Gluteus maximus and semitendinosus activation during active prone hip extension exercises

Ativação do glúteo máximo e semitendinoso durante exercícios de extensão do quadril em prono
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Abstract

Background: Gluteus maximus strengthening exercises are employed in clinical practice as options for the treatment of low back and sacroiliac disorders. However, no studies were found that investigated which were the best exercises to activate this muscle and justify its employment in physical therapy practice. Objective: To quantify the electromyographic (EMG) activity of the gluteus maximus and semitendinosus muscles during four modalities of therapeutic exercises. Methods: Thirty-one participants (16 men, 15 women) were selected. The EMG activities of the gluteus maximus and semitendinosus was recorded and quantified while the participants performed four modalities of therapeutic exercises, involving active prone hip extension in four positions: knee extension (KE), knee flexion (KF), lateral hip rotation and knee extension (LHRKE), and lateral hip rotation and knee flexion (LHR-KF). Results: Repeated-measures ANOVAs showed that the addition of KF or LHR increased gluteus maximus activity, whereas, KE decreased the activation of this muscle. In contrast, the exercises performed with KE increased semitendinosus activity. Conclusions: Exercises performed with KF or LHR, or a combination of the two, may be effective choices for gluteus maximus strengthening, however both KF and LHR decreased semitendinosus activity. The exercises performed with KE appeared to be an acceptable choice for semitendinosus activation.
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Resumo

Contextualização: Exercícios para fortalecimento do glúteo máximo são empregados na prática clínica para tratamento de disfunções da coluna lombar e sacroilíaca. Entretanto, não foram encontrados estudos que investigaram os melhores exercícios para ativar esse músculo de forma a justificar a sua utilização na prática fisioterapêutica. Objetivo: Quantificar a atividade eletromiográfica (EMG) dos músculos glúteo máximo e semitendinoso durante quatro modalidades de exercícios terapêuticos. Métodos: Trinta e um participantes (16 homens, 15 mulheres) foram selecionados. A atividade EMG do glúteo máximo e semitendinoso foi registrada e quantificada enquanto os indivíduos realizaram quatro modalidades de exercícios terapêuticos envolvendo extensão ativa do quadril em prono em quatro posições: joelho em extensão, joelho em flexão, rotação lateral do quadril e extensão do joelho e rotação lateral do quadril e flexão do joelho. Resultados: ANOVA medidas repetidas revelou que a adição de flexão do joelho ou rotação lateral do quadril aumentou a atividade do glúteo máximo, enquanto os exercícios realizados com extensão do joelho resultaram na redução da sua ativação. Por outro lado, os exercícios realizados com extensão do joelho aumentaram a atividade do semitendinoso. Conclusões: Os exercícios realizados com flexão do joelho e/ou rotação lateral do quadril demonstraram ser opções efetivas para ativação do glúteo máximo, mas resultaram em redução da atividade do semitendinoso. Os exercícios realizados com extensão do joelho demonstraram ser uma boa opção para ativar o semitendinoso.
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Introduction

Imbalances of the lumbar spine and pelvic stabilizing muscles have been shown to be associated with the development of low back pain and, currently, emphasis has been put on the importance of achieving coordinated activity between all muscles within a balanced muscular system for the prevention and treatment of lumbar and pelvic disorders. The sacroiliac joints work as shock absorbers during early stance in gait and also transmit ground reaction forces from the lower limbs to the trunk. The joint surfaces are parallel to the line of weight transmission, resulting in a significant shear stresses during gait or upon one leg stance activities.

It has been proposed that the stability of the pelvis depends on both the form and force closures. The form closure depends primarily upon the bone structures of the pelvis and the joint surfaces which allow the sacroiliac joint to resist shear forces, whereas, force closure refers to additional compressive forces necessary for maintaining the stability of the sacroiliac joint. The force closure is a dynamic process performed by the muscular system which depends upon the integrity of the ligamentous and fascial structures of the pelvis and can also be affected by the muscles which directly compress the joint or by the tensioning of the sacrotuberous or the long dorsal ligaments. The gluteus maximus, due to its perpendicular aligned fibers, is important for providing effective load transmission through the sacroiliac joint, contributing to the force closure. This function leads to effective compression of the sacroiliac joints and avoids shearing forces through its attachments with the lumbar paraspinal muscles, thoracolumbar fascia and biceps femoris muscle via the sacrotuberous ligament.

Previous studies have identified that the gluteus maximus can significantly influence sacroiliac joint stability and its weakness has been found to be associated with low back pain. This has a major functional importance in the early stance of gait by compressing the sacroiliac joint to provide stability. Its inappropriate activation in gait is thought to be a cause of low back pain. This results in a failed load transfer system, whereas the hamstrings have been shown to be associated with the development of low back pain and, currently, emphasis has been put on the importance of achieving coordinated activity between all muscles within a balanced muscular system for the prevention and treatment of lumbar and pelvic disorders. The sacroiliac joints work as shock absorbers during early stance in gait and also transmit ground reaction forces from the lower limbs to the trunk. The joint surfaces are parallel to the line of weight transmission, resulting in a significant shear stresses during gait or upon one leg stance activities.

Methods

Participants

Thirty-one healthy, young participants (16 men and 15 women) were recruited from the community. Inclusion criteria were the absence of shortening of the hip flexors, determined by a negative Thomas test, no complaints of pain, no histories of surgery of the lumbar spine or hip, and other limitations which could prevent data collection.

Instrumentation

The activation of the gluteus maximus and semitendinosus muscles were assessed by EMG (MP150WSW, Biopac Systems, Inc., Santa Barbara, CA, USA). This device has two amplifiers with input impedance of 2 MΩ and CMRR of 1000 MΩ and allowed data acquisition at frequencies from 10 to 1000 Hz. Data were collected at 1000 Hz. with TSD of 150 (Ag/AgCl) bipolar active surface electrodes with a 13.5 cm diameter and an impedance of 100 MΩ. The amplifier outputs were connected to a computer (CPU Intel Q6600, 2 GB RAM and 250 GB HD).

Displacement measurements were detected by a motion capture system ProReflex MCU Qualisys (QUALISYS MEDICAL AB,
the MVIC measures, verbal encouragements were given to the participants to reach maximal muscular activation. After this procedure, a 20-minute rest interval was allowed and then, the participants were instructed to lie in a prone position for familiarization with the exercises.

A light was placed in front of the subjects and they were instructed to begin the required movements at their natural speed when the signal was turned off. EMG activities of the gluteus maximus and semitendinosus muscles were obtained as the subjects performed the four modalities of the therapeutic exercises, which involved active prone hip extension in four positions (Figure 1): with knee extension (KE), knee flexion (KF), lateral hip rotation and knee extension (LHR-KF), and lateral hip rotation and knee flexion (LHR-KF).

As demonstrated in Figure 1B, a wooden device was employed to position the subjects’ legs and to guarantee relaxation during the performance of exercises involving KF. Therefore, the only performed movements were hip extension and the KE and LHR were only used for positioning, which was maintained during hip extension.

All exercises were randomly assigned and three trials were obtained for each modality with a two minute rest period between trials. The mean of the three trials for each exercise was used for analyses. The initiation of the movement was determined by changes in the angular displacement of the rigid segment, determined by the markers 1, 2, and 3 related to the pelvis and 4, 5, and 6 related to the thigh (Figure 1A), as obtained from the motion data collection system. The end of the movement was determined with the reverse process. The time to perform the exercises was normalized by 100% and each 5% interval was calculated. Thus, it was possible to determine the phase where the highest muscular activation occurred. A trigger mechanism was used to synchronize the EMG and the motion capture system data, after assuring EMG silence.

Data processing

The motion capture system data processing was performed using the Qualysys Track Manager 1.6.0.X software and latter the data were exported to the MATLAB® for analyses. Joint angles were calculated only in the sagittal plane, using the X and Z coordinates. Two straight lines from the pelvic and lower limb segments were traced and the prolongation of these lines provided information regarding the joint angle.

EMG data processing was performed using the software AcqKnowledge. The EMG signals were full wave rectified and low-pass and high-pass filtered with cut-off frequencies of 500 and 10 Hz, respectively. Root mean squares were used to quantify the EMG activities and the average values of the EMG activities during the exercises were used to normalize the signals. The quantification of the muscular activity and ROM
corresponding to the peak of activity were calculated by specific procedures developed in MATLAB®.

Data analyses

Descriptive statistics and tests for normality and homogeneity of variance were calculated for all outcome variables with the SPSS 13.0 for Windows (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL.). Repeated-measures ANOVAs, followed by planned contrasts were used to investigate differences in muscular activation for the four modalities of exercise, with a significance level of \( \alpha < 0.05 \).

Results

Subject characteristics

Thirty-one volunteers participated in the study, with ages ranging from 20 to 36 years (mean ± SD, 24.5±3.5 years), height from 150 to 184 cm (170±9 cm), body mass from 46 to 90 kg (66.89±11.89 kg); and body mass indices of 22.09±2.22 kg/m\(^2\) for women and 23.75±3.49 kg/m\(^2\) for men. Because of technical problems with MATLAB in the analysis of some motion analysis system files, data from two subjects (one man and one woman) were excluded for analyses for the exercises performed with the KE and KF and one for the LHR-KE.

Muscular activity

As illustrated in Figure 2, the gluteus maximus showed the highest activity levels during the exercises performed with KF (23.1±21.2%), followed by those associated with LHR with both KE (22.5±10.3%), and KF (21.2±11.9%). The exercises performed with KE were the ones which the gluteus maximus showed the lowest levels of EMG activity (12.66±8.57). In addition, significant differences were found only for exercises performed with KE, when compared to those with KF (\( p=0.04; \) power=0.54), LHR-KE (\( p<0.0001; \) power=0.99), and LHRKF (\( p=0.013; \) power=0.72).
These findings indicated that the addition of KF and/or LHR were effective in activating the gluteus maximus muscle.

In contrast, the exercises performed with KE were the ones in which the semitendinosus muscle showed the highest EMG activity level (22.02±16.20%; p=0.006). ANOVA also demonstrated significant differences in semitendinosus activity between all exercises (p<0.05). As shown in Figure 2, the semitendinosus muscle showed the highest EMG activity levels during the exercises performed with KE, followed by those with KF (15.5±9.0%), those associated with LHR-KE (11.7±9.7%), and LHR-KF (5.9±3.4%).

Percentages of the movement cycle corresponding to the peak of EMG activity

The mean ROM and the percentages of the movement cycle corresponding to the peaks of the EMG for the gluteus maximus and semitendinosus muscles are displayed in Table 1. When the ROM was analyzed alone, significant differences were found between the ROM corresponding to the peak of the gluteus maximus and semitendinosus activities for the exercises performed with KF and KE, 10 compared to those associated with LHR (p<0.05; power=0.90), indicating that when the hip was laterally rotated, the peak of activity occurred in a lower ROM (Table 1).

Significant differences were found for the peaks of activity of the gluteus maximus and semitendinosus in relation to the percentages of the movement cycle, when compared to the exercises performed with KE and KF (p=0.02; power=0.61) and those performed with LHR-KE and LHR-KF (p=0.013; power=0.68). This indicated that when the knee was flexed, the peak of activity of the hip extensors occurred earlier during this movement cycle.

Regarding ROM, differences were also observed for the peak of activity of the gluteus maximus and semitendinosus muscles during the exercises performed with KE when compared to those associated with LHR with both KE and KF (p<0.0001; power=0.99) and the percentages of the movement cycle (p=0.013 and <0.0001; power=0.73 and 0.97). This showed that during the exercises performed with KE, the peak of the hip extensors occurred at the end of the movements (Table 1). The peak of the semitendinosus activities occurred earlier during the LHR-KF exercises when both the ROM (p=0.001) and the percentages of the movement cycle were considered (p<0.0001), compared to the other modalities.

Discussion

The current study investigated the amounts of EMG activity of the hip extensor muscles during the four modalities of therapeutic exercises and found that those performed with KF and associated with LHR showed similar amounts of EMG activities for the gluteus maximus, suggesting that all modalities might be effective choices for activating the gluteus maximus. The exercises performed with KE showed the highest

![Figure 2. Means±SD of the electromyographic signal amplitude of the gluteus maximus and semitendinosus muscles during the four modalities of therapeutic exercises.](image-url)

![Table 1. Means±SD for the range of motion (degrees) and percentage of the movement cycle corresponding to the peak of EMG activity of the gluteus maximus and semitendinosus muscles during four modalities of active prone hip extension exercises (n=30).](table-url)
semitendinosus activity, indicating that it might be employed to activate the semitendinosus muscle.

The integrity of the gluteus maximus is important for the stability of the sacroiliac joint\textsuperscript{2,8,14}, however, the effectiveness of neuromuscular training with exercises has been based on subjective perceptions\textsuperscript{21,22}. Many studies have shown reduced activity of the gluteus maximus in low back pain patients\textsuperscript{4,16,23}, but there is no consensus regarding the best exercises to stimulate this muscle. Knowledge of the intensity of muscular activation in prescribed exercises is essential for more effective therapeutic interventions.

The ROM corresponding to the peak of activity of the hip extensors during the exercises performed with KE was close to the end of the movement cycles, when compared to the other exercises. This finding demonstrates that this exercise may be selected when the rehabilitation goal is to increase muscular activation at the end of the ROM. The gluteus maximus is frequently weak and elongated due to activities of daily living and mainly to the great amount of time that the majority of the population remains seated during the day\textsuperscript{24}.

When the exercises performed with KE were compared to other investigated exercises, it was observed that the semitendinosus had the highest EMG activity levels, whereas the gluteus maximus had the lowest. Even with the greater lever resistances during this exercise, it does not seem to be most recommended to specifically strengthen the gluteus maximus, but for the semitendinosus. One possible explanation is that during this movement, the hamstrings, as biarticular muscles, maintain adequate length-tension relationships because they were shortened at the hip and elongated at the knee joint, thus, optimizing its effects during this movement.

The exercises performed with KF showed the greatest activity for the gluteus maximus and lowest for the semitendinosus. Tassi and Engrácia-Valenti\textsuperscript{25} also found greater gluteus maximus activity during hip extension associated with the KF and erect trunk with the double-pulley assistance equipment, when compared with other hip, knee and trunk positions. In the current study, the gluteus maximus peaks of activity occurred at 83\% of the movement cycle. This highest activity level was probably due to the fact that, during these exercises, the hamstrings were in active insufficiency and their capacity to produce or maintain active tension was diminished. Worrell et al.\textsuperscript{26} observed increases in gluteus maximus activity during exercises performed with KF at 30° and 60° when compared to 90°. In the present study, the peak activity of the gluteus maximus occurred close to the end of the movement. It is possible that during active stretching, biarticular muscles may be actively ineffective in an anterior point at the end of a ROM\textsuperscript{26}. Thus, the gluteus maximus would have to be more activated from this point to complete the movement. According to the present results, these exercises may be more effective choices if the objective is to specifically train the gluteus maximus.

When the exercises involved LHR-KE, the semitendinosus muscle showed moderate activities, which were lower than the ones performed with KE, but higher than those involving LHR-KF, which showed the lowest activity. However, the gluteus maximus was similarly activated during both exercises and no significant differences were found when compared with exercises performed with KF, indicating that all of three modalities could be good choices to stimulate this muscle. The combination of movements involving LHR-KF, might have forced the gluteus maximus to function in a shorter position, recruiting more motor units to meet two simultaneous demands and associated with the active insufficiency of the hamstrings, probably made the LHR-KF exercises most effective to specifically activate the gluteus maximus.

It is interesting to note that the KE exercises showed the lowest gluteus maximus activation and the simple act of laterally rotating the hip considerably increased its activation. The LHR that the subject had to perform during the exercises probably increased the level of difficulty, reducing the achieved amplitudes. The ROM corresponding to the gluteus maximus peaks of activity was lower than that of the other exercises; however, when these values were normalized to other modalities, they also corresponded to 83\% of the movement cycle for the LHR-KE and 78\% for the LHR-KF. The hamstrings, as biarticular muscles, became actively inefficient before the end of the movement and associated with a monoarticular muscle that was also weak, probably caused the earlier peak of activity of the gluteus maximus. The semitendinosus peak activation occurred at 8° (50% of the movement cycle) for the LHR-KF exercises. This modality showed the lowest ROM, probably because of the difficulty in the accomplishment of the three combined movements.

No studies were found which analyzed the gluteus maximus activities during these exercise modalities. In other modalities, there are reports of gluteus maximus activations of 13.6\% of MVIC for the diagonal hip and shoulder extension in four-point kneeling exercises and 9.75\% for the back bridge, which demonstrated that these therapeutic exercises induced lower activation of this muscle\textsuperscript{21}. However, Souza, Baker and Powers\textsuperscript{26} reported an activity level of 19.2\% for the diagonal hip and shoulder extension in four-point kneeling exercises. Donatelli, Carp and Ekstrom\textsuperscript{27} found greater values for gluteus maximus activities during these exercises. They observed 56\% levels for diagonal hip and shoulder extension, 40\% for the unilateral bridge, and 25\% for the back bridge exercises. The differences between the present results could be due to the methods used to determine the MVIC (peak versus one-second window of activity).
The current study found similar activity levels for the exercises performed with KF, LHRKE, and LHR-KF, demonstrating that they all could be effective options to strengthen the gluteus maximus. In these positions, the length-tension curves of the biarticular hamstring muscles are not in ideal positions to generate force. Oh et al. found increased gluteus maximus and medial hamstring activities and decreased anterior pelvic tilt during prone hip extension exercises with abdominal drawing-in maneuvers. Sahrmann suggested that the KE exercises should be performed during the initial treatment phases with the goal of training patients to initiate the motions with the gluteus maximus and to increase its participation while decreasing hamstring activities during hip extension. It was also suggested that the patients should perform a smooth LHR by contracting the gluteal muscles before initiating the extension movements and that the KF exercises should be later performed to improve the performance of the gluteus maximus muscle. One could argue the fact that the speed of the movements was not controlled and it is known that the magnitude of the EMG signals can be directly influenced by several factors, such as speed, acceleration, ROM, load, and practice. However, although movement speed was not controlled, the subjects were instructed to perform the movements at their natural speed in order to reproduce situations similar to those employed in clinical practice.

The results of this study were representative of a young, asymptomatic population. Studies involving subjects with low back pain or sacroiliac dysfunctions are needed, and these findings might be employed as references. It is important to point out that the present study evaluated only active exercises, in an open kinetic chain; thus, its external validity is limited to this situation. However, the results of this study formed a baseline for future studies which could evaluate the influences of external loads or muscle activity of exercise in various positions, within closed kinetic chains, or with different types of contractions.

Conclusions

Exercises performed with KF or LHR or their combinations might be effective choices to optimize gluteus maximus functions. Both KF and LHR increased gluteus maximus and decreased semitendinosis activity. In contrast, the exercises performed with KE were shown to be appropriate choices to increase semitendinosus activity levels.
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