.76), including 2.26 \pm 0.84 in the surgical subgroup and 1.83 ± 0.60 in the non-surgical subgroup. ¹⁷ For the Korean version, the mean score was 2.4 ± 0.8 , with the score from the brace user subgroup being significantly higher in comparison with the observation subgroup; moreover, the subgroup requiring surgery had a significantly higher score than the brace subgroup. ¹⁵ The mean score of the original validation study from Auerbach et al. 7 was 1.50 ± 0.49 , with significant differences between the surgical (1.57), non-surgical (1.45), and control (1.06) subgroups. Our study found the highest BIDQ-S scores in questions 1, 2, and 3, respectively, consistent with the Turkish validation study, with an overall mean value of 2.03 and the highest scores in questions 1 (2.93 ± 1.267) , 2 (2.40 ± 1.07) , and 3 (2.11 ± 1.12) . ¹⁶

Our study showed a significant negative correlation between BIDQ-S and SRS-22 in the function/activity dimension. When correlating BIDQ-S with SRS-22, the German validation study found a moderate negative correlation, specifically in the personal image/appearance domain from SRS-22 $(-0.74)^{.17}$ The Korean version of the BIDQ-S found a significant correlation only for the appearance domain of SRS-22 $(p < 0.001, r = -651)^{.15}$ Auerbach et al. 7 also found a significant correlation between BIDQ-S and SRS-22 since BIDQ-S correlated with the total SRS-22 (-0.72), the activity (-0.53), pain (-0.53), image (-0.60), and mental (-0.50) domains scores, all with p < 0.001. We believe cultural differences may explain the inconsistency in the correlation between BIDQ-S and SRS-22 in our study and the remaining literature.

Our study found a significant negative correlation between BIDQ-S and PedsQL in the physical, emotional, and social dimensions. Bauer et al. ¹⁸ found a strong relationship between BIDQ-S, SRS-22, and PedsQL questionnaires. Their study also highlighted that the mental health domain of SRS-22r correlated well with the emotional domain of PedsQL, suggesting that mental health issues interfere more with personal image than the level of deformity alone. ¹⁸ Therefore, these authors emphasize that they chose to apply the BIDQ-S as a body image questionnaire in patients with idiopathic scoliosis as it has a good correlation with other existing questionnaires and fewer questions, consequently requiring a lower application time. ¹⁸

Our study has some limitations. The number of patients in our sample, although similar to the one in the original version, may have been relatively small to provide power to all analyses. Our patients were recruited from a clinical-surgical outpatient clinic; therefore, most underwent surgery as the most recommended treatment and usually presented larger Cobb angles. As it occurred in a specialized center for scoliosis treatment, our results may not reflect the same characteristics of the scoliosis population, reducing the external validity of the study. Most of our sample consisted of girls and, although there were no significant differences between genders, this may have given some bias to the work. Despite these limitations, we could validate BIDQ-S in its Brazilian Portuguese version and carry out fundamental secondary analyses confirmed in similar studies.

Conclusion

Our study demonstrated that the Brazilian Portuguese version of the BIDQ-S (BR-BIDQ-S) is a reliable instrument for assessing adolescent body image, with an internal validity of 0.899 (virtually perfect), which is similar to the internal consistency of the original instrument. Furthermore, BR-BIDQ-S correlated with the quality of life of adolescents measured by PedsQL and with the Function/Activity Domain of SRS-22, reinforcing its validity.

Financial Support

This study received financial support from Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico from Brazil - CNPq (nº400100/2022-3).

Conflicts of Interests

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1 Van Goethem J, Van Campenhout A, van den Hauwe L, Parizel PM. Scoliosis. Neuroimaging Clin N Am 2007;17(01):105–115
- 2 Dunn J, Henrikson NB, Morrison CC, Nguyen M, Blasi PR, Lin JS. Screening for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: A systematic evidence review for the US preventive services task force. JAMA 2018;319(02):173–187
- 3 Negrini S, Donzelli S, Aulisa AG, et al. 2016 SOSORT guidelines: orthopaedic and rehabilitation treatment of idiopathic scoliosis during growth. Scoliosis Spinal Disord 2018;13(13):3

- 4 Tones M, Moss N, Polly DW Jr. A review of quality of life and psychosocial issues in scoliosis. Spine 2006;31(26):3027–3038
- 5 Schwieger T, Campo S, Weinstein SL, Dolan LA, Ashida S, Steuber KR. Body image and quality-of-life in untreated versus brace-treated females with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Spine 2016;41(04): 311–319
- 6 Cash TF, Phillips KA, Santos MT, Hrabosky JI. Measuring "negative body image": Validation of the Body Image Disturbance Questionnaire in a nonclinical population. Body Image 2004;1(04): 363–372
- 7 Auerbach JD, Lonner BS, Crerand CE, et al. Body image in patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: validation of the Body Image Disturbance Questionnaire–Scoliosis Version. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2014;96(08):e61
- 8 Beaton D, Bombardier C, Guillemin F, Ferraz MB. Recommendations for the cross-cultural adaptation of health status measures. Am Acad Orthop Surg 2002;12:1–29
- 9 Varni JW, Seid M, Rode CA. The PedsQL: measurement model for the pediatric quality of life inventory. Med Care 1999;37(02): 126–139
- 10 Klatchoian DA, Len CA, Terreri MT, et al. Quality of life of children and adolescents from São Paulo: reliability and validity of the Brazilian version of the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory version 4.0 Generic Core Scales. J Pediatr (Rio J) 2008;84(04):308–315
- 11 Carriço G, Meves R, Avanzi O. Cross-cultural adaptation and validity of an adapted Brazilian Portuguese version of Scoliosis Research Society-30 questionnaire. Spine 2012;37(01):E60–E63
- 12 Rosanova GCL, Gabriel BS, Camarini PMF, Gianini PES, Coelho DM, Oliveira AS. Validade concorrente da versão Brasileira do SRS-22r com o Br-SF-36. Braz J Phys Ther 2010;14(02):121–126
- 13 Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. Biometrics 1977;33(01):159–174
- 14 Collison J, Mahlberg J. Factor Analysis and Psychometric Validation of the Body Image Disturbance Questionnaire in an Australian Undergraduate Sample. Aust Psychol 2018;53(03):195–202
- 15 Bae SH, Son SM, Shin WC, Goh TS, Lee JS. Validation of the Korean Version of the Body Image Disturbance Questionnaire-Scoliosis. Spine 2020;45(10):E582–E586
- 16 Kuzu D, Berk HÖS, Şimşek ÖF Reliability and Validity of the Turkish Version of the Body Image Disturbance Questionnaire-Scoliosis. Spine 2020;45(16):E1033–E1038
- 17 Wetterkamp M, Thielsch MT, Gosheger G, Boertz P, Terheyden JH, Schulte TL. German validation of the BIDQ-S questionnaire on body image disturbance in idiopathic scoliosis. Eur Spine J 2017; 26(02):309–315
- 18 Bauer JM. The body image disturbance questionnaire-scoliosis better correlates to quality of life measurements than the spinal assessment questionnaire in pediatric idiopathic scoliosis. Spine Deform 2021;9(06):1509–1517

Appendix 1 Original and Brazilian Portuguese versions Body Image Disturbance Questionnaire for Scoliosis (BIDQ-S)

English	Brazilian Portuguese
1A. Are you worried about the appearance of your back shape? 1. Not at all worried 2. Somewhat worried 3. Moderately worried 4. Very worried 5. Extremely worried	1A. 1A Você está preocupado com a aparência da forma de suas costas? 1. Não 2. Um pouco 3. Moderadamente 4. Muito 5. Extremamente
 1B. What are these concerns? 1. My shoulders are uneven (one is higher or lower than the other) 2. My shoulder blade sticks out 3. My chest is asymmetric from the front (one side looks higher or lower than the other side) 4. My hips are asymmetric (one hip is higher or lower than the other) 5. My rib bump 	 Quais são essas preocupações? Meus ombros são desalinhados (um é mais alto ou mais baixo que o outro) Minha escápula fica aparente Meu tórax é assimétrico olhando de frente (um lado é mais alto ou mais baixo que o outro) Meus quadris são assimétricos (um lado é mais alto ou baixo que o outro) A deformidade das minhas costelas
 2A. If you are at least somewhat concerned or worried, do these concerns/worries preoccupy you? That is, you think about them a lot and they're hard to stop thinking about? (Circle the best answer) 1. Not at all preoccupied (I do not think about them) 2. Somewhat preoccupied (I think about them from time to time) 3. Moderately preoccupied (I think about them a moderate amount) 4. Very preoccupied (I think about them a lot) 5. Extremely preoccupied (I think about them constantly) 	 2A. Se você está ao menos um pouco preocupado, você pensa muito sobre o assunto e é difícil parar de pensar sobre isso? 1. Não (não penso sobre) 2. Um pouco (penso de vez em quando) 3. Moderadamente (penso em uma quantidade moderada) 4. Muito (penso muito) 5. Extremamente (penso constantemente)
2B. How do your concerns about the way your back looks affect your life? For example, some kids say that they avoid swimming because they are embarrassed to show their back.	2B. Como as preocupações sabre a aparência de suas costas afetam sua vida? Por exemplo, algumas crianças falam que evitam nadar porque têm vergonha de mostrar suas costas.
 Has the way your back looks caused you to feel upset? How much? Not upset at all Mild (a little bit upset) Moderate (Somewhat upset) Severe, and very disturbing (very upset) Extreme, and disabling (extremely upset) 	 A forma de suas costas já fez você se sentir triste? Quanto? Não Um pouco triste Moderadamente triste Muito triste, muito perturbador Extremamente triste, incapacitante
 Have your worries about how your back looks caused you any problems at school, at your job, or with your friends and family? How much? No problems A few problems, but overall I can do what I need to do, and my performance is not affected Several problems, but I can cope with them; problems are still manageable A lot of problems that limit what I can do; problems cause a lot of limitations Extreme problems that keep me from doing almost everything I want or need to do 	 As suas preocupações sobre a forma de suas costas causaram algum problema na escola, trabalho ou com seus amigos e família? Quanto? Não Alguns problemas, mas no geral eu posso fazer o que preciso fazer, e minha performance não é afetada Muitos problemas, mas posso lidar com isso, problemas que consigo manejar Vários problemas que limitam o que posso fazer, problemas que causam muitas limitações Problemas extremos que me impedem de fazer quase tudo que quero ou preciso fazer
 5A. Has your back shape caused problems with your friends, family members, or dating? How much? 1. Never 2. Occasionally 3. Sometimes 4. A lot 5. All the time 	5A. A forma de suas costas causou problemas com seus amigos, membros da família ou relacionamentos? Quanto? 1. Nunca 2. Ocasionalmente 3. Às vezes 4. Muito 5. O tempo todo

Appendix 1 (Continued)

English	Brazilian Portuguese
5B. If so, how?	5B. Se sim, como?
 6A. Has your back shape caused problems with your schoolwork, your job, or your ability to do other things that are important to you (e.g., play sports, be social with your friends)? How much? 1. Never 2. Occasionally 3. Sometimes 4. A lot 5. All the time 	6A A forma de suas costas já causou problemas com trabalhos de escola, trabalho ou sua habilidade de fazer outras coisas que são importantes para você? (ex: esportes, sair com os amigos)? Quanto? 1. Nunca 2. Ocasionalmente 3. Às vezes 4. Muito 5. O tempo todo
6B. If so, how?	6B. Se sim, como?
 7A. Do you ever avoid things because of your back shape? How often? (Circle the best answer) 1. Never 2. Occasionally 3. Sometimes 4. A lot 5. All the time 	 7A. Você já evitou situações por causa da forma de suas costas? Quão frequentemente? 1. Nunca 2. Ocasionalmente 3. Às vezes 4. Muito 5. O tempo todo
7B. If so, how?	7B. Se sim, como?