



Hierarchical Bayesian models for genotype \times environment estimates in post-weaning gain of Hereford bovine via reaction norms

Leandro Lunardini Cardoso¹, José Braccini Neto², Fernando Flores Cardoso³, Jaime Araújo Cobuci², Igor de Oliveira Biassus¹, Júlio Otávio Jardim Barcellos²

¹ Programa de Pós-Graduação em Zootecnia – UFRGS/Porto Alegre.

² Departamento de Zootecnia, Faculdade de Agronomia, UFRGS/Porto Alegre.

³ Embrapa Pecuária Sul - Caixa Postal 242, 96401-960, Bagé/RS.

ABSTRACT - It was evaluated statistical models with different assumptions to define the one that best describes the presence of genotype \times environment interaction on adjusted post-weaning weight gain (PWG345) of Hereford cattle, through the study of reactions norms to the environment, obtained by random regression using a Bayesian approach. Four reaction norms hierarchical models (RNHM) were used through the INTERGEN program. The RNHM_K uses the solutions of contemporary groups previously estimated by the standard animal model (AM) and considers them as environmental level for predicting the reaction norms and the RNHM_S, which jointly estimate these two sets of unknowns. For both models, two versions were considered, one with a homogeneous (hm) and another with a heterogeneous (ht) residual variance. Based on the deviance information criterion and Bayes factor, RNHM_Shm showed the best fit to the data, and by the deviance based on conditional predictive ordinate, the best fit was the RNHM_Kht, whereas, by all the three criteria used, the worst fit was obtained by using the standard animal model. Heritabilities estimated on RNHM were increasing in the environmental gradients for PWG345, at -60 kg, 0 and +60 kg. The genetic correlation estimated between the level and slope of reaction norms was high, from 0.97 to 0.99, characterizing a scale effect on genotype \times environment interaction. The reaction norms hierarchical models are efficient to describe the changes in variance components due to the environment and to describe the presence of genotype \times environment interaction on PWG345 trait of Hereford cattle.

Key Words: adaptability, Bayesian inference, environmental sensitivity, genetic evaluation, random regression

Modelos hierárquicos bayesianos para estimativas de interação genótipo \times ambiente em ganho pós-desmama de bovinos Hereford via normas de reação

RESUMO - Avaliaram-se modelos estatísticos com diferentes pressuposições para definir o que melhor descreva a presença de interação genótipo \times ambiente no ganho de peso pós-desmama ajustado (GPD345) de bovinos Hereford, mediante o estudo de normas de reação ao ambiente, obtidas por regressão aleatória, usando uma abordagem bayesiana. Quatro modelos hierárquicos de normas de reação (MHNR) foram empregados por meio do programa INTERGEN. O MHNR_K utiliza as soluções de grupos contemporâneos estimadas previamente pelo modelo animal padrão (MA) e as considera como nível ambiental para prever as normas de reação e o MHNR_S, que estima simultaneamente esses dois conjuntos de incógnitas. Para ambos os modelos, foram consideradas duas versões, uma com variância residual homogênea (hm) e outra heterogênea (ht). Pelo critério de informação da *deviance* e fator de Bayes, o MHNR_Shm apresentou melhor ajuste aos dados e, pela *deviance* baseada na ordenada preditiva condicional, o melhor ajuste foi do MHNR_Kht, enquanto, pelos três critérios, o pior ajuste foi obtido pelo modelo animal padrão. As herdabilidades estimadas nos MHNR foram crescentes nos gradientes ambientais em GPD345 de -60; 0 e +60 kg. As correlações genéticas estimadas entre o nível e inclinação das normas de reação foram de alta magnitude, entre 0,97 e 0,99, caracterizando efeito de escala em interação genótipo \times ambiente. Os modelos hierárquicos de normas de reação são eficientes para descrever as alterações nos componentes de variância decorrentes do ambiente e para descrever a presença de interação genótipo \times ambiente na característica GPD345 em bovinos Hereford.

Palavras-chave: adaptabilidade, avaliação genética, inferência bayesiana, regressão aleatória, sensibilidade ambiental

Introduction

When an organism produces a phenotype that varies as a continuous function of the environment to which it is exposed, this response is called the reaction norms (Woltereck, 1909). From a statistical point of view, with the use of covariance functions (Kirkpatrick & Heckman, 1990; Gomulkiewicz & Kirkpatrick, 1992), the model of reaction norms can be generalized to an infinite number of environments (or traits), enabling the study of animal's reaction norms to gradual changes in the production environment, using a regression analysis of the genotype's performance on the average performance value observed in each environment (De Jong, 1995; Falconer & Mackay, 1996).

The advantage of this model is that the selection response can be predicted not only in the phenotypic expression in each environment, but also in terms of the trait environmental sensitivity (robustness or plasticity) to the changes in the environment (De Jong & Bijma, 2002), being feasible its implementation in genotype \times environment interaction studies.

Gianola & Fernando (1986) introduced the Bayesian methodology in animal breeding. The foundations of the Bayesian method consist in describing all errors which may exist about a parameter, considering as error measurement the probability of the parameter of taking certain values (Faria et al., 2007).

More recently, the introduction of Monte Carlo methods based in Markov chains (sequences), called MCMC (Markov Chain Monte Carlo), has substantially contributed to enable the implementation of the Bayesian paradigm (Sorensen, 2002). The MCMC methods represent a family of iterative processes used to approximate the generation of multi-trait distribution samples (in Monte Carlo processes with Markov chains properties). The Gibbs sampling is a procedure of numerical integration, used in the estimation of joint and marginal distributions of all the model parameters through the resampling of all fully conditional distributions of the Markov chains (Blasco, 2001).

Thus, in order to identify the occurrence of genotype \times environment interaction on the post weaning weight gain of Hereford cattle, a study on environmental reactions norms was carried out, via random regression, using a Bayesian approach.

Material and Methods

The data used in this study derived from information routinely collected in Hereford herds which are participants

in the Programa de Melhoramento de Bovinos de Carne (PROMEBO[®], 2008), conducted by the Associação Nacional de Produtores – “Herd Book Collares” – with animals born from 1972 to 2003 and containing 63,796 observations data.

The evaluated trait was post-weaning weight gain standardized to 345 days (PWG 345), which considered the interval from weaning to long-yearling. Initially, preparation, formatting and data description analyses were done using routines in SAS language (SAS, 2000) described in Cardoso (2008).

Contemporary groups were formed including breeder-herd, year and season of production, sex, management group, diet and weighing date of the animals. These groups were formed to group the animals that had a common environment and to set the environmental gradient by their deviations in relation to the average for PWG345. These averages were standardized and the contemporary groups were grouped into three classes: - 1 standard deviation, zero and +1 standard deviation (60 kg).

Extreme records beyond ± 3 standard deviations of their contemporary groups means, of days in post-weaning test and of yearling age were eliminated. Moreover, contemporary groups with less than six animals, sires with less than two calves, animals with repeated numbers, codes of sex (castrated or missing) and missing cow age were also eliminated.

Finally, it was tested the connectedness among contemporary groups based on the total number of genetics ties (minimum 10), through the AMC program (Roso & Schenkel, 2006), which eliminated the disconnected record of 1,765 animals belonging to 148 contemporary groups, resulting in 88,221 animals in the pedigree file and 62,004 PWG345 records. Key details about the database are listed in Table 1.

Five models were studied for the data analysis, namely: the animal model (AM) that ignores the genotype \times environment interaction and estimates the animal genetic value and the environmental effects, which later are used as covariates in the linear reaction norms models. The genetic value of animal i in environment j is described as below:

$$\text{Model AM: } y_{ij} = x'_i \beta + X_j + a_i + e_{ij} \quad (1)$$

in which y_{ij} is the PWG345 record of animal i in j environment; β , a vector of fixed effects (linear and quadratic coefficients for age of cow and calf); x'_i , the corresponding incidence vector; X_j , environment random effect (contemporary group); a_i , additive genetic effect of animal i ; and e_{ij} , the residual error.

Additionally, two methodologies of analysis were studied for the reaction norms hierarchical models (RNHM):

Table 1 - Observed means, standard deviations (SD) and ranges for weaning weight, long-yearling weight, post-weaning gain, post-weaning average daily gain and post-weaning weight gain standardized 345 days

Trait	Mean	SD	Minimum	Maximum
Weaning weight (kg)	167.20	37.47	80	385
Yearling weight (kg)	285.44	72.61	140	640
Post-weaning gain (kg)	118.23	56.28	15	429
Post-weaning average daily gain (kg/day)	0.39	0.20	0.100	1.78
Post-weaning weight gain standardized 345 days (kg)	137.09	59.66	34.50	614.3

the model proposed by Kolmodin et al. (2002) which uses environmental solutions from AM as covariates in the RNHM, called RNHM_K:

$$\text{Model RNHM}_K: y_{ij} = x_i\beta + \phi\hat{X}_j + a_i + b_i\hat{X}_j + e_{ij} \quad (2)$$

in which ϕ = fixed regression coefficient; a_i = additive genetic value of the intercept or random level of the animal reaction norm of animal i ; b_i = random regression coefficient or slope of reaction norm of animal i in environment represented by \hat{X}_j ; \hat{X}_j = prediction of X_j obtained in (1) and e_{ij} = residual error.

Moreover, the proposition of Su et al. (2006) called here RNHM_S, in which the estimates of environmental effects are obtained together with the reaction norm, that is X_j and b_j are jointly estimated in model below:

$$\text{Model RNHM}_S: y_{ij} = x_i\beta + X_j + a_i + b_iX_j + e_{ij} \quad (3)$$

Two different assumptions were adopted for the residual variance of the models: (a) for the AM, RNHM_K and RNHM_S homoscedastic (RNHM_Khm and RNHM_Shm): $e_i \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$; and (b) for models RNHM_K and RNHM_S heteroscedastic (RNHM_Kht and RNHM_Sht): $e_i \sim N(0, \sigma_{eij}^2)$.

Using a Bayesian approach, Su et al. (2006) proposed the RNHM_S in which the environmental effects and the reaction norms are estimating in a single analysis, without the need to use results of previous analysis and, therefore, solving the limitation of using estimates of an unknown covariate as if the estimates were true values without considering the uncertainty about these estimates. Although the model is similar to RNHM_K, the estimation process is different and simultaneous for contemporary group and norm of reaction. The effects of the contemporary group are the unknown covariates for reaction norms, since their solutions are used as covariate in order to obtain the reaction norms slopes. For this one step analysis, the software is referred to the column of the data file with the contemporary groups identifications and no longer the column containing the contemporary group solutions of the animal model previously studied. One can describe this model for all n records in matrix form as follows:

$$y = X\beta + E\xi + Za + \Xi b + e \quad (4)$$

in which y = n order observations vector; β = vector for the fixed effects of order p ; $\xi = \{x_{ji}^{n \times x}\}$ = the vector of environmental order effects n_x ; $a = \{a_{ji}^{n \times q}\}$ = the vector of intercepts with order q ; $b = \{b_{ji}^{n \times q}\}$ = the vector reaction norms slopes also order q and $e_{(n \times 1)}$ = the residual vector of order n , while X , E , Z and Ξ = incidence matrices.

The additive genetic variance in the environment X , $\sigma_A^2|X$, was obtained by $\sigma_A^2|X = \text{var}(a_i + b_iX) = \sigma_a^2 + X^2\sigma_b^2 + 2X\sigma_{ab}$.

Thus, the heritability was estimated by the ratio of genetic variance to the phenotypic variance (genetic + environmental), as follows:

$$\sigma_h^2|X = \frac{\sigma_A^2|X}{\sigma_A^2|X + \sigma_e^2|X}$$

where σ_e^2 = residual variance in environment X given by $\sigma_e^2|X = \sigma_e^2 \times \eta^x$ on the heteroscedastic model and simply σ_e^2 on the homoscedastic model, in which η = variance of heterogeneity parameter in the environmental gradient (X), following the structural model proposed by Cardoso et al. (2005).

The inference was based on Markov Chain Monte Carlo methods (MCMC) of 220,000 cycles, after 22,000 cycles of burn-in, and the samples were stored every ten cycles, for AM, RNHM_Sht, RNHM_Shm, RNHM_Kht and RNHM_Khm, using the INTERGEN program (Cardoso, 2008).

Descriptive analyses were developed by means of UNIVARIATE Procedure in the SAS software (2000). With this analysis, posterior means, modes, percentiles (2.5 and 97.5%) and standard deviations were obtained for all parameters from their posterior marginal densities.

In order to verify the best adjustment model it was used the following criteria: the Deviance Information Criterion (DIC) (Spiegelhalter et al., 2002); Based Conditional Predictive Ordinate deviance (DCPO), as described by Gelfand (1996), and deviance based on the Monte Carlo estimator proposed by Newton & Raftery (1994) for the

Bayes Factor (DBF). These deviances represent the degree of departure of the evaluated model from a hypothetical perfect fit model and smaller values indicate a better fit. More details on the calculation for the acquirement of these values by INTERGEN software can be found in Cardoso (2008).

The global convergence analysis of the chains for the different models was performed using the Geweke criterion (1992), based on a Z test for equality of means of the logarithm of the conditional distribution of the data, denoted by $l_i^{(j)} = \log p(y | \theta^{(j)}, M_i)$, from the first samples (10% initial), and from the last part of the Markov chain (last 50%), similar to that proposed by Brooks & Roberts (1998), using the following statistics:

$$Z_i = \frac{(\bar{l}_i^A - \bar{l}_i^B)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_A} \hat{S}_i^A(0) + \frac{1}{n_B} \hat{S}_i^B(0)}}$$

in which $\bar{l}_i^A = \frac{1}{n_A} \sum_{j=1}^{n_A} l_i^{(j)}$, $\bar{l}_i^B = \frac{1}{n_B} \sum_{j=n^*}^m l_i^{(j)}$; $n_A = 22,000$; $n_B = 110,000$; $n^* = 110,001$, and $\hat{S}_i^A(0)$ and $\hat{S}_i^B(0)$ = the respective estimates of spectral density at zero frequency obtained by the SPECTRA Procedure of SAS (SAS, 2002), for the first n_A and last n_B cycles of MCMC chain of length m . Extreme absolute values of Z_i , for a two-tailed test, indicate rejection of the convergence test.

Results and Discussion

The RNHM models were superior to the AM based on all evaluated criteria, presenting smaller deviances. Based on the deviance obtained by Bayes Factors (DBF) and the Deviance Information Criterion (DIC), the one step RNHM was superior than the two steps versions. However, the Deviance based on Conditional Predictive Ordinate (DCPO) indicated a better fit for MNHR_K (two steps) when compared on the assumption of homogeneity (Table 2).

In RNHM_S, Deviance Bayes Factors and Deviance Information Criterion criteria indicated that the assumption of homogeneity of variances was better than that of

heterogeneity. However, for Conditional Predictive Ordinate criteria the response was reversed. At RNHM_K, all three criteria agreed that the best assumptions would be the homogeneity of variances.

The evaluation of gain post-weaning standardized to 345 days by Cardoso et al. (2007a) on Angus cattle, as well as by Corrêa et al. (2007) on Devon cattle, when assessing the same trait, obtained similar results when compared to animal model with RNHM using the DIC. When using the DBF, the DIC and the CPO, Corrêa et al. (2009) found the best fit at RNHM homoscedastic. According to these results, the animal model is the least indicated compared to RNHM whereas homoscedastic RNHM was more suitable.

Corrêa et al. (2009) using the diagnosis of Geweke (1992) for studying post-weaning gain in Devon cattle found convergence for the standard animal model (Z: 0.89 and p-value: 0.3752), for the models RNHMhm (Z: 1.06 and p-value: 0.2911) and for the model RNHMht (Z: 1.22 and p-value: 0.2218), demonstrating that the Bayesian models indicate convergence to the stationary posterior distribution.

The heritability estimate for PWG345 of 0.10 ± 0.01 in the animal model (Table 3) is somewhat below the results reported in the literature, for example, Bullock et al. (1993) studying growth traits in the same breed with North-Americans herds presented a heritability for post-weaning gains of 0.15. This value is similar to that found by Cardoso et al. (2004), who obtained a heritability estimate of 0.19 ± 0.02 in Brazilian Angus cattle.

The heritability estimates for the standard animal model of this study were very close to those estimated for RNHM in low environments, indicating that the proportion of genetic variance with respect to the environmental factors in the animal model may be underestimated. The heritability estimate value of 0.10 for PWG345 is considered low according to Cardellino & Rovira (1987), indicating that the selection criterion based on the phenotype of the animal will result in small genetic gains. The heritability estimates

Table 2 - Geweke diagnostic test, deviance based on Bayes factor (DBF), deviance information criterion (DIC) and deviance based on conditional predictive ordinate (DCPO)

Model	Geweke test Z (p-value)	Bayes factor	Deviance	Conditional predictive
Animal model	1.08 (0.2788)	576,695(5 th)	582,800(5 th)	583,330(5 th)
Reaction norms hierachical model in one step (RNHM _S hm)	-1.68 (0.0913)	554,142(1 st)	562,018(1 st)	579,432(4 th)
Reaction norms hierachical model in two-step (RNHM _K hm)	2.15 (0.0310)	555,235(2 nd)	572,195(3 rd)	578,822(1 st)
Reaction norms hierachical model in one step (RNHM _S ht)	-2.25 (0.0238)	564,210(3 rd)	571,720(2 nd)	579,089(3 rd)
Reaction norms hierachical model in two-step (RNHM _K ht)	-0.92 (0.3545)	570,005(4 th)	578,004(4 th)	579,041(2 nd)

Values 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th indicate increasing order models of best fit.

for different environmental levels obtained in this study (Table 3) are consistent with those of Cardoso et al. (2007a) with estimates of heritability for environments low, medium and high of 0.09 ± 0.01 , 0.36 ± 0.01 and 0.54 ± 0.01 , respectively, and Cardoso et al. (2007b) with values of 0.18 ± 0.01 , 0.29 ± 0.02 , and 0.45 ± 0.02 , respectively. Such results showed that heritability estimates increased in response to environmental improvement.

The heritability estimates for models that include the genotype × environment interaction have been increasing in the environmental gradient and have been higher in more favorable conditions, ranging from 0.07 to 0.64 in the RNHM_shm, from 0.11 to 0.59 in the RNHM_khm, and for the RNHM_sht, between 0.12 and 0.46 and, finally, for the RNHM_kht between 0.08 and 0.23 (Table 3).

Genetic parameters change with the environment gradient, indicating the allocation of greater proportion of phenotypic variation to genetic factors in relation to environmental factors by reaction norms hierarchical models and greater response to selection, especially in better environments.

Genetic correlations between the level and slope of reaction norm were similar and of high magnitude among and within models, indicating that animals of higher average breeding value were the ones which presented a best response to environmental improvement.

Phenotypic variances were similar among the reaction norms models in their respective environmental gradients (low, medium and high); for the animal model it was similar to estimates in the average environments. Confirming the assumption made by Cardoso et al. (2005), the genetic

variance (σ_a^2) in RNHMhm was inflated because of the unadjusted residual variance (σ_e^2) for environmental gradient in this model. In RNHMht, occurred this σ_e^2 adjustment, reducing the σ_a^2 and therefore the estimate of h^2 . The RNHMht, assuming the existence of residual heterogeneity, better partitioned the phenotypic variance between their genetic and environmental components.

De Mattos et al. (2000), when studying genotype × environment interaction in a multitrait animal model with Hereford cattle herds in three countries, Canada (CA), United States (US) and Uruguay (UY), obtained estimates of direct h^2 for weaning weight of 0.18 and 0.21 (US-CA); 0.21 and 0.22 (US-UY), and 0.17 and 0.19 (UY-CA). Moreover, genetic correlations of 0.86 (US-CA), of 0.90 (US-UY) and 0.88 (CA-UY), evidencing little relevance of genotype × environment interaction in that study.

Using the restricted maximum likelihood (REML) method for covariance components estimation, Alencar et al. (2005) found evidence of genotype × birth period (first and second semester) interaction on weaning (WW) and yearling weights (YW), on average daily gain from weaning to yearling age (ADG) and the performance based on a principal components index (PCI) involving these three traits in a beef cattle herd of Canchim breed. The heritability estimates in the first and second semester for WW, YW, ADG and PCI were 0.41 and 0.40; 0.36 and 0.38; 0.09 and 0.12 and 0.44 and 0.44, respectively. Additionally, the genetic correlation between the first and second semester for these traits were 0.87, 0.97, 0.91 and 0.88; these high values characterized genotype × environment interaction of low magnitude, as described by Falconer (1987).

Table 3 - Posterior means standard deviations of variances components and heritability at different levels of environmental gradient and genetic correlation between level and slope of reaction norms obtained through different models

Model	Post-weaning gain standardized to 345 days (PWG345)	σ_e^2	σ_a^2	h^2	σ_p^2	Correlation
Animal model	0	625.48 ± 6.47	68.42 ± 6.32	0.10 ± 0.01	693.90	
RNHM _s hm	- 60	430.20 ± 5.19	31.25 ± 3.78	0.07 ± 0.01	461.45	
	0	430.20 ± 5.19	261.23 ± 12.09	0.38 ± 0.01	691.43	
RNHM _s ht	+60	430.20 ± 5.19	769.00 ± 25.67	0.64 ± 0.01	1,199.20	0.97 ± 0.01
	- 60	442.57 ± 8.22	57.97 ± 4.66	0.12 ± 0.01	500.54	
RNHM _k hm	0	520.48 ± 16.00	228.96 ± 13.29	0.31 ± 0.02	749.44	
	+60	612.56 ± 33.77	515.95 ± 31.59	0.46 ± 0.03	1,128.51	0.99 ± 0.01
RNHM _k ht	- 60	440.76 ± 5.56	52.35 ± 3.85	0.11 ± 0.01	493.11	
	0	440.76 ± 5.56	262.16 ± 8.16	0.37 ± 0.01	702.92	
RNHM _k ht	+60	440.76 ± 5.56	636.13 ± 15.82	0.59 ± 0.01	1,076.89	0.99 ± 0.01
	- 60	422.63 ± 4.91	36.74 ± 3.64	0.08 ± 0.01	459.37	
RNHM _k ht	0	580.44 ± 7.12	113.46 ± 8.22	0.16 ± 0.01	693.90	
	+60	797.23 ± 14.55	236.12 ± 17.70	0.23 ± 0.02	1,033.35	0.98 ± 0.01

σ_e^2 , σ_a^2 , σ_p^2 and h^2 = residual, additives, phenotypic components of variance and heritability, respectively.

Conclusions

The reaction norms hierarchical models can be used to describe the presence of genotype \times environment interaction on post-weaning weight gain in Hereford cattle. The model that best fits these data in Brazilian Hereford cattle is the one step model that considers residual homogeneity, being a candidate model for genetic evaluation of this population accounting for animal sensitivities and genetic heterogeneity of variances on the environmental gradient.

References

- ALENCAR, M.M.; MASCIOLI, A.S.; FREITAS, A.R. Evidências de interação genótipo \times ambiente sobre características de crescimento em bovinos de corte. **Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia**, v.34, n.2, p.489-495, 2005.
- BLASCO, A. The Bayesian controversy in animal breeding. **Journal of Animal Science**, v.79, n.8, p.2023-2046, 2001.
- BULLOCK, K.D.; BERTRAND, J.K.; BENYSHEK, L.L. Genetic and environmental parameters for mature weight and other growth measures in Polled Hereford cattle. **Journal of Animal Science**, v.71, n.7, p.1737-41, 1993.
- CARDELLINO, R.A.; ROVIRA, J. **Mejoramiento genético animal**. Montevideo: Editora Agropecuária Hemisfério Sur, 1987. 253p.
- CARDOSO, F.F.; TEMPELMAN, R.J. Hierarchical Bayes multiple-breed inference with an application to genetic evaluation of a Nelore-Hereford population. **Journal of Animal Science**, v.82, n.6, p.1589-1601, 2004.
- CARDOSO, F.F.; ROSA, G.J.M.; TEMPELMAN, R.J. Multiple-breed genetic inference using heavy-tailed structural models for heterogeneous residual variances. **Journal of Animal Science**, v.83, n.8, p.1766-1779, 2005.
- CARDOSO, F.F.; BRACCINI NETO, J.; CAMPOS, L.T. et al. Caracterização de interação genótipo-ambiente no ganho pós-desmama de bovinos Hereford via normas de reação. In: REUNION LATINOAMERICANA DE PRODUCCION ANIMAL (ALPA), 20.; REUNION ANUAL DE LA ASOCIACION PERUANA DE PRODUCCION ANIMAL (APPA), 30.; CONGRESO INTERNACIONAL DE GANADERIA DE DOBLE PROPOSITO, 5., 2007a, Cusco. [Anais Eletrônicos...], Cusco, 2007a. v.1.
- CARDOSO, F.F.; OLIVEIRA, M.M.; CAMPOS, L.T. Modelos hierárquicos bayesianos para estudo de interação genótipo-ambiente via normas de reação aplicados ao ganho pós-desmama de bovinos Angus. In: REUNIÃO ANUAL DA SOCIEDADE BRASILEIRA DE ZOOTECNIA, 44., 2007, Jaboticabal. **Anais...** Sociedade Brasileira de Zootecnia, 2007b. (CD-ROM).
- CARDOSO, F.F. **Manual de utilização do programa INTERGEN – Versão 1.0 em estudos de genética quantitativa animal**. Bagé: Embrapa Pecuária Sul, 2008. 74p. (Documentos, 74).
- CORRÊA, M.B.B.; DIONELLO, N.J.L.; CARDOSO, F.F. Efeito da interação genótipo-ambiente na avaliação genética de Bovinos de corte. **Revista Brasileira de Agrociência**, v.13, n.2, p.153-159, 2007.
- CORRÊA, M.B.B. **Caracterização da interação genótipo-ambiente no desempenho de bovinos Devon no Rio Grande do Sul via normas de reação obtidas por regressão aleatória**. 2007. 69f. Tese (Doutorado em Zootecnia) - Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas.
- CORRÊA, M.B.B.; DIONELLO, N.J.L.; CARDOSO, F.F. Caracterização da interação genótipo-ambiente e comparação entre modelos para ajuste do ganho pós-desmama de bovinos Devon via normas de reação. **Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia**, v.38, n.8, p.1468-1477, 2009.
- DE JONG, G. Phenotypic plasticity as a product of selection in a variable environment. **American Naturalist**, v.145, n.4, p.493-512, 1995.
- DE JONG, G.; BIJMA, P. Selection and phenotypic plasticity in evolutionary biology and animal breeding. **Livestock Production Science**, v.78, p.195-214, 2002.
- DE MATTOS, D.; BERTRAND, J.K.; MISZTAL, I. Investigation of genotype \times environment interactions for weaning weight for Herefords in three countries. **Journal of Animal Science**, v.78, n.8, p.2121-2126, 2000.
- FALCONER, D.S. **Introdução à genética quantitativa**. 3.ed. Harlow: Longman, 1987. 279p.
- FALCONER, D.S.; MACKAY, T.F.C. **Introduction to quantitative genetics**. Harlow: Longman Group Ltda., 1996. 464p.
- FARIA, C.U.; MAGNABOSCO, C.U.; REYES, A.L. et al. Inferência Bayesiana e sua aplicação na avaliação genética de bovinos da raça Nelore: revisão bibliográfica. **Ciência Animal Brasileira**, v.8, n.1, p.75-86, 2007.
- GELFAND, A.E. Model determination using sampling-based methods. In: GILKS, W.R.; RICHARDSON, S.; SPIEGELHALTER, D.J. (Eds.). **Markov Chain Monte Carlo in practice**. London: Chapman & Hall, 1996. p.145-161.
- GEWEKE, J. Evaluating the accuracy of sampling-based approaches to the calculation of posterior moments. In: BERNARDO, J.M.; BERGER, J.O.; DAWID, A.P. et al. (Eds.). **Bayesian statistics 4**. New York: Oxford University, 1992. 526p.
- GIANOLA, D.; FERNANDO, R.L. Bayesian methods in animal breeding theory. **Journal of Animal Science**, v.63, n.1, p.217-244, 1986.
- GOMULKIEWICZ, R.; KIRKPATRICK, M. Quantitative genetics and the evolution of reaction norms. **Evolution**, v.46, n.2, p.390-411, 1992.
- KIRKPATRICK, M.; HECKMAN, N.A. Quantitative genetic model for growth, shape and other infinite dimensional characters. **Journal of Mathematical Biology**, v.27, p.429-450, 1990.
- KOLMODIN, R.; STRANDBERG, E.; MADSEN, P. et al. Genotype by environment interaction in Nordic dairy cattle studied using reaction norms. **Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica Section a-Animal Science**, v.52, n.1, p.11-24, 2002.
- NEWTON, M.A.; RAFTERY, A.E. Approximate Bayesian inference by the weighted likelihood bootstrap (with Discussion). **Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, series B**, v.56, p.3-48, 1994.
- PROGRAMA DE MELHORAMENTO DE BOVINOS DE CARNE – PROMEBO. Disponível em: <www.promebo.com.br>. Acesso em: 22/6/2008.
- ROSO, V.M.; SCHENKEL, F.S. AMC – A computer program to assess the degree of connectedness among contemporary groups. In: WORLD CONGRESS ON GENETICS APPLIED TO LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION, 8., 2006, Belo Horizonte. **Proceedings...** Belo Horizonte, 2006. (CD-ROM).
- SORENSEN, D.A.; GIANOLA, D. **Likelihood, Bayesian and MCMC methods in quantitative genetics**. New York: Springer-Verlag New York, 2002. 740p.
- SPIEGELHALTER, D.J.; BEST, N.G.; CARLIN, B.P. et al. Bayesian measures of model complexity and fit. **Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B-Statistical Methodology**, v.64, p.583-616, 2002.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS SYSTEM – SAS. **SAS user's guide**.
Version 8.2. Cary, 2000. (CD-ROM).

SU, G.; MADSEN, P.; LUND, M.S. et al. Bayesian analysis of
the linear reaction norm model with unknown covariates.
Journal of Animal Science, v.84, p.1651- 1657, 2006.

WOLTERECK, R. Weitere experimentelle Untersuchungen über
Artveränderung, speziell über das Wesen quantitativer
Artunterschiede bei Daphnien. **Verhandlungen der
deutschen zoologischen Gesellschaft**, v.19, p.110-173,
1909.